

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table								
Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Community Identity and Beautification								
I-1	Prosperity Village Gateway on I-485 Exit Ramp	3	7	5	15	50%	7	1. monument/sign 2. any "art" with NCDOT ROW will require NCDOT Arts council approval 3. maintenance? 4. if city maintained 5. CNIP 6. would rank #1 7. if city maintains
I-2	I-485 Ramp Loop Streetscape Beautification	3	10	10	23	77%	9	1. widen sidewalk 2. Need to identify long-term maintenance plan 3. Abandon some ROW along roads to bring bldgs..closer to parallel parking 4. maintenance?
I-3	Landmarks at Roundabouts	1	7	2	10	33%	3	1. maintenance? 2. widen RABS 3. include 7th roundabout @ Prosp. Ridge / Johnston-Oehler 4. Should include way finding signage (?) & seven major roundabouts/intersections 5. I like this too! 6. PVAA 7. if city maintains
I-4	Community Signage Program	3	9	4	16	53%	5	1. Should include way finding signage & seven major roundabouts/intersections 2. Need way finding included 3. Include wayfinding 4. All Over 5. PVAA 6. Too widespread 7. Need signage within activity center & locations seem too far out 8. signage package that can be picked up by developer
I-5	Village Gateway Landmarks	0	5	8	13	43%	3	1. Monument/sign
I-6	Village Identity Monuments	2	4	7	13	43%	4	1. Urban space open DeArmon / O12 Prosp. Ch. (sp?) 2. Library could be partner if we were located with a public plaza 3. Native plantings – low maintenance & no watering required if possible 4. Like the idea in general but not the current proposed location 5. Gazebo but with larger marker relating Pros. Village in pie shape at Bi-Lo. 6. Phipps says monument at Bi-Lo or Gazebo 7. I like seating & gazebo area; bike 8. This would be a close #4 9. In Duke Power right of way – no structures
Project Rank		Low	Med	High				
Percentage of Selections		0% - 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 100%				
Number of Top 5 Selections		0 - 2	3 - 6	7 - 13				

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Greenways, Trails and Open Space								
G-1	Clark's Creek Greenway Trailhead and Extension	3	10	10	23	77%	13	1. Possible library location with trail head 2. Existing end to DeArmon 3. Should be 1 project with G-2 4. Should be considered single project with G-2 5. Trailhead @ DeArmon 6. DeArmon with library 7. I like connecting activity center to greenway 8. Cell tower owner will be a challenge
G-2	Clark's Creek Greenway Northern Extension	2	7	5	14	47%	7	1. Possible library location 2. Under 485 3. Developer is on the hook to build 4. Up to Eastfield 5. Goes to Eastfield 6. I like connecting activity center to greenway
G-3	Southwest Connector Multi-Use Trail	1	10.5	2	13.5	45%	5	1. Stop @ Benfield 2. Anything to help residents get to the village is a priority (To get there via bike or foot, not car) 3. Connect Village 4. Behind Bi-Lo 5. Would this be combined with C-2? I like this too 6. I like connecting activity center to greenway
G-4	Clark's Creek Tributary #1	0	0	3	3	10%	2	1. West to 115 2. May be nice in the future but no real immediate "village" benefit 3. Low priority 4. Could something along Hucks do both? 6. Combined by creating multi-use path along Hucks Road extended sidewalk connect to county park on Hucks
G-5	Clark's Creek Tributary #1A	0	0	3	3	10%	2	1. low priority 2. Could something along Hucks do both? 3. Combined by creating multi-use path along Hucks Road extended sidewalk connect to county park on Hucks 4. same as above (no "village" benefit)
G-6	Mallard Creek Greenway Bridge Connection to Existing CATS	2	7	5	14	47%	5	1. Slightly different alignment 2. c/o Mallard Creek Church Rd. 3. Could also be a consideration depending on how "hard" the CNIP boundary is 4. Same as above (no "village" benefit)
G-7	Jimmy Oehler Multi-Use Trail	0	2.5	1	3.5	12%	1	1. Farm-To-Market 2. Maybe in future but can just go through the roundabouts now 3. Allow future development to create/pay
G-8	Southeast Multi-Use Trail/Greenway to Mallard Creek Regional Park	4	5	7	16	53%	3	1. Really like connecting park to activity center!
Project Rank		Low		Med		High		
Percentage of Selections		0% - 25%		26% - 50%		51% - 100%		
Number of Top 5 Selections		0 - 2		3 - 6		7 - 13		

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Pedestrian Circulation								
C-1	Traffic Signal at Prosperity Church Road and Katelyn Drive	1	1	6	8	27%	3	1. 2 of these 4 would be desirable to address this section of PCR 2. These don't feel like CNIP projects 3. CDOT Should just do the 2 or so that are needed 4. Use sensor pad w/light favoring Prosp. Ch. Rd. 5. All same vicinity - choose 1 6. Already have trouble entering/exiting the neighborhoods - Expect it to get worse 7. Really like calming down speeders 8. Should be a CDOT cost – shared? 9. if this exists C2 & C3 shouldn't be necessary since they would cross here
C-2	Crosswalk at Prosperity Creek Senior Apartments	0	5	3	8	27%	1	1. 2 of these 4 would be desirable to address this section of PCR 2. These don't feel like CNIP projects 3. CDOT Should just do the 2 or so that are needed 4. CATS Rt. 22 5. All same vicinity- choose 1 6. Really like calming down speeders 7. Don't need 2 so close together pick one 9. CDOT – one or the other
C-3	Pedestrian beacon at Prosperity Church Road and Prosperity Point Lane	0	2	2	4	13%	0	1. 2 of these 4 would be desirable to address this section of PCR 2. These don't feel like CNIP projects 3. CDOT Should just do the 2 or so that are needed 4. CATS Rt. 22 5. All same vicinity- choose 1 6. Really like calming down speeders 7. Whatever is most logical to help the most pedestrians & drivers 8. Don't need 2 so close together pick one 9. CDOT – one or the other
C-4	Traffic Signal at Prosperity Church Road and Driwood Court	2	1	0	5	17%	0	1. 2 of these 4 would be desirable to address this section of PCR 2. These don't feel like CNIP projects. CDOT Should just do the 2 or so that are needed 3. Not necessary in my opinion 4. Retail on both sides makes this more valuable
C-5	Sidewalk gaps on Mallard Creek Road from WT Harris Blvd to Prosperity Church Road	1	5	1	7	23%	1	1. CATS Rt's 22 + 53
C-6	Sidewalk gaps on Mallard Creek Road from Prosperity Church Road to Johnston Oehler Road	1	3	2	6	20%	1	1. Would this support development along this area? Could help Mallard Creek Park & schools
C-7	Sidewalk gaps on Ridge Road from Prosperity Church Road to Highland Creek Parkway	4	6	9	19	63%	3	1. Never occur on IC (?) not done with CNIP 2. Access to village 3. See Transportation 4. Needs to be done with road widening 5. Should be done by developer 6. #1 I need a sidewalk
C-8	Sidewalk on Prosperity Church Road	1	7	8	16	53%	3	1. Needs to be done with road widening 2. See Transportation 3. Village 4. Complete street possibility 5. Let developers do
Project Rank		Low		Med		High		
Percentage of Selections		0% - 25%		26% - 50%		51% - 100%		
Number of Top 5 Selections		0 - 2		3 - 6		7 - 13		

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Pedestrian Circulation								
C-9	Sidewalk gaps on Eastfield Drive	1	3	6	10	33%	2	1. Eastfield is very close to the village center but there is NO way to get there, something needs to be done. People have to walk in the street and it's very dangerous!
C-10	Additional midblock refuge islands on Ridge Road	0	2	1	3	10%	0	1. Part of Ridge Rd. Project 2. They can use Prosp. Ridge Signal
C-11	Sidewalk on Browne Road	1	2	1	4	13%	1	1. Lot of expense for distance
C-12	Sidewalk on DeArmon Road	2	8	2	12	40%	2	1. If library is here we need pedestrian access to village center 2. Part of T-5
C-13	Sidewalk gaps on David Cox Road	0	3	2	5	17%	1	1. Could help school
C-14	Sidewalk gaps on Jimmy Oehler Road	2	5	1	8	27%	0	1. Should be part of a comprehensive look at Jimmy Oehler Rd (Multi use trail, etc.) and how that can facilitate development
C-15	Sidewalk along Hucks Road	0	3	2	5	17%	2	1. Corporate partner? Leverage funds
C-16	Crosswalk at Clark's Creek Nature Preserve	0	3	1	4	13%	0	
C-17	Sidewalk on Ridge Road from Highland Creek Parkway to Shelley Avenue	2	4	7	13	43%	3	1. School 2. Needs to be done w/ Ridge Rd 3. Add missing gap from Ridge Rd Village to HC Pkwy 4. Should continue to Mallard Creek Rd
C-18	Signalized Crosswalk at Johnston Oehler for Mallard Creek High School	2	5	6	13	43%	0	1. Not a CNIP project – just do it
Project Rank		Low		Med		High		
Percentage of Selections		0% - 25%		26% - 50%		51% - 100%		
Number of Top 5 Selections		0 - 2		3 - 6		7 - 13		

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Transportation								
T-1	Prosperity Church Road Widening	3	5	5	13	43%	4	1. Include T4 in this project 2. Add T4 roundabout to this project 3. Include T-4 4. YES 5. Needed 6. Should be a complete street and done with T4
T-2	Ridge Rd. Extension	2	7	9	18	60%	7	1. Better than widening (T-1)
T-3	Ridge Road Widening	1	8	2	11	37%	3	
T-4	Roundabout at Prosperity Church Road and Prosperity Ridge Road	1	1	4	8	27%	1	1. See T-1 2. See T1 (double lane NOT single lane) 3. As part of T1 4. Should be done w/T1 as a complete street project
T-5	DeArmon Road Farm-to-Market	4	9	6	19	63%	4	1. Preferable signalize; need left-turn access to library preferred 2. Would require city to take maintenance of DeArmon for NCDOT 3. Would support greenway/activity center 4. With T-14
T-6	Prosperity Ridge Road Southeast Arc	2	3	6	11	37%	3	1. Connectivity / Development Partnership 2. See P-3 3. Belongs in partnership bucket 4. Developer should complete/assist 5. Should be done by a developer
T-7	Robin Lane Farm-to-Market	0	3	2	5	17%	0	1. Mallard Ridge Dr. Connectivity 2. This barricade never made sense to me
T-8	Christenbury Road & Millstream Ridge Drive Roundabout	0	1	2	3	10%	0	1. Redesign roundabout to accommodate WB-67 2. Not a CNIP project...this is maintenance 3. See T10 comment 4. Bottom of my list - bad idea – part Cabarrus 5. Doesn't need repair – need to educate drivers
T-9	Hucks Road Extension Eastern Segment	1	8	2	11	37%	1	1. Going thru Amber Leigh may not be feasible
T-10	Arbor Creek Drive & Rocky Ford Club Road Roundabout	0	0	0	0	0%	0	1. Not a roundabout issue – need to educate drivers 2. See T-8 comment
Project Rank		Low		Med		High		
Percentage of Selections		0% - 25%		26% - 50%		51% - 100%		
Number of Top 5 Selections		0 - 2		3 - 6		7 - 13		

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Transportation								
T-11	Highland Creek Parkway and Eastfield Road Signalization and Intersection Improvements	1	6	7	14	47%	6	1. Unless developer will pay 2. Need left-turn lanes 3. Put on developer / public-private bucket 4. Developer needs to do w/ 11 acre property development 5. This is needed especially with park 6. Should be built by developer of 11 acre parcel 7. In current rezoning plan let developer do 8. Should be done by developer that is currently under contract on llac parcel!
T-12	Rebuild Road Pavement Sections on Christenbury Road	0	0	0	0	0%	0	1. Maintenance
T-13	Hucks Road Complete Street Old Statesville Road to Browne Rd	3	6	3	12	40%	1	1. multi use path 2. Multi-use path would be sufficient – pedestrian traffic larger issue 3. With signal at Old Statesville
T-14	DeArmon Road and Browne Road Signalization and Intersection Improvements	1	5	6	12	40%	2	1. Would require signal analysis 2. With T-5 – complete street 3. Part of T-5 4. With T-5
T-15	Old Statesville Road and Hucks Road Intersection Improvements	0	6	5	11	37%	0	1. Left Turn Lanes 2. Carrier engages police 4 days a week @ 4:30 to help handle plant traffic
T-16	Browne Road Complete Streets from I-485 to WT Harris	0	2	1	3	10%	0	1. Low priority – doesn't help with village/activity center
Project Rank		Low	Med	High				
Percentage of Selections		0% - 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 100%				
Number of Top 5 Selections		0 - 2	3 - 6	7 - 13				

Prosperity Village CNIP 2nd Focus Group Summary Table

Project Information		Number of Selections by Participants per Focus Group				Percentage of Selections (Within Each Project Category)	Top 5 Selections (Includes All Project Categories)	Comments/Notes by Participants
Project ID	Name	Private Sector Group	Public Agency Group	Community Leaders Group	All Focus Groups			
Partnership, Public/Public and Public/Private								
P-1	Prosperity Village Public Library Land Acquisition (Location To Be Determined)	2	4.5	7.5	14	47%	9	1. Bundle with P2 3. Combine with P2 3. WANT ALL THREE! 4. I like the DeArmon trail head location 5. See P-2 6. Like idea of combining with P-2 if possible
P-2	Urban Open Space with Water View	2	4.5	4.5	11	37%	10	1. With the lake already existing, this could be a real beauty 2. Keep as private ownership/partnership 3. Combine with P-1 4. WANT ALL THREE! 5. Consider putting library on this space. Should also consider buying rental property as part of purchase. 6. With library & community center 7. "Radiator pond"
P-3	Prosperity Ridge Road Southeast Arc	0	4	1	5	17%	2	Connectivity & Development this is a close 2nd

Project Rank	Low	Med	High
Percentage of Selections	0% - 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 100%
Number of Top 5 Selections	0 - 2	3 - 6	7 - 13

Additional Comments/Notes by Participants

Assume that if any road project were adopted, ALL appropriate road/ped/rdw projects would be incorporated in each....

Need to keep an eye on Eastfield/Prosp. Ch. Intersection. Additional improvements may be needed there once 485 opens.
 o Eastbound RT Lane on Eastfield
 o Westbound dual lefts on Eastfield & relocate AT&T switch on Prosp. Ch.

Community signage package w/wayfindnig to Jimmy Oehler multi-use trail connection across 485 into neighborhood, addressing sidewalk gaps & ped connections & public/private partnership w/proposed developments. This can't, in my opinion, be viewed as discrete projects at this level. It would be like renovating a building but only doing the hvac and not the electrical....

Would like to see Greenway option from G-2 through Prosperity Church & Radiator Pond

Light at Christenbury & HC Pkwy

Right turn lane on Eastfield eastbound going to Prosperity Church Rd

Focus of funds on building greenway infrastructure allows developers to tie in.