

Follow-Up Items
City Council Action Review and Business Meeting
Monday, July 8, 2019

Agenda Item	Requestor	Mayor and Council Questions	City Response	Staff Lead
	Eiselt	<p>Criminal Justice Advisory Group should tell us what is and isn't working to prevent crime.</p> <p>Refresh recommendations from 2008 task force.</p>	<p>View the 2008 Justice and Public Safety Task Force Report here.</p> <p>CMPD is working with the other agencies to update the 2008 Task Force recommendations in the attached document. Council will receive the final version in the coming weeks.</p>	CMPD
Community Safety Update	Winston	<p>Who makes up Criminal Justice Advisory Group (CJAG)? Is this a public meeting?</p> <p>Requested more info about how we use beat patrols.</p>	<p>CJAG is a public meeting and can be attended by anyone interested. The CJAG consists of 21 key decision makers within the criminal justice system. Click here to review the CJAG charter and membership list.</p> <p>Walking beats are conducted in four primary areas including Uptown, Beatties Ford Road/Lasalle Street, NoDa, and Thomas Street. These walking beats are conducted during daylight hours and evening hours when nightlife is present.</p> <p>There are additional locations, due to their long stretches, where walking beats would not be practical including the North Tryon corridor, the Shops at University, greenways, and parks. For these locations, coverage is provided using bicycles and dual sport motorcycles.</p>	CMPD

Agenda Item	Requestor	Mayor and Council Questions	City Response	Staff Lead																																													
	Mayfield	Requested a comparison of population growth of Charlotte to the peer cities included in presentation, "Violent Crime Update."	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="5" data-bbox="877 293 1843 378">Population Growth: 2005-2019 Peer Cities to CMPD</th> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="877 378 1150 462">City</th> <th data-bbox="1150 378 1339 462">2005 Population</th> <th data-bbox="1339 378 1528 462">2019 Population</th> <th data-bbox="1528 378 1686 462">Population Change</th> <th data-bbox="1686 378 1843 462">Percentage Change</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 462 1150 500">Charlotte-Mecklenburg</td> <td data-bbox="1150 462 1339 500">713,445</td> <td data-bbox="1339 462 1528 500">930,613</td> <td data-bbox="1528 462 1686 500">217,168</td> <td data-bbox="1686 462 1843 500">30.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 500 1150 537">Baltimore</td> <td data-bbox="1150 500 1339 537">641,097</td> <td data-bbox="1339 500 1528 537">621,762</td> <td data-bbox="1528 500 1686 537">(19,335)</td> <td data-bbox="1686 500 1843 537">-3.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 537 1150 574">Atlanta</td> <td data-bbox="1150 537 1339 574">430,666</td> <td data-bbox="1339 537 1528 574">497,048</td> <td data-bbox="1528 537 1686 574">66,382</td> <td data-bbox="1686 537 1843 574">15.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 574 1150 612">Memphis</td> <td data-bbox="1150 574 1339 612">678,988</td> <td data-bbox="1339 574 1528 612">651,590</td> <td data-bbox="1528 574 1686 612">(27,398)</td> <td data-bbox="1686 574 1843 612">-4.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 612 1150 649">Nashville</td> <td data-bbox="1150 612 1339 649">557,034</td> <td data-bbox="1339 612 1528 649">671,979</td> <td data-bbox="1528 612 1686 649">114,945</td> <td data-bbox="1686 612 1843 649">20.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 649 1150 686">Louisville-Metro</td> <td data-bbox="1150 649 1339 686">623,735</td> <td data-bbox="1339 649 1528 686">771,158</td> <td data-bbox="1528 649 1686 686">147,423</td> <td data-bbox="1686 649 1843 686">23.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="877 686 1150 724">Columbus</td> <td data-bbox="1150 686 1339 724">730,329</td> <td data-bbox="1339 686 1528 724">894,132</td> <td data-bbox="1528 686 1686 724">163,803</td> <td data-bbox="1686 686 1843 724">22.4%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Population Growth: 2005-2019 Peer Cities to CMPD					City	2005 Population	2019 Population	Population Change	Percentage Change	Charlotte-Mecklenburg	713,445	930,613	217,168	30.4%	Baltimore	641,097	621,762	(19,335)	-3.0%	Atlanta	430,666	497,048	66,382	15.4%	Memphis	678,988	651,590	(27,398)	-4.0%	Nashville	557,034	671,979	114,945	20.6%	Louisville-Metro	623,735	771,158	147,423	23.6%	Columbus	730,329	894,132	163,803	22.4%	CMPD
Population Growth: 2005-2019 Peer Cities to CMPD																																																	
City	2005 Population	2019 Population	Population Change	Percentage Change																																													
Charlotte-Mecklenburg	713,445	930,613	217,168	30.4%																																													
Baltimore	641,097	621,762	(19,335)	-3.0%																																													
Atlanta	430,666	497,048	66,382	15.4%																																													
Memphis	678,988	651,590	(27,398)	-4.0%																																													
Nashville	557,034	671,979	114,945	20.6%																																													
Louisville-Metro	623,735	771,158	147,423	23.6%																																													
Columbus	730,329	894,132	163,803	22.4%																																													
Housing Trust Fund Recommendations	Lyles/Driggs/Ajmera Harlow/Mayfield/ Winston/Newton/ Mitchell	Individual questions asked can be found in the document attached.	The attached document is a compilation of all questions and answers asked during the July 8 Action Review as well as additional questions submitted on July 15.	HNS																																													
Set Public Hearing on Cheyney Voluntary Annexation	Phipps	Will this annexation affect district 4 boundaries?	Yes, once a voluntary annexation is approved, the map and ordinance are delivered to the Board of Elections pursuant to NCGS 163A-1594 and voters in that area are assigned a district and are activated on the voting roles.	City Attorney's Office																																													

Agenda Item	Requestor	Mayor and Council Questions	City Response	Staff Lead
<p>Speaker Follow-up Rita Miles and Paul Holmes</p>	<p>Mayor</p>	<p>Requested assistance to address poor sidewalk conditions and yard mess related to 2018 sidewalk improvement project.</p>	<p><u>Holmes' Concerns:</u> Mike Davis met Mr. and Mrs. Holmes on July 5 and discussed a willingness to resolve outstanding concerns related to a sidewalk project nearing completion on Providence Road. Staff has continued working with the Holmes following their comments at the Public Forum. Some items have been the subject of negotiations between the city's attorneys and the Holmes' attorneys. Other items are punch-list items, which remain on the project that are being addressed.</p> <p><u>Miles' Concerns</u> Many of the concerns identified by Ms. Miles are related to the property negotiation with the Holmes. Other items will be addressed as punch list items or are requests that are beyond the scope of a typical sidewalk project.</p> <p>Mike Davis will remain in regular communication with each property owner while these issues are resolved.</p>	<p>General Services</p>
<p>Crisis Intervention Team (CIT)</p>	<p>Staff follow up</p>	<p>CIT documents and attachments.</p>	<p>Council members requested documents and presentations from the CIT portion of the meeting be shared again. These can be found using the links below:</p> <p>View the violent crime update here.</p> <p>View the Crisis Intervention Team presentation here.</p>	<p>City Manager's Office</p>

2008 Mecklenburg County Justice and Public Safety Task Force Recommendations

The Mecklenburg County Justice and Public Safety Task Force was created in response to a combination of factors -including a Jail Master Plan report and public outcry for local government to do something to correct system deficiencies and an increase in crime - particularly, property crime. At its May 20, 2008 meeting, the Mecklenburg County Board of Commissioners voted unanimously to create the non-partisan task force to identify and recommend to the Board immediate and substantive modifications to the operations of city, county and state criminal justice agencies in Mecklenburg County to restore their functional effectiveness within the coming budget year.

Retired Chief Superior Court Judge Shirley Fulton and retired Carolinas Healthcare CEO, Dr. Harry Nuking, accepted appointments as co-chairs and an open application process provided the County Manager and Task Force Co-Chairs with more than 350 applicants to fill 12 remaining seats. With a charge of "working together to determine how to best allocate resources to make the most impact on crime and the Criminal Justice System," the Task Force met and found that Mecklenburg County citizens perceive that public safety is not considered to be a consistently high priority by elected officials of Mecklenburg County, the City of Charlotte or the State of North Carolina, all of which have direct responsibility for significant portions of the Criminal Justice System.

The Task Force recognizes that solutions to some of the challenges of the Criminal Justice System are limited by legislative and statutory mandates. However, the Task Force believes that it is possible to work within these constraints to improve the system. The Task Force delivered the report with the hope that the recommendations and observations included would lead to positive changes in the Criminal Justice System and reduce crime. Below is an outline of the recommendations from the report.

Recommendation 1: Establish Oversight Function

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Establish a function/position within Mecklenburg County and a permanent Citizen Advisory Committee to promote collaboration and coordination across all components of the Criminal Justice System. The function/position will be given authority to establish performance measures; will work with the Citizen Advisory Committee; will recommend funding priorities related to the Criminal Justice System to the County Manager; and will seek to increase effectiveness of the Criminal Justice System.	<i>~Updates being compiled~</i>

Recommendation 2: Align Police Department/District Attorney Priorities

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Encourage the immediate creation of a formal agreement to align priorities between the Police Departments in Mecklenburg County and the District Attorney's Office.	<i>~Updates being compiled~</i>

Recommendation 3: Focus on Part One Chronic Offenders

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
<p>Increase focus on Part One chronic offenders by a) identifying and fast-tracking the arrest and prosecution of Part One chronic offenders and b) developing a system-wide, comprehensive plan that reduces the number of chronic offenders. Establish a separate division within the District Attorney's Office that focuses on the expedited prosecution of chronic offenders.</p>	<p>~Updates being compiled~</p>

Recommendation 4: Create Criminal Justice System Report

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
<p>Increase the accountability of the entire Criminal Justice System by creating one consolidated, transparent, understandable, easily accessible, broadly disseminated and regular report available to the public that communicates the effectiveness and efficiency of the Criminal Justice System. The responsibility for this reporting would fall to the position referenced in recommendation one.</p>	<p>~Updates being compiled~</p>

Recommendation 5: Complete Information Systems Review

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
<p>Complete a comprehensive independent review of information systems used in the Mecklenburg County Criminal Justice System and produce and implement an Information System Strategic Plan for the Criminal Justice System.</p>	<p>~Updates being compiled~</p>

Recommendation 6: Collaborate with Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools and Public Schools

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
<p>Increase collaboration and resources between the Criminal Justice System, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools and other public schools with a focus on prevention and offenders.</p>	<p>~Updates being compiled~</p>

Recommendation 7: Expand Use of Specialty Courts

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
<p>Increase usage of, and provide greater support for, specialty courts.</p>	<p>~Updates being compiled~</p>

Recommendation 8: Implement Alternative Solutions to Incarceration

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
<p>Implement and expedite alternative solutions to incarceration for first-time offenders.</p>	<p>~Updates being compiled~</p>

Recommendation 9: Examine Staffing/Salaries

Task Force Recommendation	Response
Adjust and maintain staffing ratios and salaries for all positions within the Criminal Justice System to industry standards. Additionally, explore alternatives for how to retain employees in all areas of the Criminal Justice System.	~Updates being compiled~

Recommendation 10: Target Juvenile/Jail Programs

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Target and support resources to programs for juveniles and jail inmates -such as GED's, drug treatment, job training, counseling, etc. in order to prevent and reduce crime through youth intervention programs and through programs that provide jail inmates alternatives to criminal lifestyles and recidivism.	~Updates being compiled~

Recommendation 11: Maximize Use of Monitoring Devices

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Fully implement and increase the efficiency of the use of monitoring devices where appropriate through the entire Criminal Justice System (i.e., courts, police, Sheriff, probation, juveniles).	~Updates being compiled~

Recommendation 12: Conform To American Bar Association (ABA) Performance Standards

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Reduce the number of days it takes to process cases through the Criminal Justice System to the American Bar Association standards.	~Updates being compiled~

Recommendation 13: Increase Police Visibility

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Support full implementation of increased police visibility on the streets.	~Updates being compiled~

Recommendation 14: Follow-up on Property Crime

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Encourage all Police Departments within Mecklenburg County to investigate and follow-up with those individuals who experience property crimes.	~Updates being compiled~

Recommendation 15: Effectively Use Citations and Warning Tickets

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Encourage the appropriate use of citations and warning tickets to increase the efficiency of the system.	<i>~Updates being compiled~</i>

Recommendation 16: Increase Support to Victims

Task Force Recommendation	Response and Actions
Increase attention to victims' assistance programs and efforts.	<i>~Updates being compiled~</i>

Questions and Answers

July 15, 2019 Housing Work Sessions

Questions below were asked by City Council members at the July 15, 2019 housing work sessions.

Question 1: What is the amount of HOME funds for the Bingham Seniors proposal?

Staff recommended that the financing gap experienced by the Bingham Seniors development be funded with \$450,000 in HOME funding. The developer has already received a Housing Trust Fund award of \$775,000 and has applied for financing from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta (FHLBA) to cover the funding gap. If the developer is awarded the FHLBA funding, they will reimburse the City's \$450,000.

Question 2: What are the deed restricted rents for the 7th Street Apartments development?

<i>AMI</i>	<i>Unit Type</i>	<i>Rent Range</i>
30%	One-Bedroom to Three-Bedroom	\$420 - \$515
60%	One-Bedroom to Three-Bedroom	\$895- \$1,110
80%	One-Bedroom to Three-Bedroom	\$1,200 - \$1,500

Question 3: Is there a list with ongoing maintenance requirements for housing projects the City has invested in?

There is not a list of ongoing maintenance requirements. However, developers receiving City funding support must agree to meet all City compliance and monitoring requirements. This includes conformance with the minimum housing code. Property inspections are conducted by third-party inspectors to make sure the property is meeting all local code ordinance requirements. Additionally, developments receiving funding from the North Carolina Housing Finance Agency undergo an NCHFA compliance monitoring annual process.

Question 4: For projects not recommended, is there a path to keep them going?

In any review process, proposals submitted are not always recommended "as is" due to various deficiencies. Some of the proposals submitted for HTF support may be viable proposals for subsequent funding rounds, particularly if adjustments are made to strengthen the proposal and based on the rapidly changing local affordable housing environment.

The following is clarification of the response provided to a question asked at the July 8, 2019 Action Review Briefing.

What is the status of the LISC community advisory board? Have they reviewed the 4% deals?

Members of the LISC Investment Advisory Committee (IAC) are currently being vetted. The IAC consists of investors of the Charlotte Housing Opportunity Investment Fund (CHOIF). They will meet for the first time after Council's vote on July 22. Following this meeting, they will have a two-week period to review and accept the proposed developments. At that point, LISC will issue a letter of intent to fund the proposed developments.

LISC has created a Grassroots Advisory Committee (GAC). GAC consists of local advocates, stakeholders, community representatives and others so that LISC is always in a position to hear from the broader

Questions and Answers

July 15, 2019 Housing Work Sessions

community. The GAC will discuss a broad range of topics including housing, economic development, small business, etc. The GAC will discuss LISC initiatives surrounding a broad range of topics including housing, economic development, small business, etc. The GAC will not be involved in CHOIF funding decisions. The first meeting will be held in mid-August.

As outlined in City Council's approved Housing Framework, both City and LISC staffs met with community advocates on June 25, and again on July 18. Equitable Communities CLT, a local non-profit working to change the conversation around affordable and equitable housing in Charlotte, invited over 100 affordable housing advocates to participate. Both meetings were held at 6:00 pm. At the meetings, information was shared about the Housing Trust Fund (HTF) and Charlotte Housing Opportunity Investment (CHOIF) Fund request for proposal process (RFP), and the specifics of each proposed development. Staff answered questions from those in attendance at each meeting.

Additionally, the developers of each new construction development have each hosted at least one community meeting to provide information about their specific proposed developments, and address questions from residents who live in the immediate area of the proposed development. These meetings are required pursuant to guidelines published in the RFP.