Advancing the 2030 plan

- 2000/Present – Expansion of bus service/amenities
- 2007 – LYNX Blue Line
- 2009 – Sprinter Enhanced Bus
- 2015 – CityLYNX Gold Line Phase 1
- 2016 – LYNX Silver Line Light Rail Adoption (SE)
- 2018 – LYNX Blue Line Extension
- 2018 – Envision My Ride
- 2019 – Charlotte Gateway RFQ
- 2019 – LYNX System Update Adoption
  - LYNX Silver Line (W)
  - North Corridor Strategy
  - Pineville/Ballantyne Extension
- 2020 – Regional Transit Planning
- 2020 – CityLYNX Gold Line Phase 2
- 2020 – Multiple initiatives underway
- LYNX Silver Line Design & Environmental Services
- Transit Oriented Development (TOD) Planning
- Rail Trail Study
Phase 1 (2020) Priorities

- Stakeholder Engagement
- Public Involvement
- Alignment Refinement
Conducted stakeholder interviews in January through May

Met with the following Stakeholders:

- City of Belmont
- Town of Matthews
- Stallings and Indian Trail
- Belmont Abbey
- Cedar Yards Developers
- Office and Retail Developers
- Charlotte Airport
- CSX
- Norfolk-Southern
- Novant Hospital
- CRVA
- Charlotte Center City Partners
- City Planning & Economic Development
- Affordable Housing Developers and experts in the City
- CPCC
- Private Developers

Interviews provided an opportunity for stakeholders to share thoughts on the LPA and share current planning efforts going on in their community/area
Priorities ranked from most important to least important

- Improve connections to major destinations
- Competitive travel time
- Enhance opportunities to preserve existing neighborhoods and develop affordable housing opportunities
- Enhance access to economic development opportunities tied with local community connectivity

Top 3 reasons to use LYNX Silver Line

- Travel to Work tied with Connect to the LYNX Blue Line 20%
- Travel to Events & Entertainment 37%
- Connect to Airport 43%

100+ attendees at in-person events

450+ presentation & AskCATS views;

275+ surveys
*To comply with COVID19 Stay-at-Home orders, we changed our public engagement approach and hosted multiple virtual meetings with neighborhood & community organizations*
Why do we need to refine the Silver Line alignment?

- Engineering evaluation
- Environmental consideration
- Changes in the corridor
- Lessons Learned from Blue Line
- Additional coordination with land use and other projects
### JANUARY

**Silver Line Program Kickoff**

- Kickoff program with all stakeholders
- Mid Jan – Early March: Review Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA) for Benefits, Risks and Opportunities
- Stakeholder Interview process

### FEBRUARY

**Alignment Refinement Workshop 1**

- Determine options to the LPA
- Continued Stakeholder Engagement
- Review Stakeholder documentation
- Review Decision Making process with stakeholders
- Coordination with TOD Team
• **LYNX Silver Line Kickoff** brought stakeholders together to learn about each others communities and how the Silver Line can complement it

• **Alignment Workshop #1** identified any potential risks or missed opportunities with the LPA and identified options/adjustments for consideration
### Alignment Refinement: What have we been doing?

**Silver Line**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>Public Meetings Round 1</td>
<td>Public Education for Silver Line awareness and public feedback on priorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Design Consultant Contract Officially Executed March 3rd, 2020</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL-MAY</td>
<td>• April: Present alignment options to Steering Team</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• April- May: Evaluate opportunities for Virtual Public engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID MAY</td>
<td>Urban Design Workshops</td>
<td>Input for TOD-related metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Late May: Focused follow-up conversations on Urban Design workshop concepts and TOD Metrics</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alignment Refinement: Where are we now?

**JUNE**

- **Core Team Reviews**
  - Preliminary Evaluation of Decision Making “Scorecard”
    - Initial evaluation of refinement options by Core Team over 3 weeks
    - Stakeholder Check-ins of preliminary scorecard
    - Oversight Team review of scorecard recommendations

**LATE JUNE / EARLY JULY**

- **Alignment Refinement Workshop 2**
  - Determine “short list” of options (identify options for public review)
    - July 10: Steering Team validation of short list
    - Mid July - August: Outreach to local officials
    - August 14: Steering Team preview of public meeting content

**WHAT’S NEXT?**

- LYNX RAPID TRANSIT SERVICES

Silver Line
SEPTEMBER

Virtual Public Meetings Round 2

Early Scoping, Gather public input on Purpose and Need and “short-listed” options

- September - November: Additional detailing of “short-listed” options

NOVEMBER/DECEMBER

Public Meetings Round 3

Identify proposed recommendations

- November – February: Stakeholder review and finalization of proposed recommendations

FEBRUARY/MARCH 2021

MTC Adoption of Recommendations

Adoption of design options for detailed environmental review
Consideration of **benefits** and **risks** to address project implementation

**Benefits**
- Competitive and reliable travel time
- Promote Equitable Transit Oriented Development
- Improved access to destinations and local connectivity enhancements

**Risks**
- Dependencies on other projects & third parties
- Capital and operating costs
- Environmental screening
## Telling the Story of the Data At Each Decision Point

**Option that Best Achieves the Desired Benefit / Minimizes Risk**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit / Risk</th>
<th>LPA</th>
<th>Design Option A</th>
<th>Design Option B</th>
<th>Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competitive and reliable travel time</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote Equitable Transit Oriented Development</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved access to destinations and local connectivity enhancements</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependencies on other projects &amp; third parties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital and operating costs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental screening</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metrics not numerically weighted, but benefit / risk related to “key driving variable” can be easily highlighted; some consideration of prioritization of benefits / risks is needed for each decision point.
Upcoming engagement

- Transportation, Planning, and Environment Committee
  - July 27, 2020

- Individual Council outreach for sensitive areas along the Silver Line
  - To be scheduled

- Ongoing virtual community meetings
  - Revolving weekly openings available every Thursday

- Round 2 Public Meetings
  - Mid-late September

- Special community outreach
  - After Round 2 Public Meetings

- Other Council engagements
  - To be scheduled
Thank you!
OUR CITY. OUR PLAN. OUR FUTURE.
OUR CITY’S GUIDING LIGHT

- **Guides our growth** over the next 20 years.
- **A shared vision** of our growth, development and capital investments
- **Transformative strategies** to accommodate growth
EQUITY ATLAS

- The built environment of the arc is less complete than the wedge

- Variations among NPAs of the arc or wedge are often more pronounced than between them

- The Comprehensive Plan process should attempt to identify ways to 1) meet neighborhood needs and 2) improve access to new and existing amenities
KEY THEMES FROM OUR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

• Missing Middle, Housing Diversity and Affordable Housing

• Strong Support for 10-Minute Neighborhoods

• Need for Enhanced Mobility Options

• More Meaningful Parks and Open Space

• Need Better Access to Jobs and Employment

• Rethink business as usual
GUIDING PRINCIPLES

With over 4,500 voices from the community, four guiding principles emerged that help shape the comprehensive plan as it looks to the future: **authentic**, **equitable**, **integrated** and **resilient**.

Each vision element and goal look ahead to the Charlotte of 2040 and strive to integrate these four concepts.

VISION ELEMENTS

- **INCLUSIVE & DIVERSE**
- **LIVABLE & CONNECTED**
- **HEALTHY & SUSTAINABLE**
- **PROSPEROUS & INNOVATIVE**
- **REGIONAL**

PRELIMINARY GOALS

1. TRANSPORTATION CHOICE & CONVENIENCE
2. SAFE, INVITING, & DISTINCTIVE PLACES
3. THRIVING ECONOMIC INNOVATION & OPPORTUNITY
4. VIBRANT ARTS, CULTURE, & EDUCATION
5. HEALTHY & SUSTAINABLE
6. EQUITABLE ACCESS TO SERVICES & RESOURCES
7. AFFORDABLE & DIVERSE HOUSING
8. COORDINATED GROWTH & DEVELOPMENT
PUTTING THE PIECES TOGETHER

Plan Framework

- Guiding Principles
- Vision Elements
- Equitable Growth Framework
- Goals & Framework Policies
- Framework Policy Objectives
- Supporting Policies, Projects, and Programs
  Fiscal Impact Analysis
1. EQUITY METRICS
   • Access to Essential Amenities, Goods and Services
   • Access to Housing Opportunity & Employment Opportunity
   • Environmental Justice
   • Populations Vulnerable to Displacement Overlay

2. PRIORITY RESPONSES TO ADDRESS NEEDS AND ISSUES
   • Framework Policies
   • Community Area Planning
     • Private Investments: Place Improvements and Place Type Change
     • Public Investments: Public Projects and Programs

3. INCLUSIVE CHANGE MANAGEMENT
   • Understanding Market Readiness and Pressure
   • Addressing Adjacencies and Ensuring Access
   • Promoting Inclusion and Diversity
   • Facilitating Transitions Over Time
10 FRAMEWORK POLICIES

01. SAFE & EQUITABLE MOBILITY
02. 10 MINUTE NEIGHBORHOODS
03. 2 -TOD TRANSIT & TRAIL ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT
04. HOUSING ACCESS FOR ALL
05. NEIGHBORHOOD DIVERSITY & INCLUSION
06. RETAIN OUR IDENTITY & CHARMS
07. HEALTHY & ACTIVE COMMUNITIES
08. INTEGRATED & NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
09. DIVERSE & RESILIENT ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY
10. FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE
COMMUNITY AREA PLANNING

- Define Community Planning Areas
- Identify Priorities for Each Community Planning Area
  - Private Investments: Place Improvements and Place Type Change
  - Public Investments: Public Projects and Programs
- Map Future Place Types (2021)
- Develop Small Area Plans (beginning late 2021)
SMALL AREA PLANNING

• Define Community Planning Areas

• Identify Priorities for Each Community Planning Area
  • Private Investments: Place Improvements and Place Type Change
  • Public Investments: Public Projects and Programs

• Map Future Place Types (2021)

• Develop Small Area Plans (beginning late 2021)
SMALL AREA PLANNING

• Define Community Planning Areas

• Identify Priorities for Each Community Planning Area
  • Private Investments: Place Improvements and Place Type Change
  • Public Investments: Public Projects and Programs

• Map Future Place Types (2021)

• Develop Small Area Plans (beginning late 2021)
LOOK AHEAD

🌟 Ambassadors & Strategic Advisors
August 26

🌟 Virtual Focus Groups | Card Game | Coloring Sheets
August 26

🌟 Drive-In Community Workshop
October 31

🌟 City Council Update
October 26

🌟 City Council – Transportation, Planning & Environment
September 28

🌟 Planning Commission (1st Monday of each month)
CONTACT US

Alysia Davis Osborne, AICP
alysia.Osborne@charlottenc.gov

Kathy Cornett, AICP
kcornett@charlottenc.gov

Project Website
https://bit.ly/3eVXcz1

OUR CITY. OUR PLAN. OUR FUTURE.

@cltplanning
Tree Canopy Action Plan

Alyson Craig, Deputy Planning Director
Tim Porter, Chief Urban Forester

July 27, 2020
Outline

► TCAP overview
► Data analysis
► Canopy programs of note
► Next Steps
Led by our City Arborist Division, this plan laid out key principles for preserving Charlotte’s tree canopy by focusing community engagement and efforts on a shared set of goals.

Is 50 by 50 attainable? In 2018 City Council explored ways to refine canopy goals and determined that tree policies should be examined as part of the Charlotte 2040 plan.

As a part of the Unified Development Ordinance, the City updated the Tree Ordinance standards for urban sites in 2019. The dialogue on this update confirmed a need for a refreshed policy on trees.
Guided by the vision and values of the Charlotte Future 2040 Plan, the Tree Canopy Action Plan will **inform tree policy and future regulations** alongside the City’s effort to plan for the future.
Goals of the Initiative

- Honest dialogue on trees in our community
- Build consensus
- Lay out clear points of contact for questions/concerns
- Provide place to give opinions
- Adjust codes and policies to align with community priorities
- Act on Urban Forest Master Plan
- Data driven and informed by best practices
Key Deliverables

► Analysis and inventory of Charlotte’s tree canopy and waterways

► Community-driven policy recommendations on tree canopy to be incorporated into Charlotte Future 2040 Comprehensive Plan

► Ordinance concepts for the Unified Development Ordinance

► Final report summarizing recommendations
Stakeholder Group

Who: 22 stakeholders
- neighborhood groups, advocacy organizations, development community, landscape architects, homebuilders

Role: Advise project team
- Share knowledge and opinions
- Help develop policy concepts
- Guide general public engagement process

Stakeholders have met 3 times
- Next meeting July 29
Canopy Goals for Charlotte

### 2019 Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attainable?</td>
<td>Unclear, very challenging in a large, growing city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable?</td>
<td>No, difficult to show progress, maintain community energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspirational?</td>
<td>Yes, a good “tag-line”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant, Place-specific?</td>
<td>No, very broad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative, Benefits-based?</td>
<td>No, strictly quantitative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2020 Stakeholder Straw Poll

What are your thoughts on adjusting Charlotte’s current 50% by 2050 canopy goal?

- **Keep goal as is**: 39%
- **Revise current goal, keep some elements of 50 by 2050, but also include new focus areas**: 26%
- **Develop new goal with new focus areas**: 9%
- **Not sure, need more information**: 26%
- **Unsure**: 0%
TCAP Data Analyses

- **Water quality**
  - Canopy change within stream buffers (post construction, SWIM, etc.)

- **Equity**
  - How our canopy is distributed across all our neighborhoods

- **Causes**
  - New development, infill development, vs private property decisions

- **Place types**
  - Modeling of future canopy by place types to set place-specific goals
Tree Canopy Data: Initial Analysis

- Charlotte has a robust tree canopy
- Residential areas have the largest canopy area and greatest canopy loss
- Losses of large and small patches occurred
- Rights-of-way saw canopy decline

Gain | Loss | No Change
Canopy Loss by Neighborhood

> 15% loss
10-15% loss
5-10% loss
2-5% loss
0-2% loss
0-2% gain
2-5% gain
Distribution of Canopy by Proposed Land Use

- Retail: <1%
- Park/Open Space: 10%
- Office/Warehouse: <1%
- Residential: 60%
- Mixed: <1%
- Institutional: <1%
- Industrial: <1%
- Other: <1%

% of Total Tree Canopy Area 2018
Distribution of Canopy Gain/Loss by Proposed Land Use

- Retail
- Park/Open Space
- Office/Warehouse
- Residential
- Mixed
- Institutional
- Industrial
- Other

Gain ▶️ Loss

Acres:
-6,000 -5,000 -4,000 -3,000 -2,000 -1,000 0 1,000 2,000
Canopy Change on Single-Family Residential

Neighborhood Profile Area Single Family Residential Change in Absolute Tree Canopy % 2012-2018

Loss:  
- 0-2%
- 2-5%
- 5-10%
- 10-15%
- >15%

Gain:  
- 0-2%
- 2-5%
- >5%

[Legend with colors indicating percent change]

- 2018 City of Charlotte Boundary
- Neighborhood Profile Areas
# Current Canopy Regulations for Single Family Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single Family Subdivision</th>
<th>Infill Development</th>
<th>Private Property Owner Decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perimeter (street) trees</strong></td>
<td>Required to be planted/preserved</td>
<td>Required to be preserved</td>
<td>Required to be preserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal trees</strong></td>
<td>Commercial elements only (community pool, parking areas, etc.)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tree save</strong></td>
<td>10% tree save area</td>
<td>None*</td>
<td>None*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density bonus if &gt;10% tree save</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Trees are protected from removal if they were a part of tree save area when originally subdivided*
Canopy Change Snapshot:
East Charlotte  Major Losses & Gains

Assisted Living Facility and Hospice Center
2017 Single Family Subdivision: Reserve at Canyon Hills - Phase 2
Natural growth within post construction and SWIM buffers
2017 Single Family Subdivision: Robinson Park
Natural canopy growth
CMS- Lawrence Orr Elementary

Gain  Loss
Canopy Change on Single-Family Residential Grier Heights

- **Canopy Loss**
  Could be due to: building activity, storm events, disease, property owner decisions

- **Building Permits**

- **City Tree Maintenance work orders**
Canopy Change on Single-Family Residential

Myers Park

- **Canopy Loss**
  Could be due to: building activity, storm events, disease, property owner decisions

- **Building Permits**

City Tree Maintenance work orders
Canopy Programs of Note

► Urban Arboretum Trail (right)

► Tree Canopy Preservation Program (TCP)
Urban Arboretum Trail

- Smaller properties preserved and amenitized to create meaningful connections with neighbors through public space

- Pilot Project Community Meeting held January 30th
Tree Canopy Preservation Program (TCPP)

- Acquire and conserve land within Charlotte with the goal of preserving and protecting canopy
- Funded by fee-in-lieu funds collected when tree save requirements cannot be met on certain parcels
- Voluntary program works in partnership with Catawba Lands Conservancy
Next Steps

► Peer city review
  ▪ Atlanta, Columbus, Raleigh, Austin

► Public engagement period
  ▪ Neighborhood roundtable conversation (early August)
  ▪ Community input to focus on proposed policy concepts

► First draft of recommended policies and ordinance concepts
  ▪ Late Fall
Project Timeline

- **Project Start Up**
  - (plan, schedule, website)

- **Examine existing conditions**
  - (data analysis memo)

- **Ordinance Analysis and Peer City Review**

- **Stakeholder Engagement**

- **Public Engagement**

- **Public Meetings**

- **Stakeholder Meetings**
2040 Comp Plan & UDO Schedules

Kick-off Oct 2018

2040 Comprehensive Plan

Jan 2019

July

Jan 2020

July

July

Draft Plan Sept 2020

Council Adoption April 2021

Implementation

Review & Adoption

July

Jan 2021

TOD Alignment Rezoning

Tree Canopy Action Plan

Tree & Sign Amendments

Strategy Mobility Study

Unified Development Ordinance
Charlotte Moves: Roles & Relationships

**Review Plans & Policies**

**Civic Engagement**
Public input on needs & appetite

**Staff Support**
On-going coordination, communication & engagement

**Charlottesville Task Force**
Provides a platform for community input & dialog

**Recommendation to Mayor**
A transformative mobility network &…

**A funding strategy that could inform a referendum**

**City Council**
Adopts policies from recommendations of Committees, Task Forces, Boards and Commissions, and the general public

**Vi Lyles**
City of Charlotte Mayor

**Determine Funding Needs**

**Assess Mobility Needs**

**Transit**
Metropolitan Transit Commission (MTC)

**Transportation**
Mecklenburg County Commission (MCO)

**Planning**
Charlotte Regional Transportation Planning Organization (CRTPA)
Task Force Membership

Council District or Jurisdiction
Council District 1: 5
Council District 2: 3
Council District 3: 3
Council District 4: 2
Council District 5: 2
Council District 6: 4
Council District 7: 2
Charlotte ETJ: 2
Cornelius: 1
Matthews: 1

Gender:
Male: 16
Female: 9

Race/Ethnicity:
White: 12
Black or African American: 9
Asian American: 2
Hispanic or Latino: 2
Transformational Mobility Network Defined

A network of projects that when implemented as a system address the critical mobility needs of Charlotte and the region.

And “but for” these projects, Charlotte cannot achieve the desired outcomes of the Task Force.
June Meeting Update: Transformational Mobility Network

Characteristics

SCALE
larger in scale or mobility impact

CONNECTED
integrates networks, systems, and services

MODE SHIFT
measurably shifts mode and travel choices

BARRIERS
overcomes barriers to key network completion

FUNDING
requires a higher level or accelerated funding

Examples

Rail Trail
I-277 Bridge
Charlotte Gateway Station
Blue Line LRT
Uptown CycleLink
Goal:

Learn from the experiences of other communities and hear about how they selected the plans and projects to move forward and their journeys leading up to public referendums intended to advance transportation and mobility goals.
Purpose: Penny increase to sales tax to fund multimodal projects

Funding Mechanism: Sales tax to yield $15B over 30 years

Results: Passed Referendum 60% to 40%
Nashville, TN - 2018

Steve Bland | Chief Executive Officer | WeGo Public Transit and the Regional Transportation Authority of Middle Tennessee

**Purpose:** fund light rail and bus rapid transit and increase bus frequency

**Funding Mechanism:** Let’s Move Nashville Transit referendum was an $8.9B plan funded by sales tax, hotel tax, rental car tax, excise tax, and bond proceeds

**Results:** Referendum did not pass - 36% to 64%
Austin, TX- Nov 2020

Annick C. Beaudet | Assistant Director | Austin Transportation Department

- 720M Mobility Bond Referendum passed in 2016; Largest Mobility Referendum in Austin’s History
- 2018 City of Austin City Council unanimously passes the Austin Strategic Mobility Plan, our Mobility “North Star”
- 2020 Austin looking to another referendum focused to Project Connect vision for High Capacity Transit
**BOLD VISION:** “You have to be very **forward thinking** in terms of developing your transportation plan... Don’t look at Charlotte as it is today, imagine Charlotte as it will be in 15, 20 years from now, and build to that.”

**COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:** “Make sure your plan has **broad-based benefits** that can be fairly **easily communicated** and **account for whatever the other hot-button issues are in Charlotte** at that particular, given point in time, even if the plan can’t directly address them.”

**CIVIC ENGAGEMENT:** “Get out and **talk to people**. Talking to people is the best medicine with regards to gaining trust in your plan and gaining followers. And be **data-driven**. Have some data behind it, but then talk about it in ways that are understandable.”
Questionnaire Snapshot from the Task Force
To maximize the effectiveness of the remaining Task Force meetings, I would: (select two)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain current meeting approach and structure</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide Task Force materials/assignments outside of the regular meetings</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create more opportunities for discussion amongst task force members</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduce methods to express opinions (survey/polling)</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devote more time to public input and responses</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hear from Planning staff pros/cons of best practices for Charlotte
The Comprehensive Plan Vision Elements are all important. Which THREE do you believe are most important to the identification of the Transformational Mobility Network? (select three)
When considering a future transportation funding referendum, which approach aligns more with your current thinking?

- A large impact project, in combination with a strategic smaller impact project: 50.0%
- More/smaller impact projects that are distributed throughout the city: 18.2%
- Fewer/larger projects that each has the potential to have a large impact: 31.8%
When considering the allocation of limited transportation funds, which philosophy do you align with more?

- Transportation investments should be distributed throughout the city: 22.7%
- Transportation investments should be concentrated in locations with the greatest needs: 77.3%
Which of the following do you think would generate the greatest amount of support from Charlotte voters?

- Projects that better connect Charlotte to the Region: 13.6%
- Projects that better connect neighborhoods, shopping, and employment within Charlotte: 63.6%
- Projects that prioritize social equity and access to opportunity: 13.6%
- I’m not sure, these are complicated times: 9.1%
Future Meetings

August: **Task Force Forum of Discussion:** Regional Perspective; Task Force Roundtable

*New date reminder: August 20*

September: **Transformational Mobility Network (I):** Task Force Roundtable, Modal Plans, Funding Transformational Projects & Selection Criteria

October: **Transformational Mobility Network (II):** Navigating the Legislative Process; Public Review & Task Force Roundtable


December: **Final Recommendation:** Confirm and Transmit to Mayor

Early 2021: **Strategic Mobility Plan:** Potential Updates & Task Force Input