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A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina, was held in the Council Chamber, City Hall, on Monday, March 9, 1970, at 3:00 o'clock p.m., with Mayor John M. Belk presiding, and Councilmen Sandy R. Jordan, Milton Short, John H. Thrower and Jerry Tuttle present.

ABSENT: Mayor John M. Belk and Councilmen Fred Alexander and Joe D. Withrow.

* * * * * *

INVOCATION.
The invocation was given by Councilman Milton Short.

MINUTES APPROVED.
Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Thrower, and unanimously carried, the minutes of the last meeting, on Monday, March 2, 1970, were approved as submitted.

CHANGE ORDER NO. 3 IN CONTRACT FOR EAST THIRTIETH STREET EXTENSION APPROVED.

Motion was made by Councilman Thrower, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, approving the subject change order in contract with James T. Triplett, Inc. and A. E. Anderson, Inc., (a joint venture) for the East Thirtieth Street Extension, increasing the contract price in the net amount of $1,094.86.

ORDINANCE NO. 518 AMENDING CHAPTER 18, SECTION 18-26(d), SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE TO ALLOW A DEVELOPER TO FILE WITH THE CITY, AN IRREVOCABLE LETTER OF CREDIT IN LIEU OF A SURETY BOND TO ASSURE COMPLETION OF SUBDIVISION IMPROVEMENTS.

Councilman Jordan moved adoption of the subject ordinance. The motion was seconded by Councilman Thrower for discussion purposes.

Councilman Short asked if this proposed ordinance is compatible with the State Statutes requiring that bonds be either cash or state or federal security? Mr. Underhill, City Attorney, replied a surety legally is a person who binds himself to pay a sum of money or one who requests another to become responsible for the performance by someone acting in the form of a third person. The definition of a surety which is what the city requires in its present ordinance, through legal and financial definition, it can also be an irrevocable letter of credit: that he knows of no requirement in the state law that requires surety to be limited to surety bonds; the present city ordinance allows only surety bonds; this proposed amendment would give the developer an alternative. Councilman Short stated he is in favor of the proposed ordinance but he wants to make sure on the legal point that a short time ago some developers brought this matter up urgently because of the difficulties they were having with presenting the bonds; at that time he asked for the matter to be looked into by the city administration and received a rather positive reply, giving a code requirement that such bonds be cash or state security or federal security.

Later in the meeting, Mr. Underhill advised there are two differences from the situation brought up by Mr. Short. One, the State Law he refers to had to do with deposits and required official bonds such as the Clerk of Court and Magistrates or a Register of Deeds or any City Official is required to post bond towards their duties. Second, even if this section of the law is applicable, it would still cover letters of credit because the law says "in lieu of any written undertaking for bonds required by law"; that an
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Irrevocable letter of credit is a written undertaking similar to and offering the same type of security that a bond would offer. That he does not think the City is prohibited by State Law in allowing developers to use this alternative as a means of securing subdivision improvements under the Subdivision Ordinance.

Councilman Short stated this is a good answer and he wishes he had known this earlier.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Short, and unanimously carried, adopting the proposed amendment to the subdivision ordinance.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 17, at Page 8.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE REFUND OF CERTAIN TAXES WHICH WERE LEVIED AND COLLECTED THROUGH CLERICAL ERROR.

Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, the subject resolution was adopted authorizing the refund of certain taxes in the total amount of $1,123.35 which were levied and collected through clerical error.

The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 7, at Page 55.

PURCHASE OF LAND FOR FIRE STATION NO. 18 IN THE BEATTIES FORD ROAD AREA, APPROVED.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan and seconded by Councilman Thower to approve the purchase of a tract of land containing 27,000 square feet (135' x 200') at 2337 Keller Avenue, from C. D. Spangler Construction Company, at a purchase price of $30,000 for Fire Station No. 18 in the Beatties Ford Road area.

Councilman Tuttle asked if this site has Councilman Alexander's approval, and he was advised that Councilman Alexander is aware of the site. Fire Chief Black stated his department has investigated this site and his department, the Planning Board, Traffic Engineering and the City Engineering Department feel the land lies very well and is suited for this purpose and they feel they got a good price.

The vote was taken on the motion, and carried unanimously.

PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS AUTHORIZED.

Upon motion of Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Thower, and unanimously carried, the following property transactions were authorized:

(a) Acquisition of 125.0' x 9.78' x 125.01' x 9.60' of property on the southeast corner of LaSalle Street and Celia Avenue, from Miriam G. Dunbar and husband, H. D. Dunbar, at $500.00, for the LaSalle Street Project.

(b) Acquisition of 13' x 68' of easement at 3218 Shamrock Drive, from the Charlotte Park and Recreation Commission, at $1.00, for the Briar Creek Culvert at Shamrock Drive.
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APPRaisal CONTRACTS APPROVED.

Mr. Robo, Administrative Assistant, advised these appraisal contracts are in the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement area, and involves four streets for improvement. (1) Caldwell Street, from Belmont to Twentieth Street; (2) Davidson Street, from Belmont to Twentieth Street; (3) Parkwood, from Davidson Street to Twentieth Street, and (4) Belmont, from Seigle to Davidson Street. These are major streets in this area.

Motion was made by Councilman Thrower, seconded by Councilman Tuttle, and unanimously carried, approving appraisal contracts, as follows:

(a) Contract with Harry G. Brown for appraisal of twelve parcels of land in the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement Project, at the following fees: 4 at $70.00; 6 at $100; 1 at $155 and 1 at $300.00.

(b) Contract with Charles M. Carson for appraisal of twelve parcels of land in the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement Project, at the following fees: 4 at $70; 6 at $100; 1 at $155; and 1 at $300.00.

(c) Contract with William L. Frickhoffer for appraisal of twelve parcels of land for the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement Project, at the following fees: 2 at $70; 8 at $100; 1 at $130; 1 at $155.00.

(d) Contract with T. R. Lawing for appraisal of twelve parcels of land in the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement Project, at the following fees: 2 at $70; 8 at $100; 1 at $130 and 1 at $155.00.

(e) Contract with Daniel O. Hennigan for appraisal of twelve parcels of land in the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement Project, at the following fees: 1 at $70; 10 at $100; and 1 at $175.00.

(f) Contract with Leo H. Phelan, Jr. for appraisal of twelve parcels of land for the Belmont Neighborhood Improvement Project, at the following fees: 1 at $70; 10 at $100; and 1 at $175.00.

TRANSFER OF CEMETERY LOTS.

Councilman Jordan moved that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to execute the following deeds for the transfer of cemetery lots which was seconded by Councilman Tuttle, and carried unanimously:

(a) Deed with Duane L. Baker for Grave No. 1, in Lot No. 194, Section 2, Evergreen Cemetery, at $80.00.

(b) Deed with Estate of Esther H. Styone for Grave No. 4, in Lot No. 186, Section 2, Evergreen Cemetery, at $60.00.

(c) Deed with Mrs. Laura P. Eudy for Graves No. 11 and 12, in Lot No. 15, Section 14, Evergreen Cemetery, at $160.00.

(d) Deed with Mrs. Bertie M. Thomson for Grave No. 3, in Lot No. 738, Section 6, Evergreen Cemetery, at $80.00.

(e) Deed with M. C. Long for Lot No. 422, Section 6, Evergreen Cemetery, at $320.00.

APPROVAL OF SPECIAL OFFICER PERMIT TO MR. ALFRED S. BOWMAN FOR ONE YEAR.

Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Thrower, and unanimously carried, a special officer permit was approved to Mr. Alfred S. Bowman for use on the premises of J. B. Ivey and Company for a period of one year.
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ORDINANCE NO. 519-X AMENDING THE 1969-70 BUDGET ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO PAY FOR PAYING A PORTION OF CLANTON ROAD EXTENSION UNTIL THE APPROPRIATE STREET IMPROVEMENTS BONDS ARE SOLD.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Short, and unanimously carried, adopting the subject ordinance amending the 1969-70 Budget Ordinance authorizing the transfer of $30,260.00 within the Capital Improvement Budget to be used to pay for paving a portion of Clanton Road Extension until the appropriate street improvements bonds are sold.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 17, at Page 9.

ORDINANCE NO. 520 AMENDING CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE II, ENTITLED "DOGS" OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE, RAISING PENALTY FEES AND OTHER CHARGES TO BRING THEM MORE IN LINE WITH PRESENT DAY COSTS.

Councilman Tuttle moved the adoption of subject ordinance amending Chapter 3, Article II, entitled "Dogs" of the Code of the City of Charlotte, raising penalty fees and other charges to bring them more in line with present day costs, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRESENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>License</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violations</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Dogs</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$10.00 Penalty where two or more violations occur within a year.

The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan.

Councilman Thower stated he realizes the cost of operations is going up, but would it not be advisable to hold the price of sale of dogs at $7.00; that we want to find a home for these dogs. Mr. Roberts, Superintendent of the Pet Department, replied often the dog owners will wait until the dog goes up for sale so they can purchase their dog for the $7.00, and he thinks the prices should be more in line. That instead of reclaiming their dog and paying a higher penalty fee, the owner will wait and buy the dog as of now the reclaim fee for a dog costs $9.00 if reclaimed the first day. The owners wait the four days and will buy the dog back rather than reclaiming it, to save money.

Councilman Thower stated the prime interest of the Dog Pound is to find homes for dogs and if there is any possible way to go around another corner he would like to see it done.

The vote was taken on the motion to adopt the ordinance and carried unanimously.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 17, at Page 10.

ORDINANCE NO. 521 AMENDING CHAPTER 11, ENTITLED "LICENSE" OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE BY DELETING THE FIGURE $2.00, UNDER CLASSIFICATION NUMBER (95) DOG LICENSE TAG, AND SUBSTITUTE THEREOF THE FIGURE $3.00.

Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, the subject ordinance was adopted amending Chapter 11, Entitled "License" of the Code of the City of Charlotte by deleting the figure $2.00, under Classification Number (95) Dog License Tag, and substituting in lieu thereof the figure $3.00.

The ordinance is recorded in full in Ordinance Book 17, at Page 11.
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RELOCATION ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE AND THE NORTH CAROLINA STATE HIGHWAY COMMISSION CONCERNING SERVICES TO BE PERFORMED BY THE STATE IN CONNECTION WITH THE EASTWAY DRIVE PROJECT, FROM GOODWIN AVENUE TO INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD, APPROVED.

Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, stated the federal government requires that all federal projects involving federal funds must provide relocation assistance; this means the City will have to locate new homes and sometimes provide rent subsidy; this is on the same basis as the other expressway projects. That because of the neighborhood this will not be a problem on this project. That the liability is up to $5,000.00 per parcel. The State has agreed to handle this for the city at cost.

Motion was made by Councilman Thrower, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, approving subject relocation assistance agreement between the City of Charlotte and the North Carolina State Highway Commission concerning services to be performed by the State in connection with the Eastway Drive Project, from Goodwin Avenue to Independence Boulevard.

CONTRACT AWARDED BURGESS FIRE EQUIPMENT, INC. FOR FIRE HOSE.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan, seconded by Councilman Tuttle, and unanimously carried, awarding contract to the low bidder, Burgess Fire Equipment, Inc., in the amount of $8,414.28, on a unit price basis for fire hose.

The following bids were received:

Burgess Fire Equipt., Inc. $8,414.28
Badger-Powhatan Div. of A-T-O, Inc. 9,163.00
Zimmerman-Evans, Inc. 10,065.58
Fabric Fire Hose Company 10,301.76
American LaFrance 10,731.00
The Leslie Company 10,876.14
Southern Rubber Company 11,064.24
Dillon Supply Company 11,132.00.

CONTRACT AWARDED VULCAN SIGNS AND STAMPINGS, INC. FOR STREET MARKER HARDWARE.

Councilman Tuttle moved award of contract to the low bidder, Vulcan Signs and Stampings, Inc., in the amount of $5,588.50, on a unit price basis, for street marker hardware. The motion was seconded by Councilman Thrower, and carried unanimously.

The following bids were received:

Vulcan Signs & Stampings, Inc. $5,588.50
Southeastern Safety Supplies, Inc. 5,952.00

CONTRACT AWARDED AUTOMATIC RELOADING EQUIPMENT, INC. FOR AUTOMATIC AMMUNITION RELOADING MACHINE.

Motion was made by Councilman Jordan, and seconded by Councilman Thrower to award contract to the only bidder, Automatic Reloading Equipment, Inc., in the amount of $4,863.45 for automatic ammunition reloading machine.

Councilman Tuttle asked if this will save 1/2 of the reloading cost of what we are already saving, or 1/2 of the reloading cost as compared to the ammunition? Mr. Brown, Purchasing Agent, replied the City has been purchasing reloaded ammunition from suppliers to be used in the training of police officers, and that price is $33 per thousand; this piece of equipment will enable them to reload the same ammunition for approximately $17 a thousand.

The vote was taken on the motion and carried unanimously.
EXPLANATION OF BIDS FOR THE PROPOSED EXPANSION AND RECONSTRUCTION OF THE TERMINAL APRON AT DOUGLAS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT.

Councilman Tuttle asked if a negotiated price on bids received is negotiated only with the low bidder or if it is negotiated with all the bidders, and Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, replied the law provides it is negotiated only with the low bidder.

Mr. Ross Knight, Airport Manager, stated bids on the proposed expansion and reconstruction of the Terminal Apron at Douglas Municipal Airport is divided into the customary three portions of site preparation, paving and lighting. The site preparation original low bid was $21,000 over estimate; paving was $25,165 over estimate and the lighting was slightly under estimate. He stated the total low bids were $45,739.29 over the original estimate; subsequently two items on the site preparation bid were negotiated downward, which reduced the low site preparation bid by approximately $8,000.00. In the paving contract the only saving they could negotiate was to eliminate the seal coating portion with the intent of going out for bids as a separate item later with the assurance they can get it for considerably less; this reduced the low paving bid from $233,000 to $213,000. The seal coating contract will be bid later at a cost not to exceed $10,000.00.

Mayor Preston Whittington asked when the work will begin and when is it supposed to be completed? Mr. Knight replied the work will probably begin the third week in April and will be completed in 120 calendar days.

CONTRACT AWARDED PROBST CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. FOR SITE PREPARATIONS FOR TERMINAL APRON AT AIRPORT.

Councilman Jordan moved award of contract to Probst Construction Company, Inc. in the negotiated amount of $188,146.95 on a unit price basis, subject to the acceptance of a grant offer, for the site preparations for the terminal apron at airport. The motion was seconded by Councilman Tuttle, and carried unanimously.

The following bids were received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder Name</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probst Construction Co., Inc.</td>
<td>$188,146.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probst Construction Co., Inc.</td>
<td>196,976.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickerson, Inc.</td>
<td>213,343.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. D. Gezmont</td>
<td>213,905.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowder Construction Co.</td>
<td>224,926.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTRACT AWARDED REA CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR PAVING OF TERMINAL APRON AT AIRPORT.

Motion was made by Councilman Thrower, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, awarding contract to Rea Construction Company, in the negotiated amount of $213,191.80, on a unit price basis, subject to acceptance of grant offer, for the paving of the terminal apron at the airport.

The following bids were received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bidder Name</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rea Construction Company</td>
<td>$213,191.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rea Construction Company</td>
<td>233,864.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blythe Brothers Company</td>
<td>238,693.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickerson, Inc.</td>
<td>253,021.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Councilman Tuttle stated in negotiating with the low bidder, suppose the third high bid on a negotiated basis might come up lower than the low bidder, would you still have to negotiate only with the low bidder? Mr. Underhill, City Attorney, replied that is correct; assuming you received the proper amount of bids and the bids are over the funds allocated for the project, then the City has the authority to negotiate with the low bidder to bring his bid down within the allocated funds for the project - never upward.
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CONTRACT AWARDED WALKER & WHITESIDE, INC. FOR ELECTRICAL WORK FOR THE TERMINAL APRON AT AIRPORT.

Upon motion of Councilman Tuttle, seconded by Councilman Jordan, and unanimously carried, contract was awarded the low bidder, Walker & Whiteside, Inc., in the amount of $10,735.19, on a unit price basis, subject to acceptance of grant offer, for the electrical work for the terminal apron at the airport.

The following bids were received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walker &amp; Whiteside, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,735.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwell Radio &amp; Electric Co.</td>
<td>11,212.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colter &amp; Chappell Electric Co.</td>
<td>13,025.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTRACT AWARDED REA CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR SPRING ASPHALT RESURFACING TO VARIOUS STREETS WITHIN THE CITY.

Councilman Short asked if the City is spending money to repave some blocks of LaSalle Street when the whole thing is scheduled to be rebuilt completely in this area in a year or two; that the list of streets to be resurfaced includes LaSalle Street between Senior Drive and Botany Street; in the 1972 Capital Improvements Budget that is scheduled to be rebuilt entirely? Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, replied this paving would not be lost in any widening that is planned as the sides would be widened and the center portion of the present roadway would be used; that you may lose the existing curb and gutter but you would not lose the paving; that the portion of LaSalle Street included in the immediate program where Council has been approving the purchasing of right of way is the other end of LaSalle Street.

Councilman Thrower moved award of contract to Rea Construction Company, in the negotiated amount of $332,211.50, on a unit price basis, for spring asphalt resurfacing to various streets within the city. The motion was seconded by Councilman Jordan, and carried unanimously.

The following bids were received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rea Construction Company (Negotiated Price)</td>
<td>$332,211.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rea Construction Company</td>
<td>335,099.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blythe Brothers Company</td>
<td>339,186.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickerson, Inc.</td>
<td>365,711.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMPROVEMENTS TO INTERSECTION OF JOHNSON ROAD AND NORTH GRAHAM STREET, AUTHORIZED.

Councilman Thrower stated he has received letters from the five trucking firms involved in the request for the widening and improving of Johnson Road and the signalization of the intersection of Johnson Road and North Graham Street; that these five firms have agreed to contribute the aggregate sum of $968.00 to that end.

Councilman Thrower moved that the request be approved. The motion was seconded by Councilman Tuttle.

Councilman Short asked what this is specifically approving? Councilman Thrower replied sometime back Mr. House, Traffic Engineer, brought a plan in and it showed a road going to the right and a road going to the left out of Johnson Road into North Graham Street, and one going in, and these trucking firms wanted some form of Agreement from the City before making the site improvements and the city would put up the signals.
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Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, stated this request was brought to Council by Mr. John York and Mr. Roose advised that some widening or flaring of the intersection should be done and that the trucking firms should donate the right of way and pay for this flaring of the intersection.

Mayor pro tem Whittington stated at that time the trucking firms did not agree but since they have agreed to make these improvements if the city would put in the traffic signal, and this is a cooperative effort between the trucking companies and the City and this should be approved now that the trucking companies have made their move to make some improvements. He then called for the vote to install the traffic light and to make the improvements which Mr. Thrower has placed into the record.

The vote was taken on the motion and carried unanimously.

NOMINATION OF BILLY B. BRIDGWATER TO PARK AND RECREATION COMMISSION.

Councilman Thrower placed in nomination Mr. Billy B. Bridgewater for a term of five years to the Park and Recreation Commission. He stated Mr. Bridgewater has a Masters Degree in Physical Education, and at present he serves as Athletic Director of the Starclair Athletic Association on a voluntary basis.

VIEWS ON DISTRICT REPRESENTATION ON GOVERNING BOARDS PRESENTED BY COUNCILMAN SHORT.

Councilman Short stated there was an article in the press recently pointing out that six members of Council live in a triangle southeast of Charlotte, and when the west side residents protested the building of three low rent housing projects on the west side, two of them were built anyway. He stated he believes all sections of the city should have representation in the governing body and he is sure the Charter Commission is considering this. At the same time he does not believe any Council, regardless of where its members might live, could have done any more in that situation to help the west side. He stated he is referring to the previous Council as well as the present Council. Council eliminated one project on the west side and stopped one low cost housing project on the west side by means of zoning and curtailed the size of another low income housing project on the west side by means of zoning. As to the projects that were continued he thinks any Council would have concluded it was impossible when the matter came to light to do anything further about them.

Councilman Short stated with district representation Council would have found out about the matter quickly. Also, he thinks making boards and commissions advisory in the new government plan will give Council a greater opportunity to find out and do something about these situations. He stated he thinks it is fair to say when this matter came to Council, this and the previous Council did all they could to help the west side.

Mayor pro tem Whittington stated Mr. Short’s observations are correct and he appreciates his bringing this to the attention of Council and to the audience.

CITY ATTORNEY REQUESTED TO INVESTIGATE AND REPORT ON ORDINANCE DESIGNATING CERTAIN AREAS AS LOITERING AREAS AND AFTER PERSON IS WARNED POLICE DEPARTMENT CAN MAKE AN ARREST IF THEY CONTINUE TO LOITER.

Councilman Tuttle stated in connection with the telephone service for the city, that he is not critical of the telephone company and is not critical of the police department, but he thinks the telephone systems smells to high heaven. Just before he came to the meeting this afternoon he attempted to reach the Vice Squad; that he rang the number for five minutes and the line was busy. He stated this is a case of a citizen attempting to reach the
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Vice Squad of the Police Department, and the number is busy. Councilman Tuttle stated he finally called the emergency number and told them who he was and he was given an alternate number and through the alternate number he reached his party. But when a member of the public wants to call the Vice Squad and cannot get an answer, there is something wrong with the telephone system. He stated he intends continuing to harp on this until something is done. That again he does not blame the Police Department nor the Telephone Company but he does blame the system the City has.

Councilman Tuttle stated he was trying to contact Mr. Vernon Shuler of the Vice Squad. In the Municipal News last week is an article about an ordinance in Dayton, Ohio making assembly unlawful when persons congregating in certain street areas have knowledge that they are noted in the Police records as hang-outs for prostitutes, dope peddlers and so forth. That Dayton passed an ordinance designating certain areas as a loitering area and after a person has been warned and told this is a loitering area then the police can pick the people up.

Councilman Tuttle stated he had talked to Mr. Shuler the other day and he says there are two or three such areas where prostitutes, dope peddlers and such hang out, but the police can do nothing to them until they violate a law, and Mr. Shuler indicated that such an ordinance would be very much in the police's favor and he is preparing something on the critical areas in Charlotte.

Councilman Tuttle stated he does not think that where the areas are have anything to do with the ordinance, and he requested the City Attorney to look into the possibility of such an ordinance and check the Dayton ordinance because once the people have been warned, then the police can pick them up when they hang out in these areas.

REPORT ON SUNDAY PARKING ON PROVIDENCE ROAD.

Councilman Tuttle stated last week the question of the removal of parking on Providence Road on Sundays was brought up and he asked if there is a report from the Traffic Engineer. Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, replied he will have a written report, but he has talked with Mr. Hoose and he reports no changes have been made; the Traffic Engineering Department has been changing some of the old signs, but any sign containing 'No Parking Except Sunday' is being replaced as such. That Mr. Hoose has not issued any orders to take away Sunday Parking.

COUNCIL REQUESTED TO MEET WITH BLUE HEAVEN COMMITTEE AFTER ADJOURNMENT FOR REPORT.

Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, advised Council that Mr. Jack Pentes of the Mayor's Blue Heaven Committee, has requested Council to meet with him in the Conference Room after adjournment for a report and to show some slides on the Committee's Report.

PROGRAM ON JOINT INSPECTIONS REQUESTED BROUGHT BACK TO COUNCIL NEXT WEEK.

Mayor pro tem Whittington stated recently the Building Inspection Department presented to Council the program on joint inspections; since that time the Building Standards Board and others representing the general contractors and some individual construction company people have sent memorandums to Council urging the adoption of the joint inspections.

Mr. Bobo, Administrative Assistant, advised Mr. Jamison, Superintendent of the Building Inspection Department, will bring this back to Council next week.
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PENDING ZONING PETITIONS REQUESTED BROUGHT BACK TO COUNCIL FOR ACTION.

Mayor pro tem Whittington stated the Planning Commission has two or three zoning petitions - one that is about two years old - that have not been acted upon. He requested the Planning Commission to bring these back to Council for action.

HUD, SENATORS, CONGRESSMAN, CHAIRMAN OF REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION AND OTHERS THANKED FOR ASSISTANCE IN GETTING THREE PROJECTS APPROVED.

Mayor pro tem Whittington stated on behalf of the Mayor and Council, he would like the opportunity to thank those in HUD in Washington and Atlanta, our two Senators, Congressman Jonas, the Mayor, Mr. Raymond E. King, Chairman of the Redevelopment Commission, and any others who had any part in helping the City get the three blocks downtown approved for NDF. Also, he would like to thank the same organizations for their approval of conventional urban renewal in Greenville and First Ward.

Mayor pro tem Whittington requested Mr. Bobo to ask the Mayor to send a telegram to these various organizations and individuals thanking them for their help in getting these three programs approved.

ADJOURNMENT.

Upon motion of Councilman Thrower, seconded by Councilman Short, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned.

Ruth Armstrong, City Clerk