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A regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina, was held in the Council Chamber in the City Hall, on Wednesday, April 9, 1958, at 10 o'clock a.m., with Mayor Smith presiding, and Council members Albee, Baxter, Brown, Dellinger, Peard and Wilkinson being present.

ABSENT:

Councilwoman Evans.

Planning Board members Martin, Chairman, and Craig, Hanks, Hook, McClure, Sibley, Schwartz, Toy and Wilkinson were also present during the hearings on petitions to amend the Zoning Ordinance to change the Building Zone Map of Charlotte and the Charlotte Perimeter Area.

ABSENT:

Commissioner Marsh.

***************

INVOCATION.

The invocation was given by Councilman Herman A. Brown.

MINUTES APPROVED.

Upon motion of Councilman Wilkinson, seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried, the Minutes of the last meeting on April 2, 1958 were approved as submitted.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 452 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE I, SECTION 2 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING PROPERTY ON WILMORE DRIVE, BETWEEN DOWD ROAD AND LARCH STREET, FROM R-2 TO INDUSTRIAL.

The public hearing was held on Ordinance No. 452 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of Charlotte by changing property on Wilmore Drive, between Dowd Road and Larch Street, from R-2 to Industrial, on appeal of Mr. H. V. Lang from the decision of the Planning Board.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, stated the property consists of a single lot, now used for residential purposes; that it is adjoined on the east by an alley and by business and industry fronting Dowd Road; on the west it is adjoined by a residential development that extends out Wilmore Drive several blocks and at the rear of the property is residential property.

Mr. Lang, petitioner, stated the Council has rezoned two adjoining lots and the rezoning of the lot in question is needed for his expansion program. He stated the Building Inspection Department would not issue a permit for the warehouse which he desires to build on the lot, unless the property is rezoned. At the question of Commissioner Hanks if the alley separated the lots, Mr. Lang replied the lot in question, which is Lot #9, is south of the alley. Councilman Dellinger asked if Mr. Lang would be interested in closing the alley, so that it would be a buffer area, and Mr. Lang replied he would be glad to do so.

No opposition to the proposed change was voiced.

Council decision was deferred for one week.
HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 453 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE I, SECTION 2 OF THE
CITY CODE AMENDING THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING PROPERTY
ON SHORTER AVENUE, BETWEEN INDEPENDENCE BOULEVARD AND TORRENCE STREET, FROM
R-2 TO B-1.

The scheduled hearing was held on Ordinance No. 453 Amending the Zoning
Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of Charlotte by changing property
on Shorter Avenue, between Independence Boulevard and Torrence Street, from
R-2 to B-1, on appeal of Mr. J. O. Long from the decision of the Planning
Board.

Factual information as to the property and surrounding area was presented by
the Planning Director, who stated the lot fronts on Shorter Avenue and is now
used for residential purposes; that it is adjoined on the north by residential
property extending to Independence Boulevard; that the property across the
street from Shorter Avenue is residential; that south of the property is a 10
foot alley and residential property extending to Torrence Street, and is ad-
joined on the north by a B-1 zone at Independence and Shorter Avenues.

Mr. Kermit Caldwell, Attorney representing the petitioner, stated he would
like to ask the Planning Commission to reconsider their decision as he
discusses their reasons given for denying the petition. That their reasons
were “that the property in question is surrounded on all sides by land used
for residential purposes, and although adjoined on one side by land zoned for
business, the said business zone fronts on Independence Boulevard, while the
subject property fronts on Shorter Avenue. That none of the Shorter Avenue
frontage is presently zoned or used for business, and the use of the property
for business purposes would constitute an intrusion of business into the
Shorter Avenue-Torrence Street residential neighborhood”. Mr. Caldwell stated
that this boils down to two basic things; that the change in zoning would
constitute an intrusion into an all residential area and, secondly, that
everything else in the area is residential and there is no frontage of
business property on Shorter Avenue.

He stated further that there is no law that prevents business fronting on
Shorter Avenue, as it is zoned for Business; that the property fronting on
Shorter Avenue and Independence Boulevard is owned by two different groups
of persons; that the Shorter Avenue property is 51’ x 200’ and is owned by
W. S. Stewart, while the property designated as Lot 42 is owned by the Heirs
to the Pegram Estate, and there is nothing in the law that says these two
groups must consolidate this property so that the developed business will
front on Independence Boulevard. He pointed out that the intrusion of
Business on Shorter Avenue was done when the area was zoned for Business.
That there are only two other houses in this block fronting on Shorter Avenue,
and if they are not zoned for business, they will be left standing high and
dry as residential in a business area. He stated further that the Engineering
Department is right now working on plans for the extension of 3rd Street so
that it will take in all of Shorter Avenue, which includes Mr. Long’s property.

No opposition to the proposed change was expressed.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 454 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE I, SECTION 2 OF THE
CITY CODE AMENDING THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING PROPERTY
ON GARDNER AVENUE, AT CHAMBERLAIN AVENUE, FROM R-2 TO B 1-A.

The public hearing was held on Ordinance No. 454 Amending the Zoning Ordinance
to amend the Building Zone Map of Charlotte by changing property on Gardner
Avenue, at Chamberlain Avenue, from R-2 to B 1-A on petition of Mr. Zab
R. Little et al.

The Planning Director presented a map of the area and stated the property
consists of four and one-half lots fronting on Gardner Avenue partially
developed residentially and one vacant lot; that three of the lots fall...
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the mandatory law, leaving a portion on Gardner Avenue that does not come within the State law. That the property is adjoined on the south by industrial developments; on the west by vacant land to Parrish Avenue, and on north by rear lot lines of property fronting Roszell's Ferry Road.

No opposition to the proposed zoning change was voiced.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 455 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE I, SECTION 2 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING PROPERTY ON SOUTH TORRENCE STREET, NEAR EAST 4TH STREET, FROM R-2 TO B-2.

The scheduled hearing was held on Ordinance No. 455 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of Charlotte by changing property on South Torrence Street, near East 4th Street, from R-2 to B-2, on petition of Mrs. Nannie M. Stowe.

Factual information as to the property was presented by the Planning Director, who stated it consists of one lot fronting on Torrence Street; is adjoined on the east by rear line of lots fronting on Fourth Street; on the west by an alley and residential property; that across the street is residential use and on the east is adjoined by a B-2 zone extending along Fourth Street.

No opposition to the proposed change was voiced.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 456 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE I, SECTION 2 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF CHARLOTTE BY CHANGING PROPERTY ON LAMAR AVENUE AT PARK DRIVE, FROM R-2 TO OFFICE-INSTITUTION.

The scheduled hearing was held on Ordinance No. 456 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of Charlotte by changing property on Lamar Avenue at Park Drive, from R-2 to Office-Institution, on petition of Mr. Jonas L. Courtney et al.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, stated the property extends along Park Drive with a frontage of 750 feet by 60 foot depth and is used for single family and apartment development; that on the northwest it is adjoined by residential property fronting on Hawthorne Lane and on the northwest is adjoined by a church and an Office-Institution district and on the east by R-2 property.

Mr. Thomas Ruff, attorney representing Mr. Courtney and eight other property owners stated that King's Business College proposes to construct a building on the property; that this is an isolated area between Hawthorne, Fifth and Lamar Avenues and Independence Park. He advised that all of the property owners abutting on the property in question favor the change; that by changing this property to an O-1 district it will complete putting the entire area in this type of zone.

No opposition to the proposed change was expressed.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 457 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE II, SECTION 5 OF THE CITY CODE AMENDING THE BUILDING ZONE MAP OF THE CHARLOTTE PERIMETER AREA, BY CHANGING PROPERTY AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SHARON-AMITY ROAD AND CROSBY ROAD, FROM R-1 TO B-1.

The public hearing was held on Ordinance No. 457 Amending the Zoning Ordinance to amend the Building Zone Map of the Charlotte Perimeter Area by changing property at the southeast corner of Sharon-Amity Road and Crosby Road, from R-1 to B-1, on appeal of Mrs. Ralph W. Smith from the decision of the Planning Board.
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The Planning Director presented factual information as to the property and surrounding area, stating the property is vacant land fronting on Sharon-Amity Road 50' x 300' and extends along Crosby Road; that it is adjoined on the southeast by the Telephone Exchange Building and beyond that is Providence Village; that it adjoins Crosby Road on the northeast developed residentially and across Sharon-Amity Road the property is vacant land.

Mr. Joseph Cruciani, Attorney representing the petitioner, stated although Mrs. Smith requested a change in zoning from R-1 to R-1 she would be willing to accept a lesser restriction; that her property is next to the Telephone Building and the Planning Board says if it is rezoned and used for business the buffer effect of the Telephone Building would be lost between residential and business district zones and a less equitable boundary between residential and business district zones would be established. Mr. Cruciani stated if rezoned, a Doctor's office or similar type business could be erected on the lot and would give a buffer just as effective as the vacant property and not deprive her of the use of her property. He stated he felt that a compromise should be worked out – that probably an Office-Institution zone would be granted, which would be entirely acceptable. He stated further that the erection of a Doctor's office, or similar use, would not hurt property valuations in the area and he did not think there would be any objections from property owners.

No opposition to the requested change was expressed.

Council decision was deferred for one week.

HEARING ON ORDINANCE NO. 458 AMENDING CHAPTER 21, ARTICLE I, ZONING ORDINANCE, SECTION 4(A) OFFICE-INSTITUTION DISTRICT, SUB-SECTION (A) BY INSERTING A NEW SECTION “17-A” ENTITLED “FUNERAL HOMES”.

The public hearing was held on Ordinance No. 458 Amending the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Charlotte, Section 4(A) Office-Institution District, Sub-Section (A) by inserting a new section 17-A, entitled “Funeral Homes”.

Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, stated that since this is a change or amendment to the Zoning Ordinance, he would simply point out that only three areas in Charlotte would at present be affected; (1) Hawthorne Lane and around the Presbyterian and Mercy Hospitals and Caswell Road and East Fourth Street area; (2) East Morehead Street from Dilworth Road to the business section at King’s Drive, and (3) Independence Boulevard from the Coliseum to Briar Creek Road, approximately one block.

Mr. Allen Bailey, Attorney, representing Douglas & Sing Funeral Home, stated they are desperately in need of a new location, as their lease on their present building shortly expires; therefore, they are pursuing the change in zoning to permit Funeral Homes in an O-I zoning district. He stated they have looked the city over for a location in an R-2 district, and have not been able to find one which has off-street parking space and which they can afford to purchase.

Mr. Bailey stated he had a delegation present who are familiar with the operation of Funeral Homes and who live in the area of Hawthorne Lane in an O-I zoning district, where Douglas & Sing would like to purchase a building in which to operate their business, and he introduced Dr. Claude Broach, Pastor, Saint John Baptist Church. Dr. Broach stated he was speaking personally, however, prior to the death of the owner of the property on Hawthorne Lane which Douglas & Sing wish to buy, the owner came before the Board of Deacons of the Church asking if they objected to his converting his residence into a business, and the Board went on record that they had no objections to his doing so. Dr. Broach stated further he had a real appreciation of the work of Funeral Directors, who are important to a community and represent a high level in the life of a city, and he would be glad to have them as neighbors of his church.
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Mr. Bailey then introduced Dr. Alfred Montgomery, Pastor of Caldwell Memorial Presbyterian Church, who stated he was very much interested in the Elizabeth section of Charlotte where his church is located and in which Douglas & Sing wish to locate their Funeral Home. That in his opinion much is due Funeral Directors, to whom we turn in our hour of grief and whose business places represents the Church as they conduct funerals and tends to the needs of our loved ones; that he thinks Funeral Homes should be located in an area that is quiet and dignified, the same as a Church, instead of in a business area of noise; that all of his encounters with the Funeral Directors in Charlotte have been pleasant and he has found them to be fine people.

Mr. Bailey stated that the Reverend Jack Hunicutt, Pastor of Hawthorne Methodist Church has been present but had to leave, and ask him to say that he is in accord with the remarks of Dr. Broach and has no objections whatsoever to the location of the Funeral Home of Douglas & Sing on Hawthorne Lane near his church.

Mr. C. D. Thomas, Vice-President of First Federal Savings & Loan Company, stated he had made a personal investigation of the property in question and found there is plenty of space for off-street parking on the property on which Douglas & Sing wish to operate their Funeral Home, and that there are no private homes adjoining and none nearer than across Hawthorne Lane.

Mrs. Keziah stated she lives next door to Douglas & Sing Funeral Home at Independence Boulevard and Elizabeth Avenue and that they conduct the affairs of the Funeral Home in a manner that could not possibly offend the nearby residents, and she is sorry they are moving.

Mrs. Thorne stated she resides on Elizabeth Avenue adjacent to Douglas & Sing Funeral Home, and find nothing whatsoever objectionable about the business and they are sorry they are moving.

Mr. Ben Douglas, former owner of Douglas & Sing, stated the funeral business is a quiet, dignified place where the religious atmosphere predominates, and he sees no reason why anyone should object to one in their neighborhood; too that the grounds and area around a Funeral Home are kept in first class condition. He stated further that Douglas & Sing was the only business in Charlotte that he knows of that was damaged by the opening of Independence Boulevard, and the site is not now large enough for such business; he stated further that he resides on Crescent Avenue in an O-I zone district and would not object to having a Funeral Home next to him, and in fact would much rather have it than an apartment, or public dining room; that Charlotte is growing and these changes must be given consideration.

Mr. Clifford Keziah of Thies-Realty Company stated as a Realtor he has taken time to study the question of placing Funeral Homes in O-I zoning districts, and thinks they will be an asset instead of a liability, as their property is kept in A-1 condition as well as the grounds around the buildings, and they could not possibly depreciate property values.

Mr. Bill Miller, partner in the firm of Douglas & Sing Funeral Directors, stated they do not feel they are unreasonable in asking for the inclusion of Funeral Homes in the Office-Institution zoning classification, as they have searched the city for a location in an R-2 district of a size to provide for sufficient off-street parking and have not been able to find one; that the present O-I districts have such sites, and the one on Hawthorne Lane they have selected will provide for parking 100 cars. He urged that Council give the amendment to the ordinance full consideration as it is a serious situation with them as they must move from their present location within the next several weeks, with no place to go.

Mr. Bailey presented 23 letters from residents and firms within the neighborhood of the Hawthorne Lane site, endorsing their location there. He stated further that he is informed that most of the major cities allow Funeral Homes in O-I districts, and called attention to the fact that related institutions,
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such as hospitals, homes for the aged and nursing homes, etc are included in our O-I districts.

Opposition to the proposed amendment was represented by Mr. Frank McClenehan, Attorney, who presented maps of the three O-I zoning districts and petitions opposing the change signed by 61 property owners and 81 persons residing in the vicinity of the Hawthorne Lane O-I district; 123 persons in the Morehead Street O-I district and 31 persons in the Independence Boulevard O-I district. He stated that when the O-I district was adopted just a year ago the Council would not include Funeral Homes therein, but now are considering it just to accommodate one Funeral Director. Mr. McClenehan stated the residents of the Hawthorne Lane O-I district object strenuously to a Funeral Home being placed there, not only because of the nature of the business but also the noise from the ambulance service. He urged that the amendment not be adopted.

Mr. Paul Whitlock, resident of East Morehead Street, presented strong opposition to placing Funeral Homes in the O-I districts, stating there are many homes, churches etc, but few businesses on East Morehead Street, and he considers Funeral Homes a business, and most undesirable. That he circulated the petition in the Morehead Street O-I district and sent out 120 letters and received back 123 signatures opposing the inclusion of Funeral Homes in the area. He cited the many objections to Funeral Homes being located in residential areas, such as noise, excessive traffic, devaluation of property, doleful songs, mourning, to say nothing of the handling of the dead by the Funeral Home attendants being viewed from residences. He urged that this hardship not be imposed on the residents of Morehead Street but that the Council wait until it is a business street and then include Funeral Homes if they wish.

Some 40 persons attending the Council Meeting in opposition to the proposed change stood at the request of Mr. McClenehan.

Mr. Bailey stated that it appeared to him that Mr. Whitlock’s objections were personal, and that the good of Charlotte as a city must be considered and the convenience of the several Funeral Directors, who serve the people of Charlotte. He stated that a number of persons on Morehead Street called him, as Attorney for Douglas & Sing, and advised they had been told a colored Funeral Home would be located in that area if the amendment was adopted. He pointed out that by including Funeral Homes in this and the other O-I districts in Charlotte would not be putting business in a residential area, but that the residents are residing in what is in fact a business area. Council decision was deferred for one week.

CONTRACTS AWARDED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF JUVENILE DIAGNOSTIC CENTER.

Mr. Wallace Kuralt, Chairman of the joint City-County Committee for the erection of a Juvenile Diagnostic Center, stated that last week the Committee received bids on the second plan for the construction of the Center, the first plan having been discarded as costing too much. That the total construction cost of the low bids received last week is $96,915.00, which is well within the $100,000.00 approved by the City and County for the building. He stated further that the bids were presented yesterday to the County Commissioners and accepted on condition that the City accept them also.

Councilman Dellinger asked if the bids included the equipment for the building, and Mr. Kuralt stated they did not, that the Committee was appointed to secure plans and receive bids on the building only at a price not to exceed $100,000.00. Mr. Dellinger stated it was certainly his understanding that the $100,000.00 was to include the building and all equipment, and he feels the Council should know how much the equipment will cost before taking any action. Councilman Brown, who is a member of the Committee, stated the $100,000.00 was never intended to include equipment and the Committee was never told that it was.
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Councilman Brown moved that contracts for the construction of the building be awarded the low bidders, as follows: Contract awarded Laxton Construction Company for the General Construction, at $66,791.00; contract awarded Garrison & Hopkins for Plumbing at $16,030.00; contract awarded Tompkins-Johnston for Electrical work at $5,138.00, the total of all contracts being $96,915.00. The motion was seconded by Councilman Baxter, and unanimously carried.

All bids received for the building are as follows:

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laxton Construction Company</td>
<td>$66,791.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. W. Bartholomew</td>
<td>$66,886.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Craig</td>
<td>$69,114.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blythe &amp; Isenhour</td>
<td>$69,890.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern Construction Company</td>
<td>$69,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. N. Thompson</td>
<td>$69,921.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John V. Barger</td>
<td>$70,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers Chapman</td>
<td>$71,130.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLUMBING CONTRACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garrison &amp; Hopkins</td>
<td>$16,030.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tompkins Johnston Company</td>
<td>$17,927.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toomey Bros.</td>
<td>$17,935.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acme Plumbing</td>
<td>$18,374.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. V. Andrews</td>
<td>$18,695.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. C. Godfrey</td>
<td>$19,183.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Z. Price</td>
<td>$19,885.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. H. Hobbs</td>
<td>$20,950.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEATING CONTRACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tompkins-Johnston</td>
<td>$8,956.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert F. Ham Plumbing &amp; Heating</td>
<td>$9,440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Contractors</td>
<td>$9,458.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. V. Andrews</td>
<td>$9,725.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrison &amp; Hopkins</td>
<td>$9,888.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. T. Bronson</td>
<td>$9,950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Z. Price</td>
<td>$9,993.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. H. Hobbs</td>
<td>$10,225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chas. E. Petty Associates</td>
<td>$10,426.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Piping &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>$10,848.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toomey Bros.</td>
<td>$10,968.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. C. Godfrey</td>
<td>$16,993.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ELECTRICAL CONTRACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin Electric Company</td>
<td>$5,138.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laving Electric Company</td>
<td>$5,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid Electric Company</td>
<td>$5,685.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elam Electric Company</td>
<td>$5,749.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadhurst Allen Company</td>
<td>$5,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. W. Lail Electric Company</td>
<td>$5,223.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ross Electric Company</td>
<td>$6,467.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Electric Company</td>
<td>$6,619.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PARADE FOR OPENING BASEBALL SEASON AUTHORIZED.

Mr. Lambert Schwartz asked permission to hold a parade on Thursday for the opening of the Baseball Season, the parade to be at 11:30 o’clock from Stonewall Street up Tryon Street to 11th Street and return in open cars. Mr. Schwartz invited the members of the City Council to ride in the parade. Upon motion of Councilman Brown, seconded by Councilman Albee, and unanimously carried, permission to hold the parade was granted.
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IMPROVEMENTS TO BARRINGER DRIVE REQUESTED WHEN POWELL FUNDS AVAILABLE.

Councilman Wilkinson stated he has received several calls regarding the connection of Barringer Drive, from Clanton Road to Shuman Avenue, and asked that improvements to the street be made when Powell Funds are available.

STREET LIGHT ON GRAYMON Drive REQUESTED.

Councilman Wilkinson asked the City Manager to look into the matter of having a street light installed on Graymont Drive, off Barringer Drive.

IMPROVEMENTS TO GIBBON STREET REQUESTED WHEN POWELL FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE.

Councilman Brown stated that Gibbon Street, between Seigle Avenue and Harrill Street, needs improvements and repaving, and asked that this be done when Powell Funds are available.

TRAFFIC COUNT REQUESTED ON CENTRAL AVENUE AT BRIAR CREEK ROAD.

Councilman Brown asked the City Manager to have a traffic count made at Central Avenue and Briar Creek Road.

RESURFACING OF BRANDON CIRCLE WHEN WEATHER PERMITS.

Councilman Foard stated that the resurfacing of Brandon Circle has been promised by the City Manager at an early date and inquired when the work would be done. Mr. Yancey replied that the work would go forward just as soon as the weather permits.

RIGHT-OF-WAY AGREEMENT WITH NORFOLK-SOUTHERN RAILWAY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A SANITARY SEWER TRUNK LINE UNDER THEIR MAIN LINE TO SERVE PORTION OF MILTON PARK.

Upon motion of Councilman Dellinger, seconded by Councilman Wilkinson, and unanimously carried, an agreement was authorized with the Norfolk-Southern Railway Company for right-of-way to construct an 8-inch sanitary sewer trunk line under their main line tracks to serve a portion of Milton Park.

CONTRACT WITH JOHN CROSランド COMPANY FOR INSTALLATION OF WATER MAINS IN PARK ROAD, SOUTH OF FAIRVIEW ROAD.

Motion was made by Councilman Brown, seconded by Councilman Albee, and unanimously carried, authorizing a contract with John Crosland Company for the installation of 7,000 feet of 8-inch water mains in Park Road, south of Fairview Road, beyond the city limits, at an estimated cost of $26,000.00. All cost to be paid by the applicant, who will own the mains until the area is incorporated into the City, without cost to the City.

CONTRACT AWARDED BROOKSIDE INDUSTRIES, FOR SHIRTS FOR FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Councilman Albee moved that contract be awarded the low bidder, Brookside Industries, Inc., for 523 blue and 211 white Fire Department shirts, as specified, at a total net delivered price of $1,884.45. The motion was seconded by Councilman Foard, and unanimously carried.
Bids received were by:

Brookside Industries, Inc. $1,884.45
Belk Bros. Company $2,212.15

CONTRACT AWARDED BROOKSIDE INDUSTRIES, INC. FOR SHIRTS FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Motion was made by Councilman Albee, seconded by Councilman Poard, and unanimously carried, awarding contract to the low bidder, Brookside Industries, Inc., for 955 grey and 56 white Police Department shirts, as specified, at a total net delivered price of $3,026.82.

Bids received were as follows:

Brookside Industries, Inc. $3,026.82
Belk Bros. Co. $3,364.28

CONSTRUCTION OF SANITARY SEWERS IN BOONE STREET AND IN DARBY ACRES.

Upon motion of Councilman Albee, seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried, the construction of sanitary sewers was authorized at the following locations:

(a) 175-ft. of sewer main in Boone Street, at request of Helma Construction Company, to serve one family unit, at an estimated cost of $300.00, to be paid by the City.

(b) 721-ft. of sewer main in Darby Acres, at request of F. C. Davis, to serve 5 family units, at an estimated cost of $2,440.00. All cost to be borne by the City, and applicant's required deposit of $940.00 to be refunded as per terms of the contract.

CONSTRUCTION OF DRIVEWAY ENTRANCES.

Motion was made by Councilman Albee, seconded by Councilman Brown, and unanimously carried, authorizing the construction of driveway entrances at the following locations:

(a) One 12-ft. driveway entrance at 3408 Tuckaseegee Road.
(b) One 10-ft. driveway entrance at 3810 Central Avenue.
(c) One 25-ft. driveway entrance on North Church Street for 122 West 11th street.

SPECIAL OFFICER PERMIT AUTHORIZED ISSUED TO W. A. SHERRILL ON PREMISES OF FEDERAL RESERVE BANK.

Councilman Poard moved that a Special Officer Permit be issued to William W. Sherrill, for use on the premises of The Federal Reserve Bank. The motion was seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried.

TRANSFER OF CEMETERY LOTS.

Upon motion of Councilman Wilkinson, seconded by Councilman Brown, and unanimously carried, the Mayor and City Clerk were authorized to execute deeds for the transfer of the following cemetery lots:

(a) Deed with Mrs. W. M. Bell, for Lot 262, Section 2, Evergreen Cemetery at $160.00.
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(b) Deed with Mr. George A. MacDow, for Lot 204, Section 4-A, Evergreen Cemetery, at $125.00.
(c) Deed with Mrs. J. H. Zahn, for Lot 143, Section 2, Evergreen Cemetery at $160.00.
(d) Deed with Dr. John C. Montgomery and Mildred B. Montgomery, for Lot 314, Section 2, Evergreen Cemetery, at $160.00.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONDEMNATION OF A PART OF THE R. M. BINGHAM LAND FOR AIRPORT PURPOSES.

A resolution entitled: "Resolution Authorizing Condemnation of a Part of the R. M. Bingham Land for Airport Purposes" was introduced and read, and upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Dellinger, and unanimously carried, was adopted. The resolution is recorded in full in Resolutions Book 3, Page 198.

ADJOURNMENT.

Upon motion of Councilman Albea, seconded by Councilman Brown, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned.

[Signature]
Lillian R. Hoffman, City Clerk