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# MEETINGS IN OCTOBER '93

## THE WEEK OF OCTOBER 4 - 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 Monday</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>AUDITORIUM COLISEUM CONVENTION CENTER AUTHORITY New Convention Center Office 2940 One First Union Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:00 noon</td>
<td>PLANNING COMMISSION/Work Session CMGC 8th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE CMGC Room 270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Tuesday</td>
<td>5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP CMGC Conference Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Wednesday</td>
<td>2:00 p.m.</td>
<td>PLANNING COMMISSION/Planning Committee CMGC 8th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>YOUTH INVOLVEMENT COUNCIL CMGC Conference Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Thursday</td>
<td>8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>CIVIL SERVICE BOARD CMGC 7th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL REGIONALISM COMMITTEE CMGC Conference Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>PARADE PERMIT COMMITTEE CMGC 6th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00 p.m.</td>
<td>ADVISORY ENERGY COMMISSION Hal Marshall Center 700 N Tryon St Auditorium #1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## THE WEEK OF OCTOBER 11 - 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 Monday</td>
<td>5:00 p.m.</td>
<td>COUNCIL/MANAGER DINNER Conference Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>CITIZENS HEARING CMGC Meeting Chamber (Televised Live on Cable Channels 16/32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL MEETING CMGC Meeting Chamber (Televised Live on Cable Channels 16/32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION Thes Bldg 500 N Tryon St Suite 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Tuesday</td>
<td>3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>HOUSING APPEALS BOARD CMGC 5th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMITTEE Charlotte/Douglas International Airport Conf Rooms A&amp;B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Wednesday</td>
<td>8:00 a.m.</td>
<td>CLEAN CITY COMMITTEE CMGC 8th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION CMGC 8th Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on Back)
### MEETINGS IN OCTOBER '93 (Continued)

#### THE WEEK OF OCTOBER 18 - 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17-19</td>
<td></td>
<td><em><strong>NC LEAGUE OF MUNICIPALITIES CONFERENCE</strong></em></td>
<td>Fayetteville NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>8:00 AM</td>
<td>MAYOR'S INTERNATIONAL CABINET</td>
<td>CMGC Conference Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2:00 PM</td>
<td>HOUSING AUTHORITY Administrative Office</td>
<td>1301 S Blvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:00 PM</td>
<td>HOUSING AUTHORITY/PUBLIC FORUM</td>
<td>Pine Valley/Turnkey III 1700 Longleaf Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>YOUTH INVOLVEMENT COUNCIL</td>
<td>CMGC Conference Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>CITIZENS CABLE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE</td>
<td>CMGC 7th Floor Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>COUNCIL/MANAGER DINNER</td>
<td>CMGC Meeting Chamber Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:00 PM</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL MEETING/Zoning Hearings</td>
<td>CMGC Meeting Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>CMGC Conference Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2:00 PM</td>
<td>CMUD ADVISORY COMMITTEE</td>
<td>Utility Department 5100 Brookshire Blvd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:00 PM</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE</td>
<td>CMGC Room 270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>CHARLOTTE TREE ADVISORY COMMISSION</td>
<td>CMGC Conference Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### THE WEEK OF OCTOBER 25 - 29

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>12:00 Noon</td>
<td>PLANNING COMMISSION/Executive Committee</td>
<td>CMGC 8th Floor Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>PLANNING COMMISSION/Zoning Work Session</td>
<td>CMGC 8th Floor Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>COUNCIL/MANAGER DINNER</td>
<td>CMGC Conference Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:30 PM</td>
<td>CITIZENS HEARING</td>
<td>CMGC Meeting Chamber (Televised Live on Cable Channels 16/32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL MEETING</td>
<td>CMGC Meeting Chamber (Televised Live on Cable Channels 16/32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1:00 PM</td>
<td>ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT</td>
<td>Hal Marshall Center 700 N Tryon St Training Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>CHARLOTTE MECKLENBURG ART COMMISSION</td>
<td>Arts &amp;Science Council Building 214 N Church St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>7:30 AM</td>
<td>FIREFIGHTER'S RETIREMENT BOARD</td>
<td>428 E Fourth St Suite 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>CHARLOTTE TRANSIT ADVISORY COMMITTEE</td>
<td>CMGC Room 119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** These organizations do not have meetings scheduled for October
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Item No. 3  Rehabilitation of 309 City-Owned Housing Units

Action

A. Approve the recommendation from the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership and the Charlotte Housing Authority for the rehabilitation and reuse of 309 units of public housing

B. Appropriate $1,893,387 to an account for the rehabilitation and relocation costs

C  Authorize the City Manager to negotiate contracts with the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership and Charlotte Housing Authority for the rehabilitation, the relocation and operations of the 309 units with costs not to exceed $1,893,387

Staff Resource

Del Borgsdorf

Policy.

At the July 12th Housing Workshop, Council reviewed and reconfirmed the City’s existing Housing Policy and the concept of transitional housing

Explanation of Request:

At the City Council Workshop on October 4th, a joint presentation was made by the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership (CMHP) and the Charlotte Housing Authority (CHA) in which the following housing concept and funding costs were provided

- Of the 309 units, CMHP would receive ownership of 179 units to serve income ranges from 40-60% of median and utilize the units as part of its Pathway Program. The CHA would retain 130 units as part of its Stepping Stone Program and would serve income ranges between 30-40% of median.
- Funding Costs Summary for the Above Plan

### Uses of Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CMHP - 179 Units</th>
<th>CHA - 130 Units</th>
<th>Total - 309 Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation Costs</td>
<td>$1,232,844</td>
<td>$710,124</td>
<td>$1,942,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrades</td>
<td>546,675</td>
<td>226,500</td>
<td>773,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Costs</td>
<td>556,481</td>
<td>137,763</td>
<td>694,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,452,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,158,387</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,610,387</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Unit Average</td>
<td>$13,698</td>
<td>$8,911</td>
<td>$11,684</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sources of Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CMHP - 179 Units</th>
<th>CHA - 130 Units</th>
<th>Total - 309 Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHMMP Annual City Fund</td>
<td>$667,000</td>
<td>$-0-</td>
<td>$667,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation to Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMHP Debt Financing</td>
<td>1,050,000</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>1,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total CMHP</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,717,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$-0-</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,717,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Subsidy -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>619,000</td>
<td>1,074,387</td>
<td>1,693,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Subsidy -</td>
<td>116,000</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total City Fund</strong></td>
<td>$735,000</td>
<td>$1,158,387</td>
<td>$1,893,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,452,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,158,387</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,610,387</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The purpose of this joint agreement is

- Enlarge the community’s transitional housing program which is a significant gap in our housing support continuum, and
- Preserve the 309 City-financed housing units which are in serious disrepair

Recommended Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Fund</th>
<th>$500,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Innovative Housing Fund</td>
<td>288,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME FY93 Grant</td>
<td>1,104,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,893,387</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

During the budget deliberations last spring, City Council decided that a complete review of the City’s current housing policies was necessary before developing a plan for the Innovative Housing fund money. A Housing Workshop was held July 12, 1993.

At the July 12th Workshop, Council reaffirmed existing Housing Policies and established a broad policy framework in an attempt to clarify roles, improve coordination, and begin to establish a continuum of housing support services.

At the August 2nd Workshop, Council requested that recommendations be brought back to them whereas the 309 units would be used as transitional housing and develop a plan for the relocatees and emergency housing.

At Council’s October 4th Workshop, this proposed plan was presented which will help fill a need for transitional housing and the infusion of City money will help rehabilitate and preserve these public housing units for lower-income families earning between 30-60% of median.

Attachments
Completing the Continuum:
Housing Dependence to Housing Independence

Background

Charlotte is noted for its commitment to affordable housing. It is recognized for its innovative and collaborative approaches to helping families make the transition from housing dependence to independence and home ownership. Upon advice from the City Council at its dinner meeting on August 2, 1993, the Charlotte Housing Authority and Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership, under the guidance of the City’s Community Development Department, set out to develop a comprehensive plan for bringing the 309 units owned and managed by the Housing Authority under the Transitional Housing philosophy. The attached renovation estimates and proforma are our best efforts to understand and fully estimate the costs associated achieving these goals.

We employed Trammell Crow to inspect each of the developments and every unit. Cost estimates were developed based on a standard which will make these units attractive to the range of higher income groups required for break-even operation. Other improvements, such as vinyl siding and site improvements, are required to improve the long-term durability of the developments.

Transitional Families to be Served

CHA and C-MHP jointly developed a plan which makes all developments transitional. The continuum of housing services completed by this plan is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Gateway</th>
<th>Stepping Stones</th>
<th>Pathways</th>
<th>Independence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income Range:</td>
<td>30-40%</td>
<td>40-60%</td>
<td>50% or Greater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing:</td>
<td>CHA</td>
<td>Brighton Place (50 units)</td>
<td>C-MHP</td>
<td>Home Ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>Grove Place (36 units)</td>
<td>Pleasant View (50 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(non-elderly families)</td>
<td>Claremont (50 units)</td>
<td>West Downs (29 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Victoria Square (32 units)</td>
<td>Shelton Knoll (50 units)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plan draws on the strengths and experiences of each agency. Community Development will assist in developing the relocation plans for current residents as the renovation and re-leasing occur. The Housing Authority will provide housing assistance for the families whose incomes fall below 25% of the median income through its existing Federal public housing. The Authority will continue to own three of the seven City-financed developments and will serve families in the 25-40% median income range. The Housing Partnership will serve families in the 40-60% range at the other four developments. Families will have the opportunity to move along the continuum: from public housing to Stepping Stones, from Stepping Stones to Pathways, and from Pathways to Home Ownership. We also expect to see some graduate from each of these programs directly to home ownership and private rental developments. No family may stay within any one program longer than five years.

As part of our planning effort, we will review the rental, social and employment histories of the residents currently living in the units. All current residents who can afford the new rent structures, have positive rental histories, and will commit to specific self-sufficiency goals and
objectives will be offered housing in the renovated communities. All other current residents will be provided with alternative public housing and/or relocation benefits (including moving costs).

**Management**

The Authority is committed to strong management and good maintenance. We will develop an RFP for a private management contract for these properties. This will permit the CHA to explore which management model works best with lower-income developments—conventional public housing management, a management/case management approach, or private management. The Authority will provide case management to the families through its existing Transitional Families Program. The C-MHP, on the other hand, has built the cost of case management into the rent structure.

The proposed plan assures that not only will the families move systematically toward housing independence, but so will the properties under consideration. Where we once had a rent structure that could not sustain the properties, we now have assurance of break-even rents, where once properties languished for a lack of a replacement reserve, we now have included such reserves within the rent structure; where once families were warehoused, families will receive encouragement and assistance in breaking out of the cycle of poverty and despair that has traditionally characterized this population.
FY94 HOUSING PROGRAM – 309 UNITS
ANNUAL OPERATING COSTS
October 11, 1993

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CMHP</th>
<th>CHA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Rental Income</td>
<td>$715,506</td>
<td>$272,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market % of Medium Income</td>
<td>40–50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent Range</td>
<td>$270–$410</td>
<td>$174–$260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET:

Management                        | $169,144 | $74,180 |
Utilities                         | 82,877   | 44,240  |
Maintenance                       | 127,985  | 85,650  |
General                           | 21,480   | 26,000  |
Maintenance Reserve               | 62,650   | 41,300  |
Sub–Total                         | $464,136 | $271,370 |

Debt Service                     | 177,670  |        |
Self–Sufficiency                 | 73,340   |        |
Sub–Total                        | $251,010 | $0     |

Grand Total                      | $715,146 | $271,370 |
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1. Approve Minutes of: August 23 Meeting

CONSENT ITEMS

2. Agenda items 39 through 55 may be considered in one motion except for those items removed from the Consent Agenda as a result of a Councilmember making such a request of the City Clerk prior to the meeting.

Staff Resource: Julie Burch

POLICY

3. Rehabilitation of 309 City-Owned Housing Units

Staff will deliver this item with the Friday Council Memo.

4. Carmel Road Townhomes

Action: Approve recommended course of action for the widening and realignment of Carmel Road in the area of Carmel Country Club and Carmel South Townhouses near Green Rea Road.

Staff Resource: Dick Williams

Explanation of Request: Council directed staff to develop options in response to a request from the residents of the Carmel South Townhouse complex. Residents of the Townhouse complex are concerned that the roadway, if constructed as shown on current plans, will be too close to their buildings and adversely affect their property values. They have requested that the alignment be shifted ten (10) feet to avoid encroachment into their landscaped buffer.
Description of Project:
The current concept plan for Carmel Road Widening was approved by Council in February, 1992. Included was the purchase of five houses and realignment of the roadway in the area of Carmel South to:

- reduce the existing roadway curvature to create a safe horizontal alignment
- avoid disruption to the existing earthen berms at Carmel South Townhouses, and
- decrease potential damages to the Carmel Country Club

A bonus of the current Council approved alignment is the potential to recoup approximately $10 million dollars through the resale of the five houses that have been purchased for $142 million dollars Possible resale was not included in the original concept, but was identified through Real Estate’s assessment of the current alignment in the Spring of 1993

*Recommended Course of Action:
Continue R-O-W and easement acquisition per current alignment plan as recommended by staff

- **Considerations:**
  - Achieves all goals included in Council approved concept plan
  - Option with best potential for resale of five houses at highest value
  - Roadway would remain over 80 feet from the nearest townhouse structure and would not disturb the earthen berms. Area remaining between berm and proposed sidewalk could be heavily landscaped to provide additional screening for townhouse complex.
*Other Option:
Shift the current alignment ten (10) feet away from the Carmel South complex

- **CONSIDERATIONS:**

  - Two of the five properties proposed for resale would be substantially impacted, whereby one will remain in non-compliance and the other will need to be relocated to another area. The net effect is an estimated $175,000 loss in resale value.

  - Increases right-of-way cost and damages for other properties on the opposite side of Carmel Road by an estimated $71,000.

  - Reduction in right-of-way costs for Carmel South are estimated at $10,000.

  - Redesign of approximately 3,100 feet of the current roadway plan would delay project four (4) months and cost an estimated $166,000.

**Background:**

Residents of Carmel South believe that a shift in the current alignment would provide a plan that is consistent with the concept plan as approved by Council in February 1992. Staff has reviewed the presentation materials and tapes and believes the recommended alignment is consistent with Council’s approval.

However, property owners and residents of the neighborhood located on the opposite side of Carmel Road from the townhouse complex are concerned that a shift in the proposed alignment will remove a major portion of the landscaping and decorative fencing from the properties that front the roadway. They feel that this will dramatically alter their "entry" to Carmel Road and reduce the buffer from traffic that would be preserved through construction of the current alignment and resale of
the five houses. They favor remaining with the current alignment.

The Carmel South complex has over 1,590 feet of frontage along Carmel Road. The recommended alignment requires the acquisition of a strip of land approximately 12 feet in width and 550 feet in length. As stated previously, the proposed roadway would remain over 80 feet from the nearest structure. Many single-family homes adjacent to the widened Carmel Road, including those homes opposite the townhouse complex, will have 65 feet or less of setback.

5. Police Officers Grant Application

Action: Adopt a resolution authorizing the Mayor to submit a federal grant application to the U.S. Justice Department for adding approximately 28 additional police officers.

Staff Resource: Boyd Cauble

Explanation of Request: The grant will require the City to

- Continue funding the officers after the three year federal grant expires
- Pay all costs associated with equipping the officers and the additional training expenses
- Appropriately new money to meet the City’s 25% match and other officer costs not covered by the grant

Background: When Council agreed to have staff apply for this grant at the September 27 meeting the competitive nature of the application process was mentioned. We have no way of knowing how many cities will apply, but we are confident that we have prepared our application with all the important ingredients called for by the granting agency. Our chance for
funding will be determined by how many other cities, with crime and economic statistics much more severe than ours, will apply.

If we are awarded a grant, we will fund the first year's costs out of the fund balance of the General Fund. Afterwards, we will address the continued funding through our normal budget process. We estimate the total cost to the City over the three year life of the grant to be approximately $2.3 million.

**BUSINESS**

**6. Private Water System Purchase**

**Action:**

Approve Contract with Carolina Water Services, incorporated for the purchase of the water system serving a portion of the Farmwood Subdivision - $383,000

**Staff Resource:**

Barry Gullet

**Explanation of Request:**

- Three requests for water main extensions within the Farmwood Subdivision have been received. Under CMUD's water/sewer policy, these requests will require an extension of approximately 2,500 feet of water main. Farmwood Subdivision is located in Mint Hill between Lawyers Road and Lebanon Road and is currently served by Carolina Water Service.

- As an alternate to installing new water mains that would parallel the existing Carolina system, CMUD recommends purchasing a portion of the private water system (Farmwood B).

The advantages to the City's purchase of the "Farmwood B" water system are
-7-

- This would avoid disruption to the community by eliminating the need to install a parallel water system;
- CMUD would acquire 175+ new customers,
- Carolina can eliminate two wells without jeopardizing their remaining Farmwood system,
- There would not be any cost to the 175+ customers to become CMUD customers;
- CMUD could provide fire protection to the "Farmwood B" Community
- The Town of Mint Hill supports this proposal.
- The N.C. Utilities Commission traditionally supports the transfer of private utilities to a municipal system. Their approval will be requested after City Council approval of this contract.

**Background:**
The Street Extension Program of CMUD's policy provides for the extension of water mains to residential properties that desire to become a CMUD customer. Under this program, three Carolina customers in Farmwood initiated an extension of a CMUD main in order to disconnect from Carolina and reconnect to the City system.

**7. Housing Partnership Annual Contract**

**Action:** Approve housing support contracts with the following agencies: Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership--New Housing Construction and Legal Services of the Southern Piedmont

**Staff Resource:** J. W. Walton
Policy:

At the July 12th Housing Workshop, Council reviewed and reconﬁrmed the City’s Housing Policy. The following contracts are in the FY94 implementation plan and budget

Explanation of Request:

- Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership
  - New Housing Construction
    Contains signiﬁcant changes from policy workshop. The original one objective of 100 new housing units has been changed to 50 new housing units and two additional objectives have been added: To reduce the Partnership’s existing housing inventory by 35 units and to convert the 179 City-ﬁnanced units into low income rental units the Partnership will manage.

  3 Month Extension $ 500,000
  FY94 Contract Amount $1,500,000
  Cumulative Total for FY94 $2,000,000

- Legal Services of the Southern Piedmont
  Revised to contain more measurable objectives
  The FY93 contract for this agency was from October 1, 1992 through September 30, 1993. The FY93 appropriation of $84,000 was pro-rated for a nine month period

  3 Month Extension $ 0
  FY94 Contract Amount $ 63,000
  Cumulative Total for FY94 $ 63,000

NOTE: The Family Housing Services contract is the only contract outstanding. It will be brought to Council for approval on October 25, 1993.

Background:

During the budget deliberations last spring, City Council decided that a complete review of the City’s current housing policies was necessary before developing a plan for the Innovative Housing fund money. A Housing Workshop was held July 12, 1993.
In order to avoid a gap in the funding of Innovative Housing agencies, Council decided to extend the existing contracts of these agencies by three months at FY93 funding levels.

At the July 12th Workshop, Council reaffirmed existing Housing Policies and established a broad policy framework in an attempt to clarify roles and improve coordination.

Staff was directed to develop new contracts with the agencies at their FY93 funding level (Crisis Assistance Ministry was the only exception, and Council voted on June 16, 1993 to increase their contract amount by $4,600) and to incorporate the annual evaluation recommendations and policy direction provided in the Workshop.

Attachment 1

8. Grants for Community Housing Development Organizations

Action: Authorize the City Manager to negotiate and enter into contracts with 7 community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) to provide capacity building grants in the combined amount of $176,700.

Staff Resource: J W. Walton

Policy: At its July 12, 1993 Housing Workshop, City Council made strengthening the capacity of community-based organizations one of its highest priorities in housing and community development. It approved an allocation of up to $200,000 for capacity-building grants to CHDOs.
**Explanation of Request:**

- The 7 CHDOs and the proposed funding levels are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Funding Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belmont CDC</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grier Heights Economic Foundation</td>
<td>36,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakewood CDC</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Corridor CDC</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reid Park Associates</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Housing Services</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmore Neighborhood Association</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  **Total:** $176,700

- It is requested that the unallocated $23,300 be retained in the Innovative Housing Fund for future CHDO requests.

- The contract period will be from November 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994. Although it was envisioned that there may be a need to provide this administrative support to CHDOs for 2 years, City Council is only being requested to approve the funding for one year. The need for continued administrative support for the CHDOs will be evaluated at the end of the fiscal year.

- All contracts will be monitored for compliance. The contracts funded by federal HOME money will also be monitored to ensure they meet Federal regulatory requirements.

- The purpose of the grants is to provide administrative support and training aimed at boosting the CHDOs capacity to carry out successful housing and community revitalization projects.

- Based on priority needs identified by the CHDOs, the grants will be used for staff salaries, operating costs and training.
Of the $176,700 allocation
- 60% will be for staff costs
- 19% for operating costs (rent, utilities, office equipment)
- 21% for training (including staff training in project development and property management and board/resident training in leadership, consensus-building and program planning).

Approval will allow the City Manager to negotiate and enter into contracts with the 7 CHDOs for the grant amounts indicated above. The contract period will be from November 1, 1993 through June 30, 1994. Attached are the letters of request from the CHDOs (Exhibit A) and proposed budgets with supporting documentation (Exhibit B).

The City may use only $69,900 in federal HOME funds for these contracts (HUD limits this category of expenditure to 5% of the City’s total FY94 grant.) The remaining $106,800 will be allocated from the Innovative Housing Fund.

Background:
This is consistent with Council’s City Within a City initiative and with its Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy, which emphasizes the vital role of community-based nonprofits in stabilizing and revitalizing neighborhoods in Charlotte’s urban core.

The proposed allocation of funds is based upon each CHDOs organizational needs and current resources and was agreed upon by the CHDOs acting as a group.

Attachments 2
Carolina Theater Property

Action:

Approve a budget ordinance in the amount of $65,000 to provide professional services and repairs to City property at 6th Street and North Tryon Street so that the property can be used for a temporary museum exhibit and following this exhibit, a temporary park.

Staff Resource:

David Meachum

Explanation of Request:

- The City is the owner of the Carolina Theater property at 6th Street and North Tryon Street.
- The property is in need of repairs to the facade facing onto North Tryon Street and the walls of the buildings surrounding the courtyard behind the facade. The facade and walls have deteriorated to the point of being unsafe.
- The Museum of the New South proposes to use this site for approximately four months for an exhibit of basketball memorabilia from the Carolinas.
- Repairs to the Carolina Theater are necessary even if the Museum of the New South does not have an exhibit. The only other option is to demolish the theater.
- The exhibit is scheduled to be open to the public from March through early July. The Museum will also be used for gatherings associated with the Final Four Tournament in May. An area will also be created for live basketball demonstrations. Total cost of this project, to be financed by the Museum of the New South, is estimated at $420,000.
The Charlotte Chamber of Commerce, Central Charlotte Division proposes to convert the site into a park when the exhibit closes. The Central Charlotte Division will provide $15,000 for the park conversion.

**Background:**

City and County regulatory agencies are working jointly with the Museum of the New South to ensure the March 1994 opening date is met.

**Attachment 3**

### 10. Bid Specifications - Roll out Garbage Containers

Staff will deliver this item with the Friday Council Memo.

### 11. Consultant Selection to Assist Committee of 100

**Action:**

Authorize the City Manager to execute a contract for $150,000 with Barton-Aschman Associates, Inc. to provide technical assistance during the transportation/transit strategic process.

**Explanation of Request:**

- As part of Council's approval on June 14 of the implementation plan for the transportation/transit strategic process, Council approved hiring a consultant to

  1) Perform a transit service and management assessment of Charlotte Transit and Special Transportation Service

  2) Collect new information on the transportation needs and travel characteristics of current transit users.

  3) Identify/evaluate opportunities and applications for new transit service concepts in Charlotte-Mecklenburg
4) Assess need and feasibility for transit services into Mecklenburg County and to 20-mile ring cities

5) Evaluate opportunities for privatization of Charlotte Transit, identify cost impacts and implications of this approach

6) Determine best way for providing transit services to disabled, including potential for privatized operation.

7) Assist the metro area staff task force in providing technical support to the "Committee of 100", be available for technical consultation

- The Consultant Selection Committee, following interviews with three consultant teams on September 15, chose the team headed by Barton-Aschman Associates, Inc. Three firms will assist Barton-Aschman

1) Cambridge Systematics, Inc
2) John T Doolittle
3) Scientex Corporation

- The cost-plus-fixed-fee contract with Barton-Aschman for $150,000 will be financed using

  $50,000  Mecklenburg County
  $50,000  NC Department of Transportation
  $50,000  City of Charlotte (Transportation Fund balance)

- Scientex Corporation, a disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE), will receive $20,000 of the total contract, meeting the City’s DBE goal of 13 percent
**Background:**

On June 28, the Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT) mailed a Request for Proposal to 15 consultants. Following advertisement in *Passenger Transport*, a weekly national publication, CDOT sent the RFP to 13 more firms who requested it.

On July 23, CDOT received 5 proposals. These proposals included 14 different consultants on the multi-firm teams.

On August 18, the Consultant Selection Committee was formed:

1. William Simms, "Committee of 100" Chairman
2. Wade Alley, Jr., Transit Advisory Committee Chairman
3. George Cobb, Gastonia’s Senior Transportation Planner
4. Bob Binford, Mecklenburg Director of Transportation
5. Martin Cramton, Jr., Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Director
6. Boyd Cauble, Executive Assistant to the City Manager
7. Terry Lathrop, CDOT’s Deputy Director

The Selection Committee chose the Barton-Ashman team because:

1. Barton-Ashman’s familiarity with transportation issues in the Charlotte area, gained from earlier work with the City, should enable the consultant team "to hit the ground running" on this project. Harvey Joyner, Project Director, has considerable experience in working with elected officials and citizen groups in Charlotte. This experience will be valuable for interacting with the "Committee of 100".
2) By adding Cambridge Systematics, John T. Doolittle, and Scientex, Barton-Aschman broadened the team’s skills in transit service analysis, privatization, transit financing and customer surveys.

3) Barton-Aschman has a broad range of technical skills (land use, highways, finance, etc.) which will be available to metro area staff and the "Committee of 100", if needed.

Attachment

12. Decision on Petition No. 93-55 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for three areas in North Charlotte and the Plaza Hills neighborhood described as:

(A) Several lots or parcels bounded by Davidson Street, East 37th Street, Hudson Street and Charles Avenue (from 0-2 and R-22MF to R-5).

(B) Several lots or parcels generally bounded by Herrin Avenue, 36th Street, Byrnes Street and Tappan Place (from R-22MF to R-5).

(C) Several lots or parcels generally bounded by 35th Street, Indiana Street and Ford Street, south of The Plaza (from R-22MF to R-5).

A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring 3/4 affirmative votes of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to Area "A" only.

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved.

Attachment 4
13. Decision on Petition No. 93-56 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for three areas generally described as:

(A) Properties generally bounded by The Plaza, Southern Railway tracks, Sugar Creek Road and Trembeth Drive (from R-22MF to R-5)

(B) Properties fronting on Academy Street, south of Meadow Lane (from R-22MF to R-8).

(C) Eastway Drive Golf Course on the southeast corner of Eastway Drive and The Plaza (from O-2 to R-4).

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

Attachment 5

14. Decision on Petition No. 93-57 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for three areas near Eastway Drive, generally defined as:

(A) Properties on both sides of Springway Avenue between Eastway Drive and Cardiff Drive (from R-17MF to R-4).

(B) Properties fronting Townsend Avenue and Bentley Place between Eastway Drive and Dunlavin Way (from R-22MF to R-4).

(C) Property on the northeast corner of Kilborne Drive and Eastway Drive (from O-2 to R-17MF).

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

Attachment 6

15. Decision on Petition No. 93-58 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 60 acres located in several areas in the Plaza-Midwood neighborhood generally defined as:

(A) Properties bound by Club Road and Truman Street, Roland Street and Kensington Drive (from R-22MF to R-8)
(B) Properties along Thomas Avenue, north of Central Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF).

(C) Properties bound by Clement Avenue, Thomas Avenue, Hamorton Place and School Street (from R-22MF to R-8); and

(D) a large vacant tract off Belvedere Avenue, near Truman Road (from R-17MF to R-4).

A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to Area "D" only.

The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas "A", "B" and "C" be approved.

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area D (the Heath property) be rezoned from R-17MF to R-8MF in lieu of the R-4 category recommended by the Central District Plan.

Attachment 7

16. Decision on Petition No. 93-59 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 22 acres located on the north side of Central Avenue extending from Briar Creek to Nandina Street and properties west of Lyon Court extending to Veterans Park from B-2 to B-1.

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved with the exception of the Charlotte Catering Site.

Attachment 8

17. Decision on Petition No. 93-60 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 7 acres located on the north and south sides of Central Avenue from 10th Street to the Brookshire Freeway from B-2 to B-1.
A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Council members, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property.

The Zoning Committee deferred action on this petition for 60 days.

Attachment 9

18. Decision on Petition No. 93-61 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 3 acres located in the Elizabeth neighborhood defined as:

(A) Properties fronting on the southerly side of East 7th Street extending from Clarice Avenue to Ridgeway Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF).

(B) Properties on the north side of 7th Street east of Laurel Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF).

(C) Property on the northeast corner of 7th Street and Clement Avenue (from B-1 to R-5).

The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas A and C be approved.

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area B be denied.
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19. Decision on Petition No. 93-62 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 54 acres located in two areas near the Arnold Palmer Business Park defined as:

(A) Properties along Latrobe Drive and Pro Am Drive (from I-2 to I-1).

(B) Business property on Wendover Road between Latrobe Drive and the rail line (from I-2 to B-1).

A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Council members, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to Area "A" only.
The Zoning Committee deferred action on Area A for 60 days

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area B be approved.
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20. Decision on Petition No. 93-63 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 65 acres located along Monroe Road and Old Coliseum area defined as:

(A) Parcel on the north side of Monroe Road west of Bramlett Street (from I-2 to I-1).

(B) Property from Colonade Drive to Washburn Avenue on the south side of Monroe Road (from I-2 to B-1).

(C) Property between Colonade Drive, Bramlett Street and the Railway right-of-way and south of Independence Boulevard (from B-1 and O-2 to R-22MF).

(D) Land between Colonade Drive, Washburn Avenue and the Merchandise Mark parking area (from B-1 and B-2 to O-2).

Protest petitions have been filed and are sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to areas "B", "C" and "D".

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area A be approved

The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas B and D be denied

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area C be approved with the exception of the D. L. Phillips' property
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21. Decision on Petition No. 93-64 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 18 acres located in Briar Creek, Woodland and Echo Hills neighborhoods defined as.
(A) Several lots along Commonwealth Avenue between Waterman Avenue and Eastway Drive/Independence Boulevard intersection (from O-2 to R-4).

(B) Several lots along Woodland Avenue and Commonwealth Avenue (from B-1 and O-2 to R-4).

(C) Lots off Monroe Road along both sides of Mayview Drive (from O-2 to R-4).

(D) Two apartment complexes at the intersection of Pinecrest and Barnhill and the Clairemont Public Housing Community located off Independence Boulevard (from O-2 to R-17MF).

Protest petitions have been filed and are sufficient to invoke the 20% rule, requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to areas "B" and "C".

The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas A, C and D be approved

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area B be approved with the exception of the upholstery shop and adjacent office parcel
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22. Decision on Petition No. 93-65 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 65 acres located in the Villa Heights neighborhood described as:

(A) Area generally bound by Everett Street, Pinckney Avenue, Harrill Street, McDowell Street, Parkwood Avenue, and The Plaza (from R-22MF, O-2 and B-1 to R-5).

(B) Area generally bound by Matheson Avenue, Pinckney Avenue, 27th Street and Yadkin Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5).

(C) Property between Clemson Avenue, Holt Street and Matheson Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5).

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
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23. Decision on Petition No. 93-66 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning commission for a change in zoning for approximately 6 acres located in the Villa Heights neighborhood described as:

(A) Properties northwest of The Plaza, east of Lola Avenue, and south of Catawba Avenue (excluding existing apartment) (from R-22MF and O-2 to R-8).

(B) Areas north of Grace Street fronting on The Plaza, Lydia Avenue, Duncan Street and Drummond Avenue (from B-1 and O-2 to R-22MF).

(C) Areas between Clemson Avenue and Matheson Avenue, north of The Plaza (from O-2 and R-22MF to R-8).

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved.
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24. Decision on Petition No. 93-67 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 141 acres located in several locations along and/or adjacent to South Boulevard defined as:

(A) Properties on Northgate Avenue (from O-2 to R-4).

(B) Properties on the east side of South Boulevard between Scaleybark Road and Woodlawn Road (from I-2 to B-2).

(C) Several tracts bound by South Boulevard and Old Pineville Road, north of Woodlawn Road (from I-2 to B-2).

(D) Three areas located on the west side of South Boulevard generally extending from Woodlawn Road to Scaleybark Road (from I-2 to I-1)

(E) Properties located on the west side of South Boulevard between Freeland Lane and Clanton Road (from I-1 and I-2 to B-2).

(F) Properties fronting on Woodlawn Road generally extending form South Tryon Street along I-77 to Old Pineville Road (from I-2 to B-2).

Protest Petitions have been filed and are sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to Areas "A", "B", "C" and two portions of D.
The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas A, E, and F be approved.

The Zoning Committee deferred action on Areas B, C and D for up to 90 days.
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25. Decision on Petition No. 93-68 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 23 acres along South Boulevard including:

(A) Properties on the east side between Magnolia Avenue extending south of Ideal Way (from I-1 to B-2).

(B) Two areas along South Boulevard generally located between Clanton Road and New Bern Street (from I-2 to I-1).

A protest petition has been filed and is not sufficient to invoke the 20% rule.

The Zoning committee recommends that this petition be approved.
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26. Decision on Petition No. 93-69 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 63 acres located in the Sedgefield neighborhood defined as:

(A) Properties fronting on Ardmore Street, Elmhurst Road and Poindexter Drive generally located east of South Boulevard between Ideal Way and Marsh Road (from R-17MF to R-8).

(B) Properties fronting along Belton Street between Mayfield Avenue and South Boulevard (from R-17MF to R-8).

(C) Properties located between Weona Avenue and Conway Street south of Hartford Avenue (from R-17MF to R-8).

(D) Properties on the south side of Haverford Place between Poindexter Drive and Elmhurst Road east of South Boulevard (from O-2 to R-17MF).
A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property, with regards to area "C".

The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas A, B and D be approved.

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area C be rezoned to an R-8MF category in lieu of the requested R-8 district.
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27. Decision on Petition No. 93-70 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 6 acres located in two areas in the Park Road neighborhood defined as:

(A) Properties near the Hillside Drive/Chelsea Drive intersection (from R-22MF to R-8).

(B) Properties between Tranquil Avenue and Hillside Avenue west of Selwyn Avenue (from R-22MF to R-8).

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved.

Attachment 19

28. Decision on Petition No. 93-71 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 25 acres defined as the Queens College campus bounded by Myers Park Traditional School, Queens Road, Radcliffe Avenue and Wellesley Avenue from R-3 to Institutional.

A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule, requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property.

The Zoning committee recommends that this petition be denied.
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29. Decision on Petition No. 93-72 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 12 acres located in the Eastover neighborhood defined as:

(A) Properties fronting on Middleton Drive and Cherokee Road, east of Providence Road (from R-22MF to R-5).

(B) Properties fronting on Circle Avenue between Willoughby Street and Colonial Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5).

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
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30. Decision on Petition No. 93-74 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 23 acres located in the Myers Park neighborhood on both sides of Kings Drive between Brunswick Avenue and Queens Road from O-2 to R-8.

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
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31. Decision on Petition No. 93-76 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 18 acres located in the Dilworth neighborhood defined as:

(A) A tract located on the northeast corner of Ideal Way and Kenilworth Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF).

(B) Land located off the east side of Kenilworth Avenue north of Ideal Way and west of Floral Avenue (from B-2 to B-1).

(C) Property that fronts on Scott Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue between Ideal Way and Ordermore Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5).

Protest petitions have been filed and are sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property with regards to Areas "A" and "B".
The Zoning Committee recommends that Area A be denied

The Zoning Committee recommends that Areas B & C be approved
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32. Decision on Petition No. 93-77 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 25 acres located in the Dilworth neighborhood defined as:

(A) An area generally bounded by Euclid Avenue, Springdale Avenue, Tremont Avenue and Worthington Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5)

(B) Property fronting Park Avenue between Euclid Avenue and Lyndhurst Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5).

(C) Properties fronting Lexington Avenue and Mt. Vernon Avenue from Mt. Vernon Avenue to Euclid Avenue, excluding multifamily unit on southwest corner of Myrtle Avenue and Euclid Avenue (from R-22MF to R-8).

(D) Properties fronting Lexington Avenue between Myrtle Avenue and Oriole Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF).

Protest petitions have been filed and are sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, in order to rezone the property with regards to Areas "B" and "D".

Protest Petition is not sufficient to invoke the 20% rule with regards to Area A.

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
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33. Decision on Petition No. 93-78 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 31 acres located in the Cherry neighborhood along Kings Drive, Independence Boulevard and Cecil Street between Fox Drive and Henley Street (excluding Midtown Mall property) from B-2 and O-2 to B-1, O-2 and R-22F.
The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved with the modification that the B-2 property fronting on Independence Boulevard be rezoned to R-8.
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34. Decision on Petition No. 93-79 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 35 acres located in the Cherry neighborhood along Torrence Street and Baldwin Avenue defined as:

(A) North of Main Street and south of Third Street (from R-22MF to R-6).

(B) South of Baxter Street between Cherry Street and Queens Road (from B-1, B-2 and R-22MF to R-6).

A protest petition has been filed and is sufficient to invoke the 20% rule requiring affirmative votes of 3/4 of the Mayor and Councilmembers, not excused from voting, with regards to Area "A" only.

The Zoning Committee recommends that Area A be approved with the exception of the property north of Ranlo Avenue on both sides of Torrence Street.

The Zoning committee recommends that Area B be approved
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35. Decision on Petition No. 93-80 by Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission for a change in zoning for approximately 32 acres located throughout the Cherry neighborhood along Eli Street, Cherry Street, Baxter Street, Luther Street and south of Amherst Street from R-22MF, B-1 and B-2 to R-8 and R-12MF.

The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
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36. Appointments to Boards and Commissions

1. SISTER CITIES COMMITTEE
   One appointment to fill an unexpired term ending April 5, 1994. Linda Crossland did not meet attendance requirements.

✓ (a) Linda Hammond by Councilmember Wheeler
   (b) Samuel Long by Councilmember Reid
   (c) David Rich by Councilmember Hammond
   (d) Reverend Raymond Worsley by Councilmember Majeed
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2. TREE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
   Two regular three year terms beginning January, 1994. Incumbents Joe Savage and Donna Miller not eligible for reappointment.

✓ (a) Heather Fisk by Councilmember Wheeler
   (b) Nancy Williams by Councilmember Hammond
   (c) John Woods by Councilmember Reid
   ✓ (d) Carrie Winter by Councilmember Patterson
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3. WASTE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
   ✓ Pamela F. Sharp is recommended by the Chairman of the Clean City Committee to be recommended to the County Commissioners for their appointment
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37. Arts Commission Slate

Action:

Approve the slate of new Public Art Commission members in compliance with the revised resolution/ordinance that restructured the Public Art Commission.

Explanation of Request:

The slate is submitted to City Council for approval by the current Public Art Commission and the Arts and Science Council:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City (District)</th>
<th>County (District)</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Francis Tolles (3)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Grosch (1)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Hill (2)</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Duncan (5)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudy Cooper (4)</td>
<td>To be Determined (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Sommers (3)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Simms (7)</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Verruto (1)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Gorelock (3)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie Counts (4)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daphne Dwyer (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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38. Set Executive Session

Action:

Recommend adoption of a motion to hold an Executive Session at the conclusion of this meeting for the purpose of conferring with the City Attorney’s Office about claims submitted by several garbage haulers as permitted by the State Open Meeting Law.
39. Various Bids

A. Video Cameras used to Televisé Council/Commission Meetings

Recommendation: The Director of Support Services recommends that the low bid of $94,822 by Technical Video Systems, Inc. of Winston Salem, NC be accepted for the replacement of three video cameras.

No known MWBE vendor for this equipment.
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B. Village Lake Dam Improvements

Recommendation: The City Engineer recommends that the low bid of $372,944.55 by Jones Grading of Charlotte, NC be accepted. The low bidder is a certified MBE.

C. Vacuum Type Street Sweeper

Recommendation: The Director of Transportation recommends that the low bid of $105,319 by North Carolina Equipment Co. of Charlotte, NC be accepted.

No known MWBE vendors for this equipment.

D. Automotive Batteries

Recommendation: The Director of Support Services recommends that the low bid of $69,195 by Cooks Battery of Rock Hill, SC be accepted.

No known MWBE vendor for this commodity.
E. Conversion of 26 Refuse Trucks to Automated Solid Waste Services

Recommendation: The Director of Solid Waste Services recommends that the low bid of $745,498 by Local South of Rockingham, NC be accepted. No known MWBE vendors for this project.

40. Refund of Certain Taxes

Action:

Adopt a resolution authorizing the refund of certain taxes assessed through clerical or assessor error in the amount of $11,834.60.
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41. Abandon Street and Set Public Hearing (E.15th St.)

Action:

A. Adopt the Resolution of Intent to abandon a portion of East 15th Street and an Alleyway; and

B. Set a Public Hearing for November 8, 1993.
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42. Abandon Street and Set Public Hearing (Portions of 4 Streets)

Action:

A. Adopt the Resolution of Intent to abandon Carver Drive and Shady Hills Circle and a portion of Hampton Church Road and Pharr Street; and

B. Set a Public Hearing for November 8, 1993.
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43. Traffic Agreement - City of Charlotte/NCDOT

Action:

A. Approve a Traffic Agreement between the City of Charlotte and the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the installation of a video vehicle detection system at a cost of $56,000 at the intersection of Freedom Drive and Bradford Drive, and

B. Adopt a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the Agreement (Project I-0301C).
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44. Irwin Avenue School Culvert Contract Correction

Action: That Council correct the amount of an agreement with the Charlotte Mecklenburg School Board for the construction of a culvert at Irwin Avenue School in conjunction with school renovations. The original agreement was approved by Council at the September 27, 1993, meeting.

Explanation of Request:

- The amount of the City’s share of the construction cost was typed incorrectly in the agenda. The not to exceed amount for the agreement was $300,000.00 and should have been $375,000.00
CONSENT II

45. New Charlotte Convention Center - Change Order No. 4

Action:

Approve Change Order #4 to the contract with Cives Corporation in the amount of $479,880.00 to perform additional structural steel work for the new Charlotte Convention Center. This will increase the contract amount from $12,650,067.30 to 13,129,947.30.

Explanation of Request:

- The new Convention Center is using the fast track method of construction. It was necessary to bid and award the structural steel contract before the final detailing to the structural steel design was complete so that the normal lead time for steel procurement could be properly absorbed in the construction schedule.

- The project team was aware that the structural steel drawings were not complete at the time they were issued for bid and that change orders would be required to make the steel contract inclusive.

- The low bid received from Cives Steel Company was below the estimate by $1,330,452.00 which partially reflects the percent complete the drawings were at bid time.

- With this change order, the Convention Center project is still within budget.

- The structural steel design is now complete. This change order with Cives Steel Company provides for a portion of additional and revised members of structural steel.

- An additional change order will be forthcoming on structural steel.
Background: On April 27, 1992, City Council approved a contract with Cives Corporation in the amount of $10,851,576.00 to provide for procurement, fabrication, delivery, unloading, and finished erection of all structural steel and metal deck for the new Convention Center.

Change Order #1 was approved by City Engineering staff, and involved a contractual change. This change order involved no dollars.

On January 11, 1993, City Council approved Change Order #2 in the amount of $700,000 to perform additional structural steel work.

On May 17, 1993, City Council approved Change Order #3 in the amount of $1,098,491.30 to perform additional structural steel work.
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46. CH2M Hill Amendment

Action: Approve Amendment No. 6 to the agreement with CH2M Hill Southeast, Inc. (CH2M Hill) in the amount of $337,600.00 to provide engineering services for the Solids Stabilization (Composting) Facility at McAlpine Creek Wastewater Management Facility.

Explanation of Request:

- The City received a $91.1 million matching grant from the State to build an $182 million Solids Stabilization Facility at the McAlpine Wastewater Treatment Facility. This grant is a result of the Clean Water Act of 1972.

- This project will benefit the community by providing a range of disposal options for the solids which are a by-product of wastewater treatment. This innovative approach to solids disposal will meet the new federal regulations, be environmentally sound, and allow for continued growth in the community. See attached chronology for more detail.
The Solids Stabilization Facility will produce compost and an alkaline stabilized product. Currently solids are disposed of by land application. Each application site requires a site specific permit and a company to haul and apply the material. The new products will require a distribution permit but not site specific permits on hauling and placement. The current land application is restricted to agricultural usage while the new products will have more flexible uses.

Amendments have been added to the original agreement as a result of evolving technology and federal regulations regarding the treatment and use of sewage sludge. The project was also delayed due to protests from a composting system vendor. See Background Attachment for details regarding these amendments.

Two value engineering studies were completed in Amendment No. 5 as well as detailed discussions with various regulatory agencies about their requirements. This all resulted in several scope changes that required 44 additional drawings be produced. Amendment No. 6 provides engineering services to produce the additional drawings as well as additional bid phase service work not covered in the original scope. The changes will reduce the construction cost from $20.6 million to $18.2 million.

Project Cost: Amendment No. 6 is paid on a cost plus fixed fee as required by the Environmental Protection Agency. Following is a breakdown of the costs.
Design Work as a Result of Increased Scope  $235,900
Design Work to be Performed to Reduce Construction Costs  $ 79,700.
Additional Bid Phase Services  $ 22,000
AMENDMENT NO. 6 TOTAL  $337,600

The rates charged by CH2M Hill are comparable to the rates charged by other firms for similar services in this area and were established in the original agreement.

The total amount of the agreement with CH2M Hill including Amendment No 6 is $4,051,472

Future Project Cost:
An additional amendment or a new contract will be required to cover services during the construction of the Project. These services will include engineering and resident observation services. This additional amendment or contract is anticipated to be on a February 1994 Council Agenda.

Attachment 38

47. Grant Application to Federal Transit Administration and NCDOT

A. Adopt resolutions amending the FY94-FY98 Capital Improvement Program and authorizing grant applications to the Federal Transit Administration and the North Carolina Department of Transportation for FY94 transit assistance, and
B. Adopt a budget ordinance appropriating grant funds and the City match.
City Council is requested to authorize the submission of applications to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and to the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for FY94 transit assistance.

- **Capital Assistance**

  The FY94 capital program includes:

  1. Replacement of 3 minibuses and 7 additional minibuses $350,000
  2. Purchase of computers for CTS/STS operations/administration 75,000
  3. Purchase of maintenance equipment 50,000
  4. Construction of additional parking for 48 buses and 46 employee autos at the Transit Maintenance Operations Center (TMOC) 1,102,500
  5. Replacement of 4 vanpool vehicles 80,000
  6. Rehabilitation of bus washer trench aprons 30,000

Total $1,687,500

Funding for these capital improvements is as follows:

- **FTA (80%)** $1,350,000
- **NCDOT (10%)** 168,750
- **CITY (10%)** 168,750

Total $1,687,500

- **Operating Assistance**

  The FY94 grant from the FTA will provide the maximum anticipated appropriation for operating assistance of approximately $1,285,729, to partially offset the transit system's deficit.
**Background.**

Annually, the City applies for grants from the FTA and the NCDOT to assist in the funding of the transit program. FTA’s formula grant program, Section 9, allocates funds for both capital and operating assistance. At one time, operating assistance covered 50% of the operating deficit. In 1987, the FTA capped its participation in operating assistance, and it is now $1,287,810. NCDOT does not provide operating assistance, so our State grant application requests capital funds only.
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**48. Performing Arts Center Capital Account**

**Action:**

Adopt a budget ordinance appropriating $1,006,301.77 to the Performing Arts Center Capital Account.

**Explanation of Request:**

The agreement between the City and the Performing Arts Center requires that all funding related to construction of the facility be appropriated by Council. Staff is working on correcting minor repairs with the contractor and a final payment will be due after the repairs are corrected.

This appropriation provides funding for the final payment.

**49. Neighborhood Through Traffic Program - Waterman Street**

**Action:**

Authorize the City Manager or his designee to sign right-of-entry agreement necessary to close Waterman Street, as part of Neighborhood Through Traffic Program.
Explanation of Request:

- The Briar Creek/Woodland Neighborhood Association, under the City's Neighborhood Through Traffic Program, has petitioned to close Waterman Avenue. In order to barricade Waterman, the Masters Economy Inn driveway on Waterman will need to be reconstructed to accommodate larger vehicles that formerly used a driveway that will be cut off by the barricade. City forces will reconstruct the driveway, with the neighborhood contributing the cost of the materials. The project requires execution of a right-of-entry agreement for City forces to get on the hotel property.

- City Council approval is needed since the Council Neighborhood Through Traffic policy of 2/27/89 requires that Council review any street closing done as part of this policy.

- The Department of Transportation will contribute approximately $500 in labor to rebuild the driveway, and $500 to install the barricade. The neighborhood will contribute approximately $250 in materials to rebuild the driveway. The City's share will be funded within the existing departmental budget.

- The neighborhood request is supported by a 75% petition of the households in the Waterman vicinity.

Background:

On 10/24/77, 4/2/80, 2/27/89, and 5/25/91 City Council approved a Neighborhood Through Traffic Policy and amendments. As part of the policy, Council endorsed closing streets as a remedy to control speeding and through traffic, but only if no other remedies would work. Also, of all the remedies, only a street closing would require Council's review and approval.

Staff has determined that no other remedy would address the neighborhood's through traffic problem.
50. Municipal Agreement - City of Charlotte/NCDOT

Action:

A. Approve a Municipal Agreement between the City of Charlotte and the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for $75,000 to improve the CSX Transportation grade crossing with Thrift Road, and

B. Adopt a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the Agreement (Project Z-2967N).

Explanation of Request:

Council is asked to

- Approve the Traffic Agreement between the City and NCDOT required for improvements to the CSX Transportation grade crossing with Thrift Road.
- Adopt the Resolution to authorize the Mayor to execute the Municipal Agreement
- The Municipal Agreement will reimburse the City for 90 percent of the project costs associated with the installation of automatic warning devices on Thrift Road at CSX Transportation Crossing 631-364S
- Reimbursement to the City for 90 percent of all eligible improvement costs shall be made by the NCDOT with a final invoice to be submitted by the City within one year upon completion of the project
- Funds for the remaining 10 percent are located in the Safer Roads Demonstration Program Capital Account
- The City is also responsible for 50 percent of the annual maintenance costs which is estimated at $490.00.
Background:

- The CSX Transportation grade crossing with Thrift Road require certain crossing protection improvements.
- A Municipal Agreement between the City and the NCDOT is required before all crossing related improvements can proceed

51. Transportation Planning Agreement

Action:

Approve a resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute a standing agreement between the City of Charlotte as designated lead agency for the Mecklenburg/Union Metropolitan Planning Organization (MUMPO) and the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for transportation planning funds.

Explanation of Request:

- Approve the agreement with NCDOT regarding the disbursement and accounting of urban transportation planning and technical studies
- Adopt a resolution to authorize the City Manager to execute this agreement
- The MUMPO share of the Federal planning funds is provided on 80-20 basis
  - 80% - Federal
  - 10% - NCDOT
  - 10% - City of Charlotte

Background

For many years, the City received these Section 8 planning funds directly from the Federal Transit Administration. In FY 93, the NCDOT was designated as the recipient for all FTA planning funds.
This agreement will provide for the administrative framework for future financing arrangements with the North Carolina Department of Transportation.

52. Lower Speed Limit - Four City Streets

Action: Adoption of ordinance to lower the speed limit on four Charlotte streets.

Policy Statement: Council policy provides that speed limits should be 45 mph on thoroughfares and 35 mph on residential streets unless:

1) an engineering evaluation indicates a lowered speed limit is needed, or

2) in the case of certain qualified residential streets, a 75% petition is received from area residents.

Explanation of Request:

- Request Council adopt an ordinance to lower the speed limit, in accordance with Council’s approved policy, on:

  - Boyer Street from Wilkinson Boulevard north to dead end 25 MPH
  - Arnold Drive from Central Avenue to Eastway Drive 25 MPH
  - Toddville Road from Mount Holly Road to Tuckaseeege Road 35 MPH
  - Eastway Drive from Monroe Road to Independence Boulevard 35 MPH

- Funding of required signing will be through the Department of Transportation. The cost of signing is approximately $25 per sign. Approximately $500 worth of signs will be needed. This cost of new signing is programmed within the departmental budget.
Background:
The Department of Transportation has completed an engineering evaluation and determined that the lowered speed limits are appropriate. The first three speed limit changes were initiated by citizen inquiry. The last, Eastway Drive, is being lowered in conjunction with the upcoming construction of the intersection of Eastway/Monroe/Wendover. The lowered speed limit will raised back to 45 MPH after project completion.

53. Speed Limits for Recently Annexed Streets

Action:
Adopt an ordinance to approve the speed limit on five Charlotte City Streets recently annexed. The North Carolina Department of Transportation had already approved and signed the streets as 25 miles per hour.

Explanation of Request:
Request Council adopt an ordinance to approve a speed limit, in accordance with Council’s approved policy:

- Alexa Road from Providence Road to dead end at Oak Pond Circle - 25 MPH
- Annalexia Lane from Providence Road West to Hollybrook Drive - 25 MPH
- Hollybrook Drive from Alexa Road to dead end - 25 MPH
- Linden Forest Lane from Providence Forest Lane to end of maintenance - 25 MPH
- Oak Pond Circle from Alexa Road to northwest circular Alexa Road - 25 MPH

Background:
On June 30, 1993 the City of Charlotte annexed a number of areas around the City. The streets included in this request are neighborhood streets that the North Carolina Department of Transportation had already approved and signed as
25 mph. State Statute requires that local elected officials must agree to any change in the statutory 35 mph municipal speed limit.

54. Right-Of-Way Payment to NationsBank

Action: Approve a budget ordinance appropriating $318,000 for construction of a turn lane, landscape planter and CDOT staff computers

Explanation of Request:

- The City has received a $318,000 payment for use of the street right-of-way during construction of the NationsBank Corporate Center. This payment was not included in the Budget.

- Part of the funds are needed to fulfill a commitment to NationsBank for the following items which total $226,400:

  A right turn lane between East Trade and Fifth Streets, and

  replacement of a landscape planter in the 200 block of East Trade Street

- Other expenses ($29,000) are needed for the purchase of additional computers for CDOT staff to more effectively manage the right-of-way program

- Remaining funds of $62,600 from the right-of-way payment will revert to the fund balance of the General Fund
55. Property Transaction

For Aviation Property Transactions item #A through item #C, the purchase price was determined by an independent appraiser and a review by a second appraiser. Each appraiser takes into consideration specific quality and size of the house. Residential property is acquired per Federal Guidelines 49 CFR Part 24 of the Uniform Acquisition and Relocation Act of 1970. Owners are eligible for relocation benefits. Acquisition and relocation costs are eligible for Federal Aviation Administration reimbursement.

A. Project: F.A.R. Part 150 Land Acquisition
Owner(s): Nathaniel C Jones
Property Address: 3304 East Willow Lane (Church of God property)
Property to be acquired: .25 acres
Improvements: Ranch, 2 bedroom, 2 bath
Tax Value: N/A
Purchase Price: $37,000.00

B. Project: F.A.R. Part 150 Land Acquisition
Owner(s): O. J Cox
Property Address: 3540 West Willow Lane (Church of God property)
Property to be acquired: .25 acres
Improvements: Ranch, 3 bedroom, 2 bath
Tax Value: N/A
Purchase Price: $50,000

C. Project: F.A.R. Part 150 Land Acquisition
Owner(s): Mrs. Henry O. Liles Cox
Property Address: 3409 West Maple Lane (Church of God property)
Property to be acquired: .25 acres
Improvements: Ranch, 2 bedroom, 2 bath
Tax Value: N/A
Purchase Price: $60,000
LEGAL SERVICES OF THE SOUTHERN PIEDMONT, INC.
MISSION STATEMENT, STRATEGIES, AND OBJECTIVES

to ensure a full measure of justice for the poor and needy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To remove legal barriers to homeownership.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Provide legal assistance to 115 individuals and families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Complete all legal work on 50% of these cases by June 30, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Receive &quot;customer satisfaction&quot; verification from both the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership and the Charlotte Habitat for Humanity chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Qualify 35 individuals and families for home ownership loans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To help homeowners and tenants avoid or prevent legal barriers to new or continued home ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Provide legal education to individuals and families through community meetings and workshops upon request of the City or any of its departments, the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership, Habitat for Humanity, or by any Neighborhood Based Community Development Cooperation within the City Within a City area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To assist homeowners and tenants living in the City Within a City area to maintain the viability of the neighborhoods and to develop neighborhood opportunities for economic self-sufficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Close one drug house or have litigation pending to do so by June 30, 1993.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG HOUSING PARTNERSHIP
MISSION STATEMENT, STRATEGIES, AND OBJECTIVES

To expand affordable and well-maintained housing for low- and moderate-income families in Mecklenburg County with a continuing interest in the ability of occupants to more fully enter into the economic mainstream

These objectives are assigned to a separate contract between

THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE AND

THE CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG HOUSING PARTNERSHIP

To increase the number of low- and moderate-income families qualified to purchase, and equipped with the skills necessary to live in a house of their own.

To increase the number of affordable and well-maintained housing units available to low- and moderate-income families living in Mecklenburg County.

1. Acquire, rehabilitate and convert 179 of the City-financed multi-family units currently operated by the Charlotte Housing Authority (CHA) into low-income housing rental units and support spaces (rental offices, laundry, etc.) in accordance with the plans mutually agreed to by the CHA, the CMHP and the City of Charlotte.

2. Sale or rent a minimum of 35 units which are in CMHP's June 30, 1993 inventory (as set forth in the July 1, 1992 to June 30, 1993 fiscal year year report for CMHP as submitted to the Community Development Department of the City of Charlotte on August 2, 1993)

3. Produce 50 new housing units through 1) new construction,
   2) acquisition and rehabilitation of existing housing stock or
   3) providing financing for the construction or rehabilitation of qualifying low income housing units
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## Status of Innovative Housing Fund
**October 11, 1993**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITIES</th>
<th>3 Month Extenson</th>
<th>FY94 2nd Amount</th>
<th>Total FY94 Amount</th>
<th>Status Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Match for the federal HOME Grant</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$332,025</td>
<td>$332,025</td>
<td>No further Council action necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McAlpine Terrace/Glen Cove Annual Subsidy</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
<td>No further Council action necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepping Stone</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>No further Council action necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Code Enforcement (Used to fund 1/2 of the Division's costs)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>No further Council action necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) CM Housing Partnership Grant</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>Ready for Council approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) 50 Unit Housing Development Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal – Housing Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA Self-Sufficiency Program</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Council approved September 27th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Purchase Counseling – Housing Partnership</td>
<td>$23,070</td>
<td>$113,352</td>
<td>$138,422</td>
<td>Council approved September 27th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Services (Removes credit barriers to homeownership)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
<td>Ready for Council approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Housing Services</td>
<td>$74,585</td>
<td>$223,755</td>
<td>$298,340</td>
<td>Extended one additional month, scheduled for October 25th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWCA – Scatter Site Housing (After school enrichment program)</td>
<td>$13,563</td>
<td>$40,690</td>
<td>$54,253</td>
<td>Council approved September 27th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crime Prevention (SNAP) – Housing Authority</td>
<td>$38,030</td>
<td>$114,090</td>
<td>$152,120</td>
<td>Council approved September 27th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crisis Assistance</td>
<td>$27,558</td>
<td>$68,874</td>
<td>$96,424</td>
<td>Council approved September 27th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHDO Administrative Support</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$130,100</td>
<td>$130,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Based Rental Assistance (CWAC)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of 909 City-financed Housing Units</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$29,600</td>
<td>Ready for Council approval and presented as a separate agenda item</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**                                                                 | $675,807         | $2,776,886     | $3,452,692       |

* $125,405 available for programming
BACKGROUND

- At its July 12, 1993 Housing Workshop, City Council made strengthening the capacity of community-based organizations one of its highest priorities in housing and community development.

- Council approved an allocation of up to $200,000 for capacity-building grants to CHDOs for staff salaries, operating costs and training. This allocation consists of a $69,900 maximum in federal HOME funds and up to $130,100 in local Innovative Housing funds.

- At a series of meetings and through written requests from the Community Development Department, the CHDOs eligible for the funds are requesting from the City jointly a total of $176,700 (with the unallocated $23,300 to be retained in the Innovative Housing Fund for future requests).

- At a September 17, 1993 meeting, the CHDOs collectively agreed upon the distribution of the funds.

- There are 8 CHDOs in the City of Charlotte eligible for this funding. Two (2) meetings have been held between City staff and the CHDOs (August 18 and September 17, 1993). Between the 2 meetings, all 8 CHDOs participated, however, the Cherry Community Organization did not return the Information Request Form which asked for the following:
  - Current Operating Budget and Sources of Funds
  - Current Level of Staff
  - Program Goals for the Next Two Years
  - Current Unmet Organizational Needs Listed in Priority Order
  - Funds Needed to Satisfy the Unmet Needs
  - Position on Whether CHDOs Should Share Training, Technical and Other Resources and How This Might be Achieved

Therefore, of the 8 eligible CHDOs, 7 are being funded since the Cherry Community Organization did not officially request funding.
BELMONT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION  
Box 36031, Charlotte, NC 28226

October 1, 1993

J. W. Walton, Director  
Community Development Department  
600 East Fourth Street  
Charlotte, NC 28202

Dear Jay:

This letter is to request from the City of Charlotte funding support for staff, operations and training in the amount of $30,000. This will allow the Belmont Community Development Corporation to increase its role in the revitalization of Belmont, Optimist Park and Villa Heights.

Community-based organizations are the city's best tools to meet the needs for affordable housing and economic development in our inner city. Thirty thousand dollars will give us the additional support that we need as we expand our role and geographic boundaries.

Attached is the budget plus job descriptions. Most costs are based on our best estimates, based on discussions with utility companies and other service providers. We are in the process of moving into our office and securing equipment. This money will be put to good use, and we are all grateful for it and what it makes possible.

Thank you for all you do to make this a better place to live.

Sincerely,

Nancy Berry  
Executive Director
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PERSONAL SERVICES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>011</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-REG</td>
<td>3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>012</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-OT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>013</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>014</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-PERM PART-TIME</td>
<td>12,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>015</td>
<td>ADMIN &amp; CLERICAL SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>016</td>
<td>TRANSP-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>017</td>
<td>MAINT-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>018</td>
<td>SUPPL WAGES-AWARDS,STLMTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>020</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE MERIT PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>084</td>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT COMP OR TAXES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>085</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY TAX</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>086</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>087</td>
<td>EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT EXP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>088</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE LIFE INS PREMIUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>089</td>
<td>OTH EMP FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>090</td>
<td>SICK LEAVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>091</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>092</td>
<td>VACATION PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>093</td>
<td>OTHER PAID ABSENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES</strong></td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OPERATING EXPENSES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/TELEGRAPH</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>POSTAGE</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>PRINTING AND PUBLISHING</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>132</td>
<td>PS&amp;I DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>135</td>
<td>DATA PROCESSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>ADVERTISING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>ADVERTISING-MEDIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE - EQ SERVI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>142</td>
<td>RENTAL OF LAND &amp; BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>RENTAL-UNIFM, PROT CLTHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>LANDFILL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>WRECKER SERVICE RENTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>AUTO &amp; OTHER ALLOWANCES</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>UTILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>POWER FOR PUMPING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL OPER/TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>M&amp;R-EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>M&amp;R-MOTORIZED EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>M&amp;R-LAND &amp; WATER AREAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>M&amp;R-COMMUNICATION EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILD RENOV &amp; CONST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>M&amp;R-STREETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>M&amp;R-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>SERVICE CONTRACTS-REIMB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>TRAVEL/MEETINGS/CONFERENCES</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>EXP ALLOW/CITY COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>EMP TRAINING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>TRAINING/CONFERENCES/MEETINGS</td>
<td>2,512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>PROF FEES-ACCTNG/AUDITING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>PROF FEES-LEGAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>ADJUSTER'S FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>COMPUTER FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>TEMPORARY HELP FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>CONTRACT MAINTENANCE SERV</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>SECURITY SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>FEES-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>FEES-ARCHITECTURAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>FEES-LABORATORY TESTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>DUES/SUBSCRIPTIONS</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>LAUNDRY/CLEANING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>SUBS/CARE &amp; SUPP OF PERSONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>MISC CONTRACTUAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>PREM FOR PHYS DAMAGE INS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>PREMIUMS FOR PL/PD INS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>OUTS-UNION SETTLEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>WORKER'S COMPENSATION</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>DAMAGE CLAIM PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>RESERVE FOR INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERV-AIRPORT DEPT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>MAIN/CONST MATERIALS &amp; SUPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>MAIN WATER METERS-REPAIR PTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>MOTOR FUELS &amp; LUBRICANTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>LUBRICANTS-SPEC EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>TIRES &amp; TUBES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>EQUIP, REPAIR PTS, SUPPL &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>CHEMICALS &amp; LAB SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>CHEMICALS-WATER TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>SMALL TOOLS &amp; EXPEND EQUIP</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>UNIFORMS/PROT CLOTHING &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>JANITORIAL &amp; CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>OTH SPEC DEPARTMENTAL SUPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>PURCHASE FOR RESALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SUNDRY ACQUISITION COST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>STREET IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>CITY-CNTY CONSOL ACTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>LICENSES AND TAXES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>HIGHWAY USE TAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>FUEL TAXES - DIESEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>EXHIBITIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES 12,000

CAPITAL OUTLAY:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>LAND OTHER THAN RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>NON-STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>DEMOL. OF STRUCT. FOR LAND REDEV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>STREET CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>522</td>
<td>SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>WATER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>SEWER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNALS &amp; CONTROL DEVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>BUILD CONST CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>BUILDING IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SUBRECIPIENT NAME:**

BELMONT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION  
PO BOX 36031  
CHARLOTTE, NC 28226

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>OTHER STRUCTURES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>GEN CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>ELEC CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>MECHANICAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>AUTO VEH &amp; SELF-PROP EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>OFFICE EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>ROLLING EQUIP- NOT SELF-PROP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>SHOP MACHINERY &amp; EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>RADIO EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>LAND FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>STATIONARY PLANT EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>LEASE PURCHASES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY**

**SUB-TOTAL**

36,000

**TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET**
Supporting documentation - City of Charlotte Agency Request
Budget Form

011 Executive Director
014 Secretary/Neighborhood liaison
085 Social security tax for positions above
086 Health insurance
111 Telephone/fax
113 Postage
131 Printing - office materials/brochures
147 Utilities - gas and electricity
161 Travel for training - air/mileage
164 Training tuition and expenses
177 Contract maintenance service for office/properties
191 Dues/subscriptions
271 Worker's compensation
271 Office supplies - general
BELMONT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

JOB DESCRIPTION - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (draft)

The Executive Director is responsible to the Board of Directors for both the management and implementation of the program of the corporation. It is the job of the Executive Director to carry out, delegate to staff, or accomplish through board committee initiative a variety of functions which are outlined below.

Community relations
Work with the neighborhood organizations to address housing and other neighborhood issues. Assist the organizations to carry out their missions when these directly or indirectly affect BCDC program objectives. Assist in the development of local leadership.

Lending
Provide loan counseling services. Work with lenders to develop innovative techniques to assist moderate and low income borrowers.

Financial management
Develop draft of the annual budget for review. Work with the treasurer to monitor an appropriate bookkeeping system.

Board development
Work closely with the board chair to plan meeting agendas, coordinate assignments and monitor progress. Provide monthly reports to the board. Assist in identifying candidates for board membership.

Construction management
Help establish policies and procedures which will assure quality in both contractor selection and rehab work.

Counseling and education
Provide or delegate counseling to neighborhood clients in the areas of credit, real estate purchase and sale, energy conservation, historic preservation, home repair, insurance and business development.

Planning and evaluation
Provide leadership to the board for the development and annual revision of a corporate plan to achieve neighborhood revitalization. Maintain records of service delivery and collect data to assist in the evaluation of program productivity and impact.
**Administration**
Develop and periodically review personnel policies, salary levels and benefit packages. Hire and, if necessary, terminate staff. Orient new staff, provide opportunities for professional development and evaluate staff performance on a regular basis.

**Public relations**
Develop promotional materials to market the BCDC program. Develop and maintain good working relationships with other community organizations, business leaders, political leaders and civil servants. Publicize positive developments in the neighborhoods. Work with existing associations to market BCDC services.
JOB DESCRIPTION - OFFICE ASSISTANT/NEIGHBORHOOD LIAISON (draft)

The Office assistant/Neighborhood liaison is responsible to the Executive Director. Since a great deal of the work of the BCDC involves confidential information, this position is a sensitive one.

Office management
Perform general office duties that include typing/word processing, filing, answering telephones, greeting visitors, taking and relaying messages and other general duties. Take information for loan applications.

Neighborhood liaison
Work with the neighborhood organizations and other groups and outside agencies to help develop BCDC programs that are needed in the community. Attend meetings when necessary. Assist with organizing and involving residents. Relate to diverse individuals and motivate them to identify and resolve housing issues. Make referrals to community resources when appropriate. Help with research.
September 30, 1993

Mr. James Walton, Director
Community Development Department
City of Charlotte
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2859

Re: Grant Request for Administrative and Capacity Building Funds

Dear James,

The Grier Heights Economic Foundation respectfully request funding for capacity building of our community-based organization. In order to carry out our objectives and programs, we request a grant for $36,200.

These funds will be used for organizational purposes to staff, operate, and train that will compliment our foundation initiatives.

Permit this letter to confirm our request for funds per your communication dated September 20, 1993.

Sincerely,

George A. Wallace, President
GHEF

cc Elise Holmes
    GHEF Board of Directors

GAW/dsw
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-REG</td>
<td>16,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-OT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-TEMPORARY</td>
<td>4,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-PERM PART-TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>ADMIN &amp; CLERICAL SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016</td>
<td>TRANSP-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017</td>
<td>MAINT-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018</td>
<td>SUPPL WAGES AWARDS.STLMITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE MERIT PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>084</td>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT COMP OR TAXES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>085</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY TAX</td>
<td>Fringe Benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>086</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td>Estimate 3,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT EXP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE LIFE INS PREMIUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>089</td>
<td>OTH EMP FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090</td>
<td>SICK LEAVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PAY</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>VACATION PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093</td>
<td>OTHER PAID ABSENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES** 24,970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/TELEGRAPH</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>POSTAGE</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>PRINTING AND PUBLISHING</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>PS&amp;I DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>DATA PROCESSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>ADVERTISING</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>ADVERTISING-MEDIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE - EQ SERVI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>RENTAL OF LAND &amp; BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>RENTAL-UNIFORM, PROT CLTHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>LANDFILL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>WRECKER SERVICE RENTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>AUTO &amp; OTHER ALLOWANCES</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>UTILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td>POWER FOR PUMPING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td>ELECTRICAL OPER TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-MOTORIZED EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILDINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-LAND &amp; WATER AREAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-COMMUNICATION EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILD RENOV &amp; CONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R-STREETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;R OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
<td>SERVICE CONTRACTS-REDIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td>TRAVEL/MEETINGS CONFERENCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td>EXP ALLOW/CITY COUNCIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td>EMP TRAINING EXPENSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td>TRAINING/CONFERENCES/MEETINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROF FEES-ACCTNG/AUDITNG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td>PROF FEES-LEGAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>MANAGEMENT FEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td>ADJUSTER S FEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td>COMPUTER FEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td></td>
<td>TEMPORARY HELP FEES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td>CONTRACT MAINTENANCE SERV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
<td>SECURITY SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
<td>FEES-OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td></td>
<td>FEES-ARCHITECTURAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td>FEES-LABORATORY TESTING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td>DUES/SUBSCRIPTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td></td>
<td>LAUNDRY/CLEANING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUBSID/CARE &amp; SUPP OF PERSONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td>MISC CONTRACTUAL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>PREM FOR PHYS DAMAGE INS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td></td>
<td>INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
<td>PREM FOR PL/PD INS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td></td>
<td>PAYOUTS-UNION SETTLEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
<td>WORKER S COMPENSATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAMAGE CLAIM PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td></td>
<td>RESERVE FOR INSURANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERV-AIRPORT DEPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>MAIN/CONST MATERIALS &amp; SUPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>MAIN WATER METERS-REPAIR PTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>MOTOR FUELS &amp; LUBRICANTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>LUBRICANTS-SPEC EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>TIRES &amp; TUBES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>EQUIP, REPAIR PTS, SUPPL &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>CHEMICALS &amp; LAB SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>CHEMICALS-WATER TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>SMALL TOOLS &amp; EXPEND EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>UNIFORMS PROT CLOTHING &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>JANITORIAL &amp; CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>OTH SPEC DEPARTMENTAL SUPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>PURCHASE FOR RESALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SUNDRY ACQUISITION COST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>STREET IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>CITY-CNTY CONSOL ACTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>LICENSES AND TAXES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>HIGHWAY USE TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>FUEL TAXES - DIESEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>EXHIBITIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES** 8,100.00

**CAPITAL OUTLAY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>LAND OTHER THAN RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>NON-STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>DEMOL. OF STRUCT FOR LAND REDEV.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>STREET CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>WATER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>SEWER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNALS &amp; CONTROL DEVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>BUILD CONST CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>BUILDING IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>OTHER STRUCTURES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>GEN CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>ELEC CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>MECHANICAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>AUTO VEH &amp; SELF-PROP EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>OFFICE EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>3,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>ROLLING EQUIP- NOT SELF-PROP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>SHOP MACHINERY &amp; EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>RADIO EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY EASEMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>LAND FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>STATIONARY PLANT EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>LEASE PURCHASES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY**  
3,130.00

**SUB-TOTAL**

**TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET**  
36,200.00
GRIER HEIGHTS ECONOMIC FOUNDATION, INC.
P.O. BOX 32242
Charlotte, NC 28232

A Non-Profit Corporation
ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR

Job Description

* Follow and carry out the policies of The Grier Heights Economic Foundation

* Carry out those job and duty assignment directed by the board, President and executive committee of GHEF in a timely and professional manner

* Keep abreast of community issues and concerns in the Grier Heights Community

* Make recommendations and suggestions to the Board of Directors and President on ways of addressing issues and concerns in the Grier Heights Community

* Keep abreast of governmental housing and social programs that pertain to Grier Heights

* Attend meetings, conferences, and training sessions designated by the President and the Associate Director deem appropriate to the objectives of GHEF.

* Identify and carry out personal development objectives

* Observe designated and scheduled working hours, be available for unscheduled working hours and assignments

* Coordinate assistance needed to perfect every day duties and job responsibilities as Associate Director

* Prepare reports to the President on a scheduled basis on job performance and activities.
Supplementary Instructions and Documentation

Please provide the following documentation below:

For each line item attach supporting documentation including job descriptions, job duties and projected salaries, basis for projection (for example projected monthly utility bills), and descriptions and cost estimates for all capital outlay or training items.

Job Responsibilities and Projected Budget Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>Clerical - A local agency will provide primary clerical assistance. A pool of professional typist will be a secondary resource for clerical assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>084, 085 &amp; 086</td>
<td>Fringe Benefits (a) 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091</td>
<td>10 Recognized Holidays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Projected monthly telephone bills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Customary Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Stationary, brochures and flyers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Public Service Announcements and Signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Mileage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Out of town meetings and conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Local meetings and seminars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Hired consultants and advisors as needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Professional Accountant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Legal Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>Paper, Pencils, Pens, Paper Clips, Etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>Computer, Desk, Chair, File Cabinet, Etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 1, 1993

Mr. J. W. Walton, Director
Community Development Department
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-2859

Dear Jay,

Thank you for your letter of September 20, 1993 concerning my organization's request for funds from City Council.

I am enclosing the forms you sent me with the correct sums entered in the correct line items (I hope).

The training will be for myself and other persons in the community organization needing specific training. The money for the salary is for the Executive Director position. If more information is needed, please let me know.

I have enjoyed the meetings thus far and am learning more each time. I find this position very rewarding and fun. Let me know if I can be of more help at this time.

Very truly yours,

Jeannine Robertson
Coordinator

Enclosure
Supplementary Instructions and Documentation

Please provide the following documentation below.

For each line item attach supporting documentation including job descriptions, job duties and projected salaries, basis for projections (for example projected monthly utility bills) and descriptions and cost estimates for all capital outlay or training items.

Executive Director Salary - C.O.C.

Training - Executive Director
President & Community Involvement
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-REG</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-OT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-PERM PART-TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>ADMIN &amp; CLERICAL SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016</td>
<td>TRANSP-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017</td>
<td>MAINT-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018</td>
<td>SUPPL WAGES AWARDS STLMTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE MERIT PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>084</td>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT COMP OR TAKES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>085</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>086</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>087</td>
<td>EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT EXP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>088</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE LIFE INS PREMIUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>089</td>
<td>OTH EMP FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>090</td>
<td>SICK LEAVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>091</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092</td>
<td>VACATION PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>093</td>
<td>OTHER PAID ABSENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES** $5,000.00

**OPERATING EXPENSES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/TELEGRAPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>POSTAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>PRINTING AND PUBLISHING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>PS&amp;I DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>DATA PROCESSING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>ADVERTISING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>ADVERTISING-MEDIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE - EQ SERV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>RENTAL OF LAND &amp; BUILDINGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>RENTAL-UNIFORM, PROT CLOTHING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>LANDFILL SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>WRECKER SERVICE RENTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>AUTO &amp; OTHER ALLOWANCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>UTILITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td>Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERV AIRPORT DEPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>MAIN CONST MATERIALS &amp; SUPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>MAIN WATER METERS-REPAIR PTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>MOTOR FUELS &amp; LUBRICANTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>LUBRICANTS-SPEC EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>TIRES &amp; TUBES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>EQUIP, REPAIR PTS, SUPPL &amp; ACCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>CHEMICALS &amp; LAB SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>CHEMICALS WATER TREATMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>SMALL TOOLS &amp; EXPEND EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>UNIFORMS PROT CLOTHING &amp; ACCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>JANITORIAL &amp; CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>OTH SPEC DEPARTMENTAL SUPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>PURCHASE FOR RESALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SUNDRY ACQUISITION COST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>STREET IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>CITY-CNTY CONSOL ACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>LICENSES AND TAXS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>HIGHWAY USE TAX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>FUEL TAXES - DIESEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>EXHIBITIONS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES**

55,002.00

**CAPITAL OUTLAY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>LAND OTHER THAN RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>NON-STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>DEMOL. OF STRUCT FOR LAND REDEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>STREET CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>WATER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>SEWER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNALS &amp; CONTROL DEVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>BUILD CONST CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>BUILDING IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>OTHER STRUCTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>GEN CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>ELEC CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>MECHANICAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>AUTO VEH &amp; SELF-PROP EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>OFFICE EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>ROLLING EQUIP- NOT SELF-PROP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>SHOP MACHINERY &amp; EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>RADIO EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY EASEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>LAND FOR RIGHT OF WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>STATIONARY PLANT EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>LEASE PURCHASES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY

SUB-TOTAL $10,000.00

TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET $5,000.00
September 30, 1993

Mr. J W Walton, Director
Community Development Department
City of Charlotte
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-2859

Dear Mr. Walton,

Thank you for your letter of September 20, 1993 requesting that we finalize our application for administrative and capacity-building support.

As you know, the present financial needs of the Northwest Corridor Community Development Corporation are somewhat distinct from other community development corporations in Charlotte, despite our common goals and activities. Because we have been fortunate enough to receive core funding support from HUD's set-aside for historically black colleges, most of our current operating needs have been met. Our greatest need is in the area of staff training and some small amounts of seed money to study the feasibility of two innovative and exciting economic development projects we are planning.

Hence, we have submitted a funding request for $17,508 as follows:

- **Training**
  - $5,900
- **Operations**
  - $11,600
- **Total**
  - $17,508

You will note that while we have stayed within the parameters that you outlined for us at the meeting of September 17, the details of our request have been altered somewhat based on the issues we discussed at the meeting and some further research. The supporting documentation you requested is also enclosed. If you or your staff have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me or Steve Callahan at 378-1269.
Lastly, on behalf of the communities of the Northwest Corridor, thank you for your assistance in making this request to the City Council. Please let me know if there is any other way in which we can be of assistance in ensuring that this measure is successful.

Sincerely,

Isaac Heard, Jr
Executive Director
### Supplementary Documentation

#### 1 Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Course Details</th>
<th>Tuition</th>
<th>Registration</th>
<th>Room</th>
<th>Board</th>
<th>Travel</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Management Training for Nonprofits</td>
<td>Neighborhood Reinvestment Training Institute</td>
<td>$625</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$175</td>
<td>$138</td>
<td>$1,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute for Real Estate Management</td>
<td>Course #301 MARKETING &amp; MANAGEMENT OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY</td>
<td>Boston 4/30-5/6</td>
<td>$775</td>
<td>$595</td>
<td>$245</td>
<td>$225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute for Real Estate Management</td>
<td>Course #400-MANAGING REAL ESTATE AS AN INVESTMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>$777</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td>NCCDC Match</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute for Real Estate Management</td>
<td>Course #500 PROBLEM SOLVING &amp; DECISION MAKING FOR PROPERTY MANAGERS</td>
<td></td>
<td>$795</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td>NCCDC Match</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute for Real Estate Management</td>
<td>Course #800-ETHICS IN REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NCCDC Match</td>
<td>Subtotal: $5,950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2 Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Study Details</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home Health Care Market Study</td>
<td>J &amp; G Consultants Inc Raleigh NC</td>
<td>$6600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Site Location Study</td>
<td>Industrial Training and Business Development Center Barness Research Company</td>
<td>$5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL: $17508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES REG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>012</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-OT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>013</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>014</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-PERM PART-TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>015</td>
<td>ADMIN &amp; CLERICAL SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>016</td>
<td>TRANSP-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>017</td>
<td>MAINT-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>018</td>
<td>SUPPL WAGES AWARDS STUMTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>020</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE MERIT PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>024</td>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT COMP OR TAXES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>025</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>026</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>027</td>
<td>EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT EXP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>028</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE LIFE INS PREMIUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>029</td>
<td>OTH EMP FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>030</td>
<td>SICK LEAVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>031</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>032</td>
<td>VACATION PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>033</td>
<td>OTHER PAID ABSENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES**

**OPERATING EXPENSES.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/TELEGRAPH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>POSTAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>PRINTING AND PUBLISHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>PS&amp;I DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>DATA PROCESSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>ADVERTISING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>ADVERTISING-MEDIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE - EQ SERVI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>RENTAL OF LAND &amp; BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>RENTAL-UNIF, PROT CLTHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>LANDFILL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>WRECKER SERVICE RENTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>AUTO &amp; OTHER ALLOWANCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>UTILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>POWER FOR PUMPING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL OPER/TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>M&amp;R-EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>M&amp;R MOTORIZED EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>M&amp;R-LAND &amp; WATER AREAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>M&amp;R-COMMUNICATION EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILD RENOV &amp; CONST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>M&amp;R STREETS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>M&amp;R OTHER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>SERVICE CONTRACTS REIMB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>TRAVEL MEETINGS CONFERENCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>EXP ALLOW/CITY COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>EXP TRAINING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>TRAINING CONFERENCES MEETINGS</td>
<td>$5,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td>$11,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>PROF FEES-ACCTNG/AUDITING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>PROF FEES-LEGAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT FEES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>ADMINISTRATION FEES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>COMPUTER FEES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>TEMPORARY HELP FEES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>CONTRACT MAINTENANCE SERV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>SECURITY SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>FEES-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>FEES-ARCHITECTURAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>FEES-LABORATORY TESTING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>DUES/SUBSCRIPTIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>LAUNDRY/CLEANING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>SUBSIS/CARE &amp; SUPP OF PERSONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>MISC CONTRACTUAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>PREM FOR PHYS DAMAGE INS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>PREMIUMS FOR PL/PD INS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>PAYOLTS-UNION SETTLEMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>WORKER'S COMPENSATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>DAMAGE CLAIM PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>RESERVE FOR INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUBRECIPIENT NAME:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERV- AIRPORT DEPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>MAIN CONST MATERIALS &amp; SUPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>MAIN WATER METERS-REPAIR PTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>MOTOR FUELS &amp; LUBRICANTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>LUBRICANTS-SPEC EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>TIRES &amp; TUBES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>EQUIP, REPAIR PTS, SUPPL &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>CHEMICALS &amp; LAB SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>CHEMICALS-WATER TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>SMALL TOOLS &amp; EXPEND EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>UNIFORMS:PROT CLOTHING &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>JANITORIAL &amp; CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>OTH SPEC DEPARTMENTAL SUPP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>PURCHASE FOR RESALE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SUNDRY ACQUISITION COST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>STREET IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>CITY-CNTY CONSOL ACTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>LICENSES AND TAXES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>HIGHWAY USE TAX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>FUEL TAXES - DIESEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>EXHIBITIONS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES $17,508.00

CAPITAL OUTLAY:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>LAND OTHER THAN RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>NON-STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>DEMOL. OF STRUCT. FOR LAND REDEV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>STREET CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>WATER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>SEWER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNALS &amp; CONTROL DEVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>BUILD CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>BUILDING IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>OTHER STRUCTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>GEN CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>ELEC CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>MECHANICAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>AUTO VEH &amp; SELF-PROP EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>OFFICE EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>ROLLING EQUIP-NOT SELF PROP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>SHOP MACHINERY &amp; EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>RADIO EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>LAND FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>STATIONARY PLANT EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>LEASE PURCHASES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY**

**SUB-TOTAL**

**TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET** 17,500
October 1, 1993

Mr. J. W. Walton
Charlotte Community Development
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Dear Mr. Walton:

Reid Park Associates is requesting $50,000.00 of the $200,000.00 that City Council approved for Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). This monies will be used in a different manner than was originally proposed at the September 10, 1993 meeting. We would like to submit the following budget.

**CHDO Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Staff with Benefits</td>
<td>$24,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and Technical Assistance for Board and Staff</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations for CDC and Property Management</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Director's Salary and Benefits Upgrade</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL BUDGET</strong></td>
<td><strong>$50,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you should have any questions, please call me at (704) 344-1862.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jackie Edwards
(PROPOSED) CHDO BUDGET

OCTOBER 1, 1993 - JUNE 30, 1994

$24,000.00

Executive Assistant/Property-Project Manager with Insurance Benefits

This individual would have an hourly range of $14.00-$16.00 an hour depending on experience and education.

Life, health and disability insurance with the North Carolina Association of Community Development Corporations and Blue Cross/Blue Shield currently is $194.50 a month for the Executive Director and would probably be comparable for this position. This benefit would become available after an appropriate probation period.

$8,000.00

Training and Technical Assistance for Staff and Board Members

The North Carolina Association of Community Development Corporations meet on a quarterly basis in Raleigh and the cost is typically $85.00 a day trip for mileage and lunch. Two of these meetings the Annual Retreat in August and the State Annual Conference which is three (3) days and this cost is typically $300.00 each for hotel, mileage and food.

The Enterprise Foundation and the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation have several training institutes throughout the country several times a year. We would like to attend four week long sessions a year through 1995. The cost of workshops this fiscal year would be approximately $1,200.00 each for registration, hotel, mileage and food depending on the location.

The United States Housing and Urban Development has several workshops throughout the year. The cost for two in the Southeast for three days each would be approximately $800.00 each depending on the location.

We would like Board/Fundraising training twice a year and would be approximately $500.00 each time.

A copier with toner and drum cartridges that performs many functions is approximately $900.00. A checkwriter is approximately $120.00. A lawn mower with accessories is approximately $2,500.00. Other start-up lawn supplies will be $100.00. A computer and software for property management and financial services will be $5,000.00. An audit after March 31, 1993 will be approximately $4,000.00. Payroll tax deposits have been $700.00 a month, but will increase. Office supplies average $75.00 a month. Worker’s compensation for two persons in the Real Estate category would be approximately $4,300.00 a year. We are not required to have unemployment insurance by state law because we are a not-for-profit corporation with less than four (4) employees, but our accountant estimated $1,400.00 a year for two employees. Consultant (contract) fees would be $2,000.00 a year. Dues and subscriptions would be approximately $2,000.00 a year. Advertising would be approximately $2,400.00 a year. Contract maintenance service will run approximately $2,000.00 a year.

Executive Director’s Salary and Benefits Upgrade

The Executive Director earns approximately $28,000.00 a year. Other Community Development Corporations’ Executive Director salaries begin at $35,000.00. Life, health and disability insurances are offered. There are no retirement, workers compensation or unemployment benefits offered.

TOTAL BUDGET

ALL FIGURES ARE APPROXIMATE, BUT TOTAL BUDGET WILL NOT EXCEED $50,000.00.
October 1, 1993

Mr. J. W. Walton, Director
Community Development Department
City of Charlotte
600 E. Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2859

Dear Jay:

This is Neighborhood Housing Services of Charlotte's (NHSC) official Letter of Request to the Community Development Department for a $30,000 grant for administrative and capacity building support. (Itemized budget request is enclosed.) The breakdown on the utilization of the funds is as follows.

**STAFF - $19,612** This will allow NHSC to bring onboard an additional staff person as Community Coordinator, (job description enclosed). One of the top priorities set by our board is the reuniting of NHSC with the Wilmore community. Ours is a community driven organization, and in order for us to be effective we must remain constantly aware of the needs and wants of community. After much consideration it became evident that the various responsibilities of our present staff make it necessary to add a position able to devote a substantial amount of time to this effort.

**TRAINING $ 388** Several times each year, Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, our national advisory organization sponsors extensive training for staff and board members regionally and across the country. As part of their training grants NRC provides travel and lodging to the participants. This investment is leveraged by a minimal registration fee required for each individual. This portion of our request will enable NHSC to offer staff and board training that will increase their ability to effectively facilitate the NHSC mission of neighborhood revitalization.
October 1, 1993  
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OPERATING $10,800 NHSC has begun rebuilding and broadening our  
financial support base following what has been a very  
difficult time. This portion of our request is to  
supplement our current fundraising efforts enabling us to  
implement measures that will increase the financial  
stability and accountability of the organization.

We have begun working closely with an accounting firm  
that has agreed to perform our annual audit for a mere  
$8,800. In addition they have developed an accounting  
software package specifically addressing the complicated  
needs of an NHS. This is proving to be an invaluable  
tool both in increasing our accountability and with our  
fundraising endeavors.

As with any nonprofit organization the cost of the  
various insurance needed is ever increasing. This grant  
will supplement our efforts to maintain adequate  
coverage.

As always, NHSC appreciates the working partnership we have enjoyed  
with the Community Development in the past, and we look forward to  
continuing and enhancing this relationship in the future.

Sincerely

David W. Nichols  
Executive Director, NHSC

Enclosures
COMMUNITY COORDINATOR

GENERAL JOB DESCRIPTION

Develop and manage programs and procedures to enlist the maximum possible participation of neighborhood residents in the long range strategic goals of both Neighborhood Housing Services of Charlotte, (NHSC) and the Wilmore Neighborhood Association, (WNA). Job responsibilities will include, but will not be limited to:

- conducting surveys of the neighborhood (usually person to person) to collect, organize, and input for electronic retrieval basic information necessary for the development and marketing of our neighborhood improvement programs.
- gathering census and all other available demographic information and organizing and imputing this data for electronic retrieval.
- obtaining detailed maps of our target neighborhood and overlay the significant demographic information so as to provide our Board of Directors with long range program planning tools.
- serving as staff liaison with the NHSC Community and Public Relations Committee.
- participating in all community activities.
- assisting WNA and the Community Center as they develop their programs and staff.
- develop specific community activities for neighborhood improvement and coordinate such activities with other NHSC staff as appropriate; eg. block beautification, paint-up/fix-up, landscaping, crime watch, recreational facilities or events, yard-of-the-month, landlord/tenant relation conferences, clean-up days, etc.

QUALIFICATIONS:

- two years of formal post high school education
- two years of community organizing experience
- one year of computer data base management experience

Preference will be given to existing community residents or those willing to relocate to the Wilmore community.

SALARY AND BENEFITS:

- $15,000 - $16,000 (Depending on qualifications)
- Health Insurance premium contribution (employee's personal policy) max. of $150 per month.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subrecipient Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PERSONAL SERVICES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>011 SALARIES/WAGES-REG</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>012 SALARIES/WAGES-OT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>013 SALARIES/WAGES-TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>014 SALARIES/WAGES-PERM PART-TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>015 ADMIN &amp; CLERICAL SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>016 TRANSP-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>017 MAINT-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>018 SUPPL WAGES-AWARDS, STLMTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>020 EMPLOYEE MERIT PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>024 UNEMPLOYMENT COMP OR TAXES</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>025 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX</td>
<td>1,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>026 EMPLOYEE INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td>1,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>027 EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT EXP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>028 EMPLOYEE LIFE INS PREMIUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>029 OTH EMP FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>030 SICK Leave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>031 HOLIDAY PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>032 VACATION PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>033 OTHER PAID ABSENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES</td>
<td>18,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OPERATING EXPENSES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>111 TELEPHONE/TELEGRAPH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>113 POSTAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>131 PRINTING AND PUBLISHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>132 FS &amp; I DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>135 DATA PROCESSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>137 ADVERTISING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>138 ADVERTISING-MEDIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>140 VEHICLE LEASE - EQ SERVI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141 RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>142 RENTAL OF LAND &amp; BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143 RENTAL-UNIFORM, PROT CLOTHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>144 LANDFILL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>145 WRECKER SERVICE RENTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>146 AUTO &amp; OTHER ALLOWANCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>147 UTILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>POWER FOR PUMPING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL OPER/TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>M&amp;R-EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>M&amp;R-MOTORIZED EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>M&amp;R-LAND &amp; WATER AREAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>M&amp;R-COMMUNICATION EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILD RENOV &amp; CONST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>M&amp;R-STREETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>M&amp;R-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>SERVICE CONTRACTS-REDISB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>TRAVEL/MEETINGS/CONFERENCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>EXP ALLOW/CITY COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>EMP TRAINING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>TRAINING/CONFERENCES/MEETINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>PROF FEES-ACCTING/AUDITING</td>
<td>8,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>PROF FEES-LEGAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>ADJUSTER'S FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>COMPUTER FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>TEMPORARY HELP FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>CONTRACT MAINTENANCE SERV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>SECURITY SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>FEES-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>FEES-ARCHITECTURAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>FEES-LABORATORY TESTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>DUES/SUBSCRIPTIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>LAUNDRY/CLEANING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>SUBSIS/CARE &amp; SUPP OF PERSONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>MISC CONTRACTUAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>PREM FOR PHYS DAMAGE INS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>PREMIUMS FOR PL/PD INS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>PAYMENTS-UNION SETTLEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>WORKER'S COMPENSATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>DAMAGE CLAIM PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>RESERVE FOR INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERV-AIRPORT DEPT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>MAIN/CONST MATERIALS &amp; SUPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>MAIN WATER METERS-REPAIR PTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>MOTOR FUELS &amp; LUBRICANTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>LUBRICANTS-SPEC EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>TIRES &amp; TUBES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>EQUIP, REPAIR PTS, SUPPL &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>CHEMICALS &amp; LAB SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>CHEMICALS-WATER TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>SMALL TOOLS &amp; EXPEND EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>UNIFORMS/PROT CLOTHING &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>JANITORIAL &amp; CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>OTH SPEC DEPARTMENTAL SUPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>PURCHASE FOR RESALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SUNDRY ACQUISITION COST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>STREET IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>CITY-CNTY CONSOL ACTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>LICENSES AND TAXES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>HIGHWAY USE TAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>FUEL TAXES - DIESEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>EXHIBITIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES**  

11,388.

**CAPITAL OUTLAY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>LAND OTHER THAN RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>516</td>
<td>NON-STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>DEMOL. OF STRUCT.FOR LAND REDEV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>STREET CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>WATER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>SEWER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNALS &amp; CONTROL DEVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>BUILD CONST CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>BUILDING IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>OTHER STRUCTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>GEN CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>ELEC CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>MECHANICAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>AUTO VEH &amp; SELF-PROP EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>OFFICE EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>ROLLING EQUIP-NOT SELF-PROP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>SHOP MACHINERY &amp; EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>RADIO EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>LAND FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>STATIONARY PLANT EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>LEASE PURCHASES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY

| Sub-Total | N/A |

TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET

|  | 30,000 |

SUBRECIPIENT NAME:
October 1, 1993

Mr J W Walton, Director
Community Development Department
City of Charlotte
600 E Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2859

Dear Jay

This is Wilmore Neighborhood Association’s (WNA) official Letter of Request to the Community Development Department for a $3,000 grant for administrative and capacity building support (Itemized budget request is enclosed)

TRAINING $3,000 As you know, Neighborhood Housing Services (NHSC), is the CDC serving the Wilmore community. Throughout each year, Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation, the national advisory organization for NHSC makes available extensive training for staff and board members of nonprofit organizations (Brochure enclosed). Our grant request will enable WNA to offer training for Wilmore residents and board members who will be working diligently to establish the operating policies for the Community Center made possible through the cooperative efforts of the Neighborhood Association and the Community Development Department.

This training will increase the ability of those involved to identify and implement effective policies and programs geared to meet the needs of the Wilmore community.

The Wilmore Neighborhood Association appreciates the working partnership we have enjoyed with the Community Development Department and we look forward to continuing and strengthening this relationship in the future.

Sincerely

Maggie M. Freeman, President
Wilmore Neighborhood Assoc

Enclosures

Without a Vision the People Perish*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PERSONAL SERVICES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>011</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-REG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>012</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-OT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>013</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>014</td>
<td>SALARIES/WAGES-PERM PART-TIME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>015</td>
<td>ADMIN &amp; CLERICAL SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>016</td>
<td>TRANSP-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>017</td>
<td>MAINT-WAGES &amp; SALARIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>018</td>
<td>SUPPL WAGES-AWARDS STLVTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>020</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE MERIT PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>021</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE INSURANCE PREM/INS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>022</td>
<td>EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT EXP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>023</td>
<td>EMPLOYEE LIFE INS PREMIUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>024</td>
<td>OTH EMP FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>090</td>
<td>SICK LEAVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>091</td>
<td>HOLIDAY PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>092</td>
<td>VACATION PAY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>093</td>
<td>OTHER PAID ABSENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OPERATING EXPENSES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
<td>TELEPHONE/TELEGRAPH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>POSTAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>131</td>
<td>PRINTING AND PUBLISHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>132</td>
<td>PS&amp;I DEPARTMENTAL CHARGES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
<td>DATA PROCESSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>137</td>
<td>ADVERTISING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>ADVERTISING-MEDIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>VEHICLE LEASE - EQ SERV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>RENTAL OF EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>142</td>
<td>RENTAL OF LAND &amp; BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td>RENTAL-UNIFORM, PROT CLOTHING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>144</td>
<td>LANDFILL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>WRECKER SERVICE RENTAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>AUTO &amp; OTHER ALLOWANCES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td>UTILITIES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>POWER FOR PUMPING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>ELECTRICAL OPER TRAFFIC SIGNALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>M&amp;R-EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>M&amp;R-MOTORIZED EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>M&amp;R BUILDINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>M&amp;R-LAND &amp; WATER AREAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>M&amp;R COMMUNICATION EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>M&amp;R-BUILD RENOV &amp; CONST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>M&amp;R STREETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>M&amp;R-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>SERVICE CONTRACTS REMIB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>TRAVEL MEETINGS CONFERENCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>EXP ALLOW CITY COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>EMP TRAINING EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>TRAINING CONFERENCES/MEETINGS</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>PROF &amp; TECHNICAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>PROF FEES-ACCTNG/AUDITING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>PROF FEES-LEGAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>MANAGEMENT FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>ADJUSTER FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>COMPUTER FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>TEMPORARY HELP FEES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>CONTRACT MAINTENANCE SERV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>SECURITY SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>FEES-OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>TRAVEL EXPENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>FEES-ARCHITECTURAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>FEES-LABORATORY TESTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>DUES/SUBSCRIPTIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>LAUNDRY/CLEANING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>SUBSIS/CARE &amp; SUPP OF PERSONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>MISC CONTRACTUAL SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>610</td>
<td>PREM FOR PHYS DAMAGE INS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>611</td>
<td>INSURANCE PREMIUMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>PREMIUMS FOR PL/PD INS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>PAYOITS-UNION SETTLEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615</td>
<td>WORKER S COMPENSATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>617</td>
<td>DAMAGE CLAIM PAYMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
<td>RESERVE FOR INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691</td>
<td>JANITORIAL SERV-AIRPORT DEPT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>MAIN/CONST MATERIALS &amp; SUPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>MAIN WATER METERS-REPAIR PTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>MOTOR FUELS &amp; LUBRICANTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>LUBRICANTS-SPEC EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>TIRES &amp; TUBES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>EQUIP, REPAIR PTS, SUPPL &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS &amp; SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>CHEMICALS &amp; LAB SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>CHEMICALS WATER TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291</td>
<td>SMALL TOOLS &amp; EXPEND EQUIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>UNIFORMS PROT CLOTHING &amp; ACCES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>297</td>
<td>JANITORIAL &amp; CLEANING SUPPLIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298</td>
<td>OTH SPEC DEPARTMENTAL SUPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>PURCHASE FOR RESALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>SUNDRY ACQUISITION COST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>STREET IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>CITY-CNTY CONSOL ACTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>LICENSNS AND TAXES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>HIGHWAY USE TAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>FUEL TAXES - DIESEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>EXHIBITIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES** 3,000.

**CAPITAL OUTLAY:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>LAND OTHER THAN RIGHT-OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>NON-STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>DEMOL. OF STRUCT. FOR LAND REDEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>521</td>
<td>STREET CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>525</td>
<td>FIRE ALARM SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>526</td>
<td>WATER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>SEWER MAINS-CONSTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNALS &amp; CONTROL DEVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531</td>
<td>BUILD CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532</td>
<td>BUILDING IMPROVEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535</td>
<td>OTHER STRUCTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>536</td>
<td>GEN CONSTR CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>537</td>
<td>ELEC CONSTR CONTRACT PAYMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539</td>
<td>MECHANICAL CONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>AUTO VEH &amp; SELF PROP EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544</td>
<td>OFFICE EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
<td>ROLLING EQUIP-NOT SELF-PROP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547</td>
<td>SHOP MACHINERY &amp; EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
<td>RADIO EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY EASEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>LAND FOR RIGHT OF-WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>STATIONARY PLANT EQUIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>LEASE PURCHASES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY**

**SUB-TOTAL**

**TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET** 3,000.
September 27, 1993

Mr. Wendell White
City Manager
City of Charlotte
600 East 4th Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Dear Wendell,

As Executive Director of Discovery Place, I want to tell you how pleased I am that the City is spending a little money on beautification and stabilization of the old Carolina Theater facade and the buildings surrounding it.

As you know, many of our visitors pass by the area and for years I have been concerned about the safety of the structure. I am extremely pleased to know that you are doing something to make it safer for the people who visit and work in the North Tryon corridor.

I firmly believe that someday a developer will want to use the old facade and it will become a part of Charlotte’s history. The money invested by the City in its stabilization is money well spent.

Again, many thanks for your help.

Cordially,

Freda H. Nicholson
Executive Director

FHN/cm
September 13, 1993

Mr. Wendell White
City Manager
City of Charlotte
600 East 4th Street
Charlotte, NC 28202

Dear Wendell:

The Charlotte Uptown Development Corporation has followed the progress of the Museum of the New South since its inception a few years ago. The basketball exhibit now being planned for early 1994 will span the exciting events of the Final Four in April. The four month exhibit will also help animate Tryon Street.

The exhibit, a History of Basketball will be housed in a temporary lightweight structure on the corner of 6th and Tryon Streets. The backdrop will be the old Carolina Theater. As you know, the facade of the historic theater is intact along with most of the original building. Over time, exposure to the elements has loosened some of the brick that clad the facade facing North Tryon Street. As a matter of safety, it should be stabilized and reinforced to avoid bricks from falling or totally collapsing. The situation is more critical now that the Museum of the New South will use the vacant site between the facade and the main theater structure.

We urge the City to consider making the necessary repairs in order to provide a safe environment for the thousands of people who will be attending events along North Tryon Street.

Sincerely,

John Boatwright
Chairman
September 24, 1993

Mayor Richard Vinroot  
Members, City Council  
Charlotte, North Carolina

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

Attached are recommendations of the Zoning Committee of the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission on petitions which have been heard and referred to the Planning Commission for consideration. The recommendations as reflected herein were arrived at in a meeting of the Planning Commission on September 13, 1993.

According to the adopted rules of procedure, these recommendations will be sent to the interested parties with a time period for the conveyance of any written statement set to elapse 12:00 Noon on October 4, 1993. This will then permit these matters to be placed on your agenda for consideration on October 11, 1993.

If you have questions or wish to discuss any aspect of these recommendations, please let me know.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

John P. Byrne  
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission  
Zoning Committee Chairperson

JPB:mlj  
Attachments
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-55

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from O-2, R-22MF to R-5 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 162 acres located in three areas in North Charlotte and the Plaza Hills neighborhood described as (A) several lots or parcels bounded by Davidson Street, East 37th Street, Hudson Street and Charles Avenue (from O-2 and R-22MF to R-5), (B) several lots or parcels generally bounded by Herrin Avenue, 36th Street, Byrnes Street and Tappan Place (from R-22MF to R-5), and (C) several lots or parcels generally bounded by 35th Street, Indiana Street and Ford Street, south of The Plaza (from R-22MF to R-5)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, Jones, and Whelchel

Nays None

Absent James and Motley

REASONS
This petition proposes rezoning from a combination of office and multi-family residential districts to a single family residential district based on a recommendation of the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of single family residential development, duplexes, and several churches. The plan recommends continued single family residential development in the area. The Zoning Committee viewed the petition as appropriate for the area and recommends that this petition be approved.

STAFF OPINION
The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-56

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-22MF & O-2 to R-5, R-8 & R-4 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 50 acres located in three areas generally described as (A) properties generally bounded by The Plaza, Southern Railway tracks, Sugar Creek Road and Trembeth Drive (from R-22MF to R-5), (B) properties fronting on Academy Street, south of Meadow Lane (from R-22MF to R-8), and (C) Eastway Drive Golf course on the southeast corner of Eastway Drive and The Plaza (from O-2 to R-4)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

VOTE: Yes Baucom, Byrne, Heard, Jones, Whelchel

Nays None

Absent James and Motley

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning from a combination of multi-family residential and office districts to a combination of single family districts based on the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of single family residential development, duplexes, churches, a park and a golf course. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family classifications as appropriate for the area and recommends that this petition be approved.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
REZONE R-22MF TO R-5

zoning case #

REZONE R-22MF TO R-8
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-57

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R17MF, R-22MF & 0-2 to R-4 & R-17MF (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 34 acres located in three areas near Eastway Drive generally defined as (A) properties on both sides of Springway Avenue between Eastway Drive and Cardiff Drive (from R-17MF to R-4), (B) properties fronting Townsend Avenue and Bentley Place between Eastway Drive and Dunlavin Way (from R-22MF to R-4), and (C) property on the northeast corner of Kilborne Drive and Eastway Drive (from O-2 to R-17MF)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas. Baucom, Byrne, Heard and Whelchel
Nays Jones
Absent James and Motley

REASONS

This petition proposes the rezoning of properties from a combination of multi-family residential and office districts to a combination of single family and multi-family residential districts based on the Central District Plan The petitioned property is composed of single family residential development, an apartment complex, duplexes, a quadruple, a day care center, and several vacant lots

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the petition as appropriate for approval with the exception of the quadruple located at the intersection of Eastway Drive and Townsend Avenue (Area B) It was noted that the rezoning petition would render the quadruple a nonconforming use which could result in financing problems and property deterioration

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed multi-family and single family residential districts as appropriate for the area and recommends that the petition be approved

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-58
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from R-22MF, O-2 & R-17MF to R-22MF, R-8 & R-4 (Central District Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 60 acres located in several areas in the Plaza-Midwood neighborhood generally defined as (A) properties bound by Club and Truman Streets, Roland Street and Kensington Drive (from R-22MF to R-8), (B) properties along Thomas Avenue, north of Central Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF), (C) properties bound by Clement Avenue, Thomas Avenue, Hamorton Place and School Street (from R-22MF to R-8), and (D) a large vacant tract off Belvedere Avenue, near Truman Road (from R-17MF to R-4)
ACTION: The Zoning Committee voted on this petition in two parts with one vote for Areas A, B, and C and another vote for Area D

Areas A, B, and C: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, Jones, Motley and Whelchel
Nays None
Absent James

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning from a combination of multi-family residential and office districts to a combination of single family and multi-family residential districts based on the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is developed with single family homes, duplexes, triplexes, quadraplexes and a parking lot. The Zoning Committee viewed the petition as appropriate for the area and recommends its approval.
STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

**Area D:** The Zoning Committee recommends that Area D (the Heath property) be rezoned from R-17MF to R-8MF in lieu of the R-4 category recommended by the Central District Plan.

**VOTE:**
- **Yea:** Baucom, Byrne, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel
- **Nays:** Heard
- **Absent:** James

**REASONS**

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning of a tract located off Belvedere Avenue near Truman Road (the Heath property) from R-17MF to R-4. The Zoning Committee discussed the petition for some time focusing on the need to allow for infill housing opportunities in the inner City and also provide protection of the nearby single family residential development.

**MINORITY OPINION**

The minority opinion viewed the proposed single family residential district as recommended by the Central District Plan as appropriate for the site. It was noted that the owner could pursue a rezoning of the site in the future and negotiate with the nearby property owners on an acceptable plan.

**MAJORITY OPINION**

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the site as acceptable for multi-family development at a lower density than currently allowed. It was noted that infill housing opportunities should be provided within the urban areas of the City and that several recently developed infill projects are assets to their neighborhoods.

**STAFF OPINION**

The Staff supports the recommendation of the Central District Plan for an R-4 category. Multi-family development on the petitioned property could be incompatible with the surrounding development and character of the nearby area.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-59
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from B-2 to B-1 (Central District Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 22 acres located on the north side of Central Avenue extending from Briar Creek to Nandina Street and properties west of Lyon Court extending to Veterans Park
ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved with the exception of the Charlotte Catering site (See attached map)

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard and Whelchel
Nays Jones and Motley
Absent James

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning from the general business district to the neighborhood business district based on the Central District Plan. The petitioned properties include a variety of commercial uses. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed neighborhood business district as suitable for the subject property with the exception of the site of Charlotte Catering. It was noted that Charlotte Catering, which requires a B-2 category, would become a nonconforming use with B-1 and the property owner has expansion plans. Therefore, the Zoning Committee recommends that the petition be approved for the petitioned properties with the exception of Charlotte Catering (See Attached Map)

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion opposed this rezoning in its entirety noting that a great deal of sensitivity needs to be shown to inner City businesses. Concern was expressed that inner City rot could result without proper planning. A periodic review of the impact of downzonings on businesses was recommended.

STAFF OPINION

The staff supports the recommendation of the Central District Plan.
ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS DELETION OF CHARLOTE CATERING
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-60

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from B-2 to B-1 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 7 acres located on the north and south sides of Central Avenue from 10th Street to the Brookshire Freeway

ACTION: The Zoning Committee deferred action on this petition for 60 days

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays None

Absent James

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning from the general business district to the neighborhood business district for commercial properties located along Central Avenue based on the Central District Plan. An association of the property owners has requested a deferral of the petition in order to evaluate the various options with the planning staff. The staff is to provide a progress report in 60 days. Therefore, the Zoning Committee deferred action on the petition for 60 days.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-61
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from O-2 & B-1 to R-22MF & R-5 (Central District Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 3 acres located in the Elizabeth neighborhood defined as (A) properties frontline on the southerly side of East 7th Street extending from Clarice Avenue to Ridgeway Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF), (B) properties on the north side of 7th Street east of Laurel Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF), and (C) property on the northeast corner of 7th Street and Clement Avenue (from B-1 to R-5)
ACTION: The Zoning Committee voted on the three subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel
Nays None

REASONS
This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from O-2 to R-22MF for properties located on the south side of 7th Street at Laurel Avenue based on the Central District Plan. The site is developed with apartments. The Zoning Committee viewed the petition as appropriate for the area and recommends its approval.

STAFF OPINION
The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be denied
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel
Nays None
REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from 0-2 to R-22MF for properties located on the north side of 7th Street east of Laurel Avenue based on the Central District Plan. The Zoning Committee noted that the property owner has obtained a building permit based on the existing zoning classification and the Elizabeth Community Association recommends the petition be denied with respect to this site. Therefore, the Zoning Committee recommends that Area B of this petition be denied.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee based on the statement from the owner that a valid building permit has been issued for the property covered by this part of the petition.

Area C: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE: Yeas: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel
Nays: None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from B-1 to R-5 for a parcel located on the northeasterly corner of the intersection of 7th Street and Clement Avenue. The property is developed with a single family residence. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family classification as appropriate for the site and recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-62

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from I-2 to I-1 & B-1 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 54 acres located in two areas near the Arnold Palmer Business Park defined as (A) properties along Latrobe Drive and Pro Am Drive (from I-2 to I-1), and (B) business property on Wendover Road between Latrobe Drive and the rail line (from I-2 to B-1)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the two subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee deferred action on this portion of the petition for 60 days

VOTE: Yea, Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nay, None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from I-2 to I-1 for the business park properties located along Latrobe Drive and Pan Am Drive. A potential sale of the property is pending and the property owner has requested a 60-day deferral. Therefore, the Zoning Committee deferred action on the petition for 60 days.

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yea, Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nay, None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from I-2 to B-1 for the shopping center property located along Wendover Road between Latrobe Drive and the rail line. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed commercial classification as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this portion of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-63

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from I-2, O-2, B-1 & B-2 to I-1, B-1, R-22MF & O-2 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 65 acres located along Monroe Road and Old Coliseum area defined as (A) parcel on the north side of Monroe Road west of Bramlett Street (from I-2 to I-1), (B) property from Colonade Drive to Washburn Avenue on the south side of Monroe Road (from I-2 to B-1), (C) property between Colonade Drive, Bramlett Street and the Railway right-of-way and south of Independence Boulevard (from B-1 & O-2 to R-22MF), and (D) land between Colonade Drive, Washburn Avenue and the Merchandise Mart parking area (from B-1 & B-2 to O-2)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the four subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucum, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley and Whelchel

Nays Jones

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from I-2 to I-1 for property located on the north side of Monroe Road west of Bramlett Street. The site is developed with an office

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed light industrial classification as unnecessary in this location and noted that the existing general industrial classification is acceptable

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed light industrial classification as appropriate for the petitioned site as recommended by the Central District Plan
STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be denied

VOTE:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nays</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from I-2 to B-1 for properties located along the south side of Monroe Road from Colonade Drive to Washburn Avenue. Several of the affected properties would become nonconforming as a result of the proposed B-1 category and require a B-2 district. Therefore, the Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be denied.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff supports the recommendation of the Central District Plan for a B-1 category.

Area C: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved in part. The Zoning Committee recommends approval of the petition with the exception of the D. L. Phillips' property (See Attached Map)

VOTE:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nays</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from B-1 and O-2 to R-22MF for properties located south of Independence Boulevard west of Old Briar Creek Road. The properties are developed with apartments and Chantilly Elementary School. The Zoning Committee viewed the petition as appropriate for the subject properties with the exception of the D. L. Phillips' site.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area D: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be denied

VOTE:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Baucom, Byrne, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nays</td>
<td>Heard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from B-1 and B-2 to O-2 for properties located south of Colonade Drive and Madeline Avenue and along Old Briar Creek Road. The property includes several offices, single family homes, a television station, and vacant lots. The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the petition as inappropriate for approval noting that the change could be detrimental to the property owners. Therefore, the Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be denied.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed office category as appropriate for approval and supports the recommendation of the Central District Plan.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the minority opinion.
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-64

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from O-2 & B-1 to R-4 & R-17MF (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 18 acres located in Briar Creek, Woodland and Echo Hills neighborhoods defined as (A) several lots along Commonwealth Avenue between Waterman Avenue and Eastway Drive/Independence Boulevard intersection (from O-2 to R-4), (B) several lots along Woodland Avenue and Commonwealth Avenue (from B-1 & O-2 to R-4), (C) lots off of Monroe Road along both sides of Mayview Drive (from O-2 to R-4), and (D) two apartment complexes at the intersection of Pinecrest and Barnhill and the Clairemont Public Housing Community located off Independence Boulevard (from O-2 to R-17MF)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the four subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from 0-2 to R-4 for single family residential properties located along Commonwealth Avenue between Waterman Avenue and Eastway Drive. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family residential classification as appropriate for the area and recommends that the petition be approved.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved in part. The Zoning Committee recommends approval of the petition with the exception of the upholstery shop and the adjacent office parcel. (See attached map)

VOTE: Yeas Heard, James, Jones and Motley

Nays Baucom, Byrne and Whelchel
REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from B-1 and O-2 to R-4 for properties located along Woodland Avenue and Commonwealth Avenue. The subject property is developed with single family homes, a triplex and an upholstery shop. A majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family district as appropriate for the petitioned properties with the exception of the upholstery shop and the adjoining office parcel.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the petition as submitted as appropriate for approval.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the minority opinion.

Area C: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from O-2 to R-4 for several lots located along both sides of Mayview Drive. The properties include a duplex and a vacant lot. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family residential classification as appropriate for the area and recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area D: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This portion of the petition recommends rezoning from O-2 to R-17MF for two apartment complexes. One of the complexes is located at the intersection of Pinecrest Avenue and Barnhill Drive. The other complex is located between Independence Boulevard and Commonwealth Avenue near Coliseum Drive. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed multi-family residential classification as appropriate for the two sites and recommends approval of this portion of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS DELETION OF UPHOLSTERY SHOP AND OFFICE PARCEL.

- B-1 to R-4
- O-2 to R-4

93-64
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-65

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-22MF, O-2 & B-1 to R-5 (Villa Heights Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 65 acres located in the Villa Heights neighborhood described as (A) area generally bound by Everett Street, Pickney Avenue, Harrill Street, McDowell Street, Parkwood Avenue, and The Plaza (from R-22MF, O-2 & B-1 to R-5), (B) area generally bound by Matheson Avenue, Pickney Street, 27th Street and Yadkin (from R-22MF to R-5), and (C) property between Clemson, Holt and Matheson Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning from a combination of commercial, office and multi-family residential districts to a single family residential district for properties located throughout the Villa Heights neighborhood. The petitioned property is developed with single family residential homes, several duplexes, and two churches. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family residential district as appropriate for the area and recommends that this petition be approved.

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-66
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from R-22MF, O-2 & B-1 to R-8 & R-22MF (Villa Heights Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 6 acres located in the Villa Heights neighborhood described as
(A) properties northwest of The Plaza, east of Lola Avenue, and south of Catawba Avenue (excluding existing apartment) (from R-22MF & O-2 to R-8),
(B) areas north of Grace Street fronting on The Plaza, Lydia, Duncan and Drummond (from B-1 & O-2 to R-22MF), and (C) areas between Clemson and Matheson Avenues, north of The Plaza (from O-2 and R-22MF to R-8)
ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel
Nays None

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning from a combination of multi-family residential, office and commercial
districts to single family and multi-family residential districts for properties located in the Villa Heights
neighborhood. The petitioned properties are developed with a variety of residential uses including single
family homes, duplexes, triplexes, quadruplexes and apartments. The Zoning Committee viewed the
proposed rezoning as appropriate for the area and recommends that this petition be approved

STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-67

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from O-2, I-1 & I-2 to R-4, I-1 & B-2 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 141 acres located in several locations along and/or adjacent to South Boulevard defined as (A) properties on Northgate Avenue (from O-2 to R-4), (B) properties on the east side of South Boulevard between Scaleybark and Woodlawn (from I-2 to B-2), (C) several tracts bounded by South Boulevard and Old Pineville Road, north of Woodlawn Road (from 1-2 to B-2), (D) three areas located on the west side of South Boulevard generally extending from Woodlawn to Scaleybark Road (from I-2 to I-1), (E) properties located on the west side of South Boulevard between Freeland Lane and Clanton Road (from I-1 & I-2 to B-2), and (F) properties fronting on Woodlawn Road generally extending from S Tryon Street along I-77 to Old Pineville Road (from I-2 to B-2)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the five subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yea: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley and Welchel
Nays: Jones

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning three parcels located on Northgate Avenue from O-2 to R-4 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of a single family home, a vacant lot and a nursery that has expanded back to one of the lots

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion could not support this proposed rezoning because the property that is currently used for outdoor storage would be negatively affected. The minority opinion further noted that the parcel with outdoor storage should be rezoned to I-2

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family residential district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.
STAFF OPINION

The Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee deferred this part of the petition for up to 90 days to give staff an opportunity to re-evaluate rezoning recommendations for this area. The Zoning Committee also requested that staff evaluate the potential for each of the affected properties to be used and/or reused for industrial purposes.

VOTE: Yes. Baucom, Byrne, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays. Heard and James

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes to rezone property located on the east side of South Boulevard between Scaleybark and Woodlawn from I-2 to B-2 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of commercial uses, two mini-storage warehouses, a small business park and an audio cassette assembly plant.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed B-2 classification as appropriate for the area. It was noted that there is an abundance of I-2 zoning already in place. The B-2 district would maintain a good mixture of industrial and commercial uses along the corridor.

MAJORITY OPINION

The Zoning Committee deferred action on this portion of the petition in order to obtain additional information on the properties potential to be converted or used for industrial purposes. This information is to be submitted by staff within 90 days.

Area C: The Zoning Committee deferred this part of the petition for up to 90 days to give staff an opportunity to re-evaluate proposed rezoning recommendations. The Zoning Committee also requested that staff evaluate the potential for each of the affected properties to be used and/or reused for industrial purposes.

VOTE: Yes. Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley and Whelchel

Nays. None

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes to rezone property located along South Boulevard bounded by South Boulevard and Old Pineville Road, north of Woodlawn from I-2 to B-2 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of several commercial properties and one mini-storage warehouse. Staff was instructed to prepare additional information particularly on the affected properties potential to be converted or used for industrial purposes. This information is to be submitted by staff within 90 days.
Area D: The Zoning Committee deferred the decision on this part of the petition for up to 90 days to give staff an opportunity to re-evaluate proposed rezoning recommendations. The Zoning Committee also requested that staff evaluate the potential for each of the affected properties to be used and/or reused for industrial purposes.

VOTE:  
Years: Baucom, Heard, James, Jones, and Motley
Nays: Byrne and Whelchel

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes to rezone property located on the west side of South Boulevard generally extending from Woodlawn to Scaleybark Road from I-2 to B-2 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of several commercial uses such as a copier service, car care/supply service, shopping center, lumber company and an auto glass replacement business.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed B-2 district as appropriate for the area.

MAJORITY OPINION

The Zoning Committee deferred action on this portion of the petition in order to obtain additional information particularly on the affected properties potential to be converted or used for industrial purposes. This information is to be submitted by staff within 90 days.

Area E: The Zoning Committee recommends that this part of the petition be approved.

VOTE:  
Years: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley and Whelchel
Nays: Jones

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes to rezone property located on the west side of South Boulevard between Freeland and Clanton Road from a combination of I-2 and I-1 to B-2 based on the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of a theater and a business/office park.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed B-2 district as unnecessary and noted that the I-2 zoning should be maintained.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed general district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

Area F: The Zoning Committee recommends approval of this portion of the petition.

VOTE:  
Years: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley and Whelchel
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley and Whelchel
Nays Jones

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes rezoning properties located along Woodlawn Road generally extending from South Tryon Street along I-77 to Old Pineville Road from I-2 to B-2 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of a variety of commercial uses and vacant land.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed B-2 district as unnecessary and noted that the existing I-2 zoning should be maintained.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed general business district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of Zoning Committee.
REZONE I-2 TO B-2

zoning case #
93-67
REZONE I-2 TO I-1

Zoning case # 93-67
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-68
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from I-1 & I-2 to B-2 & I-1 (Central District Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 23 acres along South Boulevard including (A) properties on the east side between Magnolia Avenue extending south of Ideal Way (from I-1 to B-2), and (B) two areas along South Boulevard generally located between Clanton Road and New Bern Street (from I-2 to I-1)
ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the two subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yea: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley, and Whelchel
Nays: Jones

REASONS
This part of the petition proposes rezoning properties on the east side of South Boulevard between Magnolia Avenue extending south of Ideal Way from I-1 to B-2 in accordance with the Central District Plan. This area is composed of several general business/commercial type uses

MINORITY OPINION
The minority opinion viewed the I-1 zoning as appropriate in order to allow the owners the optimum flexibility in the future use of the property

MAJORITY OPINION
The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed general business district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition

STAFF OPINION
The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved
VOTE:  Yeas  Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Whelchel

Nays  Jones and Motley

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning properties along South Boulevard generally located between Clanton Road and New Bern Street from I-2 to I-1 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of mostly commercial properties, an office associated with an industrial use and vacant land.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed rezoning as spot zoning, not good planning, and discriminatory against owners who do not use their property in the most intensive manner permitted.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed light industrial district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-69

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-17MF & O-2 to R-8 & R-17MF (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 63 acres located in the Sedgefield neighborhood defined as (A) properties fronting on Ardmore Street, Elmhurst Road and Poindexter Drive generally located east of South Boulevard between Ideal Way and Marsh Road (from R-17MF to R-8), (B) properties fronting along Belton Street between Mayfield Avenue and South Boulevard (from R-17MF to R-8), (C) properties located between Weona Avenue and Conway Street south of Hartford Avenue (from R-17MF to R-8), and (D) properties on the south side of Haverford between Poindexter Drive and Elmhurst Road east of South Boulevard (from O-2 to R-17MF)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the four subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes rezoning properties from R-17MF to R-8 fronting on Ardmore Street, Elmhurst Road and Poindexter Drive generally located east of South Boulevard between Ideal Way and Marsh Road in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of duplexes and a church. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed R-8 district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendations of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, Whelchel

Nays None
REASONS

This part of the petition proposes rezoning of properties fronting along Belton Street between Mayfield Avenue and South Boulevard from R-17MF to R-8 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed primarily of duplexes and a few single family homes. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed R-8 district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area C: The Zoning Committee recommends rezoning to an R-8MF category in lieu of the requested R-8 district.

VOTE:  Yeas    Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley
        Nays    Jones and Wheelchel

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning of properties located between Weona Avenue and Conway Street south of Hartford Avenue from R-17MF to R-8 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of duplexes.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed this site as one which offers an opportunity for higher density infill housing and a variety of housing styles. Creativity in development options should be supported in this area. It was noted that the R-8MF district would not offer the type of density needed to make the project economically feasible.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the area as appropriate for multi-family development at a density that would not adversely affect the surrounding single family area and recommends approval of the R-8MF district rather than R-8 as proposed in the Central District Plan.

STAFF OPINION

The staff disagrees with the Zoning Committee for this part of the petition. The R-8 district would be more compatible with the surrounding single family neighborhood.

Area D: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE:  Yeas    Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Wheelchel
        Nays    None
REASONS

This part of the petition proposes rezoning properties on the south side of Haverford between Poindexter Drive and Elmhurst Road east of South Boulevard from O-2 to R-17MF in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of apartments. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed multi-family district as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-70

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-22MF to R-8 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 6 acres located in two areas in the Park Road neighborhood defined as (A) properties near the Hillside Drive/Chelsea Drive intersection (from R-22MF to R-8), and (B) properties between Tranquil Avenue and Hillside Avenue west of Selwyn Avenue (from R-22MF to R-8)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the three subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yes Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes rezoning of properties near the Hillside Drive/Chelsea Drive intersection from R-22MF to R-8 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of single family properties and duplexes. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family district which also allows duplexes as a by right use as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yes Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays Jones

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes rezoning of properties between Tranquil Avenue and Hillside Avenue west of Selwyn Avenue from R-22MF to R-8 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of single family homes and duplexes.
MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the R-8 district as appropriate for the area with the exception of one property (131 Hillside) which is located adjacent to multifamily property. It was noted that this particular property is large enough to be redeveloped for higher density infill housing and therefore should retain its R-22MF zoning.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family district which also allows duplexes as a by right use as appropriate for the area and recommends approval of this part of the petition.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendations of the Zoning Committee.
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-71

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-3 to Institutional (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 25 acres defined as the Queens College campus bounded by Myers Park Traditional School, Queens Road, Radcliffe Avenue and Wellesley Avenue

ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be denied

VOTE: 

Yeas: Baucom, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays: Byrne

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning of the Queens College site from R-3 to Institutional in accordance with the Central District Plan. The petitioned property is composed of classroom buildings, parking lots and dormitories.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed institutional district as inappropriate for the area and recommends denial of this petition.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the university as an institutional use and thought it should be zoned accordingly.

STAFF OPINION

The staff disagrees with the Zoning Committee. When the revised zoning ordinance was drafted, Planning Committee and City Council agreed that large institutional uses like colleges and universities would be deleted as by right uses in residential districts. A proposed text amendment to implement this policy is upcoming.
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-72

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-22MF to R-5 (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 12 acres located in the Eastover neighborhood defined as (A) properties fronting on Middleton Drive and Cherokee Drive, east of Providence Road (from R-22MF to R-5), and (B) properties fronting on Circle Avenue between Willoughby Street and Colonial Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This petition proposes rezoning two predominantly single family areas from multi-family to single family residential based upon the recommendations of the Central District Plan. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family residential districts as appropriate for the areas.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
REZone R-22MF to R-5

zoning case # 93-72
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 16, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-74
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from O-2 to R-8 (Central District Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 23 acres located in the Myers Park neighborhood on both sides of Kings Drive between Brunswick Avenue and Queens Road
ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel
Nays None

REASONS

This petition proposes that the residential properties fronting Kings Drive in this area be rezoned from office to residential in accordance with the recommendation of the Central District Plan. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family/attached single family residential district as appropriate for the area.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-76
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from B-2, O-2 & R-22MF to B-1, R-22MF & R-5 (Central District Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 18 acres located in the Dilworth neighborhood defined as (A) a tract located on the northeast corner of Ideal Way and Kenilworth Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF), (B) land located off the east side of Kenilworth Avenue north of Ideal Way and west of Floral Avenue (from B-2 to B-1), and (C) property that fronts on Scott and Kenilworth Avenues between Ideal Way and Ordermore Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5)
ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the three subareas separately
Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be denied
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel
Nays None

REASONS
This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from office to multi-family for property located on the northeast corner of Ideal Way and Kenilworth Avenue. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed residential classification as inappropriate for the site inasmuch as the change would result in Hope Haven, a group home that occupies the site, becoming a nonconforming use. The building was recently constructed on the site after the Central District Plan was adopted

STAFF OPINION
The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved
VOTE: Yeas Byrne, Baucom, Heard, James, Motley, and Whelchel
Nays Jones
REASONS

This part of the petition proposes that an existing neighborhood shopping center and vacant tract of land be rezoned from B-2 to B-1 in accordance with the Central District Plan. The plan calls for neighborhood businesses in this area.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed rezoning as appropriate for the area.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed B-1 classification as unnecessary for the area. It was noted that the existing B-2 classification poses no harm to the area.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area C: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE:  

*Yeas*: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

*Nays*: None

REASONS

This part of the petition proposes that a single family area along Scott and Kenilworth Avenues be rezoned to reflect the actual use as recommended in the Central District Plan. The Zoning Committee views the proposed rezoning as appropriate for the area.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-77

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-22MF & O-2 to R-5, R-8 & R-22MF (Central District Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 25 acres located in the Dilworth neighborhood defined as (A) an area generally bounded by Euclid Avenue, Springdale Avenue, Tremont Avenue and Worthington Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5), (B) property fronting Park Avenue between Euclid Avenue and Lyndhurst Avenue (from R-22MF to R-5), (C) properties fronting Lexington Avenue and Mt. Vernon from Mt. Vernon to Euclid Avenue, excluding multi-family unit on southwest corner of Myrtle and Euclid (from R-22MF to R-8), and (D) properties fronting Lexington Avenue between Myrtle Avenue and Onole Avenue (from O-2 to R-22MF)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the five subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this part of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from multi-family to single family residential for properties located in the Euclid Avenue/Worthington Avenue area of Dilworth. The Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family residential classification as appropriate for the areas inasmuch as the change would align the zoning in the neighborhoods with the existing and proposed land use.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays Jones
REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from R-22MF to R-5 for properties adjacent to Latta Park fronting Park Avenue in Dilworth.

MAJORITY OPINION

The majority of the Zoning Committee viewed the proposed single family classification as appropriate for the area inasmuch as the change would result in zoning consistent with the current and proposed land use.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed R-5 classification as inappropriate in that the property owners’ options would be limited, particularly for the vacant tract owned by Harry Porter.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area C: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from R-22MF to R-8 for properties fronting Lexington Avenue in Dilworth. The Zoning Committee viewed this lower density residential classification as appropriate inasmuch as the zoning would be consistent with the existing and proposed land use for the area.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Area D: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved.

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Whelchel

Nays Jones and Motley

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes rezoning from O-2 to R-22MF properties fronting Lexington Avenue in Dilworth.
MAJORITY OPINION

The Zoning Committee viewed the high density residential classification as appropriate inasmuch as the area has an existing residential character that should be preserved.

MINORITY OPINION

The minority opinion viewed the proposed R-22MF zoning as detrimental to possible redevelopment of the block. The property in question abuts commercial businesses along Morehead Street and could be redeveloped with an office use similar to the AAA located on Morehead.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 13, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-78
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from B-2 & O-2 to B-1, O-2 & R-22MF (Cherry Small Area Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 31 acres located in the Cherry neighborhood along Kings Drive, Independence and Cecil Street between Fox Drive and Henley Street (excluding Midtown Mall property)
ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommended that this petition be approved with the modification that the B-2 property fronting on Independence Boulevard be rezoned to R-8 (See Attached Map)

VOTE: 
  Yeas: Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Motley, and Whelchel
  Nays: Jones

REASONS
This petition proposes rezoning several areas along Kings Drive and Independence Boulevard at the edges of the Cherry neighborhood to less intense business and residential classifications

MAJORITY OPINION
The Zoning Committee viewed the change as appropriate for the area and more compatible with the neighborhood than the existing zoning. The Committee’s recommendation to change the proposed rezoning of the triangular shaped property fronting Independence Boulevard from B-2 to R-8 instead of R-22MF, as originally proposed in the petition, was based on the opinion that the high density multi-family would be too intense as a transition into the neighborhood. (The Committee recommended that the property owner be notified of the recommended change prior to Council’s decision)

MINORITY OPINION
The minority opinion viewed the low density attached single family classification as inappropriate for Independence Boulevard

STAFF OPINION
The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee
ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF R-8 IN LIEU OF R-22MF.

REZONE B-2 TO B-1

REZONE B-2 TO O-2

zoning case # 93-78
DATE: September 13, 1993

PETITION NO.: 93-79

PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission

REQUEST: Change from R-22MF, B-1 & B-2 to R-6 (Cherry Small Area Plan)

LOCATION: Approximately 35 acres located in the Cherry neighborhood along Torrence and Baldwin Streets defined as (A) north of Main Street and south of Third Street (from R-22MF to R-6), and (B) south of Baxter Street between Cherry Street and Queens Road (from B-1, B-2 & R-22MF to R-6)

ACTION: The Zoning Committee took action on the two subareas separately

Area A: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved with the exception of the property north of Ranlo Avenue on both sides of Torrence Street (See Attached Map)

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays None

REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes to rezone from multi-family to single family residential properties located along Torrence Street and Baldwin Street in Cherry. The Zoning Committee viewed this change as appropriate for the area in order to align the zoning to the current and proposed land use for the area.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee for the area south of Ranlo Avenue but disagrees with deleting the properties north of Ranlo. This is an important edge of the neighborhood that should retain a single family character.

Area B: The Zoning Committee recommends that this portion of the petition be approved

VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel

Nays None
REASONS

This portion of the petition proposes to rezone commercial and multi-family residential zoned properties south of Baxter Street in Cherry to single family. The Zoning Committee viewed this rezoning as appropriate for the area because the current and proposed land use for the area is single family.

STAFF OPINION

The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.
ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS DELETION OF THIS AREA.
ZONEING COMMITTEE
RECOMMENDATION

DATE: September 21, 1993
PETITION NO.: 93-80
PETITIONER(S): Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
REQUEST: Change from R-22MF, B-1 & B-2 to R-8 & R-12MF (Cherry Small Area Plan)
LOCATION: Approximately 32 acres located throughout the Cherry neighborhood along Eli Street, Cherry Street, Baxter Street, Luther and south of Amherst Street
ACTION: The Zoning Committee recommends that this petition be approved
VOTE: Yeas Baucom, Byrne, Heard, James, Jones, Motley, and Whelchel
Nays None

REASONS
This petition proposes rezoning areas in the interior of Cherry that are currently zoned for businesses and high density multi-family housing to a zoning district that will permit low density single family attached housing and low density multi-family housing. The Zoning Committee viewed this rezoning as appropriate for the area, as it is consistent with the land use vision adopted as part of the Cherry Small Area Plan

STAFF OPINION
The staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee
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<td>6</td>
<td>01/22/90</td>
<td>04/13/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzanne L. Harter W/F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>09/05/90</td>
<td>03/30/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James R. Kaspute O/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>01/22/90</td>
<td>04/13/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney R. Lockaby W/F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11/23/92</td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James G. Middlebrooks W/M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>03/30/92</td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camille M. Primm W/F *</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>02/21/90</td>
<td>03/03/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>05/30/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heudi Campbell Robinson /F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>01/22/90</td>
<td>04/13/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwight S. Spreng W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/27/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sister Cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Appt. By</th>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Dist.</th>
<th>Appt. Date</th>
<th>Reappt. Date</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Expir. Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fred Allen W/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>09/13/88</td>
<td>01/08/90</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorne Bostricht W/F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>01/22/90</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>04/05/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Burkhead W/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carole Clark W/F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeannine Clark W/F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Crockett B/F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Gallin O/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Gauch W/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evie Chang Henderson /F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Jackson O/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Keel O/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Sachsenmaier O/M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingeborg Spreng W/F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>04/01/92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Application for Boards, Commissions, and Committees

**Charlotte City Council**

Please complete each section.

### Full Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LINDA L. HAMMOND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(please print or type)

### Home Address

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5206 WAXWIND LANE, CHARLOTTE, NC</td>
<td>28226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Business Address

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>ZIP Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6827 - B FAIRVIEW ROAD, CHARLOTTE, NC</td>
<td>28210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Home Phone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone Number</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(704) 541-0905</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Business Phone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone Number</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(704) 365-8550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Spouse's Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHILIP C. HAMMOND, JR.</td>
<td>FIRST CHARLOTTE BANK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Spouse's Employer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MORTGAGE DEPARTMENT (ARBORETUM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Spouse's Title

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Please Indicate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District #</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AUGUST 15, 1941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Male/Female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Boards/Commissions/Committees I Am Most Interested In</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FEMALE: XXXX WHITE XXXX SISTER CITIES COMMITTEE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HISPANIC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAST CAROLINA COLLEGE (NOW UNIVERSITY) - FINE ARTS; CENTRAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIEDMONT COMMUNITY COLLEGE - LITERATURE - FINE ARTS, QUEENS COLLEGE - LITERATURE - ARTS, REAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESTATE ACADEMY - REAL ESTATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Current Employer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MECKLENBURG REALTY AND DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LTD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Title

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OWNER- REAL ESTATE MARKETING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Years in Current Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Duties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OWNER - REAL ESTATE MARKETING; LAND PLANNING, SENIOR CITIZEN/RETIREMENT RESEARCH, ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT; COMMUNITY PLANNING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Employment History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROFESSIONAL DESIGN - LINDA HAMMOND INTERIORS, 18 YEARS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Do Not Submit Resumes/Attachments**

(over)
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE/PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS/ACTIVITIES
- EXPLORING NEW AREAS OF WORLD TRAVEL AND MEETING PEOPLE FROM OTHER COUNTRIES. LEARNING DIFFERENT CULTURES.
- ESPECIALLY INTERESTED IN LIMOGES, FRANCE. INTERESTED IN ECONOMIC TRADE AND RESEARCH.
- A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF COMMUNITIES WORKING TOGETHER FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE ECONOMIC GROWTH PATTERN. COMPLETE KNOWLEDGE OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND MASTER PLANNING.
- WIDE KNOWLEDGE OF RETIREMENT AND CONTINUING CARE COMMUNITIES. IMPORT/EXPORT KNOWLEDGE.
- PAST MEMBER OF CHARLOTTE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC COUNCIL, CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU - ADVISORY BOARD, MINT MUSEUM, NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION SOCIETY.
- OPERA CAROLINA AND REAL ESTATE PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS. FREELANCE JOURNALISM: "LIVING WITH LINDA" ADVERTISING PAGE IN THE CHARLOTTE OBSERVER ONCE A WEEK FOR ONE YEAR. INTEREST INCLUDE WRITING, ARTS, READING AND MUSIC.

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY

To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes______ No_____ XXXX. If yes, please explain:

If there any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes_____ No_____ XXXX. If yes, explain conflict:

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that, otherwise, it will be removed from the active file. PERSONAL CONTACT WITH A CITY COUNCILMEMBER IS RECOMMENDED.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2857

Signature ___________
Date ___________

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES/ATTACHMENTS
APPLICATION FOR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES
CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL

Please complete each section.

FULL NAME: SAMUEL LONG III (SKIP) Mr. ________ Mrs. ________ Miss ________

HOME ADDRESS: 4438 QUAIL VIEW RD CHARLOTTE, NC ZIP 28226

BUSINESS ADDRESS: NATIONS BANK PLAZA SUITE 3500 CHARLOTTE, NC ZIP 28280

HOME PHONE (704) 542-0485 BUSINESS PHONE (704) 377-0280

SPouse's NAME: VIRGINIA N. LONG SPouse's EMPLOYER: GOATS AMERICAN

8757 RED OAK BLVD CHARLOTTE, NC SPouse's TITLE: PLANT ACCOUNTANT

PLEASE INDICATE: Council District # ______________ Date of Birth: JUNE 9, 1950

MALE ___ BLACK ___ BOARDS/COMMISSIONS/COMMITTEES I AM MOST INTERESTED IN:

FEMALE ___ WHITE ___ SISTER CITIES, CONVENTION + VISITORS, COMMUNITY

SINGLE ___ INDIAN ___ RESOURCES, CERTIFIED DEVELOPMENT

MARRIED ___ HISPANIC ___

OTHER: __________________________

EDUCATION (including degrees completed): B.A. ECONOMICS & BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, FURMAN UNIVERSITY, M.S. PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTING, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, MBA AUGUSTA COLLEGE

CURRENT EMPLOYER: GLEIBERMAN SPEARS & SHEPHERD, P.A., CPA

TITLE: DIRECTOR ___________________________ YEARS IN CURRENT POSITION: 4

DUTIES: AUDITOR/BUSINESS CONSULTANT FOR CLIENTS IN VARIOUS INDUSTRIES


DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE
INTERESTED IN VARIOUS COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES- TRANSPORTATION, CHILDREN'S ISSUES, HOUSING, ARTS

CURRENT PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES
MEMBER - CARMEL PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH, AJCDA, NCACPA, TREASURER - HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION

PAST PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES
U.S. ARMY RESERVES-ACTIVE

COMMENTS:

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY:
To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes______ No.______ If Yes, please attach explanation.

Is there any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes______ No.______ If Yes, please attach explanation of the nature of the conflict.

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that; otherwise, it will be removed from the active file.

A personal contact with a City Councilmember is recommended.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2857

Signature: [Signature]
Date: [11/16/92]

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT TO ADVISORY BOARDS

Complete an application for each advisory body. Must be typed or printed in black ink.

NAME OF ADVISORY BODY ON WHICH APPLICANT IS INTERESTED IN SERVING

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Historic Landmarks Commission

NAME  David T. Ritch

HOME ADDRESS  9227 Kings Canyon Drive, Charlotte

ZIP CODE  28210

HOME PHONE  704-544-2829

OCCUPATION  Passenger Service Agent

PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT  USAir, Douglas International Airport

RACE  W  SEX  M  AGE  33  HOURS PER MONTH AVAILABLE FOR POSITION  30

NAME OF COUNTY BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSIONS PRESENTLY SERVING ON

None

EXPIRATION DATE

EDUCATION  Graduate, Harding High School, 1977; Central Piedmont Community College. Sacred Heart College

BUSINESS AND CIVIC EXPERIENCE  Chairman, Dowd House Preservation Inc.; former member, Westside Community Organization Steering Committee; 1992 Bond Campaign; Blue Ribbon Steering Committee; Charlotte-Mecklenburg Historic Properties Committee.

AREAS OF EXPERTISE AND INTERESTS/SKILLS  Historic Preservation; History of Charlotte-Mecklenburg.

Advisory Energy Commission  
- Business Community Rep
- Gas Utility Rep
- Electrical Utility Rep
- Industry Rep
- Engineering/Tech Professional
- Renewable Energy Environment Rep
- UCS Representative

Area Client Rights Committee  
- Mental Health Professional
- Substance Abuse Professional
- Developmental Disabilities Professional

Board of Equalization & Review  
- Attorney
- CPA
- Commercial Real Estate Rep
- Residential Real Estate Rep

Building Standards  
- Architect
- Engineer
- Home Builder
- General Contractor
- Electrical Subcontractor
- Mechanical Subcontractor
- Plumbing Subcontractor
- Developer

Central Development Corp.  
- Civic/Community Org Rep
- Financial Institution Rep
- Local Government Rep

Certified Development Corp.  
- Local Government Rep
- Private Lending Instit Rep
- Community Organization Rep
- Business Associate Rep

Community Housing Dev Corp.  
- Commercial Real Estate Rep
- Residential Real Estate Rep
- Property Management Rep
- Mental Health Advocate
- Real Estate Law Rep.

Domiciliary Home CAC  
- Expertise in Mental Retardation

Fire Commission  
- Volunteer Fireman

Mecklenburg Emergency Medical  
- Medical Field Representative

I understand this application will be kept on the active file for two (2) years only

Signature of applicant

Submitted by

Date

May 26, 1993
APPLICATION FOR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES
CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL

Please complete each section

FULL NAME Raymond Worsley

Home Address 1713 Miles Court, Charlotte, North Carolina

Business Address (Retired Presbyterian Minister)

Home Phone ( ) (704) 332-4919

Spouse's Name Magnolia A. Worsley

Spouse's Employer Retired Nurse (R.N.)

Plese indicate Council District # District 2 Date of Birth 8/11/25

Male X Female

Black X

Boards/Commissions/Committees I am most interested in

Female

White

Sister Cities Committee

Single

Indian

Married

Hispanic

Other

Education (including degrees completed) (1) Bachelor of Arts; (2) Bachelor of Divinity; (3) Master of Arts; (4) Doctor of Sacred Theology

Current Employer Retired Minister of First United Presbyterian Church

Title

Years in current position

Duties

Other Employment History (1) Supervisor with the Charlotte Parks and Recreation Commission; (2) Assistant Professor at Johnson C. Smith University; (3) Pastor of McClintock Presbyterian Church; (4) Pastor of Grier Heights Presbyterian Church

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES

(over)
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Interests: human relations, reading, writing, traveling.
Skills: teaching and preaching.
Expertise: Knowledge of religions and cultures.

CURRENT PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

(1) Presbytery of Charlotte of the Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.); (2) Veteran's Organization of the United States Marine Corp; (3) Alumni Organizations of Johnson C. Smith University, Union Theological Seminary, Columbia University and Emory University.

PAST PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

(1) Society of Religious Educators; (2) Society of Biblical Literature; (3) North Carolina Society of Professional Recreators; (4) North Carolina Council of Churches.

COMMENTS

My knowledge of international relations comes from both reading and experience. My family (Magnolia and two children) and I have driven to cities in Mexico, including Mexico City. From Mexico City, we flew to cities in South America and from there to Panama. My mission was one of learning the culture(s) of South American people. (We flew from Panama City to Mexico City for the drive back to Charlotte.)

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY

To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes X No 

If Yes, please attach explanation:

(See attachment of North Carolina Presbyterians' protest against apartheid in Washington, D.C.)

Released with no conviction.

Is there any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes X No 

If yes, please attach explanation of the nature of the conflict:

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that, otherwise, it will be removed from the active file.

A personal contact with a City Councilmember is recommended.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2857

Signature

Date

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
TREE ADVISORY COMMISSION
(12 Members)

**Membership** - Appointments are for three-year terms with service limited to two consecutive full terms. A majority of the membership should be residents of the City of Charlotte. Ten members are appointed by elected officials; the remaining two should be representatives of the Parks & Recreation Department, who serve ex-officio, attending meetings when so requested by the secretary of the Commission.

**Responsibilities** - Make recommendations from time to time to the City Manager or his authorized representative relative to trees and shrubbery in the City.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Appt. By</th>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Dist.</th>
<th>Appt. Date</th>
<th>Reappt. Date</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Expir. Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Allen /M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01/11/90</td>
<td>01/08/93</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James A Gamble W/M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12/08/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td>12/13/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Mitchener W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>04/27/92</td>
<td>09/27/93</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Z Regenbogen W/F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>07/23/90</td>
<td>11/09/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Rodgers W/F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>01/09/89</td>
<td>09/23/91</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Savage W/F *</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12/28/87</td>
<td>09/24/90</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe M Spencer, Jr W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>09/13/88</td>
<td>09/24/90</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara A Webster W/F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>08/23/93</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td>12/31/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas C West /M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>02/19/90</td>
<td>12/08/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kipling S Wilson W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>01/27/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>12/13/94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPLICATION FOR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS
CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL

OFFICE OF CITY CLERK

Please complete each section

FULL NAME Heather A. Fisk
Mr. [ ] Ms. [ ] Mrs. [X]

HOME ADDRESS P.O. Box 220234 Charlotte, NC ZIP 28222

BUSINESS ADDRESS 1201 Greenwood Cliff, Suite 300 Charlotte, NC ZIP 28204

HOME PHONE ( ) 332-4070 (please do not) BUSINESS PHONE ( ) 342-0933

SPOUSE’S NAME N/A SPOUSE’S EMPLOYER

SPOUSE’S TITLE

PLEASE INDICATE Council District # 6 Date of Birth 11-9-54

MALE [X] BLACK [ ] BOARDS/COMMISSIONS/COMMITTEES I AM MOST INTERESTED IN

FEMALE [X] WHITE [ ] Neighborhood Grants ; Area Fund ;

SINGLE [X] INDIAN [ ] Clean City ; Youth Involvement Council ;

MARRIED [ ] HISPANIC [ ] Private Industry Council ; CHDC ;

OTHER [ ] Planning Comm. ; Community Related

EDUCATION (including degrees completed) B.A. Education/Math ; GRI (Realtor’s In

plus various courses in real estate investment, financing, zoning

appraisal, mortgage lending, etc., plus commercial real estate co

CURRENT EMPLOYER Charlotte-Mecklenburg Housing Partnership, Inc

TITLE Mortgage Coordinator YEARS IN CURRENT POSITION 3½

DUTIES take loan applications; write sales contracts; process & fund

loans; coordinate closings; utilize 10 years of real estate experience

to assist in property purchase decisions; serve as Asst. Secretary

Real Estate Sales since 1983 (American Realty Better Homes & Gardens

Broker’s license since 1984; previously Office Manager & Internal Aud

Supervisor for chain of retail luggage & leather goods stores in Texa

previously Junior High Math Teacher; also self-employed as Real

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES

(Ever)
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE

Residential Real Estate / Math / Education
Elimination of Prejudice / Children's Issues / Recycling
Some computer skills; ability to think creatively / Art & Music

CURRENT PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

Member - County Advisory Committee to Register of Deeds; member
League of Women Voters; Chlt. Women's Political Caucus; Chlt. Amateur Astronomers Club (member & past convention coordinator);

PAST PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

Volunteer Harvey Gantt senatorial campaign; volunteer tutor in
Dallas, Texas; years ago, led singing in church; Revitalizations comm.

COMMENTS

I have experienced much personal growth in the past four years; I now feel ready to contribute creatively to this community.

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY

To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes ________ No ________  If Yes, please attach explanation

Is there any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes ________ No ________  If yes, please attach explanation of the nature of the conflict

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that; otherwise, it will be removed from the active file.

A personal contact with a City Council member is recommended.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2857

Signature

Date: 9/20/93

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES

City Clerk
1992
APPLICATION FOR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES
CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL

Please complete each section.

FULL NAME Nancy Lee Williams
(please print or type)
Mr. 
Ms. 

HOME ADDRESS 2108 Charlotte Dr., Charlotte, N.C. 28203 ZIP 

BUSINESS ADDRESS Duke Power, 428 S. Church St. Charlotte, N.C. ZIP 

HOME PHONE(704) 333-7657 BUSINESS PHONE(704) 

SPOUSE'S NAME Roger Darby SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER Darby Architecture
S. Cedar St. Charlotte, N.C. SPOUSE'S TITLE Principal

PLEASE INDICATE: Council District # Date of Birth 7-9-51

MALE ___ BLACK ___ BOARDS/COMMISSIONS/COMMITTEES I AM MOST INTERESTED IN.
FEMALE ___ WHITE ___ [ ] Planning Advisory, [ ] Art Museum
HISPANIC ___ INDIAN ___ Art Service Board
OTHER ___

EDUCATION (including degrees completed) Quine College: Computer and Business Certificate;
[ ] UNC: Masters Public Administration
Clemson University: Bachelor Sociology, Secondary Education

CURRENT EMPLOYER Duke Power Company (9 yrs)

TITLE Compensation Consultant (Human Resources) YEARS IN CURRENT POSITION 9 yrs
DUTIES Design compensation programs including incentive plans for Duke Power, and subsidiary companies

OTHER EMPLOYMENT HISTORY City of Charlotte: Personnel Department Sr.
Personnel Analyst (9 yrs)

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE  planning, organization, computers

CURRENT/PAST PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

Dilworth Community Development Association: Past Board member, Secretary, VP
AIR Women's Auxiliary: Past President, VP
Avenue Presbyterian Church: Committee Chair, Sunday School Class President
Send, City Advisory Committee
City Council Candidate Campaign Committee

COMMENTS: I have no financial interest in preserving Charlotte's tree parks, which are used by people in the older neighborhoods. Also, in the past we have no strong personal background.

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY:

To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes  No  __________  If yes, please attach explanation

If there is any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes  No  __________  If yes, please attach explanation of the nature of the conflict

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that; otherwise, it will be removed from the active file. PERSONAL CONTACT WITH A CITY COUNCILMEMBER IS RECOMMENDED.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2837

Signature:  __________
Date:  July 5, 1993

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
APPLICATION FOR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES
CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL

Please complete each section

FULL NAME Carrie Cole Winter

HOME ADDRESS 5x1 Masters Court

BUSINESS ADDRESS P.O. Box 34646 Charlotte

HOME PHONE (704) 342-3812

BUSINESS PHONE (704) 529-0380

SPouse's NAME Carlton V. Winter

SPouse's EMPLOYER Self - D.D.S.

SPouse's TITLE Dr. - Pediatric M.D.

PLEASE INDICATE: Council District # 7

Date of Birth 3-29-30

MALE X BLACK X BOARDS/COMMISSIONS/COMMITTEES I AM MOST INTERESTED IN

FEMALE X WHITE X Tree Commission

HISPANIC X INDIAN X

OTHER

EDUCATION (including degrees completed)
B.S. Appalachian State University (Science, English)
M.S. University of South Carolina (Biology); Special Graduate Student Emphasis (Bacteriology); M.P.H. School of Public Health, UNC-Chapel Hill

CURRENT EMPLOYER M.B. Kohn Construction Co.

TITLE Consultant

YEARS IN CURRENT POSITION

DUTIES Marketing Responsibilities in area of education for the Construction Management Division of M.B. Kohn

OTHER EMPLOYMENT HISTORY Consultant Health Administration; Research Bacteriology; Center for Disease Control - Atlanta and Chapel Hill; Research Technician, Atlanta Hospital Lab Technician Columbia, S.C.

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE: Horticultural interests that relate to the environment, understanding of organizations with skill in developing consensus knowledge of the community with awareness of competing interests for environmental goals; the community; academic background in biology including ecology.

CURRENT/PAST PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

Current:
Charlotte Botanical Garden Society—Chairman; Board of Directors; Community Relations.

Past:
Board of Advisors, School of Public Health, UNC-Chapel Hill; Charlotte Mecklenburg Board of Education; Council for Children, Inc.; Mecklenburg County Adolescent Pregnancy Project; Arts and Science; Adult; Teen Health Board; Community Health Services Board; American Association University Women; Women Executives; NC Telecommunications Commission.

COMMENTS

________________________________________

________________________________________

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY:

To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes, Yes X If yes, please attach explanation.

If there any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes, No X If yes, please attach explanation of the nature of the conflict.

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that. Otherwise, it will be removed from the active file. PERSONAL CONTACT WITH A CITY COUNCILMEMBER IS RECOMMENDED.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2857

Signature

Date 6-21-93

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
APPLICATION FOR BOARDS, COMMISSIONS AND COMMITTEES
CHARLOTTE CITY COUNCIL

Please complete each section.

FULL NAME: John Mercer Woods

(please print or type)

Mr. xx Mrs. ___

Ms. ___ Miss ___

HOME ADDRESS: 552 Lorimer St. (P.O. Box 1929) Davidson, NC

ZIP 26036

BUSINESS ADDRESS: 200 S. Tryon St., Charlotte, NC

ZIP 28202

HOME PHONE (704) 392-6458

BUSINESS PHONE (704) 342-7087

SPouse's NAME: Myra P. Woods

SPouse's EMPLOYER: NationsBank

SPouse's TITLE: Vice President

PLEASE INDICATE: Council District # Date of Birth 2/3/49

MALE xx BLACK ___

BOARDS/COMMISSIONS/COMMITTEES I AM MOST INTERESTED IN:

FEMALE ___ WHITE xx

TREE COMMISSION

HISPANIC ___ INDIAN ___

OTHER ___

EDUCATION (including degrees completed) 1967 - North Mecklenburg High School:

1971 - Presbyterian College, B.S. Business Administration

1980 - University of South Carolina, M.B.A.

CURRENT EMPLOYER: BB&T

TITLE: Vice President

YEARS IN CURRENT POSITION: 7

DUTIES: Commercial Lending Officer including real estate and operating company financing in Charlotte and Mecklenburg County.

OTHER EMPLOYMENT HISTORY: 1974 - 1973 U.S. Army, First Lieutenant:


DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES/ATTACHMENTS

(over)
WASTE MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
(20 Members)

Membership - The City Council is to recommend five (5) members for approval by the County Commission. Represented are to be the following groups: (1) Medical-Scientific; (2) Legal; (3) Planning Organization; (4) Chamber of Commerce; (5) Charlotte Clean City Committee. Terms are for three years and appointees must be residents of Mecklenburg County.

Responsibilities - Evaluate all decisions to construct additional waste-to-energy facilities in the future and consult and advise the City and County governing bodies on the merits and cost efficiencies of such decisions prior to the time they are made.

Legend:
MS Medical-Scientific
L Legal
PO Planning Organization
CC Chamber of Commerce
CCC Clean City Committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Appt. By</th>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Dist.</th>
<th>Appt. Date</th>
<th>Reappt. Date</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Exprr. Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Griffin W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac Heard, Jr B/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12/07/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Simmons W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10/02/89</td>
<td>08/13/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Smith /M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>02/20/89</td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John S Spegal W/M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>CCC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>05/07/90</td>
<td>08/13/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Name</th>
<th>Appt. By</th>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Dist.</th>
<th>Appt. Date</th>
<th>Reappt. Date</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Exprr. Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William F Blankenship O/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leamon B Bruce O/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Carson Cato W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/19/90</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td>09/30/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James W Gilpin W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/16/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Kenneth Hoffman W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/16/91</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark E Hollis W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice Jones B/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Kissinger W/F</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/21/87</td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David W Martin W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>05/20/91</td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Lynn Phillips W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>09/19/90</td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh K Reid W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/18/91</td>
<td>09/21/92</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberlee Scott W/F</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01/22/91</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp</td>
<td>09/30/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Stoner W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/19/90</td>
<td>09/16/91</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy B Wiggins W/F</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/16/87</td>
<td>09/24/91</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles A Willis W/M</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/16/87</td>
<td>09/24/91</td>
<td>3 yrs</td>
<td>09/30/94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OFFICE OF CITY CLERK

Please complete each section

FULL NAME Pamela Fay Sharpe

HOME ADDRESS 11014 Fox Mill Lane Charlotte, NC

BUSINESS ADDRESS BFI/5516 Rozzells Ferry Rd./Charlotte, NC

HOME PHONE (704) 866-3867

BUSINESS PHONE (704) 394-1353

PLEASE INDICATE Council District # ______________________ Date of Birth 1/1/59

MALE _____ BLACK _____ BOARDS/COMMISSIONS/COMMITTEES I AM MOST INTERESTED IN

FEMALE XX WHITE XX Charlotte Clean City Committee

SINGLE XX INDIAN

MARRIED _____ HISPANIC

OTHER

EDUCATION (including degrees completed) B.S. Psychology 1981 Appalachian State Univ.

CURRENT EMPLOYER Browning-Ferris Industries of South Atlantic, Inc.

TITLE District Governmental Services Manager ___________________ YEARS IN CURRENT POSITION *

DUTIES To expand and maintain solid waste and recycling services to the public sector.


DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
INTERESTS/SKILLS/AREAS OF EXPERTISE
Have served on Charlotte Clean City Board in past; 11 yrs. experience in solid waste industry.

CURRENT PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES
Member Board of Gastonia Clean City Committee; current offices (Secretary Solid Waste Association of North America)

PAST PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CIVIC, RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

COMMENTS

AFFIRMATION OF ELIGIBILITY:
To your knowledge, has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?

Yes________ No________  If Yes, please attach explanation.

Is there any possible conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the City Council?

Yes________ No________ If yes, please attach explanation of the nature of the conflict.

I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize investigation of all statements contained herein and the references listed above to give you any and all information concerning my qualifications and any pertinent information they may have, personal or otherwise, and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from furnishing the same to you.

This form will be retained on file for one year and must be updated after that; otherwise, it will be removed from the active file.

A personal contact with a City Council member is recommended.

RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-2857

Signature: [Signature]
Date: [Date]

DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
MEMORANDUM

To:          Charlotte City Council

From:        Daphne Dwyer, Chair
              Public Art Commission

Re:          State of New Public Art Commission

Date:        September 29, 1993

The Charlotte City Council and the Mecklenburg County Board of Commissioners approved a restructured Public Art Program and a revised Public Art Resolution/Ordinance in the spring of this year. The revised resolution/ordinance restructured the Public Art Commission as follows:

Section 4 - Art Commission Composition and Responsibilities

Composition. The Art Commission shall have twelve (12) members appointed for three-year terms in the following manner:

Six members shall be appointed by the Charlotte City Council from persons nominated by either the Art Commission or the Arts and Science Council. Six members shall be appointed by the Board of County Commissioners from persons nominated by either the Art Commission or the Arts and Science Council.

The members shall be appointed by the City and County from various segments of the population as designated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 from field of Education</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 from Artistry/Architecture</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 from Business</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 at Large (no restriction)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Chairman of the Art Commission shall be elected by the Art Commission.

Terms shall be staggered with terms of four initial appointees for one (1) year (two by City and two by County); four for two (2) years (two by City and two by County); and four for three (3) years (two by City and two by County). Thereafter, all appointments shall be for three years, however, no member shall serve more than two consecutive three-year terms.
Below you will find a slate of new Public Art Commission members which complies with the revised resolution/ordinance. The slate is submitted to City Council for approval by the current Public Art Commission and the Arts and Science Council. Thank you for your approval of the slate.

CHARLOTTE MECKLENBURG PUBLIC ART COMMISSION

PROPOSED SLATE OF NEW COMMISSION MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISCIPLINE</th>
<th>CITY (district)</th>
<th>COUNTY (district)</th>
<th>TERM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Esther Hill</td>
<td>Francis Tolles (3)</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Laura Grosch (1)</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART/ARCHITECTURE</td>
<td></td>
<td>To be Determined</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Duncan</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rudy Cooper</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSINESS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jim Sommers (3)</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Simms</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Verruto</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT LARGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Gorelick (3)</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daphne Dwyer</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stephanie Counts (4)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Combined City and County Districts total 11, slate proposes representation from 10 of the 11 total districts.
- 5 of 11 or 45% - Women
- 4 of 11 or 36% - African-American
- One County representative to be determined
TO: Cindy Conley
Purchasing

FROM: Mike Davis
PS&I Video

DATE: 8/6/93

RE: BID RECOMMENDATION DATA

As you are aware, our vendor options for the recent video bid request are very limited. Only one company, Technical Video Systems, bid on items 1-14. Items 15-17 will have to be ordered direct from Iris Technologies, and items 18 and 19 will need to be ordered direct from Abekas.

The major items requested are three video cameras, a video switcher, and a video routing system. All of these items are replacements of existing equipment, and will be used to support all video operations for the City of Charlotte as well as contractual work performed for Mecklenburg County. The equipment is needed because existing equipment has far exceeded its normal life expectancy and has begun to fail on a regular basis.

City Council has approved a $39,000 annual lease amount in the PS&I budget through FY98 for this equipment (see attachment from FY94/95 Operating Budget). Therefore, the funding source should be listed as the FY94 Lease/Purchase account.

If you have any further questions, please contact myself, Ann White in Budget & Evaluation or Reid Washam in Finance.

The consequences of deferring this action are quite serious indeed. A major failure of any of the equipment we wish to replace could jeopardize the continued operation of our entire facility. I cannot overstate the critical nature of this request. Please keep in touch with me as the purchasing process progresses.

Thank you.

cc: Bill Guerrant
Doc Russell

attachment
TAXPAYERS AND REFUNDS REQUESTED
LESS THAN $100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount of Refund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labor Force of Greensboro</td>
<td>$ 95.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Force of Greensboro</td>
<td>38.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Force of Greensboro</td>
<td>89.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlas Marketing Inc</td>
<td>49.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auten Larry Craven</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auten Larry Craven</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoskins Melvin Louis</td>
<td>81.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Ruby H</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Ruby H</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Ruby H</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Ruby H</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Ruby H</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt Marshall C. Jr &amp;</td>
<td>25 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$402.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TAXPAYERS AND REFUNDS REQUESTED
MORE THAN $100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Amount of Refund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glidden Co The</td>
<td>$3,019.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solon Automated Services Inc.</td>
<td>661.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cappelli Dominic &amp;W</td>
<td>143.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoskins Melvin Louis</td>
<td>103.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers Brevard S</td>
<td>716.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers Brevard S</td>
<td>842.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers Brevard S</td>
<td>764.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Lakefront Shoppes</td>
<td>5,401.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off The Record</td>
<td>182.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,834.60</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys. The Charlotte Department of Transportation has received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and is requesting this Council Action in accordance with NCGS 160A-299.

Petitioner:
The Salvation Army/Richard A. Wyman

Right-of-Way to be Abandoned:
East 15th Street and an alleyway

Location:
- East 15th Street between Harrill Street and Seigle Avenue
- Alleyway southeast of and parallel to Seigle Avenue from Belmont Avenue to a location approximately 150 feet northeast of East 15th Street.

Reason:
To incorporate the right-of-way into adjoining property to construct athletic fields and provide for security.

Notification:
In accordance with City policy the Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT) has sent abandonment petitions to adjoining property owners, neighborhood associations, private utility companies, and City Departments for review:

Adjoining property owners-No objection
Alltime Factors, Inc.
John Potts, Sr.

Neighborhood association(s)-No objection
Belmont Neighborhood Strategy Force
Belmont Development Corporation

Private Utility Companies-No objection
City Departments-
Review has identified no apparent reason this closing would:

1. Be contrary to the public interest; or,
2. Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity reasonable ingress/egress to his property as outlined in NCGS 160A-299.
North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys. The Charlotte Department of Transportation has received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and is requesting this Council Action in accordance with NCGS 160A-299.

Petitioner:
Crosland Development Corporation
- Stephen L. Vermillion

Right-of-Way to be Abandoned:
Carver Drive and Shady Hills Circle and a portion of Hampton Church Road and Pharr Street

Location:
- Carver Drive from Hampton Church Road to Pharr Street.
- Shady Hills Circle from/to University Boulevard (NC 49).
- Hampton Church Road from 145 feet southeast of Washington Boulevard southeastwardly approximately 450 feet to its terminus.
- Pharr Street from Washington Boulevard southeastwardly approximately 200 feet to Carver Drive.

Reason:
To incorporate the right-of-way into adjoining property to construct the Commons at Chancellor Park shopping center development according to plan set forth in Rezoning Petitions 92-67 and 93-35 as approved by the City Council.

Notification:
In accordance with City policy the Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT) has sent abandonment petitions to adjoining property owners, neighborhood associations, private utility companies, and City Departments for review:

Adjoining property owners-
Mrs. William H. Houze

Neighborhood association(s)-
Hampton Park Neighborhood Association

Private Utility Companies - No objection
Explanation of Request:

Council is asked to:

- Approve the Traffic Agreement between the City and NCDOT required for the installation of a video vehicle detection system at the intersection of Freedom Drive and Bradford Drive.
- Adopt the Resolution to authorize the Mayor to execute the Traffic Agreement.

Purpose:

The Traffic Agreement will reimburse the City for installation of the AUTOSCOPE - 2003 video vehicle detection system at Freedom Drive (NC 27) and Bradford Drive.

Background:

Detection of vehicles at signalized intersections within construction work zones cannot be done with standard loop wires within the pavement. Microwave detection systems have limited use since vehicles in turn lanes cannot be distinguished easily from through vehicles.

AUTOSCOPE - 2003 equipment uses video surveillance cameras and simulated detector loops that are "painted" electronically on the monitor. System software senses vehicles crossing the simulated loops allowing vehicles in turn as well as through lanes to be separately detected.

The AUTOSCOPE - 2003 equipment will be used initially on Freedom Drive at Bradford Drive during reconstruction of the I-85/Freedom Drive interchange area and later on NCDOT maintained streets at intersections under construction.
### CHARLOTTE CONVENTION CENTER
### TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET UPDATE

**TOTALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A (NOVEMBER 1991)</th>
<th>B (ESTIMATE PRIOR TO BIDDING)</th>
<th>C (AWARDED LOW BID)</th>
<th>D (CONTRACT CHARGE ORDERS TO DATE)</th>
<th>E (CURRENT SAVINGS/OVER-RUN FROM A/ C RIGHT)</th>
<th>F (MINORITY PARTICIPATION ACTUAL PERCENTAGE OF CONTRACT COST)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORIGINAL BUDGET</td>
<td>CURRENT REVISED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BID TO DATE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$564,537,260</td>
<td>$884,740,945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHANGE ORDERS TO DATE (APPROVED)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHANGE ORDERS TO DATE (PENDING)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TO BE AWARDED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECT GENERAL CONDITIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DESIGN CONTINGENCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,267,711</td>
<td>$1,955,429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUILDING CONSTRUCTION COST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$87,905,000</td>
<td>$85,864,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAND COST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,170,000</td>
<td>$10,170,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER PROJECT COSTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,161,000,000</td>
<td>$1,161,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$141,166,500</td>
<td>$141,166,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FOOTNOTES**

(a) Negotiated low bid

(b) Not Applicable

(c) Other Project Costs Include such items as the Architect's Fee, Project Contingency, Furniture & Equipment, Professional Testing Services, City Administration, and the Art Fund

(d) Includes Council action item
ATTACHMENT 1

IMPROVEMENTS TO MCALPINE CREEK WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AMENDMENT NO. 6

BACKGROUND

- CH2M Hill was chosen to provide engineering services for Improvements to McAlpine Wastewater Treatment Plant using the Council approved selection process.

- On July 9, 1984, City Council approved an agreement with CH2M Hill in the amount of $1,591,896.00 to provide engineering services to design an expansion at the McAlpine Wastewater Treatment Plant, including a Solids Stabilization Facility.

- On February 23, 1987, City Council approved Amendment No. 1 to the agreement with CH2M Hill in the amount of $664,759.00 to provide engineering services to allow design around two prequalified alternative composting systems. This was a change in the scope of services.

- On October 27, 1987, City Council approved Amendment No. 2 to the agreement with CH2M Hill in the amount of $252,068.00 to provide engineering services to modify the design in an effort to reduce construction costs and to re-bid the project and seek EPA approval.

- On February 8, 1988, City Council approved Amendment No. 3 to the agreement with CH2M Hill in the amount of $108,298.00 to provide engineering services during the construction bid phase of the re-bid of the project related to Amendment No. 2.

- On June 22, 1992, City Council approved Amendment No. 4 to the agreement with CH2M Hill in the amount of $217,327.00 to provide engineering services to evaluate new alternatives to accommodate the evolving technology and federal regulations regarding the treatment and usage of sewage sludge and the preparation of a facilities plan amendment to preserve the $91 million grant from the EPA.

- On March 23, 1993, City Council approved Amendment No. 5 to the agreement with CH2M Hill in the amount of $879,524.00 to provide engineering services to complete preliminary design of the chosen alternative, conduct an EPA required value engineering analyses which was a result of evolving federal regulations and to proceed with the design of the facilities.
ATTACHMENT NO. 2

CHRONOLOGY

- Prior to 1983, the federal sludge disposal regulations allowed municipalities to sale or to give treated sewage sludge to the public for a modest fee.

- From 1983 to 1985, the City (CMUD) hauled all treated sewage sludge to the York Road Landfill for disposal. North Carolina sludge regulations changed to prohibit sale to the public except by restrictive permits to large farms.

- From 1985 to 1989, the City (CMUD) was forced to stockpile treated sewage sludge at five treatment plant sites. North Carolina sludge regulations changed to prohibit landfill disposal of sludge. The York Road Landfill closed in 1986.

- Since 1989, the City (CMUD) has practiced beneficial reuse of treated sewage sludge by contracting with a private company to land apply sludge on area farms under strict permit conditions. The stockpiles have been reduced to current production amounts, and the City (CMUD) won an award for beneficial use of municipal wastewater sludge in 1992.

- The 1993 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 503 Sludge Regulations will allow the City (CMUD) to expand their beneficial reuse program by adding compost and lime treated sludge to the current land application program. The City (CMUD) seeks to build a new solids stabilization facility at the McAlpine Creek Wastewater Management Facility to provide the new sludge products.

- All new permits to extend sewer lines in the City’s service area depend upon a successful program to dispose of treated sewage sludge. The City (CMUD) has chosen to practice beneficial reuse, and seeks to provide acceptable new sludge products which can be sold without restrictions to the public.
MAJOR'S SCHEDULE

October 11, 1993

6:30 p.m. CITIZEN'S HEARING

1. Invocation by-Rev.-Jerry-Tow, Oakdale Church of God.


3. Recognize Teresa Elder, Clean City Committee - Neighborhood Recognition Award.

4. Recognize Glenn Hunter, Chairman and Ann Register, Executive Director, Metrolina Food Bank to accept proclamation from Mayor Vinroot for National Food Bank Week.


7. Recognize John Georgious, Bond Campaign Manager - Update on City/County November Bonds.

8. Cheryl Jones, 8220 White Horse Drive, 365-1832 - Ask Council to support MAD's Red Ribbon Event.

9. Gerald Collins, 1000 Lakehill Road, 392-9217 - Air Traffic

10. Arthur Griffin, 5822 Rimerton Drive, 376-1600 - Housing Policy


13. A. S. Rollins, 1008 Moretz Avenue (no phone) - Stormwater

14. Robert Muth, 600 South College Street, 372-9870 - Payment for Sanitation Haulers.

15. Ralph M. Miller - 601 Oak Range 8/62 - Forestry Preservation


17. Ed Schwei + 2er 7220 Fox Hunt Rd School Board Policy

18. Thomas Royer 619 E 8th St Personnel
7:00 p.m. CITY COUNCIL MEETING

1. The following requests to speak to Agenda Items have been received:

   A. Agenda Item No. 4 - Carmel Road Townhomes

      (1) Jane Brown, 4101 Waterford Drive - 541-0943
      (2) William Broatch, 5362 Sandtrap Lane - 542-2098
      (3) Howard Elson, 4909 Torrey Pines Court - 542-1325
      (4) Joseph Calby, 5308 Wingedfoot Road - 542-0688
      (5) Bob Bollinger, P. O. Box 30848 - 372-1360
      (6) Eddie Knox, P. O. Box 30848 - 372-1360
      (7) Brent Anderson, 5012 Carmel Road - 371-5222
      (8) Thomas Crawley, 4936 Carmel Road - 541-5671

   B. Agenda Item No. 8 - Grants for Community Housing Development Organizations - Reid Park - Phase I

      (1) William Dye, 3660 Reid Avenue - 393-4524 or 372-0667

   C. Agenda Item No. 10 - Bid Specifications - Roll Out Garbage Containers

      (1) Jerry Biers, 6424 Sullens Road - 552-6726
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXISTING PROGRAM</th>
<th>AMENDED PROGRAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FY94-98 CIP</strong></td>
<td><strong>TRANSIT GRANT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Replace 4 STS Buses</td>
<td>Replace 3 STS Buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) No reference</td>
<td>Purchase 7 New STS Buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Replacement of Service Vehicles</td>
<td>Delete project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Upgrading Passenger Amenities</td>
<td>Delete project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Purchase Maintenance Equipment</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Purchase of Office Equipment</td>
<td>Purchase of Computers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Purchase 4 New Vanpool Vans</td>
<td>Replace 4 Vanpool Vans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Park And Ride Lots</td>
<td>Delete project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) TMOC Parking Expansion</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) no project</td>
<td>Rehab. Bus Washer Apron</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Decisions need to be made as follows:

12. #93-55  Area A-1 - O-2 to R-5  
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

The Zoning Committee recommends approval. Need separate motion to approve or deny.

Area A-2, Area B, and Area C - R-22MF to R-5

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and may be done in one motion.

Area A, B, and C - R-22MF to R-5 and R-8, and O-2 to R-4

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and may be done in one motion.

Area A, B, and C - R-17MF to R-4, R-22MF to R-4, and O-2 to R-17MF

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and one motion can be made.

Area A, B, and C - R-22MF to R-8, O-2 to R-22MF, and R-22MF to R-8

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and may be done in one motion.

Area D - R-17MF to R-4

Protest sufficient to invoke 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval, but recommend the Heath property be rezoned to R-8 Need separate motion to approve or deny.
16. #93-59

APPROVED AS

Mary Whitt Camp, Rand
3 No - I. Mercer

3 3/59
p 2/98

17 #93-60

B-2 to B-1

RECOMMENDED

Zoning Committee recommends approval with exception of the Charlotte Catering Site. One motion.

B-2 to B-1

DEFERRED

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee deferred action for for 60 days.

Area A and Area C
O-2 to R-22MF, and B-1 to R-5

Zoning Committee recommends approval and one motion can be made

Area B - O-2 to R-22

Zoning Committee recommends denial and one motion can be made.

Area A - I-2 to I-1

DEFER

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule

Zoning Committee deferred action for 60 days.

Area B - I-2 to B-1

Zoning Committee recommends approval.

Area A - I-2 to I-1

Zoning Committee recommends approval, and one motion can be made.
Area B - I-2 to B-1
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends denial, and one motion can be made to approve or deny.

Area C-1 - O-2 to R-22MF
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception of the D L Phillips property. One motion.

Area C-2 - B-1 to R-22MF

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area D - B-1 and B-2 to O-2
Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule

Zoning Committee recommends denial; one motion.

Area A and D - O-2 to R-04, and O-2 to R-17MF

Zoning Committee recommends approval, and one motion can be made

Area B-1 - B-1 to R-4 and

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception of the Upholstery Shop and Office parcel. One motion can be made to do this
Area B-2 - O-2 to R-4

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion

Area C - O-2 to R-4

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning committee recommends approval and one motion can be made.

Area A, B and C - R-22MF, O-2 and B-1 to R-5, R-22MF to R-5, and R-22MF to R-5

Zoning Committee recommends approval, one motion

Area A, B and C - R-22MF and O-2 to R-8, B-1 and O-2 to R-22MF, and O-2 and R-22MF to R-8

Zoning Committee recommends approval, one motion

Area A - O-2 to R-4

Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area B - I-2 to B-2

Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule

Zoning Committee deferred action for 90 days.
Area C - I-2 to B-2
Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning Committee deferred for 90 days.

Area D-1, D-2 and D-3
Protests are sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule on D-1 and D-2
Zoning Committee deferred action for 90 days. If Area D is not deferred, Council will need to make one motion for area D-1 and D-2 since they are sufficiently protested, and a separate motion for D-3

Area E - I-1 and I-2 to B-2
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion

Area F - I-2 to B-2
Zoning Committee recommends approval, one motion
Area C - R-17MF to R-8
Protest is sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval to R-8MF instead of R-8; one motion.

Area A and B - R-22MF to R-8
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion

Area A and B - R-22MF to R-5
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A - O-2 to R-22MF
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends denial; one motion

Area B - B-2 to B-1
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval, one motion.
**Area C - R-22MF to R-5**

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

**Area A and C - R-22MF to R-5, and R-22MF to R-8**

Area A was protested but not sufficient.

Zoning Committee recommends approval, one motion.

**Area B - R-22MF to R-5**

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

**Area D - O-2 to R-22MF**

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule

Zoning Committee recommends approval, one motion.

**Area A - R-22MF to R-6**

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception of property north of Ranlo Avenue on both sides of Torrence Street; one motion.

**Area B - B-1, B-2 and R-22MF to R-6**

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Authorized:

Mary Patterson
CONSENT AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 11 OCTOBER, 1993

Project: VILLAGE LAKE DAM IMPROVEMENTS User Dept: ENG

FUNDING: General Capital Improvement Fund (Storm Water Improvements - Pay-As-You-Go) - $875,000.

Description: Construction of dam improvements at 1700 Village Lake Drive. Work includes tunneling under Village Lake Dr. and placing 60" Reinforced Concrete Pipe, and construction of an energy dissipator on the downstream side.

Justification: This project is funded through the Storm Drainage Improvement Program which identifies and prioritizes drainage systems that experience flooding which cause costly water damage to personal property and are both a health and safety hazard.

Advertised: 08 August, 1993 Bids Recvd: 09 September, 1993

Bids Expire: 09 November, 1993

Summary of Bids:
Jones Grading $372,944.55
Blythe Development $421,457.30
Crowder Construction $450,087.00

MWBE Status: Amount % of Project Proj Goals
MBE $55,000 16% 4%
WBE $80,000 24% 3%

Compliance: Contractor has complied with the requirements and intent of the M/WBE Program by exceeding the established contract goals. The low bidder is a certified MBE, giving this project 100% M/WBE participation.

Program Director Concur: YES

Est. Cost: $393,000 0.95 % Difference $ Difference. $20,056.00

Consequences If Item Deferred: Delay in award

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: YES

Submitted By: ____________________________
Clark D. Readling, City Engineer

Contact & Phone If Questions: Gary J. King, Contract Estimator @ 336-2047
CONSENT | AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Video Equipment User Dept: Purchasing (PS&I)


Description: Video Equipment (Three cameras and equipment to operate video for Council Chambers - Channel 16).

Justification: Replacement of existing video cameras used to support video operations for the City of Charlotte as well as contractual work performed for Mecklenburg County.

Advertised: 7/13/93 Bids Rcvd: 8/2/93 Bids Expire: 10/15/93

Summary of Bids:

Technical Video Systems, Inc. Winston Salem, NC $94,822.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MWBE Status</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Project</th>
<th>Proj Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance: Yes.

Program Director Concur: Yes.


Consequences If Item Deferred: The equipment in use now has far exceeded its normal life expectancy and has begun to fail on a regular basis. Lease-purchase monies were approved by City Council on September 13, 1993.

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes

Submitted By: ___________________ Approved: ___________________
Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: Bill Mouchet, 336-2932.
CONSENT II AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Street Maintenance  User Dept: Purchasing (Solid Waste)


Description: Vacuum Type Street Sweeper

Justification: Sweeper is needed and approved for newly annexed areas. This is the same price as Spring 1992 purchase.

Advertised: 9/2/93  Bids Rcvd: 9/16/93  Bids Expire: 11/16/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORTH CAROLINA EQUIPMENT CO.</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>$105,319.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MWBE Status:  Proj Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MBE</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>WBE</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance: Yes. No known MWBE vendors for this equipment.

Program Director Concur: Yes.

Est. Cost: $105,319  $ Difference: $0

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes. Only N.C. Equipment submitted a bid. The RFQ was sent to three bidders but only one responded. Specifications were not deemed restrictive.

Consequences If Deferred: Bid will expire. Equipment will not be available for annexed areas.

Submitted By:____________________  APPROVED:____________________

Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: J. F. Stallings, 336-5667

Factors Affecting MWBE: N/A
Explanation of Cost Difference: N/A
Project History:
Other:
CONSENT | AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Equipment Maintenance User Dept: Purchasing (Various)

FUNDING: Ctr: 507.00 Fund: 0101 Obj. Code: .255 Balance of Funds: $93,000

Description: Automotive Batteries

Justification: Replacement batteries for auto, truck, bus, fire trucks. Prices are the same as last year.

Advertised: 8/15/93 Bids Rcvd: 9/9/93 Bids Expire: 11/9/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COOKS BATTERY</td>
<td>ROCK HILL, S C</td>
<td>$69,195.00</td>
<td>Proj Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S Battery</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>71,966.00</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exide</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>72,543.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic Battery</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>75,879.00</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MWBE Status: MBE 5% WBE 3%

Compliance: Yes. No known MWBE vendors for this commodity.

Program Director Concur: Yes.

Est. Cost: % Difference 0 $ Difference: $

Consequences If Item Deferred: Contract will expire. Small quantity purchases will be 50-100% higher.

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes.

Submitted By: ______________________ Approved: ______________________

Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: J. F. Stallings; 336-5667.
CONSENT II AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Curbside Garbage Pickup  User Dept: Purchasing (Solid Waste)


Description: Conversion of 26 refuse trucks to automated

Justification: Conversion needed to implement curbside pickup of garbage on 7/1/94

Advertised: 8/19/93  Bids Rcvd: 9/1/93  Bids Expire: 11/1/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LODAL SOUTH</th>
<th>ROCKINGHAM, NC</th>
<th>$745,498.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MWBE Status:</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>% of Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance: Yes. No known MWBE vendors for this project.

Program Director Concur: Yes

Est. Cost: $605,000  $ Difference: $140,000

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes. Only one bid received after 2 attempts.

Consequences If Deferred: Equipment may not be ready for 7/1/94 implementation of curbside garbage pickup.

Submitted By:____________________ APPROVED:____________________
Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: J.F. Stallings, ext 5667.

Factors Affecting MWBE: n/a

Explanation of Cost Difference: Additional modifications were required, thus the price increase.

Project History: n/a

Other: n/a
CONSENT I AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Video Equipment User Dept: Purchasing (PS&l)


Description: Video Equipment (Three cameras and equipment to operate video for Council Chambers - Channel 16).

Justification: Replacement of existing video cameras used to support video operations for the City of Charlotte as well as contractual work performed for Mecklenburg County.

Advertised: 7/13/93 Bids Rcvd: 8/2/93 Bids Expire: 10/15/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Project</th>
<th>Proj Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Video Systems, Inc.</td>
<td>$94,822.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MWBE Status</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance: Yes.

Program Director Concur: Yes.

Est. Cost: 94,822 % Difference: 0 $ Difference: $

Consequences If Item Deferred: The equipment in use now has far exceeded its normal life expectancy and has begun to fail on a regular basis. Lease-purchase monies were approved by City Council on September 13, 1993

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes

Submitted By: ______________________  Approved: ______________________

Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: Bill Mouchet, 336-2932
CONSENT AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 11 OCTOBER, 1993

Project: VILLAGE LAKE DAM IMPROVEMENTS    User Dept: PUBLIC FACILITIES & ENGINEERING

FUNDING: Ctr: 357.00 Fund: 2010 Balance of Funds: $885,080.00 as of 7/31/93.

Description: Construction of dam improvements at 1700 Village Lake Drive. Work includes tunneling under Village Lake Dr. and placing 60" Reinforced Concrete Pipe.

Justification: In March, 1988, the State identified several dams within the City which have a public street on the dam and do not meet the requirements of the North Carolina Dam Safety Act. Village Lake Dam was identified as one of these dams.

This project is funded through the Storm Drainage Improvement Program which identifies and prioritizes drainage systems that experience flooding which cause costly water damage to personal property and are both a health and safety hazard.

Advertised: 08 August, 1993    Bids Rcvd: 09 September, 1993

Bids Expire: 09 November, 1993

Summary of Bids:
Jones Grading $372,944.55
Blythe Development $421,457.30
Crowder Construction $450,087.00

MWBE Status: Amount % of Project Proj Goals
MBE $372,944.55 100% 4%
WBE $0 0% 3%

Compliance: Contractor has complied with the requirements and intent of the M/WBE Program by exceeding established contract goals. The low bidder is a certified MBE, giving this project 100% M/WBE participation.

Program Director Concur: YES

Est. Cost: $393,000    0.95 % Difference    $ Difference: $20,056.00

Consequences If Item Deferred: Delay in award

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: YES

Submitted By: Clark D. Readling, City Engineer

Contact & Phone If Questions: Gary J. King, Contract Estimator @ 336-2047
ENGBID1101
CONSENT II AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Street Maintenance User Dept: Purchasing (Solid Waste)


Description: Vacuum Type Street Sweeper

Justification: Sweeper is needed and approved for newly annexed areas. This is the same price as Spring 1992 purchase.

Advertised: 9/2/93 Bids Rcvd: 9/16/93 Bids Expire: 11/16/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>% of Project</th>
<th>Proj Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORTH CAROLINA EQUIPMENT CO.</td>
<td>$105,319</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MWBE Status: MBE 5% WBE 3%

Compliance: Yes. No known MWBE vendors for this equipment.

Program Director Concur: Yes.

Est. Cost: $105,319 $ Difference: $0

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes. Only N.C. Equipment submitted a bid. The RFQ was sent to three bidders but only one responded. Specifications were not deemed restrictive.

Consequences If Deferred: Bid will expire. Equipment will not be available for annexed areas.

Submitted By:_________________ APPROVED:_________________
Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: J F. Stallings, 336-5667.

Factors Affecting MWBE: N/A
Explanation of Cost Difference: N/A
Project History:
Other:
CONSENT I AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Equipment Maintenance User Dept: Purchasing (Various)

FUNDING: Ctr: 507.00 Fund: 0101 Obj. Code: .255 Balance of Funds: $93,000

Description: Automotive Batteries

Justification: Replacement batteries for auto, truck, bus, fire trucks Prices are the same as last year.

Advertised: 8/15/93 Bids Rcvd: 9/9/93 Bids Expire: 11/9/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COOKS BATTERY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U S. Battery</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>71,966.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exide</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>72,543.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic Battery</td>
<td>Charlotte, N C</td>
<td>75,879.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCK HILL, S C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$69,195.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MWBE Status: Amount % of Project Proj Goals
- MBE
- WBE 5% 3%

Compliance: Yes. No known MWBE vendors for this commodity.

Program Director Concur: Yes.

Est. Cost: % Difference O $ Difference $ Consequences If Item Deferred: Contract will expire. Small quantity purchases will be 50-100% higher

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes

Submitted By: ______________________ Approved: ______________________
Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: J. F Stallings; 336-5667.
CONSENT II AGENDA ITEM

Council Agenda: 10/11/93

Project: Curbside Garbage Pickup  User Dept: Purchasing (Solid Waste)


Description: Conversion of 26 refuse trucks to automated

Justification: Conversion needed to implement curbside pickup of garbage on 7/1/94

Advertised: 8/19/93  Bids Rcvd: 9/1/93  Bids Expire: 11/1/93

Summary of Bids:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL SOUTH</td>
<td>ROCKINGHAM, NC</td>
<td>$745,498.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MWBE Status:  

- MBE 5%
- WBE 3%

Compliance: Yes  No known MWBE vendors for this project.

Program Director Concur: Yes

Est. Cost: $605,000  $ Difference: $140,000

Recommend Award to Low Bidder: Yes. Only one bid received after 2 attempts.

Consequences If Deferred: Equipment may not be ready for 7/1/94 implementation of curbside garbage pickup.

Submitted By: __________________ APPROVED: __________________
Gregory K. Spearman

Contact & Phone If Questions: J.F. Stallings, ext 5667.

Factors Affecting MWBE: n/a

Explanation of Cost Difference: Additional modifications were required, thus the price increase.

Project History: n/a

Other: n/a
10. Bid Specifications - Roll Out Garbage Containers

Action: Approve the Public Services Committee’s recommendation to adopt bid specifications for rollout garbage containers

Staff Resource: Wayman Pearson

Explanation of Request:

On October 4, 1993, the Public Services Committee met to discuss the proposed bid specifications for rollout garbage containers and voted 3-0 to recommend the adoption of the proposed bid specifications.

The specifications call for a durable, quality 90 gallon, ‘universal’ container, grey in color, which will be compatible with any automated or semi-automated collection equipment. The quality of the container will be supported by a 10-year warranty.

The specifications have been developed through a process which included input from potential container vendors. The proposed specifications are listed in the attachments.

Background:

On June 16, 1993, at a budget workshop, City Council approved the implementation of rollout garbage collection service in Charlotte.

On August 2, 1993, City staff presented a proposed schedule for implementing the program, which included:

- Elimination of twice-a-week refuse collection services from the backyard and the curb, and introduction of a weekly rollout curbside collection service in July 1994. A time-line for the rollout garbage collection service is listed in the attachments.

- 100% of the containers being furnished by the City.

- Bid specifications and contracts for containers and collection vehicles being approved by City Council in October/November, 1993.
The first phase of the public education program beginning in February 1994.

The Solid Waste Services Department developed draft specifications for a durable, quality 90-gallon rollout container. The specifications were circulated among potential vendors, and suggested changes by vendors were incorporated into the specifications. A summary of changes made to the draft specifications in response to the vendors’ comments, together with a response to other issues suggested by the vendors are listed in the attachments.

The City will publish the bid package, with Council’s approval, during October 1993. The Purchasing Department will conduct the normal pre-bid conference with potential vendors, and the bid award recommendation will be scheduled for Council’s approval at the November 22, 1993, Council meeting. The bid will be awarded to the lowest bid price. Consideration in the bid award will be given to any additional service which a bidder may offer for public education, container maintenance and financing options. Council approved lease-purchase financing for containers, but we may wish to consider alternative financing if it appears to be advantageous.

The successful bidder will be required to deliver the containers directly to approximately 146,000+ service units in late spring 1994.

Attachments
4.1 SCOPE OF SERVICES

This specification describes a mobile plastic container into which customers can safely and conveniently transport waste materials to the curb for collection by the City of Charlotte Sanitation Division forces or by any private hauler that may be operating under a contract with the City of Charlotte for the collection of refuse from residential and selected small business customers.

The container shall be durable in its design, having wheels and the necessary transport and lift features such that it can be maneuvered, lifted and the waste contained therein emptied into a refuse collection vehicle for transporting to the appropriate disposal site used by the City of Charlotte. The design of the container must accommodate both a semi-automated and automated refuse collection system, in that lifting devices either will be or already have been installed on the refuse collection vehicles to achieve such collection methods.

4.1.2 The containers required shall be new and unused and the latest style in production meeting specifications.

BIDDER MUST INDICATE COMPLIANCE, OR STATE EXCEPTION FOR OUR ACCEPTANCE. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS REQUIREMENT MAY TENDER YOUR BID UNRESPONSIVE.

5.0 DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS

5.1 MANUFACTURING, DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND COMPOSITION

5.1.1 The containers must be manufactured in a facility owned by the bidder.

5.1.2 All containers, lids, and related components must be uniform in design, material and tolerance throughout the entire quantity of units furnished under these specifications.

5.1.3 Construction must be seamless one piece vacuum, blow or rotational or injection molded high density polyethylene resin (State method)

5.1.3.1 For vacuum, blow or rotationally molded containers, the wall thickness must be.

- For the body side, bottom and corner wall, not less than 0.120 inches
- For the lid, not less than 0.100 inches
5 1 3 2 For injection molded containers the wall thickness must be
- For the body side bottom and corner wall not less than 0.170 inches
- For the lid not less than 0.130 inches

5 1 3 3 For foam injection molded containers the wall thickness must be
- For the lid and body side bottom and corner wall not less than 0.250 inches

NOTE: FOR SPECIFICATIONS 5.1.3.1 THROUGH 5.1.3.3, THE CITY OF CHARLOTT WILL DETERMINE THE NUMBER AND LOCATION OF THE REPRESENTATIVE STRUCTURALLY SIGNIFICANT POINT IF MEASURE TO DETERMINE ACCEPTABLE THICKNESS.

5 1 4 The container must have a minimum volume of 90 gallons and a maximum volume of 100 gallons level full, by liquid measure of the body only excluding the lid (State gallons __________) [unnumbered]

5 1 5 The exterior dimensions of the completely assembled container must be within ranges as follows
- Height 42" min to 48" max ________
- Width 24" min to 31" max ________
- Length/Depth 32" min to 35" max ________
(Stated Dimensions)

5 1 6 The empty container must weigh at least 35 pounds and not exceed a weight of 55 pounds (State weight ________)

5 1 7 The container may contain up to 25% recycled material in the composition of the resin (State Percentage ________)

5 1 8 In order to stabilize the container against deterioration from sunlight and fading for a minimum of 10 years, the plastic resins used in the fabrication of the container, body, lid and component parts must contain no less than 0.5% (one-half of one percent) of UV 531 or an approved equal

5 1 9 The container must be durable by withstanding temperatures from -30 degrees Fahrenheit to 130 degrees Fahrenheit under loaded conditions of 200 pounds of ballast (Certified laboratory verification must be attached to bid response )

5 1 10 The container must have a life expectancy of not less than 10 years during which time the container, lid and its component parts must retain their original appearance, be resistant to blows, kicks, squirrel and other rodent penetration; and, in general, be maintenance free

5 1 11 Based upon the intended application, the container must withstand wear and permanent deformation caused by loading and unloading of the materials, particularly on the bottom of the container

5 1 12 The bottom must be protected from damage throughout the warranty period through the use of pads, strips or other means designed to withstand abrasion and wear resulting from contact with asphalt, cement and other rough surfaces
The interior surface of the container must be smooth and free from crevices, recesses, projections or other obstructions where refuse could become entrapped or entangled.

The interior surface and shape of the container must assure free and complete flow of waste materials from the container when in the dumping position.

The exterior surface of the container must be smooth and uniform with no structures that would present a hazard or nuisance to either the user or the City's collection employee.

The bidder must not change, alter or substitute any materials or component parts used in the fabrication, manufacture installation or assembly of the container without the written approval of the Director of the Solid Waste Services Department.

Any container bodies, lids, wheels, or component parts found to have been fabricated, manufactured, installed, or assembled with unapproved substituted materials or parts shall be considered non-compliant and subject to rejection by the City of Charlotte.

**WARRANTY**

The container must have a life expectancy of not less than 10 years during which time the container, lid, and its component parts must resemble its original appearance, be resistant to blows, kicks, squirrel and other rodent penetration, and in general, be maintenance free.

Should the bottom of the container fail during the warranty period, the bidder will provide to the City, at no cost, a complete new container. (Replacement parts will be unacceptable)

The bidder must provide for a period of 120 months, a warranty against failure of the container through normal and regular use. This includes a warranty on all materials and workmanship of the container, including lids, hardware and all component parts.

The warranty shall be in effect for a period of 120 continuous months beginning on the respective date each container is delivered on-site to the City of Charlotte.

The bid provided shall include all peripheral costs including, but not limited to, a ten year full replacement warranty, transportation to the site of the City of Charlotte's choice, warehousing, if necessary, local assembly, training and technical assistance, and all markings specified herein.

**STABILITY**

The container must be capable of accommodating, without distortion, damage or a reduction in function or maneuverability, a load weight of up to 200 pounds, excluding the weight of the container (State weight ____________).

The container must be designed to be self-balancing wherein the upright position, whether loaded or unloaded, and with the lid in either the open or closed position.

The container, when empty, must be stable and not blow over in winds of up to 30 miles per hour.
8.1 WHEELS AND AXLES

8.1.1 Each container must be equipped with a minimum 3/4 inch diameter solid steel cadmium plated axle and two rubber tired wheels 10 inch minimum diameter with a 2 inch tread width.

8.1.2 The wheels and axle must be positioned on the container to enable it to be pushed or pulled with little effort either when empty or loaded up to and including the unit capacity.

8.1.3 The wheels and axle must be capable of bearing and transporting a fully loaded container on a level, sloped or stepped surface in two directions.

8.1.4 The wheel and axle assembly must be securely attached to the body of the container and must not be capable of being removed by hand or with ordinary tools.

8.1.5 The container must be designed to be easily and safely tilted to the roll position when fully loaded.

8.1.6 Wheel bearings must be self-lubricating.

8.1.7 The wheels must be retained on the axles so they can easily be changed should the need arise but in such a way that the wheels will not easily become detached from the container while in use. (State how wheels are attached)

8.1.8 The axle must be mounted so as to maintain the waterproof nature of the bottom of the container.

9.1 LID, HINGE AND LATCH

9.1.1 State design of the lid and hinge. A one piece design is preferred.

9.1.2 The lid must be designed to remain in the closed position when required, not opening during windy conditions and exposing the container's contents.

9.1.3 If a latch is required to achieve specification 9.1.2, the latch must be easy to open and close with one hand, without requiring a separate unlatching/latching operation.

9.1.4 The lid must be designed so that it can be operated with one hand.

9.1.5 The hinge on the lid must be an integrally molded part of the lid configuration to ensure low maintenance.

9.1.6 The hinge on the lid must offer an opening on the lid of at least 270 degrees, with no interference.

9.1.7 The lid must be designed such that it will not warp, bend, slump, or distort to the extent that it no longer fits the container properly or becomes otherwise unserviceable.

9.1.8 The lid must be domed to facilitate the run-off of water.
10.1 PUSH HANDLE OR HANDLES

10.1.1 The push-handle or handles used to grip and maneuver the container shall be an integrally molded part of the container for security and convenience of repair.

10.1.2 The push-handle or handles diameter must be a minimum of 0.875 inches.

10.1.3 The gripping area where only one handle is used must offer a minimum area of 12 inches. If two handles are used, the total gripping area must be between 10 and 12 inches.

10.1.4 All handles must be a distance away from the container such that the collector can easily grip the container with their hands while wearing heavy-duty work gloves.

11.1 COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS

11.1.1 The container must be equipped with a pick-up bar or frontal rim to accommodate both semi-automated and automated collections.

11.1.2 The pick-up bar must be molded in and constructed of a long life material at least one (1) inch in diameter.

11.1.3 The gripping surface of the bar must be non-slip and be designed such that it does not bend in the lifting process. (State length of bar in inches ________)

12.1 COLOR

12.1.1 The container must come in a gray color as approved by the City of Charlotte. Samples should be submitted for review by the City prior to any containers being manufactured.

12.1.2 The color blending process must result in a thorough homogeneous distribution of the gray particles within the plastic material to assure that no mottled coloring or "windows" occur through which ultraviolet radiation can penetrate.

12.1.3 The color must remain constant from container to container for the entire quantity of containers supplied to the City.

13.1 MARKINGS AND IDENTIFICATION

13.1.1 The container must appear clean and free of markings. No exterior stickers may be placed on the container by the bidder except those designated and approved by the City of Charlotte.

13.1.2 The name of the bidder's company, their logo, and/or trade mark must be molded or hot-stamped onto the body of the container and must not be enhanced with any color.

13.1.3 The bidder must submit a sample of all designs/markings for approval by the City of Charlotte. The City reserves the right to change, add or delete the markings of the bidders or the positions of the markings on the containers.

13.1.4 The body of each container must be marked with a unique, sequential, six to eight digit, alpha-numeric serial number to identify the container.
The serial number must be permanently hot-stamped onto the container in numerals and letters at least one inch at height white in color and be positioned no more than twelve (12) inches below the lid on the container's body where it can be readily seen.

For identification purposes, the container must be hot-stamped or otherwise permanently embossed with the wording 'Property of the City of Charlotte' on the front of each container readily visible below the serial numbers previously detailed in specification.

The lettering for the wording as detailed in specification 13.1.6 must be one inch in height and white in color.

The date of manufacture, month and year, must be molded or hot-stamped on the container in an easily readable location by City of Charlotte personnel and in such a manner so that the date is easily readable for the life of the container.

The bidder must provide on a weekly basis, a report detailing the total number of containers manufactured and the starting and ending serial numbers of the containers manufactured in each batch run that week.

The bidder must maintain batch run records, by serial number and date of manufacture to enable recall in the event of product failure.

A comparable date to the one in specification 13.1.10 must be maintained by the bidder regarding the manufacture, control and possible recall of all component parts.

**PERFORMANCE/WARRANTY REQUIREMENTS**

Any containers, including the lid, hardware, and all component parts that through normal and regular use do not continuously perform in the design and intended manner due to, but not limited to, the occurrence of one or more of the factors listed below, must be considered to be defective in design, material and/or workmanship and must be covered by the terms of the warranty and must result in the complete replacement of the container. (No individual replacement parts will be allowed except for the wheels.)

- Failure of the lid to prevent rainwater from entering the container when closed on the container body.
- Failure of the lid and/or container body to be resistant to penetration by squirrels and other rodents.
- Damage to the container body, the lid, or any component parts through opening or closing the lid.
- Failure of the lid hinge to remain fully functional and continually hold the lid in the originally designed and intended positions when either opened or closed.
- Failure of the lid latch, if provided, to continuously function as originally designed and intended.
- Failure of any metal components to remain free of red rust and corrosion.
- Failure of any portion of the bottom of the container body to remain impervious to damage or wear after repeated contact with rough and abrasive surfaces.

- Failure of any plastic component to be resistant to damage in the event of contact with any common household or residential products/chemicals other than those listed by the bidder.
- Failure of the rubber tires to remain in place and fully serviceable as designed and intended.
- Failure of the container body lid hardware or any component parts to maintain their original shape.
- Failure of the wheels to provide continuous easy mobility as originally designed or intended.
- Failure of any container body lid, wheels or other component part to conform to the minimum standards specified herein (e.g., failure to use high density polyethylene resin with no more than 25% recycled material).
- Damage or failure of container or assemblages caused by an incompatibility of the container with the City's hydraulic dumping units installed on their refuse trucks for automated or semi-automated operations.

14.1.2 Any material variance between the container being bid and the specifications shall disqualify the bidder. Determination of material variance shall be made on the basis of any deviation from the specifications that the Solid Waste Services Director determines would negatively affect the durability, wearability, stability, appearance, suitability, compatibility, dimensions or capacity of the container.

14.1.3 The successful bidder must supply to the City a Warranty Insurance Policy in the full amount (100%) of the contract award plus and additional 20% to cover inflation, exchange and/or future adjustments. The policy must cover the warranty of the bidder as required by these specifications and must name the City as the recipient of any claim settlements. The policy must be non-cancellable and must allow that any claim be for any amount up to the full policy amount, no matter the quantity of containers claimed. The policy must be for a full five year term, and bidder must certify a guarantee that said policy will be once renewed for a like term of five years. This policy must be issued by a reputable insurance company licensed to do business in the State of North Carolina (State name of the insurance company that will issue policy).

14.1.4 A warranty bond may be substituted in lieu of the Warranty Insurance Policy as long as the City's Finance Department ensures the bond is an approved equal to the Insurance Policy and provides all the coverage specified for the policy in specification 14.1.3.

14.1.5 If it is determined that any container bid would fail to meet warranty factors listed under specifications 6.1 or performance functions under 14.1, said container shall be disqualified from consideration.

14.1.6 The City reserves the right to reject any bid based upon the unsatisfactory capabilities or performance of any of the container's component parts.

14.1.7 The bidder must submit with the bid a document which clearly states the exact warranty of the bidder including all the warranty provisions as detailed in this request for bids.
15.1 INVENTORY REQUIREMENTS

15.1.1 The bidder must guarantee the continuous availability of a complete inventory of all replacement parts for a period of 120 months beginning one year after the date of the first delivery of the first containers to the City of Charlotte.

15.1.2 The size of this inventory must be adequate to enable delivery of needed parts for on-site repair of containers within 48 hours of notification to the bidder of the need for the parts.

NOTE: THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE RESERVES THE RIGHT TO DENY FUTURE CONTRACT AWARDS FOR ANY MOBILE PLASTIC CONTAINERS AS SPECIFIED HEREIN IN THE EVENT THAT THE AVAILABILITY OF REPLACEMENT PARTS IS NOT MAINTAINED AS SPECIFIED.

15.1.3 The bidder must provide a listing of all replacement parts with this proposal as Attachment I. This listing must describe each part, indicate applicable part numbers, and quote respective prices.

15.1.4 Upon submission of the information in Attachment I, the bidder agrees to furnish such parts, whether under this agreement or under a separate supply agreement, at the above listed pricing (See specification 15.1.3) for twelve (12) months from the date of delivery for the first containers to the City of Charlotte.

16.1 TESTING DOCUMENTATION

16.1.1 The bidder must provide any testing data available that will establish the strength and durability of the container and its component parts under the conditions it will be exposed to with the City of Charlotte. That testing data must include but not be limited to the properties of the material including density, melt index, elongation, tensile yield, strength flexural modulus, environmental stress cracking resistance, impact resistance and accelerated ultraviolet resistance. (All testing data must cover the temperature range detailed in these specifications.)

17.1 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE/TRAINING

17.1.1 The bidder must provide continuous professional technical training to City personnel, as required, to enable the on-site repair of containers. This training must be at no cost to the City.

18.1 QUALITY/CONTROL/MONITORING/PLANT INSPECTION

18.1.1 Employees of the City of Charlotte, or agents acting on behalf of the City, shall have open access to all manufacturing facilities and areas in order to assure that proper quality control standards are being met and that the containers and their component parts are being manufactured in compliance with these specifications.
18.1.2 The bidder shall utilize industry recognized standards and procedures to assure a satisfactory level of quality control is maintained in all stages of the manufacturing and assembling processes.

19.1 SAMPLE CONTAINER REQUIREMENT

19.1.1 A sample container reflecting the one bid, must be received one week BEFORE the bid deadline for the City's review and must be identified by cart name, manufacturer and contact person. Sample containers shall be delivered to the following address:
Solid Waste Services Department
C/o Simon White, Supt
1100 Otts Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28205

20.1 COMPANY EXPERIENCE/REFERENCES

20.1.1 A description of the company's experience in the manufacturing and delivery of containers for semi-automated and automated systems must be provided as Attachment II to the bid response.

20.1.2 Attachment III to the bid response must provide a complete list of the names of organizations/Agencies/Municipalities to whom your company has sold containers of the size, design, and material type offered in response to this bid request. For each organization/agency/municipality on the list, the name of a contact person and the address/telephone number where that person can be reached must be included.

20.1.3 A list of manufacturers of lifting devices and the model number of the lifting device compatible with the container being bid must be submitted.

20.1.4 To assist the City in determining the responsibility of the bidder in servicing a contract and backing its warranty over a ten (10) year period, the bidder must submit its two most recent annual audited financial statements. If the bidder is majority-owned by another business entity, then the bidder must also submit the two most recent annual audited financial statements from the owning entity. The statements are to be submitted to the City in a separate envelope marked confidential and must accompany the bid document response.

21.1 DELIVERY, INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

21.1.1 Containers shall be stored by the manufacturer until a delivery date is provided by the City of Charlotte.

21.1.2 Delivery to the City of Charlotte may be handled two ways as follows:
- For delivery to the customers by City personnel, the following specifications apply:

  The delivery schedule from the manufacturer must accommodate the fact that the City may have multiple sites where the containers may have to be unloaded for either delivery by the City or for storage, that the City does not have a loading dock, that the containers must be loaded off the delivery truck without the assistance of any City personnel or City equipment, that they must come completely assembled for
distribution by City personnel to the service location (customer) that the surplus containers must be stored on City property unassembled stacked on pallets in such a manner as to not touch the ground the stack of containers from the ground to the top of the stack cannot be a height greater than six (6) feet off the ground, and the containers must be protected from the elements with a plastic covering or other acceptable means to the City of Charlotte. Delivery may be a factor in the awarding of this contract.

- For delivery to the customers by the manufacturer the following specifications apply:

The manufacturer will be totally responsible for assembling and distributing the containers to each of the City's customers, the surplus containers must be stored by the manufacturer until all designated containers are delivered to the customer a list of customer's addresses will be provided to the manufacturer at least two weeks before delivery is to begin, the manufacturer will deliver one fully assembled container to each address along with any informational flyers, packages, etc. provided by the City for distribution with the containers the surplus containers must be stored as directed by the City after completion of the initial delivery to the customers. Delivery may be a factor in the awarding of this contract.

21.2.1 The inspection and acceptance of all containers will be performed by a team from the Solid Waste Services and Purchasing Departments.

22.0 SPECIAL CONDITIONS

22.1 BONDS

22.1.1 The successful bidder shall post a payment/performance bond, governmental securities, certified check or money order payable to the City-County in the amount of 100% of the bid price. Such bond(s) are due prior to contract execution as a guarantee of the faithful performance of the terms of the contract and payment of all sums due for labor and materials per the contract. Such bond will also guarantee quality performance of services and timely payment of invoices to subcontractors.

22.2 BASIS OF AWARD

22.2.1 This contract will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder taking into consideration quality, performance, and the time specified in the proposals for the performance of the contract.

22.2.2 The City reserves the right to reject any and all bids or to award any bid deemed to be in its own best interest.

22.3 TERM OF CONTRACT

22.3.1 The term of this contract shall be one year from the date of the award and unit prices bid must remain firm for a period of twelve (12) months. The City reserves the right to renew this contract for an additional period of five (5) years under the same terms and conditions with the unit price being adjusted as specified below provided that service, quality and vendor performance has been satisfactory to the City. City Council has appropriated funding for such renewal.
The unit price increases after the first twelve month period shall be based on the following:

- Only one price adjustment will be allowed each year.
- The amount of the adjustment will be limited to actual resin cost increases as evidenced by copies of resin invoices.
- The City can verify the invoices at any time.
TIMELINE FOR ROLL-OUT

June 1993
Council approval of roll-out collection

Design specifications for carts, trucks retrofit of existing trucks

Oct 1993
Council reviews specifications for carts

Council approves contracts for carts and trucks

Jan 1994

Public Information Campaign

Delivery of carts

Apr 1994

July 1994
Begin roll out collection

July 1994
MAJOR ITEMS IN BID SPECIFICATIONS

- Instructions to Bidders
- General Bid Conditions
- Specific Bid Conditions
- Scope of Services
- Detailed Specifications
- Manufacturing, Design, Construction and Composition
- Warranty
- Stability
- Wheels and Axles
- Lid, Hinge and Latch
- Push Handle
- Collection Requirements
- Color
- Markings and Identification
- Performance/Warranty Requirements
- Inventory Requirements
- Testing Documentation
- Technical Assistance/Training
- Quality/Control/Monitoring/Plant Inspection
- Sample Container Requirement
- Company Experiences/References
- Delivery, Inspection and Acceptance
- Special Conditions
- Bonds
CHANGES MADE TO SPECIFICATIONS
IN RESPONSE TO
VENDOR'S COMMENTS

• THE CONTAINERS MUST BE MANUFACTURED IN A COMPANY OWNED FACILITY

One vendor preferred the specification state in a "facility owned by the bidder"

The revised specifications uses the new wording

• THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM WALL THICKNESS OF THE CONTAINER WAS SPECIFIED

Four vendors requested the maximum wall thickness either be revised to accommodate the varieties of molding processes or eliminated

The maximum wall thickness has been eliminated

• THE CONTAINER MUST HAVE A VOLUME OF AT LEAST 90 BUT LESS THAN 100 GALLONS

One vendor asked the specification state the method of measuring the volume be for the body only, excluding the lid

The revised specifications clarify the volume will be measured for the body only, excluding the lid

• THE CONTAINER MUST BE STABLE AND NOT ABLE TO BE BLOWN OVER IN WINDS OF 25 MPH

Two vendors recommended the wind speed be a minimum of 35 mph

The revised specifications state a new wind speed of 30 mph so as to not eliminate any possible bidders from consideration with the higher speed

• THE DIAMETER OF THE AXLE WAS TO BE 5/8 INCHES

One vendor suggested the diameter be increased to 3/4 inches

The revised specifications state 3/4 inches
• THE SPECIFICATIONS STATED A TIPPING LEVERAGE POINT OR ADEQUATE FOOT FULCRUM

One vendor suggested the wording be "The container must be designed to be easily and safely tilted to the roll position when fully loaded"

The revised specifications contain the suggested wording

• AFTER THE AXLE IS MOUNTED, THE CONTAINER IS TO REMAIN WATERPROOF

One vendor suggested the specifications state the bottom of the container must remain waterproof

The revised specifications contain the suggested wording

• THE PUSH-HANDLE IS TO BE 1 25 INCHES

One vendor suggested the size of the push-handle be reduced to 0 875 inches

The revised specifications contain the lower size

• THE SPECIFICATION ALLOWS FOR ONE HANDLE WITH A MINIMUM GRIPPING AREA OF 12 INCHES

One vendor suggested the inclusion of two handles with a total gripping area of between 10 and 12 inches

The revised specifications permit two handles with the 10 to 12 inch gripping area

• THE CONTAINER MUST HAVE A PICK-UP BAR TO ACCOMMODATE BOTH SEMI AND FULLY AUTOMATED COLLECTION SYSTEMS

One vendor requested that a "frontal rim" be acceptable as opposed to a pick-up bar

The revised specifications include the "frontal rim" as long as it accommodates both systems of collection

• THE DATE OF MANUFACTURE MUST BE HOT STAMPED INTO THE CONTAINER

One vendor requested molding could also be used to provide the date

The revised specifications provide for both types as long as the date is easily readable for the life of the container
• TWO OF THE PERFORMANCE/WARRANTY REQUIREMENTS STATE THE CONTAINER SHOULD
  • PREVENT PENETRATION BY SQUIRRELS AND OTHER RODENTS
  • HAVE NO REPLACEMENT PARTS

One vendor asked that the specification wording be changed to "resistant to penetration by squirrels and other rodents" and a second vendor asked that container lids as well as wheels be authorized replacement parts.

The revised specification provides for the new wording pertaining to squirrel and rodent penetration and wheels are listed as replacement parts. Lids are part of the container and should not be replaced as a part.

• THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER MUST SUPPLY A WARRANTY INSURANCE POLICY

One vendor asked that a warranty bond be acceptable.

The revised specifications permit a warranty bond as long as the City’s Finance Department ensures the bond as an approved equal to the insurance policy.

• THE BIDDERS WERE TO PROVIDE THE NAMES OF THREE ORGANIZATIONS/AGENCIES TO WHOM THEY HAVE SOLD A MINIMUM OF 10,000 CONTAINERS LIKE THE ONES BID TO THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE

One vendor asked that the restrictions on the number of containers and the number of cities be eliminated.

The revised specifications state the bidders are to provide a complete list of all users to whom they have sold the container being bid. No number limitations are included.

• AN ANNUAL AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR THE COMPANY IS TO BE PROVIDED

One vendor asked that the financial statement be submitted in a separate envelope marked confidential and that it accompany the bid document.

The revised specifications include the suggested change.
THE SPECIFICATIONS STATE CONTRACT RENEWAL TERMS

Two vendors offered revisions on the contract renewal terms

The revised specifications include the following clause as suggested by one vendor to more clearly state the renewal terms

- Only one price adjustment will be allowed each year
- The amount of the adjustment will be limited to actual resin cost increases as evidenced by copies of resin invoices
- The City can verify the invoices at any time

The suggestion of the second vendor that "The unit price of the containers in year 2,3,4,5 of the contract could be adjusted upward based on being indexed to the wholesale price index or the resin price index as published in the Plastics Journal" was not included

NO SPECIFICATION PROVIDED FOR THIS SUGGESTION

One vendor asked that the bidders include maintenance costs for the container they are bidding

The revised specifications include a statement to indicate the bidder must provide for at least a five year period, documented container maintenance costs for the container they are bidding
RESPONSE TO OTHER ISSUES
SUGGESTED BY THE VENDORS

• THE SCOPE OF SERVICES DESCRIBES IN GENERAL, THE CONTAINER NEEDS FOR THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE

One vendor suggested the specifications state the container be compatible with both collection systems as operated by "the City of Charlotte Sanitation Division forces or by any private hauler that may be operating under contract with the City of Charlotte for the collection of refuse from residential and selected small business customers"

The City believes the scope of services adequately addresses the requirements for the container as the vendor outlined in that it states the container must be compatible with a semi-automated and fully automated collection system operated by the City as well as compatible with a private hauler’s equipment if the hauler is working under contract to the City

• THE CONTAINER MUST BE DURABLE UNDER LOADED CONDITIONS IN TEMPERATURE RANGES OF -30 DEGREES TO 130 DEGREES

One vendor asked that the City specify the tests needed to determine if the container is durable under the temperature conditions stated

The City leaves to the bidder’s discretion the tests to be performed as long as the bidder can ensure that the durability criteria is met

• THE SPECIFICATIONS STATE THE BOTTOM OF THE CONTAINER MUST BE PROTECTED FROM DAMAGE THROUGHOUT THE WARRANTY PERIOD BY USING MEANS OF PROTECTION

One vendor suggested that the means of protection not include pads, strips or any other means

The City believes to not include this criteria could eliminate a possible bidder from consideration

• EACH CONTAINER MUST HAVE A SOLID STEEL CADMIUM PLATED AXLE AND TWO RUBBER TIRED WHEELS

One vendor asked that the axle material be changed to galvanized solid steel and two vendors asked that only plastic wheels be considered

To ensure durability of the container, the City prefers staying with the solid steel cadmium axle and the rubber tired wheels
• **THE WHEELS MUST BE ON THE AXLES SO THEY CAN BE EASILY CHANGED BUT NOT SO THEY CAN EASILY BECOME DETACHED**

One vendor suggested that the wheels not be mounted so that they can be easily changed to eliminate the possibility of theft.

The City continues to recommend the ability to change the wheels easily since theft is not a concern at this time.

• **IF A LATCH IS USED ON THE LID, IT MUST BE EASY TO OPEN AND CLOSE WITH ONE HAND AND WITHOUT REQUIRING UNLATCHING/LATCHING OPERATIONS**

One vendor suggested the elimination of the latches.

The specifications do not require the use of the latches but if they are used, the criteria stated must apply. The elimination of the use of latches could possibly eliminate a potential bidder from consideration.

• **THE PUSH-HANDLE IS TO BE AN INTEGRALLY MOLDED PART OF THE CONTAINER FOR SECURITY AND CONVENIENCE OF REPAIR**

One vendor asked that the container be defined as lid and body when referring to the location of the push-handle.

To add the container definition could possibly eliminate a potential bidder from consideration.

• **THE PICK-UP BAR MUST BE MOLDED IN AND CONSTRUCTED OF A LONG LIFE MATERIAL**

One vendor asked that the bar be free floating and made of galvanized metal and a second vendor asked the bar be steel and not molded into the container.

The City believes neither a free floating bar nor a bar not molded into the container will be durable enough and similarly, a bar made of galvanized material could possible not meet that need as well. At the same time, the specification "long life material" would permit any vendor to use a steel bar.

• **THE SPECIFICATION STATES THE LOCATION OF THE WORDING "PROPERTY OF THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE"**

Two vendors suggested the location of the wording be placed on the lid.

The City desires a highly visible location for the wording and feels the front of the container offers that visibility the best.
- TWO OF THE PERFORMANCE/WARRANTY REQUIREMENTS STATE THE CONTAINER SHOULD
  - PREVENT PENETRATION BY SQUIRRELS AND OTHER RODENTS
  - HAVE NO REPLACEMENT PARTS

One vendor asked the warranty not apply if an animal gnaws through the container or its lid. The second vendor asked that container lids be considered replacement parts.

The City feels the warranty should apply if an animal gnaws through the container and similarly, the warranty should apply for the lid since the lid is considered a part of the container.

- THE SPECIFICATION STATES THE BIDDER MUST PROVIDE ANY TESTING DATA TO ENSURE THE CONTAINER IS STRONG ENOUGH AND OF SUCH DURABILITY TO WITHSTAND THE CONDITIONS IN CHARLOTTE

One vendor suggested the City list all the tests required to prove the container meets the required specifications.

The vendor is in a better position to determine the tests and the City will be satisfied as long as the container meets the intent of the specifications, i.e., durability and strength.

- NO SPECIFICATION PROVIDED FOR THIS SUGGESTION

One vendor suggested as a factor in adjudicating the container contract award, creative responses as options in addition to price be considered. Three of those responses suggested were public education programs, financing options, and container maintenance.

The City does not recommend allowing such creative responses at this time.

AT THE OCTOBER 4, 1993 MEETING, THE PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE VOTED TO ALLOW CREATIVE RESPONSES.

- NO SPECIFICATION PROVIDED FOR THIS SUGGESTION

One vendor asked that the containers be offered with a Request for Proposal instead of as a formal bid.

The Purchasing Director recommends the City continue with the bid process for the purchase in that the bid specifications are more detailed than can be offered in a Request for Proposal format.
CENTRAL DISTRICT PLAN REZONING DECISIONS - PART I

Decisions need to be made as follows:

12. #93-55

Area A-1 - O-2 to R-5 - D-F-A

Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

The Zoning Committee recommends approval. Need separate motion to approve or deny.

13. #93-56

Area A-2, Area B, and Area C - R-22MF to R-5

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and may be done in one motion.

14. #93-57

Area A, B, and C - R-22MF to R-5 and R-8, and O-2 to R-4

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and may be done in one motion.

15. #93-58

Area A, B, and C - R-22MF to R-8, O-2 to R-22MF, and R-22MF to R-8

The Zoning Committee recommends approval and may be done in one motion.

Area D - R-17MF to R-4

Protest sufficient to invoke 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval, but recommend the Heath property be rezoned to R-8. Need separate motion to approve or deny.
<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16. #93-59</td>
<td>B-2 to B-1</td>
<td>Recommended.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Committee recommends approval with exception of the Charlotte Catering Site. One motion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. #93-60</td>
<td>B-2 to B-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Committee deferred action for 60 days.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. #93-61</td>
<td>Area A and Area C - O-2 to R-22MF, and B-1 to R-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Committee recommends approval and one motion can be made.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. #93-62</td>
<td>Area B - O-2 to R-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Committee recommends denial and one motion can be made.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. #93-63</td>
<td>Area A - I-2 to I-1</td>
<td>Do not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zoning Committee deferred action for 60 days.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area B - I-2 to B-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Committee recommends approval.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area A - I-2 to I-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Committee recommends approval, and one motion can be made.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Area B - I-2 to B-1
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends denial, and one motion can be made to approve or deny.

Area C-1 - O-2 to R-22MF
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception of the D. L. Phillips property. One motion.

Area C-2 - B-1 to R-22MF
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area D - B-1 and B-2 to O-2
Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends denial; one motion.

Area A and D - O-2 to R-04, and O-2 to R-17MF
Zoning Committee recommends approval, and one motion can be made.

Area B-1 - B-1 to R-4 and
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception of the Upholstery Shop and Office parcel. One motion can be made to do this.
Area B-2 - O-2 to R-4
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area C - O-2 to R-4
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning committee recommends approval and one motion can be made.

Area A, B and C - R-22MF, O-2 and B-1 to R-5, R-22MF to R-5, and R-22MF to R-5
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A, B and C - R-22MF and O-2 to R-8, B-1 and O-2 to R-22MF, and O-2 and R-22MF to R-8
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A - O-2 to R-4
Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area B - I-2 to B-2
Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning Committee deferred action for 90 days.
Area C - I-2 to B-2
Protests sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning Committee deferred for 90 days.

Area D-1, D-2 and D-3
Protests are sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule on D-1 and D-2.
Zoning Committee deferred action for 90 days. If Area D is not deferred, Council will need to make one motion for area D-1 and D-2 since they are sufficiently protested, and a separate motion for D-3.

Area E - I-1 and I-2 to B-2
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area F - I-2 to B-2
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A and B - I-1 to B-2, and I-2 to I-1
Area "A" was protested but was not sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A, B and D - R-17MF to R-8, R-17MF to R-8, and O-2 to R-17MF
Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.
Area C - R-17MF to R-8
Protest is sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval to R-8MF instead of R-8; one motion.

Area A and B - R-22MF to R-8

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A and B - R-22MF to R-5

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

O-2 to R-8

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A - O-2 to R-22MF
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends denial; one motion.

Area B - B-2 to B-1
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.
Area C - R-22MF to R-5

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area A and C - R-22MF to R-5, and R-22MF to R-8
Area A was protested but not sufficient.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area B - R-22MF to R-5
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Area D - O-2 to R-22MF
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

B-2 and O-2 to B-1, O-2 and R-22MF

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception that the B-2 property fronting on Independence Boulevard be rezoned to R-8.

Area A - R-22MF to R-6
Protest sufficient to invoke the 3/4 rule.

Zoning Committee recommends approval with the exception of property north of Ranilo Avenue on both sides of Torrence Street; one motion.

Area B - B-1, B-2 and R-22MF to R-6

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.
35. #93-80 R-22MF, B-1 & B-2 to R-8 and R-12MF

Zoning Committee recommends approval; one motion.

Approved
Camp - Reid - MCC
Mangl - Patterson
TO: Councilmember Don Reid
FROM: Don Steger, Assistant City Manager
Subject: Information on "One-To-One" Police Cars

In response to your request earlier today, we have the attached information which was prepared in April 1992. This is the last information we have on the "one-on-one" car plan for Police.

Updated information from our Budget Office is also attached.

cc: Mayor and Council
City Manager
MEMORANDUM

TO: C. Don Steger  
Assistant City Manager  

FROM: Ann M. White  
Budget and Evaluation  

DATE: October 11, 1993  

SUBJECT: One-for-one Police Vehicles  

As we discussed today, presented below is the updated information regarding the issue of One-for-One Police vehicles.

Dave Cooke’s memo to Wendell White on April 6, 1992 was the latest official communication of which I am aware on this subject. I updated this cost information on the request of Jim Morrill from the Charlotte Observer on September 8, 1993. However, to my knowledge he never used the information.

Please call me if you have any questions regarding this data.

Cooke-to-White April 6, 1992 memo (Attached)  
minimum start up $8,017,000  
additional replacement costs over 10 years $11,500,000

Update  
Add Mobile Radios for above vehicles 1,800,000  
Additional Vehicles for 100 Officers added FY93 2,300,000  
Additional replacement cost associated with the increased fleet over next 10 years 2,300,000

Summary:  
Revised Start Up (minimum) $12,117,000  
Additional Replacement cost over next 10 years $13,800,000
MEMORANDUM

TO: O. Wendell White
City Manager

FROM: David Cooke
Internal Consulting Manager

DATE: April 6, 1992

SUBJECT: One-for-one Car Plan for Police

Attached is a report on the one-for-one car plan for Police. As you know, the issue was raised during police consolidation discussions and Council also discussed the idea as a response to crime, in general. The report was prepared by a Task Force composed of representatives from the Charlotte and Mecklenburg County Police Departments, the Budget Office, and Equipment Services.

In summary, the report does not present a recommendation but simply describes the advantages of a one-for-one car plan, the cost to implement, operate, and maintain the plan, and other issues which need to be considered (for example, where police officers live in relation to where crime occurs).

With regard to cost, implementing a one-for-one car plan will cost, at a minimum, $8,017,000 for 452 new police cars, and maintaining and replacing the cars will cost, at a minimum, an additional $11,500,000 over ten years (in current dollars).

Please let me know if you need additional information.

DCC/ksc

Attachment

cc: Pam Syfert w/attachment
    Don Steger w/attachment
    Vi Alexander w/attachment
    Ed Sizer w/attachment
    Bob Turner w/attachment
    Jack Boger w/attachment
One-for-One Car Plan

BACKGROUND

The Mecklenburg County "one-for-one" car plan was implemented in 1978. Under this plan, patrol officers are issued a marked police car which the officer is allowed to drive to and from work and to use for routine in-County driving while the officer is off-duty. According to Carroll Buracker and Associates, Inc., in a 1991 study of the Mecklenburg County Police Department, the one-for-one car plan has a number of benefits, including:

* Improved visibility of police cars
* Lowered maintenance costs per police car
* Increased number of vehicles in times of emergencies
* Ability to relieve officers in patrol zones
* Reduced crime through prevention
* Ability to report directly to patrol areas on most days

City-County Police consolidation has raised the issue of the one-for-one car plan as it would apply/not apply to a consolidated police department. In addition, City Council also identified the issue in a brainstorming session on potential responses to crime in the community and has been discussed as a community policing strategy.

This brief report describes some of the advantages of the one-for-one vehicle plan, the cost to implement the plan, and issues related to the Charlotte Police Department.
ADVANTAGES

1. **Visibility and Citizen Perception:** Most will agree conceptually that the presence or sight of a police vehicle serves as a deterrent to crime and increases the degree of safety felt by a citizen.

   Mecklenburg County Police has estimated that one-for-one cars for the Charlotte Police Department would result in an additional 977,000 "visible" miles driven annually by off-duty officers in police vehicles.

2. **Lowered Maintenance Cost Per Vehicle:**

   Charlotte patrol cars are used on all shifts, while a Mecklenburg County patrol car is used during one ten hour shift per day. Consequently, a car in the Charlotte patrol fleet is replaced more often than a car in the Mecklenburg County fleet (every 24-30 months versus 60 months). Reduced daily usage and the care by the single driver increases the life of the vehicle and reduces annual maintenance costs on each vehicle. Based on the attached cost information, the annual maintenance per car would decrease from $3,696 to $1,992.

3. **Increased Number of Vehicles in Times of Emergencies:**

   A one-for-one car plan provides the opportunity for police officers to arrive at a specified site during an emergency in a short period of time. Mecklenburg County officers are able to arrive on-site during an emergency, fully equipped, due to the ability to maintain their personal equipment in their vehicles at all times. The efficiency and expediency with which this can be achieved was demonstrated by Mecklenburg County Police during the emergency call-out for Hurricane Hugo.

4. **Ability to Relieve Officers in Patrol Zones:**

   Productivity of police officers might be enhanced under the one-for-one car plan by eliminating the possibility that an officer may spend time waiting after roll call for the prior shift officer to return with the vehicle. Under the one-for-one car plan, one officer is not dependent upon another for vehicle availability.

5. **Other:**

   a. Officer Morale
   b. Flexibility in deployment for "Special Events"
COST

Attached is detailed cost information for the one-for-one car plan for Charlotte. The cost information presents a detailed ten-year cost comparison based on Charlotte's current plan (238 patrol cars) and two options for implementing a one-for-one car plan.

Option #1 illustrates the cost to implement the one-for-one car plan for patrol only.

Option #2 illustrates the cost to implement the one-for-one car plan for all police officers and sergeants. This cost information is summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Car Count</th>
<th>Cost Per Mile Driven</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current plan (238 cars)</td>
<td>$38,457,770</td>
<td>19.1 cents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-for-one plan:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option #1 (690 cars)</td>
<td>$49,989,880</td>
<td>16.5 cents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option #2 (913 cars)</td>
<td>$66,146,020</td>
<td>16.5 cents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assumes replacement of vehicles every two years.  
** Assumes replacement of vehicles every five years.

Implementation Costs
(for new cars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Cars</th>
<th>Implementation Costs</th>
<th>Annual Lease/Purchase Payment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current plan</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-for-one plan:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option #1</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>$8,017,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option #2</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>$10,200,440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assumes lease purchase over five years at 6.5%.

The cost impact of implementation can be spread out over time by phasing in implementation and/or lease-purchasing the new vehicles over several years.
ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

1. Officers may not live or travel in areas where crime is high and visibility most necessary. An analysis of residency of sworn personnel illustrates the following:

   * Approximately 33% of all sworn police personnel live outside Charlotte; 12% live outside Mecklenburg County.
   * Only 46% of the sworn police personnel live in areas which constitute 85% of the calls for police service (crime).
   * Correspondingly, 54% live in areas which represent 15% of the calls for police service.

2. The City of Charlotte does not require residency within Mecklenburg County. Mecklenburg County has required in-county residency for sworn officers, therefore, limiting cars from leaving the County. Further, officers are not allowed to take the vehicle outside Mecklenburg County while off-duty.

3. The additional insurance liability (of personal use or exposure of family) has not been factored into any cost calculations. The City could be held liable for costs which might be associated with the accidents/injuries resulting from the personal use of the vehicle and all passengers in the vehicle. Mecklenburg County has not experienced an incident of liability involving family members of patrol officers. Operating policies which will be established can limit and govern the off-duty use of vehicles and reduce liability exposure.

4. Implementation of a one-for-one car plan may have an impact on the number of parking spaces needed at or near the new Law Enforcement Center. The number of parking spaces which may be needed is also impacted by the method of deployment of officers and the requirement of daily role call of patrol officers.

5. Without proper communication to the public of the one-for-one car plan, citizens may perceive the occurrence of an off-duty police officer in a marked police car as an inefficient use of tax dollars.
6. The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) may impact how vehicles are utilized off-duty. For example, if an officer responds in an official police capacity while off-duty, the City is required to compensate the employee. Likewise, if maintenance of the vehicle is required during off-duty, then compensation may be required. Again, policies governing use and maintenance of vehicles under the one-for-one plan can limit exposure to FLSA requirements.

7. The issue of how the one-for-one car plan is implemented can impact morale within the Police Department. For example, if the one-for-one car plan is implemented for patrol officers only, then similar positions in other units (investigations, special services, etc.) may perceive an internal inequity in "benefits". Mecklenburg County provides unmarked cars for non-patrol sworn positions to use at work and to commute to and from work only.
### COMPARISON OF COSTS FOR ONE-FOR-ONE POLICE VEHICLES

**COST FACTORS AND ASSUMPTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACTORS/ASSUMPTIONS</th>
<th>CURRENT BASE WITH 213 VEHICLES</th>
<th>OPTION #1 ONE-FOR-ONE PATROL ONLY</th>
<th>OPTION #2 CAR FOR EACH OFFICER AND SERGEANT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of vehicles in fleet</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Cost per vehicle</td>
<td>$18,820 *</td>
<td>$18,820 *</td>
<td>$18,820 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-insurance costs</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Patrol miles per vehicle</td>
<td>40,500</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average personal use miles per vehicle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,800 **</td>
<td>8,800 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Services rate per vehicle</td>
<td>$3,696</td>
<td>$1,992 ***</td>
<td>$1,992 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle resale value</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$1,000 ****</td>
<td>$1,000 ****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per mile reimbursement rate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES

- * DOES NOT INCLUDE MOBILE RADIOS
- ** INCLUDES PERSONAL USE AND COMMUTING
- *** LESSER RATE FROM ECONOMY OF SCALE BUT INCLUDES THE ADDITION OF A 3RD SHIFT
- **** VEHICLES WORTH LESS AT RE SALE SINCE OLDER
# ONE-FOR-ONE VEHICLE PLAN

## TEN-YEAR COST ANALYSIS

*analysis does not include implementation costs*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CURRENT OPERATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Vehicles</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auction Proceeds ($2000 per vehicle)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(476,000)</td>
<td>(2,380,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self insurance Costs</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>122,090</td>
<td>1,220,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cost (95 cents per gallon)</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>832,459</td>
<td>8,324,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance ($3696 per vehicle)</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>879,648</td>
<td>8,796,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL COST</strong></td>
<td>1,844,197</td>
<td>5,847,357</td>
<td>1,844,197</td>
<td>5,847,357</td>
<td>1,844,197</td>
<td>5,847,357</td>
<td>1,844,197</td>
<td>5,847,357</td>
<td>1,844,197</td>
<td>5,847,357</td>
<td>38,457,770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## OPTION #1 ONE-FOR-ONE PATROL ONLY

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Vehicles</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Replacement Cost (every 5 years)</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>1,914,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auction Proceeds ($1000 per vehicle)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(600,000)</td>
<td>(3,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self insurance Costs</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>382,950</td>
<td>3,829,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cost (95 cents per gallon)</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>1,528,876</td>
<td>15,288,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Payment for Use (20% of $1,999)</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
<td>346,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance ($1992 per vehicle)</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>1,374,480</td>
<td>13,744,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL COST</strong></td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>2,539,828</td>
<td>25,435,628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## OPTION #2 ONE CAR PER OFFICER

<p>| | | | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Vehicles</td>
<td>913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Replacement Cost (every 5 years)</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>506,715</td>
<td>2,532,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auction Proceeds ($1000 per vehicle)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(913,000)</td>
<td>(9,130,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cost (95 cents per gallon)</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>1,758,355</td>
<td>17,583,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Payment for Use (20% of $1,999)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(723,096)</td>
<td>(7,230,960)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance ($1992 per vehicle)</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>1,818,496</td>
<td>18,184,960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Options Summary

- **CURRENT OPERATION**
  - Total Cost: 38,457,770
- **OPTION #1 ONE-FOR-ONE PATROL ONLY**
  - Total Cost: 25,435,628
- **OPTION #2 ONE CAR PER OFFICER**
  - Total Cost: 33,604,700