# AGENDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Type.</th>
<th>BUSINESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>06/08/1987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

City of Charlotte, City Clerk's Office
Council Agenda

Monday, June 8, 1987
District 6 Council Meeting
Smith Junior High School
1600 Tyvola Road

5:00 PM . . . . . . . Council-Manager dinner
5:30-6:15 PM . Discussion with Council on making
better use of Council's time.
6:15-6:45 PM . Discussion of agenda items on
realignment of districts and the
Performing Arts Center request
for proposals document.
7:00 PM . . . . . . . Citizens Hearing
7:30 PM . . . . . . . Council Meeting

ITEM NO.

1. Invocation.

2. Consider approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of
April 6, 1987, Special Use Permit Hearing of April 22, 1987
and the regular meeting of April 22, 1987.

PUBLICT HEARING

3. Conduct a public hearing on the preliminary FY88 budget and
expenditure of General Revenue Sharing Funds.

The North Carolina Local Government Budget and Fiscal Control
Act, as well as General Revenue Sharing regulations, requires
that a public hearing be conducted to give citizens the
opportunity to make suggestions and comment on the proposed
budget.

The proposed FY88 operating budget totals $275.6 million and
includes funding for police, fire, refuse collection, traffic
operations, water and sewer service, airport operations, the
transit system, debt service payments and annexation costs.
The proposed FY88 Capital Improvement Program totals $152.2 million and includes $750,000 in General Revenue Sharing funds for FY88. The second year program of the Capital Improvement Program (FY89) also includes $450,000 in General Revenue Sharing funds. Revenue Sharing funds are estimated to be available from interest earnings and fund balance. Planned uses for the revenue sharing funds are summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Project</th>
<th>FY88</th>
<th>FY89</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stepping Stone Housing Program</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmore Neighborhood Housing Services</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Extension for Public Health Protection</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Extension for Public Health Protection</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
<td>$450,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This represents the final close-out of all revenue sharing funds in the City's accounts. The second year costs of the water and sewer public health protection programs are planned to be picked up in the water and sewer operating fund.

POLICY

4. Recommend authorizing City staff to send out a Performing Arts Center Request for Proposals (RFP) document.

The Performing Arts Center was recommended by Theatre Projects Consultants, Inc. in the Charlotte Theatre Study Report and is included in the FY88-97 Capital Needs Assessment. Planning staff has coordinated drafting of the Request for Proposals with representatives from the Engineering, Finance, and Legal departments. The Performing Arts Center Task Force has reviewed and made adjustments to the RFP document at their meetings on March 24 and April 3. At meetings with City Council on February 23 and April 27, the RFP was presented for discussion and several policy directives were identified for incorporation in the RFP document.

City Council is asked to authorize City staff to release the Request for Proposals document to elicit creative proposals from developers for a Performing Arts Center.

Highlights of the RFP are attached. The final RFP will be sent in Friday's Council-Manager memorandum.

Attachment No. 1
5. Recommend realignment of election district boundaries in order to maintain the required population balance. This realignment involves: (1) the assignment of newly annexed residents to City Council districts and (2) the shifting of precincts to adjoining districts.

Changes in population growth within the present City Council voting districts and the 1986 and 1987 annexations make it necessary to shift some City Council district boundaries in order to maintain the population balance required under one person, one vote ruling.

Specifically, the populations of Districts 7 and 5 increased by more than 9,000 and 16,000 persons respectively between 1985 and 1987 while the remaining districts had no or very little population growth. These population changes, in combination with the addition of 10,500 persons in annexed areas to District 7, creates a population differential of 41.78% between the largest and smallest districts — which exceeds the maximum permitted of 10%. As a result, the population of Districts 7 and 5 must be reduced and the remaining districts increased by shifting several precincts. This proposal offers three methods for accomplishing these shifts.

City Council is offered three options for meeting the required population balance among the City Council election districts. In proposing these options, the following technical points were considered: (1) it should result in a deviation of less than 10% between the largest and smallest districts; (2) it should not dilute the voting strength of minority groups; and (3) it should not unnecessarily divide a neighborhood.

Each option meets these considerations, as indicated in the attached tables. However, it is suggested that Option AB best serves these considerations because:

(1) The deviation (6.3%) between the smallest and largest districts was considerably below the maximum permitted of 10%.
(2) It minimized the dilution of minority voting strengths.
(3) It reunites several neighborhoods, while minimizing the division of other neighborhoods.

At the request of Councilmembers, two other options are included in the attachment: one with the Hidden Valley neighborhood undivided; and one with the population of Districts 5 and 7 as small as possible.

Attachment No. 2
6. Recommend designating the entrance boulevard to the Coliseum "Paul Buck Boulevard" and display a relief of Mr. Buck in the lobby.

The new Charlotte Coliseum will open during the summer of 1988. Mr. Paul Buck has served as the manager of the existing Coliseum, Owens Auditorium, and the Civic Center since their inception. He is recognized as one of the outstanding managers in the country and the Charlotte Coliseum is one of the few City-owned arenas in the country to make a profit consistently. His management is one of the major reasons we have outgrown the old arena and are building the new, larger Coliseum.

City Council is asked to commemorate Mr. Buck's service to the City by naming the entrance boulevard to the new Coliseum "Paul Buck Boulevard" and to display a relief of Mr. Buck in the lobby.

The City Manager's Office, Engineering Department, Coliseum Authority, Planning Commission staff, architect and the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Art Commission are in concurrence with this request.

7. Recommend approval of: 1) a policy framework for Development and Revitalization Fund loans and public-private ventures; and 2) the organizational structure for an Economic Development Department.

On May 11, City Council reviewed and discussed a proposed policy framework for public-private ventures and Development and Revitalization Fund (DRF) loans. City Council is being asked to formally approve that framework and process so that City staff can begin implementation of the guidelines.

City Council also reviewed a proposed organizational structure for an Economic Development Department and requested additional information about the responsibilities and purpose of that department.

This agenda request describes the proposed department in greater detail. If Council approves the proposed organization, recruitment will begin almost immediately for the Director's position in order to fill the position by mid to late August. The proposal is also reflected in the recommended budget for FY88.

Policy Framework
The following policy framework applies to: 1) loan proposals to be funded from the Development and Revitalization Fund (DRF); and 2) public-private ventures for economic development. Any proposal for use of public resources from the above-mentioned loan funds or in a public-private partnership for the purpose of economic development will be evaluated within the context of this policy framework, and will be subject to the process outlined in Section II. The framework is not intended to be inclusive of public-private partnership proposed for reasons other than achieving economic development (e.g., a performing arts center).
I. Policy Framework

A. Public Purpose: The City of Charlotte will consider using public resources to invest in partnerships for the purpose of promoting economic development when such partnerships do not incur a negative long-term liability on tax dollars and when such partnerships directly benefit one of the following targeted groups:

1. Low income, unemployed or underemployed County residents;
2. Minority and/or women small business enterprises;
3. Unique or indigenous enterprises which the City Council determines are of significant economic value to the community.

B. Needs: The public-private partnerships must address one or more of the following needs:

1. Creation of jobs which have the potential for upward mobility, and for adequate pay which allows an individual or family to become self-sustaining.
2. Promotion of revitalization efforts in areas targeted by public policy to include but not be limited to:
   a. Enterprise areas from the 2005 Plan;
   b. The Pocket of Poverty;
   c. Approved area plans which include a commercial focus.
3. Promotion of development projects which support redirection of growth policies.
4. Retention of a unique or indigenous enterprise.

C. Evaluation Criteria: In evaluation of public-private partnerships and/or DRF loan proposals, the following criteria must be addressed. The evaluation will not be limited to these criteria:

1. The number and types of jobs created;
2. The plan for linkage between the jobs potential and City training program;
3. The City investment per job;
4. The return on City investment;
5. The risk to the City's investment and protection of investment by the financial agreements;
6. The "but for" provision which evaluates the likelihood of the project proceeding without City investment.

II. Review Process

A. It is intended that proposals for use of public resources under this policy framework be reviewed in the conceptual stage by the Planning Department:

1. Review of proposal as to how well it meets public purpose and needs criteria;
2. Review of land use and urban design considerations;

B. Planning then makes recommendation to the Economic Development Review Board (staff) which recommends action to the City Manager;

C. The City Manager notifies City Council of a recommendation to proceed or not. Determination of whether formal Council action is required to proceed or reject would be made by the City Manager on a case by case basis;

D. If yes, financial analysis is undertaken;

E. Negotiations proceed;

F. A contract is placed on agenda for Council action.

Economic Development Department

A three person department composed of one Director; one Analyst; and one Administrative Secretary is recommended to carry out the economic development goals and objectives of the City. Two of these positions are currently in the budget and are vacant; one additional position (Analyst) is recommended in the 1987-88 budget. Salaries would be competitive with similar positions in other comparable cities, and consistent with equivalent Charlotte city departments.

The Economic Development Department would be responsible for the following efforts:

1. Coordinating, monitoring and trouble-shooting economic development projects and contracts including public-private venture proposals;

2. The key contact person and ombudsman for the City with the private sector, Charlotte Chamber, and other governmental agencies and non-profit groups on issues relating to economic development;

3. Developing new initiatives, incentives, and programs for economic development:
   a. Inventory and assembly of City-owned land to meet economic development objectives;
   b. Development and implementation of an ambassador program for small business;
   c. Coordinating a study process for re-use of the old Coliseum;
   d. Developing partnership proposals to meet the City's high priority economic development needs for upwardly mobile jobs, revitalization projects, and re-direction for growth.

4. Spokesperson for the City to promote and inform citizens on economic development issues;
5. Researching labor market needs; tax reform impact on economic development projects; innovative programs in other cities, etc.;

6. Implementing the Economic Development Policy Plan once it is approved.

The responsibilities fall into two major categories:

1. Tasks that are not currently being done by any other department (Numbers 3, 5, 6 above), and;

2. Tasks being absorbed by other departments with some negative impact on other workload (i.e. Coliseum re-use study).

This new department will focus responsibility for achieving new objectives in economic development in addition to relieving some workload in departments such as Planning and Community Development.

City Council is asked to approve this department in principle; the positions and dollars will be appropriated as part of the 1987-88 budget ordinance.

BUSINESS

8. Recommend adoption of a resolution authorizing the City of Charlotte to file an application with the North Carolina Department of Transportation for a grant for assistance in the City's 1988 Rideshare Program.

In October, 1982, ridesharing in Mecklenburg County was functionally consolidated under the Charlotte Department of Transportation. The funding was set up as a three-way arrangement, equally shared by the City, County, and State.

The 1987 Rideshare Program concentrated on: 1) updating and converting the master file of carpool applicants; 2) contacting targeted employees new to the area to outline services; 3) revising old literature; and 4) switching from outside contract to inhouse administration of the vanpool activity. In FY88, the Rideshare Program will: 1) survey targeted employers, both uptown and in other office-retail growth areas; 2) administer the vanpool program; 3) produce an updated park-n-ride lot brochure; 4) produce a new brochure on uptown parking availability, cost, and alternatives; 5) develop a unit to complement the "How to Ride the Bus" unit used in the school curriculum; and 6) cooperate in the State's management review of rideshare programs.

Under the vanpool program, seven vans involving 96 people are now operating. Next year it is anticipated that 13 vans carrying 195 people will be operating. This increase is due in part to the City assuming administration of the program at a reduced cost. The lower fares will make vanpooling more competitive with uptown parking costs.
Funding will come from the North Carolina Department of Transportation ($23,244), from Mecklenburg County ($23,244), and from the Department of Transportation's Rideshare & Information budget ($23,244). No new City funds will be needed. City and County funds are included in the respective FY88 operating budgets.

The City Attorney has approved resolution as to form and the Grants Review Committee has concurred in this application.


1) **Clean City Committee** - Four positions for three-year terms. The terms of Ruth Morris, Lois Barnhill, Kelly Kunicki and Ed Robertson will expire June 30, 1987. Ms. Morris has served two full terms and is not eligible for reappointment. Ms. Barnhill does not wish to be reappointed. Ms. Kunicki and Mr. Robertson are completing unexpired terms and are complying with the attendance requirements.

2) **Historic District Commission** - One position for a three-year term. Eric Sauda's second full term will expire June 30, 1987, and he is ineligible for reappointment. The appointee must reside within the corporate limits of the City and should have demonstrated special interest, experience or education in history or architecture.

3) **Planning Commission** - One position for a three-year term. Sam Smith's first term expires June 30, 1987. He has complied with the attendance requirements.

4) **Spirit Square Board of Directors** - One position for a three-year term. Max Jackson will complete his first term on June 30, 1987. He does not wish to be reappointed.

Attachment No. 3

10. Appointments to Boards and Commission -

1) **Certified Development Corporation** - The following nominations have been made for one three-year term:

   a) David Krug, nominated by Councilmember Vinroot.
   b) Rhonda Sult, nominated by Councilmember Trosch.
2) Advisory Parks Committee - The following nominations have been made for a three-year term:

a) Durant (Randi) Escott, nominated by Councilmember Trosch.
b) Robert Anders, Jr., nominated by Councilmember Vinroot.
c) Mahlon Adams, nominated by Councilmember Fenning.

THE CITY ATTORNEY ADVISES THAT AGENDA ITEMS NO. 11 THROUGH 28 MAY BE CONSIDERED IN ONE MOTION. HOWEVER, ANY MEMBER OF COUNCIL MAY REQUEST THAT AN ITEM BE DIVIDED AND VOTED ON SEPARATELY.

BUDGET ORDINANCE

11. A. Recommend adoption of a budget ordinance of $477,576 to provide funds for land acquisition costs associated with the construction of three annexation fire stations.

On August 4, 1986, City Council approved a capital facilities lease/purchase agreement for financing capital projects. The construction of three annexation fire stations was included in the agreement at a total cost of $2,390,000. The annexation fire stations are located in Coulwood Oaks, Mineral Springs and Arrowood. It was anticipated in the FY87-91 Capital Improvement Program that land acquisition costs would be included in the lease/purchase agreement. However, negotiations with Citicorp Industrial Credit, Inc. concluded with land acquisition not being an eligible expense under the agreement. Currently, no funds are available in the project account for land acquisition costs.

Funding for annexation fire stations/land acquisition has been identified in the Pay-As-You-Go Fund Contingency which was established with the adoption of the FY87 budget. This budget ordinance would transfer $477,576 from General Capital Improvement Fund 2010/327.00 Contingency to General Capital Improvement Fund 2010/367.01 - Annexation Fire Stations/Land Acquisition.

The Budget & Evaluation Department concurs with this request.

The following three budget ordinances, B-D, are bookkeeping items to appropriate revenues that have been received.
B. Recommend adoption of a budget ordinance to transfer $12,500 from the General Fund to the Community Relations Department budget.

The Charlotte Observer donated $12,500 to the Community Relations Department to be used for the People in Harmony celebration which was coordinated by the Community Relations Department. Those funds were deposited into the General Fund. This budget ordinance will transfer the funds into the Community Relations Department budget to cover expenditures which have been made on behalf of the People in Harmony celebration.

C. Recommend adoption of a budget ordinance appropriating $11,781 for the Emergency Shelter Grants Program.

On March 23, 1987 City Council approved filing an application for $11,781 to the State of North Carolina for the Emergency Shelter Grants Program (ESGP). The application was filed on behalf of Charlotte Emergency Housing, Inc. The State has approved the City's application.

Due to ESGP regulations, it will be necessary that these funds be used by Charlotte Emergency Housing, Inc. for administrative expenses. By using the $11,781 for this purpose, it will enable Charlotte Emergency Housing, Inc. to free up previously budgeted funds to purchase a much-needed van.

The Community Development Department recommends this action.

D. Recommend adoption of a budget ordinance estimating and appropriating revenues in the amount of $3,000 to the Charlotte Sister Cities Program.

The Charlotte Sister Cities Program for FY87 included an exchange project with the Krefeld, Germany Sister City. The activities planned included a visit by Krefeld officials to Charlotte in the fall of 1986 and a reciprocal visit by a Charlotte delegation scheduled for July, 1987. The Charlotte delegation will be comprised of performing groups, City Councilmembers and staff, Chamber delegates and Sister City Committee members. The objective of the project is to promote and engage in an educational, cultural and economic exchange. The majority of the cost of the activities is being underwritten by private donations through a fundraising campaign. It was estimated that $11,000 would be raised and Council adopted ordinances reflecting that amount as revenue. In fact, as much as $14,000 may be raised. This bookkeeping ordinance reflects the additional private contributions so that appropriations can be made.
12. A. Recommend adoption of a resolution amending the Pay Plan to change the pay ranges of the following classes: Animal Control Officer, from Pay Range 11 to Pay Range 13 ($16,511-21,072 Annually), Animal Control Supervisor, from Pay Range 14 to Pay Range 16 ($19,113-24,394 Annually) and Animal Control Superintendent, from Pay Range 23 to Pay Range 24 ($28,239-36,042 Annually).

As a result of changes in responsibilities of the Animal Control Division of the Operations Department, the Personnel Department has conducted a review of the Animal Control Officer, Animal Control Supervisor and Animal Control Superintendent positions. Based on the results of this study, changes in the pay range assignments for these positions are recommended to appropriately compensate employees for the work performed.

Animal Control Officer
The duties of the Animal Control Officer positions, Pay Range 11, have changed significantly due to consolidation of the City-County Animal Control Divisions. In addition to patrolling assigned areas to issue citations for violations, these positions are required to enforce City and County animal control leash laws and ordinances that vary for Matthews, Davidson, Cornelius and newly annexed areas. Their scope of responsibilities has broadened and although Animal Control Officers are not law enforcement officers, they are responsible for a wider geographical jurisdiction, have sensitive public contact and are frequently exposed to hazards and injury. It is recommended that the pay range of Animal Control Officers be changed from Pay Range 11 to Pay Range 13.

Animal Control Supervisor
Animal Control Supervisors, Pay Range 14, are each responsible for planning, scheduling, supervising and evaluating the work of Animal Control Officers, Service Dispatchers, Security Service Assistants, and Kennel Workers. They also assist in complex field work and in solving unusual problems that arise. This position requires extensive public contact, knowledge and application of state and local laws and veterinary technology with a high level of sensitivity and independent decision-making abilities. It is recommended that the pay range of Animal Control Supervisor be changed from Pay Range 14 to Pay Range 16.

Animal Control Superintendent
The duties and responsibilities of the Animal Control Superintendent, Pay Range 23, have been reviewed and compared to those of Special Services Superintendent - Operations. Due to comparability in scope, complexity, and level of independent judgment, decision-making and overall accountability for a large operation, it is recommended that the Animal Control Superintendent be reassigned to the same pay range as the Special Services Superintendent - Operations, Pay Range 24.
The FY87 cost of implementing these changes is approximately $931. This can be accommodated within the current department appropriation.

B. Recommend adoption of a resolution amending the Pay Plan to establish the classifications of Contract Officer, Pay Range 25 ($29,651-37,844), Assistant Contract Officer, Pay Range 20 ($23,233-29,651), and Contract Estimator, Pay Range 17 ($20,069-25,614); and delete the classes of Contract Administrator, Pay Range 23 and Contract Assistant, Pay Range 19.

The Personnel Department has completed a review of positions in the Engineering Department responsible for the preparation and administration of professional services and construction contracts for the City. This review indicated the need to establish three new classifications to appropriately recognize and compensate employees for the work performed.

The number and variety of contracts administered by the Engineering Department have increased substantially. Consequently, the Contracts Division has functioned with an increased level of independence in the preparation and administration of professional service and construction contracts.

Contract Administrator/Contract Officer
The position of Contract Administrator has assumed full responsibility for all professional services contracts in addition to supervising the Contracts Division staff of nine employees. The position now has a larger scope of supervision and operates with less review than when last reviewed. It is recommended that the position be assigned to Pay Range 25 and that the position be retitled Contract Officer which is more descriptive of the work performed.

Contract Assistant/Assistant Contract Officer
The position of Contract Assistant has been significantly impacted by the increased scope and independence of the Contract Administrator position and is now responsible for all construction contracts administered by the Engineering Department. Previously this position worked under the close supervision of the Contract Administrator; but the increased level of activity has resulted in the Contract Assistant position operating at a higher level of independence. It is recommended that the position be assigned to Pay Range 20 and that the position be retitled Assistant Contract Officer in recognition of the duties performed.

Contract Estimator
Due to the increased workload in the Contracts Division, an additional technical position was allocated in FY87 and tentatively classified as a Construction Inspector, Pay Range 16. This position is responsible for preparing preliminary cost estimates on construction projects and administering the more routine and less complex contracts. Analysis of the duties indicates that the position requires a higher level of technical skill and has a greater scope of responsibility than is typically assigned to Construction Inspector positions. It is
recommended that the position be assigned to Pay Range 17 and that the title of Contract Estimator be established to better describe duties performed.

The FY87 cost of implementing these changes is approximately $220, which can be accommodated within the current departmental appropriation.

C. Recommend adoption of a resolution amending the Pay Plan to change the pay range assignment for the class of Budget and Evaluation Analyst II from Pay Range 22 to Pay Range 23 ($26,894-34,325).

The Personnel Department has completed a review of positions in the Budget and Evaluation Department. Based on the results of the study, a change in the pay range assignment for Budget and Evaluation Analyst II positions is recommended to appropriately compensate these employees for the work performed.

Budget and Evaluation Analyst II positions perform professional budget review work including researching and approving service level changes and capital outlay requests for multi-division departments, reviewing the status of departmental objectives achievement, establishing priorities, analyzing departmental organization structures, and conducting productivity studies. In addition, responsibilities have increased to include a greater level of authority for departmental negotiations, development of solutions and options for departmental problems, and greater involvement in special studies such as impact fees, historic needs, revenue issues, and financing options.

It is recommended that Budget and Evaluation Analyst II positions be assigned to Pay Range 23 based on internal comparisons and on an increased level of responsibility.

The FY87 cost of implementing these changes is approximately $300. This can be accommodated within the current department appropriation.

D. Recommend adoption of a resolution amending the Pay Plan to establish the classification of Public Service Coordinator - Transportation, Pay Range 24 ($28,239-36,042 Annually), and delete the classification of Transportation Programs Coordinator, Pay Range 24.

The Personnel Department has completed a review of the Transportation Programs Coordinator position in the Department of Transportation. This review indicates the need to establish a new classification to appropriately recognize the duties performed.

The position is responsible for eight employees in the Department's Public Service section and serves as administrative aide to the Director and coordinates the execution of projects among the Department's five divisions.
Analysis indicates that no change in pay range assignment is warranted; however, the title of Public Service Coordinator - Transportation is recommended.

There is no additional cost in implementing this recommendation.

E. Recommend adoption of a resolution amending the Pay Plan to change the pay range of the classes of Benefits Technician from Pay Range 15 to 17 ($20,069-$25,614), and Medical Services Supervisor from Pay Range 22 to 23 ($26,894-$34,325); and to add the class of Personnel Analyst III, Pay Range 23.

Based on a review and comparisons with other City positions, the Personnel Department has identified the need to change the pay range assignments for the classes of Benefits Technician and Medical Services Supervisor and to establish the class of Personnel Analyst III in order to appropriately compensate these employees for work performed.

Benefits Technician
It is recommended that the pay range for the class of Benefits Technician be increased from Pay Range 15 to 17. The two positions in the class have been impacted by a substantial increase in scope and complexity related to the administration of the employee insurance program and the Local Governmental Employees' Retirement System. The incumbents are responsible for City-wide programs and have a far-reaching impact on employee retirement decisions and insurance activities. Assignment to Pay Range 17 is recommended.

Personnel Analyst III
It is recommended that the class of Personnel Analyst III be established in order to recognize the responsibilities for supervising the Special Projects Division. The position's responsibilities include coordination of a variety of departmental special project activities and computer systems and the supervision of a Personnel Analyst I position, Pay Range 18. Assignment to Pay Range 23 is recommended.

Medical Services Supervisor
It is recommended the pay range assigned for the class of Medical Services Supervisor be changed from Pay Range 22 to 23. This adjustment is in recognition of increased levels of judgement, independence and accountability resulting from program expansion in the areas of physical examinations, hearing evaluations and the impact of contagious diseases in the workforce. In addition, the overall level of complexity and sensitivity within the field of occupational health services is growing considerably.

The FY87 cost of implementing these changes is approximately $250, which can be accommodated within the current departmental appropriation.
BID LIST

13. Recommend approval of the bid list as shown. The following contract awards are all low bid and within budget estimates unless otherwise noted. Each project or purchase was authorized in the annual budget.

Bids A – D relate to the expansion and renovation of existing Concourse "B" in conjunction with the overall construction plan for terminal facilities expansion. On April 6, 1987, Council approved the issuance of 1987 Airport revenue bonds to fund certain capital improvements including a 74,000 sq. ft. expansion to Concourse "B" to provide six additional gates for Piedmont.

A. General Contract for Concourse "B" Airport Extension and Renovation

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Carlson South East in the amount of $3,412,000 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: The contract provides all labor, material and equipment for the general construction work for the proposed Concourse "B" extension and renovation.


B. Electrical Contract for Concourse "B" Airport Extension and Renovation

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Electricon Incorporated in the amount of $687,400 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: The contract provides all labor, material and equipment for the electrical construction work for the proposed Concourse "B" extension and renovation.


C. Plumbing Contract for Concourse "B" Airport Extension and Renovation

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from All South Mechanical Contractor in the amount of $270,678.00 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis.
Project Description: The contract provides all labor, material and equipment for the plumbing construction work for the proposed Concourse "B" extension and renovation.


D. Mechanical Contract for Concourse "B" Extension and Renovation

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Alpha Mechanical in the amount of $964,819.00 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: The contract provides all labor, material and equipment for the mechanical construction work for the proposed Concourse "B" extension and renovation.


E. Aluminum Sheets

Recommendation: By Director of Department of Transportation and Purchasing Director that the low bid, Vulcan Signs, Inc., Foley, Alabama, in the amount of $39,637.50, be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This proposed purchase is for 750 sheets of aluminum to be used to fabricate various quantities and sizes of street signs.

Source of Funding: General Fund - Transportation/Operations (Construction Materials and Supplies).

F. Re-Roofing Wastewater Administration & Employee Building Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department

Recommendation: By Utility Director and Purchasing Director that the low bid, Laxton Building Systems, Inc., Charlotte, N. C., in the amount of $44,575.00, be accepted for award of contract.
Project Description: This purchase is for a new roof to replace the existing roof which is leaking in various locations throughout the building. Increased water damage will occur if project is delayed.

Source of Funding: Water and Sewer Fund – (Sewer Maintenance).

G. Mobile Data Terminals, Police Department
Furnish and Install

Recommendation: By Police Chief and Purchasing Director that the low bid, Mobile Data International, Inc., Richmond, B. C., Canada, in the amount of $170,000.00, be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: These data terminals integrate radio and computer networks into a mobile data communication system. The terminals, which are to be installed in police vehicles, will relieve congestion on present voice channels and provide police officers with direct access to information. A memorandum is attached.

Source of Funding: General Fund – (Police/Communications).
Attachment No. 5

H. Fire Hose Fire Department

Recommendation: By Fire Chief and Purchasing Director that the lowest responsive bid, Angus Fire Armour Corporation, Charlotte, N. C., in the amount of $45,225.00, be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Other Bids Received Not Meeting Specifications: Harold’s Sales & Service, Marietta, Ga., in the amount of $34,332.50, bidding Niedner fire hose; Snap-Tire Hose, Inc., Union City, Pa., in the amount of $42,720.00, bidding Snap-Tire fire hose; Action Fire & Safety, Charlotte, N. C., in the amount of $42,720.00 bidding Snap-Tire fire hose. All three of the above companies bid laminated fire hose. The bid specifications required an extruded one-piece constructed fire hose. The laminated fire hose has a lining and cover held together by adhesives. In the past, the Fire Department has used this laminated type hose and has experienced some problems with internal separation of the lining and cover. Also using extruded type constructed hose enables the Fire Department to move water at lower pump pressures.
Project Description: This fire hose will be used in fighting fires by supplying water from fire hydrants to the fire truck and from the truck, at higher pressure, to the fire.

Source of Funding: General Fund - (Fire Department - Operations Division).

---

I. Water Distribution Project

12-Inch Water Main to Serve Choate Circle - from South Lakes Drive to Smith Road

Recommendation: Director, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department recommends that the low bid by Wheeler Construction Company, Incorporated of Weaverville, North Carolina in the amount of $129,885.00 be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This project provides for construction of a water line to serve the Choate Circle area which is located in Southwest Mecklenburg County adjacent to South Point Business Park in the Carowinds area.

Source of Funding: Water and Sewer Construction Fund - (Martin Development Group, Inc.)

---

J. Sanitary Sewer Construction

Four Mile Creek Outfall and Trunk to Brightmoor

The Utility Director recommends City Council waive the technicality of Rea Brothers, Incorporated failure to submit the debarred firm statement with the bid. Waiver is recommended with the stipulation that Rea Brothers sign, seal, and submit the debarred firm statement before Council award of this project. The Legal Department concurs that this is a technicality which can appropriately be waived by Council.

Recommendation: Director, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department recommends that the low bid by Rea Brothers, Incorporated of Pineville, North Carolina in the amount of $218,766.85 be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: Construction of this project provides for extension of a sewer line to serve the continuously developing subdivision located in the Brightmoor area.
K. Intersection Improvements - Monroe Road at Conference Drive and Wallace Lane

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low bid of $73,085.24, as submitted by Ferebee Corporation, be accepted for award on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This is one of 14 projects designed to upgrade urban intersections and was identified as a high priority under the transportation program. This project involves median revisions and street widening at the intersection of Monroe Road, Conference Drive and Wallace Lane.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - (Minor Intersection Improvement Program - Pay-As-You-Go).

L. South Boulevard at Tyvola Road Intersection Improvements

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low bid of $266,512.59, as submitted by Ferebee Corporation, be accepted for award on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This is one of 14 projects designed to upgrade urban intersections. The decision to build this project with local funding was precipitated by a steady increase in congestion along a major urban arterial.

This project involves improvements to the intersection of South Boulevard and Tyvola Road. The majority of the improvements will be done to the west side of South Boulevard. The intersection will be widened to provide an additional left turn lane.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - (TSM Intersection Improvements - Capital Reserve and Prior Bonds).
M. FY87 Topographic Mapping Contract  

**Recommendation:** By the City Engineer that the low proposal of $31,486.00, as submitted by Landmark Engineering Company, be accepted for award on a unit price basis.

**Project Description:** Each year the City awards a contract for maintaining and updating its topographic mapping system. These maps provide information on streets, buildings, trees, and terrain. Copies of these maps are sold to the general public and various governmental agencies.

This contract provides for the updating of 14 topographic sheets.

**Source of Funding:** General Fund - (Engineering Department - Contractuals/Other Miscellaneous).

---

N. Enderly Park Center Roof Repair  

**Recommendation:** By the General Services Director that the project be awarded AAR of North Carolina, Inc., of Kernersville, N. C., the low bidder in the amount of $45,041.00 plus an alternate bid to install a coated metal fascia for $3,386.00. Total contract amount: $48,427.00.

**Project Description:** The existing built-up roof at Enderly Park was installed over 30 years ago. The roof is severely deteriorated. This request will provide the Center with a new single ply membrane roof with improved insulation.

**Source of Funding:** General Capital Improvement Fund - (Building Improvements - GRS, Operating Contribution and Pay-As-You-Go).

---

O. 2 - 39,600 lbs. GVWR (Minimum)  

**Project Description:** These trucks are needed for refuse collection in newly annexed areas.

**Source of Funding:** General Fund - (Operations/Sanitation Lease Purchase).
In a continuing effort to improve productivity and promote efficiency, we are expanding the use of microcomputers in the following departments: Engineering, Utility, Fire, Transportation, Finance, General Services, MIS, Personnel, Police, Planning, and Budget & Evaluation.

These departments will be supported with applications such as spreadsheets, data base development, computer-aided drafting and design, word processing, inventory monitoring, electronic mail, complaint tracking, administrative reporting, labor cost analysis, and work order management.

The following two bids are for the software and associated hardware needed in conjunction with these microcomputers.

P. Microcomputer Systems-Hardware

**Recommendation:** By the Municipal Information System Director and Purchasing Director that the lowest responsive bidder, Computerland of Charlotte, North Carolina, in the amount of $117,968.75 be accepted for award of the contract.

**Source of Funding:** General Fund - (Municipal Information Systems) - Lease Purchase. General Fund - (Engineering).

Water and Sewer Fund - (Administration, Engineering, Vest Treatment Plant, McDowell Creek Treatment Plant, Sugar Creek Treatment Plant, Irwin Creek Treatment Plant) - Lease Purchase.

General Fund - (Automated Budget).

Q. Microcomputer Software and Associated Hardware

**Recommendation:** By Municipal Information System Director and Purchasing Director that the lowest responsive bidder, Computer Center, Charlotte, N. C., in the amount of $45,897.75 be accepted for award of contract.

**Source of Funding:** General Fund - (Municipal Information Systems) - Lease Purchase. General Fund - (Engineering).

Water and Sewer Fund - (Administration, Engineering, Vest Treatment Plant, McDowell Creek Treatment Plant, Sugar Creek Treatment Plant, Irwin Creek Treatment Plant) - Lease Purchase.

General Fund - (Automated Budget).
AMENDMENT TO AIRPORT BOND RESOLUTION

14. Recommend approval of an amendment to the series resolutions authorizing the issuance of Special Facility Revenue Bonds for the purpose of financing Aircraft Maintenance Hangar and related facilities for Piedmont Aviation, Inc.

This action will amend the resolution approved by Council on May 11, 1987 which authorized the issuance of Special Facility Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 1987, not to exceed $75 million, to finance an aircraft maintenance hangar and related facilities for Piedmont Aviation, Inc.

The original resolution authorized term bonds. This amendment will authorize both serial and term bonds which will reduce the debt service by over $100,000 a year.

The Airport Manager and the City's Finance Director recommend this action.

CITY CODE AMENDMENT

15. Recommend adoption of an ordinance amending Chapter 10 of the City Code which would allow temporary decorative signs to be placed in the uptown area.

On June 9, 1986, the Council adopted Code 10-25, "Temporary Decorative Signs" which allows temporary decorative signs to be placed in the uptown area. Originally adopted as part of the Zoning Ordinance, it was transferred to Chapter 10 on June 16, 1986 allowing the Operations Department to administer its enforcement. On September 22, 1986, the City adopted the new Litter Control Ordinance which deleted Article II of Chapter 10. Through an oversight, Section 10-25 was not included in the new Litter Control Ordinance. Therefore, the approval to amend Chapter 10 is requested to include Section 10-25 in Article II, Chapter 10.

Approval of this amendment will place the ordinance in the City Code and allow the Community Improvement Division to administer it.
16. Recommend approval of an amendment to the agreement for construction management services for the new Coliseum with Turner Construction Company in the amount of $392,750.00.

In June, 1985, the City entered into an agreement with Turner Construction Company for providing construction management services for the new Charlotte Coliseum. This agreement included $380,000.00 to cover the cost of reimbursable costs such as their liability insurance, job office expenses and overhead, travel, etc.

The amendment will increase the reimbursable costs allowance in Turner's contract from $380,000.00 to $772,750.00. To date, Turner has actually spent more than the original allowance and has estimated the total of all their reimbursable costs for the job to be $772,750.00.

A large portion of the increase is due to an unforeseeable increase of over 85% in Turner's liability insurance for the job, from $134,000.00 to $252,000.00. The extended preconstruction period and $200,000.00 in associated expenses, and the actual purchase of their $74,000.00 on-site construction offices, made up of four premanufactured modular units, make up the rest of the increase. Tangible items, such as the job office, are now City property and will have some reuse or salvage value at job closeout.

This amendment will increase the original contract amount from $2,325,000.00 to $2,717,750.00. Adequate funds for the amendment are available in the project account. (Fund 2010, Account 255.00)

This amendment has been reviewed by the the City Attorney's office and is recommended by the Engineering Department.

B. Recommend approval of an amendment of $34,000.00 to Professional Services Industries, Inc.'s professional services contract for testing and inspection of the structural steel for the new Coliseum.

In March, 1986, City Council awarded a contract for steel testing and inspection to Professional Services, Inc. (PSI). Proposals for testing services were received from four firms and PSI was judged by the architect and construction manager to have the lowest unit prices of the firms most qualified for the job. The contract amount was estimated, using these unit prices, based on PSI's understanding of the scope of services and the anticipated fabrication schedule.

The steel contract was subsequently awarded in April, 1986 to Montague-Betts Company. As the fabrication and erection nears completion, it has become evident that the contract amount is insufficient to cover the cost of testing and inspection through closeout of the steel contract which is anticipated in late June, 1987.
City Council is asked to approve an amendment of $34,000.00 to PSI's steel testing contract. Invoices for the work will be based on unit prices. The architect and construction manager have recommended that the amendment be awarded so that inspection can continue at the current level, which is required to assure steel fabrication and erection meet all applicable codes and public safety interests.

This amendment will increase the contract amount from $265,800.00 to $299,800.00. Funds are currently available in the project budget. (Fund 2010, Account 255.00).

This amendment has been reviewed by the City Attorney's office and is recommended for approval by the Engineering Department.

C. Recommend approval of an amendment not to exceed $34,000.00 to the contract between the City of Charlotte and Gannett Fleming Corddry and Carpenter, Inc. for professional engineering services for the construction phase of the additions and alterations to the Irwin Creek and Sugar Creek Wastewater Treatment Plants.

On April 22, 1985, Council approved a contract with Gannett Fleming Corddry and Carpenter, Inc. for the construction phase services for the additions and alterations to the Irwin Creek and Sugar Creek wastewater treatment plants.

Due to construction delays because of change orders requested by the City, the period of service of the original contract must be extended to February 1, 1988 in order to fulfill the contract provisions. The services to be provided by the engineer will be an extension of construction phase, operation phase, and special services authorized by the City in agreement addendums.

Adequate funds for these engineering services are available in project contingency 2071-632.01 and 632.11.

This is recommended by the Utility Department.

CHANGE ORDER

17. Recommend approval of a change order of $76,165 in the structural steel contract with Montague-Betts Company for additional work on the new Coliseum.

The new Charlotte Coliseum is being built on a "fast track" system which awards contracts such as grading, foundation concrete and steel prior to the completion of the design of other components of the building. The contract for the structural steel package was awarded knowing that changes would be required when refinements were made during the coordination of the early contracts and the final architectural drawings. Unit prices were included in the original contract documents as a base for pricing these change orders.
This change order of $76,165 will increase the contract from $6,857,568 to $6,933,733. Funds are currently available in the project budget. (Fund #2010, Account 255.00).

The Engineering Department has reviewed and approved this change order which is recommended by the architect and construction manager.

18. Recommend approval of change order #1, contract #1; Christopher Construction Company, for the additions and alterations to Sugar Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant for an increase of $34,870.63.

During recent additions and alterations at the Sugar Creek Treatment Plant, it was determined by the consulting engineer, Gannett Fleming Corddry and Carpenter, Inc., and the CMUD staff that several alterations of the plans and specifications should be made to provide a more workable finished product. The changes will increase the contract amount by $34,870.63 for a revised contract price of $2,656,801.63.

Adequate funds for the additional expense are available in project contingency 2071-632.11.

This is recommended by the Utility Department.

HOUSING LOANS

19. Recommend approval of loans for permanent financing to purchase property under the Uptown Residential Loan Agreement between the City of Charlotte and a consortium of banks and savings and loan associations.

Approval of the loans is necessary in order to proceed with the closing of the loans under the Uptown Residential Loan Agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrower</th>
<th>Property Address</th>
<th>Loan Amount</th>
<th>Appraised Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steven M. Althaver</td>
<td>Unit #6, Phase IIA</td>
<td>$53,550</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield Square</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Lawrence</td>
<td>426 Clarkson Green</td>
<td>$87,750</td>
<td>$92,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brady, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The loan applications have been reviewed by the City loan officer in the Community Development Department, and the loan applications contain sufficient information to form the basis for approval of the loans.
20. Recommend adoption of an ordinance authorizing the use of the in rem remedy to demolish and remove the dwelling at 1710 Cliffwood Place.

Date of inspection: December 16, 1986
Owner(s) notified of hearing: December 23, 1986
Hearing held: January 5, 1987
Owner(s) ordered to demolish dwelling by: February 6, 1987
Estimated value of dwelling: $14,100
Estimated repair (which exceeds 65% of estimated value): $10,100

The dwelling is unoccupied, has been vandalized, and is used by vagrants. Numerous complaints from citizens have been received on this structure. The repairs include: replacing rotted and dangerous existing steps; installing missing materials on ceiling and walls; installing heating equipment and water heater; installing window screens and missing windows; replacing broken window glass; repairing rear steps; installing handrail; painting exterior and all interior walls and ceilings; installing electrical outlets, switches, and ceiling fixtures; installing access door; replacing rotted fascia board; installing lock on front door; installing missing wall covering; removing debris from dwelling; and removing junk and debris from yard.

Funds for this action are available and a lien will be placed against the property for costs incurred.

B. Recommend adoption of an ordinance authorizing the use of the in rem remedy to demolish and remove the dwelling at 1112-14 North Harrill Street.

Date of inspection: January 20, 1987
Owner(s) notified of hearing: January 28, 1987
Hearing held: February 2, 1987
Owner(s) ordered to demolish dwelling by: March 23, 1987
Estimated value of dwelling: $8,560
Estimated repair (which exceeds 65% of estimated value): $8,431

One of the units in the duplex is open and vacant. The other unit is occupied, and the family has been placed on the relocation workload. We recommend the dwelling be demolished as soon as the occupants are relocated. Repairs include: replacing rotted siding; replacing rotted window sills; replacing rotted studs; repairing ceilings; repairing roof leaks; installing kitchen sink; repairing holes in bathroom floors and kitchen floors; installing vinyl floor covering at kitchen floor; installing vinyl floor covering on bathroom floors; replacing rotted sills; replacing rotted floor joists; replacing broken window glass; installing window screens; installing rear screen doors; replacing rotted cornice and soffit; replacing access
door; installing heating equipment; replacing rotted front porch ceiling; painting exterior; replacing broken and missing light switch covers; painting interior walls and ceilings.

Funds for this action are available and a lien will be placed against the property for costs incurred.

C. Recommend adoption of an ordinance authorizing the use of the in rem remedy to demolish and remove the dwelling at 6824 Markway Drive.

Date of inspection: September 30, 1986
Owner(s) notified of hearing: October 3, 1986
Hearing held: October 31, 1986
Owner(s) ordered to demolish dwelling by: December 31, 1986
Estimated value of dwelling: $2,210
Estimated repair (which exceeds 65% of estimated value): $12,300

The dwelling is unoccupied and the owner has not made any repairs to the dwelling since a fire occurred in September, 1986. The repairs include: installing sheetrock on ceiling joists; installing stud walls and ceiling joists; replacing floor joists and flooring; installing interior doors; installing facia board; installing roof rafters and roof sheathing; installing new roof; painting interior; replacing windows; replacing kitchen equipment; painting exterior wood.

Funds for this action are available and a lien will be placed against the property for costs incurred.

D. Recommend adoption of an ordinance authorizing the use of the in rem remedy to correct code violations at 3545 Marvin Road.

This dwelling, which is occupied, was inspected on April 16, 1986 and found to have code violations. The owner was notified on April 22, 1986 by certified mail that a hearing would be held on May 7, 1986. The owner was ordered to repair the dwelling by July 7, 1986. Some of the repairs were made, but the owner did not correct all of the violations. A title search on the property was requested to determine any parties of interest. The title search revealed a Deed of Trust Holder on the property, Country Wide Funding Corp. They were notified on October 6, 1986 of a hearing to be held on October 22, 1986. Country Wide Funding Corp. was ordered to repair the dwelling by November 22, 1986.

Since the remaining code violations have not been corrected by the owner or party of interest, the City must take corrective action. The action being recommended will authorize the City to correct the code violations and place a lien on the property for the cost to repair the dwelling.
The repairs include: installing screen door on front entrance door; repairing holes in bathroom walls; installing ceiling light fixtures in rear bedroom; installing missing bricks in foundation walls; installing weather stripping around front entrance door; removing and replacing all defective window glazing on all windows; installing screen door on rear entrance door; painting exterior trim; replacing defective wall switches.

The estimated value of the dwelling is $8,320 and the lowest responsible bid received to repair the dwelling is $792, which is less than 65% of the value of the dwelling. The City Policy is, therefore, to repair the structure rather than demolish the structure.

Funds for this action are available and a lien will be placed against the property for costs incurred.

E. Recommend adoption of an ordinance authorizing the use of the
remedy to correct code violations at 2118 East Fifth Street.

This dwelling, which is occupied, was inspected on April 24, 1986 and found to have code violations. The owner was notified on April 25, 1986 by certified mail that a hearing would be held on June 5, 1986. The owner was ordered to repair the dwelling by August 5, 1986. During this period of time, the owner has made some of the repairs. A number of re-inspections have taken place in order to determine the repairs which have been completed.

Since the owner has not corrected all the violations, the City must take corrective action. The action being recommended will authorize the City to correct the violations and place a lien on the property for the cost to repair this dwelling.

The repairs include: installing front screen door; removing and replacing all wood stiff knee piers; and installing hand rail at interior stairs to basement.

The estimated value of the dwelling is $43,710 and the lowest responsible bid received to repair the dwelling is $970, which is less than 65% of the value of the dwelling. The City Policy is, therefore, to repair rather than demolish the structure.

Funds for this action are available and a lien will be placed against the property for costs incurred.
SUPPLEMENTAL MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT

21. Recommend adoption of a resolution authorizing a supplemental municipal agreement between the City of Charlotte and the North Carolina Department of Transportation for a sanitary sewer line relocation related to the improvement of I-85 from south of I-77 (northbound lane) to south of Derita Road (Graham Street).

The requested resolution will empower the Mayor to execute a supplemental municipal agreement with the North Carolina Department of Transportation for the relocation of a 24" sanitary sewer line as part of the I-85 widening project between I-77 and Derita Road (Graham Street). The estimated cost of the work is $24,453.00 and the City's share (38%) would be $9,292.00.

City participation is necessary because a portion of the existing sewer line is in conflict with the I-85 improvements. The original municipal agreement (which this one supplements) requires that the City of Charlotte pay for the adjustment of City owned utilities as required by the I-85 widening project. A total of 157 feet of sewer line must be relocated along Irwin Creek at Starita Road. Sixty feet (or 38%) is on State highway right of way. The remaining 97 feet is on a valid utility easement. Therefore, the City is asked to pay only 38% of the relocation cost.

The North Carolina Department of Transportation will provide 62% of the actual cost. The City's 38% share of the cost will come from Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department (CMUD) account 633.24.

The agreement has been approved by the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department.

SPEED LIMITS

22. Recommend adoption of an ordinance lowering the speed limit on three neighborhood streets from 35 miles per hour to 25 miles per hour.

In accordance with Council's approved policy, three streets in three neighborhoods have had petitions validated and are determined by the engineering study to be appropriate for a 25 mile per hour speed limit. The streets are:

Providence Lane (Olde Providence)
Rockway Drive (Chantilly)
Sheffield Drive (Eastway Park)
TAX REFUND

23. Recommend adoption of a resolution authorizing the refund of certain taxes in the total amount of $57.00 which were assessed through clerical error or illegal levy against 2 tax accounts.

SET PUBLIC HEARING

24. Recommend adoption of a resolution of intent to abandon a portion of Wensley Drive and set a public hearing for July 27, 1987 at 3:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber.

COUNCIL MEETING SCHEDULE

25. Recommend that City Council cancel the July 13 City Council meeting, and set a special meeting for July 2, 4:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber. It is further recommended that the afternoon Council meeting of September 14 be rescheduled as an evening meeting to be held in District 7, 7:00 p.m. at a place to be determined.

EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY

26. Recommend adoption of a resolution authorizing the exchange of City-owned property for property owned by Crow-Childress-Klein #7 on the east side of Billy Graham Parkway.

On March 24, 1986, City Council approved an option from Crow-Childress-Klein which stipulated among other things that 6.143 acres needed on the east side of Billy Graham Parkway along portions of the north and south sides of Wilmount Road would be purchased from Crow-Childress-Klein by the City at $50,000.00 an acre for a total of $307,150.00. The City owns four residual parcels totaling 1.86 acres contiguous to Crow properties valued at $287,525.00. In lieu of payment, Crow has agreed to exchange their 6.143 acres for the 1.86 acres owned by the City.

On May 11, 1987, City Council adopted a resolution indicating its intent to authorize the exchange of four parcels totaling 1.86 acres owned by the City valued at $287,525.00 for 6.143 acres owned by Crow-Childress-Klein #7 valued at $307,150.00.

Pursuant to the North Carolina General Statues 160A-271, the City may exchange real property belonging to the City for other real property by private negotiations if the City receives full and fair consideration
in the exchange. The General Statute requires that public notice be
given of Council's intent to consider this exchange. The public
notice was published on May 19, 1987 describing the properties to be
exchanged, stating the values of the properties and announcing
Council's intent to authorize the exchange.

The Planning staff, Engineering/Real Estate and the Manager's Task
Force for the Coliseum Center concur with this request.

A map showing the location of the parcels to be exchanged is
attached.

Attachment No. 6

UTILITY CONTRACTS

27. Recommend approval of contracts between the City of Charlotte and
the applicants listed below:

These are extension contracts for new development in accordance with
the Water/Sewer Extension Policy. Item 1 is a 5-year reimbursable
contract. Item 2 is a 15-year reimbursable contract. The applicants
deposited 10% of the estimated construction cost. The remaining 90%
will be deposited prior to construction. There is no cost to the
City and no funds are needed. Items 3 - 15, the applicants are to
construct the entire systems at their own proper cost and expense.
The City is to retain all revenue. There is no cost to the City and
no funds are needed. The Utility and Planning Directors recommend
approval.

1. Shannon Properties, Inc., to construct 23,000 linear feet of
sanitary sewer outfall to serve Long Creek Outfall, Phase V,
located east of Oakdale Road and west of I-77, outside the
Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $1,610,000.00.
Sanitary Sewer Job No. 300-87-510.

2. First Colony Group, Ltd., to construct 3,400 linear feet of
sanitary sewer outfall along Four Mile Creek Outfall to
Brightmoors II Subdivision, located east of Pleasant Plains
Road, west of Monroe Road and south of Four Mile Creek, outside
the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $187,000.00.
Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-151. Contract No. 86-005. This
is a cash deposit contract and replaces a previous letter of
credit contract approved by Council October 27, 1986.

3. LCW Enterprises, to construct 1,535 linear feet of 8-inch
sanitary sewer main to serve Wimbledon Subdivision, located east
of Old Providence Road, west of Providence Road and north of
McAlpine Creek, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated
Cost - $76,750.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 100-87-517.
4. Matthews Development Company, to construct 2,580 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Charlestown Manor Subdivision, located south of Sharon Amity Road, west of Castleton Road and north of Shady Bluff Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $90,300.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 100-87-516.

5. Summer Carolina Associates, to construct 6,761 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Summerlake Subdivision, located south of Sharon View Road, east of Flintwood Drive and west of Old Providence Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $236,635.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 100-87-550.

6. Harry Grimmer & Company, Inc., to construct 2,025 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Providence Glen Subdivision, Phase 1 & 2, located south of Houston Branch Road, north of High Ridge Road and west of Weddington Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $60,750.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-189.

7. First Colony Group, Ltd., to construct 4,922 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Colony Hill Subdivision, located north of Gum Branch Road, east of Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road and south of Long Creek, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $172,270.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-85-182.

8. Mr. Stephen Pace, to construct 1,735 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Winterbrooke Subdivision, located east of Weddington Road, west of Pleasant Plains Road and north of McKee Road, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $70,000.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-024.

9. IMS Properties, Inc., to construct 2,962 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Featherstone Ridge Subdivision, located east of Pawtuckett Road, west of Sullins Road and south of Town Bluff Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $88,860.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-051.

10. Rice Development Corporation, to construct 2,385 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Crown Colony Estates, located north of Alexander Road, east of Shaftesbury Road and west of Harrision Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $83,475.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-208.

11. Trammell Crow Company, to construct 5,140 linear feet of 8-inch water main to serve Lake Point Office Park, located east of Beam Road, west of proposed Tyvola Road Extension and south of Wilmont Road, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $103,000.00. Water Contract No. 86-082.
ITEM NO.

12. C. J. Investors, to construct 2,180 linear feet of 8-inch water main to serve Stewart Creek Industrial Park, located north of Hoskins Road, east of Pompano Street and west of Plumstead Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $45,000.00. Water Contract No. 86-074.

13. Carolina Developers Ltd., III, to construct 1,875 linear feet of 8-inch water main to serve North Hills Commerce Center, located east of N.C. Highway 49, south of Neal Drive and north of Orr Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $43,000.00. Water Contract No. 86-094.

14. Carolina Developers Ltd. II, to construct 1,623 linear feet of 8-inch water main to serve York Road Commerce Center, located north of N.C. Highway 49, east of Steele Creek, and west of John Price Road, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $33,000.00. Water Contract No. 86-055.

15. Romeg Development Company, Inc., to construct 1,385 linear feet of 8-inch water main, 430 linear feet of 6-inch water main and 1,510 linear feet of 2-inch water main to serve Giverny, Phase I Subdivision, located west of Carmel Road, south of Sharon View Road and north of Millpond Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $53,000.00. Water Contract No. 100-87-013.

PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS

28. Recommend approval of the following property transactions.

1. Acquisition of 994 square feet plus permanent and temporary easements and 1,748 square feet of metal building used for manufacturing, at 423 Belmont Avenue, from A. L. Melasky and wife, Selma S. Melasky, for $84,828.00, for the Parkwood Avenue Extension project. (Option)

Owner plans to acquire the adjoining residual property which was purchased by the City for this project. Melasky's are going to have another building constructed on the rear of the property and will remain in business.

2. Acquisition of 9,681 square feet, at 1210 East 7th Street, from Mildred B. Edwards and Pattie Lee B. McGee, for $47,500.00, for the Memorial Stadium Improvements. (Option)

The property is zoned B-2 and is being used for parking. This is the last property in this block that is not owned either by CPC or the City.
3. Acquisition of 1,056 square feet, at 1400 N. Brevard Street, from Jimmy F. Sherrill & Geraldine D. Sherrill, for $11,300.00, for the Parkwood Avenue Extension project. (Right of Way Agreement)

Property is zoned B-2 and presently being used as an automotive repair shop. Because 17th Street will be deadended, a turn-around is being built on this property for the local traffic.

This creates some severance damage to the property due to the configuration of the remaining property.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, June 8</td>
<td>INFORMAL BUDGET DISCUSSION</td>
<td>12:00 Noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd Floor Conference Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DEDICATION of GRADE COLE CENTER</td>
<td>12:00 Noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>310 N. Kings Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COUNCIL/MANAGER DINNER-DISCUSSION</td>
<td>5:00 p. m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CITIZENS HEARING</td>
<td>7:00 p. m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COUNCIL MEETING</td>
<td>7:30 p. m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smith Junior High School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, June 9</td>
<td>BUDGET WORKSHOP</td>
<td>4:00 p. m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Hall Annex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, June 11</td>
<td>CITY COUNCIL/COUNTY COMMISSION</td>
<td>7:30 a. m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion, Solid Waste Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Hall Annex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Addendum

Request for Council Action

That authority to terminate the agreement for Construction Management Services between the City of Charlotte and Metric Constructors for the new Baseball Stadium be given to the City Manager.

Engineering Department

Background
On August 11, 1986, City Council approved a contract for Construction Management Services with Metric Constructors. The contract was intended to last through the schematic design phase of the new Baseball Stadium. On February 13, 1987, the City Manager approved an amendment to the contract to extend services through the award of construction contracts. It is anticipated that on or about June 10, 1987, a bill will be passed by the State Legislature which will exempt the project from State bidding requirements. This will allow the City to accept proposals and negotiate directly with prospective contractors in lieu of advertising for bids.

Explanation of Request
Metric Constructors has expressed interest in being one of several contractors allowed to submit a proposal if the State legislation is approved. In order to eliminate any possibility of a legal conflict, we must release Metric from their current contract prior to receiving their proposal for construction. This will eliminate Metric's involvement in the contract award process. If legislation is not approved, however, Metric's services will be retained as originally intended. There is a provision in Metric's contract which allows the City to terminate the contract upon seven days written notice.

Source of Funding
No funding will be required for this action.

Clearances
This action has been cleared with the City Attorney's Office.

Bibliography
A copy of Metric's contract is on file in the office of the City engineer.
June 4, 1987

June 8, 1987

A proposal was received from Metric Constructors. They would not be allowed to submit a proposal for this work.

C. D. Readling, City Engineer

DLG