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Monday, June 11, 2001
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- Awards and Recognitions  
- Formal Business Meeting
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5:00 P.M. DINNER BRIEFING
CONFERENCE CENTER

1. Solutions to Reduce "Big Box" Blight

Resources: David Anderson, Planning Commission Chair
Mary Hopper, Planning Commission

Time: 30 minutes

Attachment 1

2. Pedestrian and Traffic Safety Comprehensive Plan

Resource: Doreen Szymanski, Transportation

Time: 30 minutes

Attachment 2

3. Belvedere Homes Briefing

Resources: Raymond Jones, Housing Authority Vice Chair
Stanley Watkins, Neighborhood Development

Time: 20 minutes

Attachment 3

4. Asbury Avenue and Statesville Road Widening Projects

Resource: Tim Greene, Engineering & Property Management
Candice Heintz, Engineering & Property Management

Time: 10 minutes

Attachment 4

5. Committee Reports by Exception

ED and Planning Committee: Business Retention Plan
ED and Planning Committee: South District Plan Amendment
Redistricting Committee: Redistricting Plan
CONSENT

6. Consent agenda items 14 through 22 may be considered in one motion except those items removed by a Councilmember. Items are removed by notifying the City Clerk before the meeting.
PUBLIC HEARING

7. Resolution to Close a Portion of Academy Street

Action:  A. Conduct a Public Hearing to Close a portion of Academy Street; and
B. Adopt a Resolution to Close.

Staff Resource: Scott Putnam, Transportation

Focus Area: Economic Development

Policy:
To abandon right-of-way that is no longer needed for public use

Explanation:
North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys. The Charlotte Department of Transportation has received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and requests this action in accordance with the statute.

Petitioner:
Gateway Homes, LLC/David Ransenberg

Right-of-Way to be abandoned:
An unopened portion of Academy Street

Location:
From North Davidson Street southwardly approximately 921 feet to its terminus at the property line of the Norfolk Southern Railway.

Reason:
To incorporate the right-of-way into adjacent property owned by the petitioner for the development of a new 200-unit multifamily development. This property was recently rezoned by the City Council (rezoning petition 2001-18) on March 19, 2001.

Notification:
In accordance with City Policy, the Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT) has sent abandonment petitions to adjoining property owners, neighborhood associations, private utility companies and City departments for review.

Adjoining property owners
E.C. Griffith Company – No objection
Foust Family Limited Partnership – No objection
Norfolk Southern Railway – No objection
Neighborhood/Business Associations
Villa Heights Neighborhood Organization – No objection
Historic North Charlotte – No objection
Ritch Avenue HOA – No objection
Plaza-Shamrock Neighborhood Organization – No objection

Private Utility Companies – No objection. Easements are provided to the utility companies to maintain their existing facilities as requested.

City Departments –
Review by City departments has identified no apparent reason this closing would:
- Be contrary to the public interest; or
- Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to his property as outlined in the statutes.
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8. Resolution to Close a Portion of Custer Street and Three 10-foot Alleyways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action:</th>
<th>A. Conduct a Public Hearing to Close a portion of Custer Street and three 10-foot alleyways; and</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Adopt a Resolution to Close.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff Resource: Scott Putnam, Transportation

Focus Area: Economic Development

Policy:
To abandon right-of-way that is no longer needed for public use

Explanation:
North Carolina General Statute 160A-299 outlines the procedures for permanently closing streets and alleys. The Charlotte Department of Transportation has received a petition to abandon public right-of-way and requests this action in accordance with the statute.

Petitioner:
Northwest Corridor Community Real Estate Corporation represented by Mark C. Cramer

Right-of-Way to be abandoned:
A portion of Custer Street and three 10-foot alleyways
Location:
Custer Street: From LaSalle Street southwardly approximately 357 feet to its terminus.
Alleyway 1: From Custer Street eastwardly approximately 185 feet then southwardly approximately 63 feet to its terminus.
Alleyway 2: From Custer Street westwardly approximately 175 feet to its terminus.
Alleyway 3: From the terminus of alleyway two southwardly approximately 248 feet to its terminus.

Reason:
To incorporate the right-of-way into adjacent property owned by the petitioner for the development of a new Phoenix Rising multifamily development. This property was recently rezoned by the City Council (rezoning petition 2001-124) on October 18, 2000.

Notification:
In accordance with City Policy, the Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT) has sent abandonment petitions to adjoining property owners, neighborhood associations, private utility companies and City departments for review.

Adjoining property owners
Dublin Realty Company, Inc. – No objection
Quillie Smith – No objection
Francis Ranson – No objection
Mary C. Coleman – No objection
Calvin Miller and Ruthie Mae Gaston – No objection
Dewey A. Frick – No objection
William Sylvester Byers – No objection
George Anderson – No objection
Furr Realty Company, Inc. – No objection
Thomas E. Harris – No objection

Neighborhood/Business Associations
University Park Improvement Association – No objection
Westside Coalition – No objection
Biddleville/Five Points Community – No objection
Double Oaks Community Organization – No objection
Bibleville Wellness Association – No objection
Washington Heights Community – No objection
Oaklawn Community Improvement Organization – No objection
Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Association – No objection
Biddleville Residents and Friends – No objection
McCrorey Heights – No objection

Private Utility Companies – No objection. Easements are provided to utility companies to maintain their existing facilities as requested.
City Departments –
Review by City departments has identified no apparent reason this closing would:
- Be contrary to the public interest; or
- Deprive any individual(s) owning property in the vicinity of reasonable means of ingress and egress to his property as outlined in the statutes.
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9. City Manager's Report

10. Redistricting Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action:</th>
<th>Approve the Redistricting Committee's recommendation to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Adopt Factors That May Be Considered in Redistricting;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>Adopt Redistricting Plan F (5-29-01 No. 1); and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>Withdraw support for Senate Bill 631 authorizing an increase in the size and composition of Council.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Committee Chair: Sara Spencer

Staff Resources: Mike Boyd, City Attorney's Office
Steve Patterson, Planning

Explanation:
The Redistricting Committee was established to restore substantial population equality in the Council districts as a result of the population increases identified in the 2000 census and the annexation of seven areas. The Redistricting Committee, consisting of Councilmembers Burgess, Autrey, Spencer, Mitchell and Lochman, was charged to review redistricting options and recommend a plan to Council that revises the boundaries of the Council districts in accordance with applicable law. The Committee was also asked to develop and recommend a list of Factors That May Be Considered in Redistricting.

The Committee had initially recommended that Council seek legislation authorizing an increase in the size of Council to 12 or 13, with at least one additional district seat. Council supported that recommendation and Senate Bill 631 was subsequently introduced to implement that recommendation. Efforts by Committee members to produce an 8-district plan were not satisfactory as a result of the current configuration of the City’s corporate limits.

The Committee received nine, 7-district plans for its consideration: Plan A (4/20/01, No. 1), Plan B (4/20/01, No. 2), Plan C (5-11-01, No. 1), Plan D (5-14-01, No. 1), Plan E (5-15-01, No. 1), Plan F (5-29-01, No. 1), Plan G (5-29-
Committee Discussion:
The Committee recommends the adoption of Redistricting Plan F by a vote of 3-2, with Councilmembers Spencer, Burgess and Mitchell voting in favor of Plan F and Councilmembers Autry and Lochman voting against. Arrington Plan B failed to get approval by a 2-3 vote with Councilmembers Autry and Lochman voting in favor and Councilmembers Spencer, Burgess and Mitchell voting against.

Community Input:
Public comments were solicited and received during the June 4, 2001 Council Workshop.
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Redistricting Plan F (5-29-01 No. 1)

11. South District Plan Amendment

| Action: | Approve the Economic Development and Planning Committee's recommendation to deny the requested plan amendment for the 49.6-acre parcel in the southeast quadrant of the McKee and Weddington Roads intersection. |
| Committee Chair: | Lynn Wheeler |
| Staff Resource: | Laura Harmon, Planning |

Policy:
The South District Plan provides guidance for land development decisions in the southern portion of the city and county.

Explanation:
Robert G. Young, Inc. has submitted a plan amendment for a 49.6-acre property in the southeast quadrant of the intersection of McKee Road and Weddington Road. The request is for a change in the plan from residential to mixed-use. Specifically, the request would allow 60,000 square feet of commercial space, 2 daycare facilities, a community building, 25 residential units over retail, 23 live/work units, 250 apartments, 58 townhomes, and 45 single family homes. According to the plan amendment request, the development would be governed by design standards that address issues such as open space preservation, location of buildings, design of internal
streets, pedestrian and vehicular access and connectivity, scale of buildings, and environmental issues.

Planning staff recommended that the plan amendment be approved with the following condition: until Weddington Road is improved between this site and the future I-485 interchange, development should remain at a level that is traffic neutral when compared to the amount of development that would be allowed under the current proposed 3 units to the acre or R-3 zoning.

By a 5-2 majority, the Planning Committee of the Planning Commission supported a more generic plan amendment that will allow a mixed-use development that includes up to 60,000 square feet of commercial development, as well as other appropriate uses. The committee indicated that it would be more appropriate to address transportation phasing through the rezoning process. A minority of the Planning Committee voted against the proposed amendment.

**Committee Discussion:**
The Economic Development and Planning Committee discussed the requested plan amendment at their April 11, 2001 meeting. In attendance were Councilmembers Wheeler, Lochman, and Carter. Planning staff provided an overview of the plan amendment request. Citizens that supported or opposed the plan amendment were given time to speak.

The committee expressed concern about traffic impacts resulting from the proposed plan amendment; the unimproved condition of McKee and Weddington Roads; and the potential for a “domino effect,” with this development being a catalyst for additional higher density development in the vicinity. After discussion, the Committee voted unanimously to recommend denial of the proposed plan amendment to change the South District Plan from residential to mixed-use.

**Community Input:**
Citizens provided input at the Planning Commission meeting, as well as at the Economic Development and Planning Committee meeting. Citizens indicated concern about the proposed development being placed on McKee and Weddington Roads, which are narrow and winding roads that are not currently scheduled for improvements. In addition, they indicated that they believe that existing retail services are adequate.

This issue was also presented at the May 29, 2001 Dinner Briefing.

**Attachment 8**
- April 11, 2001 Economic Development and Planning Committee Minutes
- Plan Amendment and Map
12. Business Retention Plan

**Action:** Approve the recommendation of the Economic Development and Planning Committee to adopt the Business Retention Plan.

**Committee Chair:** Lynn Wheeler

**Staff Resource:** Tom Flynn, City Manager's Office

**Policy:**
Provide City services that assist with the creation and recruitment of new businesses and the retention and expansion of existing businesses.

**Explanation:**
On March 26, 2001, Council adopted an Economic Development Strategic Plan focusing on five areas. One of those areas is Business Retention and Expansion.

The recommended Business Retention Plan has the following broad objectives:
- Build positive proactive *Business Relations*
- Strengthen the economic *Infrastructure* required by businesses
- Address emerging business concerns through * Strategic Initiatives*.

The recommended Business Retention Plan includes an action plan to achieve each of these objectives, as summarized below.

**Business Relations:**
- Communicate with major employers
- Implement a business call program
- Develop an early warning system to identify potential relocating companies
- Improve City business customer service capacity
- Formalize business retention relationship with Charlotte Chamber

**Infrastructure:**
- Develop a roads agenda for economic development
- Improve transit options for worker mobility
- Revitalize urban corridors
- Develop infrastructure fund to assist businesses
- Continue airport expansion

**Strategic Initiatives:**
- Improve training resources for employers
- Make development services more user friendly
- Inventory redevelopment sites, particularly on urban corridors
- Target commercial code enforcement along urban corridors
• Complete a Competitiveness review

Committee Discussion:
The Economic Development and Planning Committee voted unanimously (Councilmembers Wheeler, Graham, Cannon, Carter and Lochman present) to recommend approval of this plan. The Committee’s comments focused on the reasons that companies were staying or leaving the City. The Committee members also commented on the need for increased commercial code enforcement and improved roads as keys to economic development along urban corridors.

Community Input:
A team including City and Chamber staff developed the Business Retention and Expansion Plan. The Business Advisory Committee reviewed the plan as staff developed the plan. Input was also received by a survey of 250 major businesses in Charlotte/Mecklenburg and focus groups of agencies involved in business retention activities. Issues raised through this process include:
- Workforce development
- Traffic congestion
- Public Transportation
- Government/Business relations

Funding:
Funds to implement the Business Retention Plan are included as part of the Economic Development Division's FY02-03 budget.
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13. Appointments to Boards and Commissions

Action: Vote on the blue paper ballots and give to Clerk at Dinner.

A. PASSENGER VEHICLE FOR HIRE BOARD

This item was deferred from the May 14, 2001 and May 29, 2001 Business meetings.

Appoint one person from each category for a total of four citizens to serve two year terms beginning July 1, 2001. Applications are attached for each candidate. Candidates were nominated by acclamation. Frank Hinson was seated for category two, a PVFH owner.

Category one is a taxicab, limousine or other vehicle for hire company owner. Candidates are:

Frank Darryl Brooks, Mid-State Transport (wheel chair transport)
Barry Canter, Hollywood East Limousines
Zebene Mesele, AA Prestige Taxi Services
Karen Carter-Norman, Riches & Roses (limousines)
Jerry Williams, Yellow Cab Company

Category three is a user of passenger vehicles for hire. Candidates are:

Jeannette S. Chandler
Evelyn Davis Mitchell

Category four is a representative of the hospitality/tourism industry. Candidates are:

Stacy Brown - Hospitality & Tourism Alliance
Al Wheeler - Trip Magazine

Category five is a person with a disability or a representative from an organization that represents persons with disabilities. Candidates are:

Dick Bryant
Nina Joan Cobb - Retired
Robert Kimrey - Gold Imaging, IT Manager
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Introduction to CONSENT

The consent portion of the agenda is divided into two sections: Consent I and Consent II.

Consent I consists of routine items that have been approved in the budget, are low bid, and have met MWBD criteria.

Consent II consists of routine items that have also been approved in the budget, but may require additional explanation.

Minority and Women Business Development Program (MWBD) Abbreviations:
BBE – African American
ABE – Asian American
NBE – Native American
HBE – Hispanic
WBE – Non-Minority Women

Contractors and Consultants
All contractors and consultants selections follow the Council approved process unless explained otherwise.

CONSENT I

14. Various Bids

A. North Tryon Street/University City Boulevard Sidewalk Construction E&PM

Recommendation: The City Engineer recommends the low bid of $431,593.62 by United Construction Company of Charlotte, North Carolina. The work includes a new sidewalk along North Tryon Street from Sugar Creek Road to Eastway Drive, and also along University City Boulevard (NC49), from Chancellor Park Road to Mallard Creek Church Road. These projects are included in the 1998 Transportation Bonds, and are recommended in the Sidewalk Improvement Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MWBD Status</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>$ of Project</th>
<th>Project Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBE</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBE</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE</td>
<td>$431,593.62</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MWBD Compliance: Yes. United Construction Company will self-perform.
B. **Single Mode Fiber Optic Cable**

**Recommendation:** The Key Business Executive for the Department of Transportation and the Business Support Services/Procurement Services Division Director recommend the low total bid of $203,500 by Graybar Electric Company, Greensboro, North Carolina. This cable will be used to replace copper wire citywide that is used for traffic signals. The fiber optic cable will improve the communication efficiency of the traffic signals.

The contract award is for a one-year term with an option to extend for four (4) additional one-year periods. Twenty-six (26) vendors were sent the Invitation to Bid, of which ten (10) were MWBD vendors. Bids were received from four (4) MWBD vendors.

**MWBD Compliance:** Yes. Graybar Electric will 100% self-perform on this contract.

C. **Uniform Rental Services**

**Recommendation:** The Aviation Director recommends a uniform services contract in the amount of $61,295.52 annually by G & K Services of Charlotte, North Carolina. On November 27, 2000 Council approved a three-year contract with G & K Services for four other City business units. G & K Services was the low bidder and specified all new uniforms and lockers at no additional charge.

In accordance with North Carolina State Law, any federal, state or local government contract can be “piggybacked” within 12 months of its initiation for the same or better prices and other terms. Therefore, the terms and conditions of this contract are the same as the November 27, 2000 contract approved by Council.

The Aviation Department currently provides uniforms to its employees through a purchase program. The rental program will allow better inventory control, additional uniform options and will save money.
15. Resolution of Intent to Abandon Street and Set Public Hearing for a Portion of General Drive

Action:  
A. Adopt the Resolution of Intent to abandon a portion of General Drive; and  

Staff Resource: Scott Putnam, Transportation
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CONSENT II

16. Police Computer Automated Dispatching System Interim Contract

Action: Approve an interim contract for $378,474 with Intergraph Public Safety to begin the implementation phase for the computer automated dispatching system.

Staff Resources: Craig Bailey, Police
Vickie Kidd, Business Support Services, IT

Explanation:
In September 2000, Council approved a request from the Police Department to purchase a new Police Computer Automated Dispatching system. The current computer aided dispatch system is approximately 25 years old. The new system will allow for collection of additional information and analysis to improve officer safety, tracking of officer response time, and deployment of personnel and staffing. The new system also provides mapping capability to assist in locating 911 cellular and wire line phone calls.

Intergraph Public Safety was the selected vendor. The purchase of this system was separated into two phases. Phase I was to complete the design and planning and Phase II was to complete the implementation. Phase I is on target and scheduled for completion June 18, 2001.

Before Phase II can begin, staff estimates that it will take three months to negotiate pricing and execute a contract between Intergraph and the City. With a Phase II completion date of June 2002, staff wants to eliminate any downtime between Phase I and Phase II. In order to maintain the momentum of the project, staff recommends that the City enter into an interim contract. Services of the contract include:

- Software and Hardware Installation.
- Map Workstation Installation
- Preliminary Training (CADTools and Map Maintenance).
- Interface Development

MWBD:
Intergraph will 100% self-perform.

Funding:
Cops 1996 Grant (Federal)
17. Legal Services Contract for Neighborhood Development

**Action:** Approve a contract with Helms, Henderson & Porter, P.A. for legal services required for Neighborhood Development’s programs in the amount of $450,000.

**Staff Resources:** Richard Woodcock, Neighborhood Development
Mac McCrley, City Attorney

**Explanation:**
In accordance with Neighborhood Development’s Competition plan, proposals were solicited from 20 legal firms in Charlotte for legal services required by programs administered by Neighborhood Development. Five firms submitted proposals for all or different portions of the work. The work is categorized as follows: Title Searches, Civil Penalty and Liens Collection Services, Loans and Grant Programs, Escrow and Disbursing Agent and Miscellaneous Contract Services. Neighborhood Development, in consultation with the City Attorney's Office, has decided to consolidate this work under a unified contract.

Firms submitting proposals included:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firms</th>
<th>Work Bid</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buckley, McMullen &amp; Buie</td>
<td><strong>Title Searches Only</strong></td>
<td>$350 each; estimated to cost $175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Brim</td>
<td><strong>Title Searches Only</strong></td>
<td>$270 each plus $70/Hour for unusual cases, estimated to cost $140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett, Scott &amp; Young</td>
<td><strong>Title Searches Only</strong></td>
<td>$300 each; estimated to cost $150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horack, Talley, Pharr and Lowndes</td>
<td><strong>Full Service</strong></td>
<td>$170/Attorney hour; $85/Paralegal hour; $450 per real estate closing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helms, Henderson &amp; Porter</td>
<td><strong>Full Service</strong></td>
<td>$450,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff is recommending the firm of Helms, Henderson & Porter, P.A. to provide legal services required for Neighborhood Development's programs. The City Attorney's Office assisted in developing the RFP, participated on the proposal evaluation team and reviewed the proposed contracts with Helms, Henderson & Porter. The firm has performed legal work for Neighborhood Development for these categories under separate contracts for the past 25 years. The firm is experienced with federal requirements and Neighborhood Development programs. Their proposal is cost competitive with other vendors and the fixed contract limits the City’s financial exposure. The overall contract is for $450,000 and includes a renewal clause.
at the City’s option for two additional years. This contract will take effect on July 1, 2001.

Neighborhood Development’s legal work during this fiscal year includes the following:
- 200 loan closings for the HouseCharlotte Program;
- 30 loan agreements for Selective Rehabilitation and Replacement Housing programs;
- 15 transactions concerning housing development including preparing loan documents and meeting with developers;
- Following-up on collection activities and documentation for foreclosures;
- 40 loan and grant agreements in the CWAC Economic Development programs; and
- Responding to 20 issues concerning the CWAC loan portfolio.

The cost of legal services during FY01 will exceed $462,000.

The addition of $10 million in Housing Bonds in FY02 will require extra legal work for housing development and land acquisition. The proposed contract also includes title searches for code enforcement activities at approximately $250 each.

**Funding:**
Innovative Housing Funds

### 18. Utility Billing System Mail Insertion Services Contract

**Action:** Authorize the City Manager to execute a three year contract with Total Billings, Inc. for utility bill insertion and mailing services in an amount not to exceed $846,000, with annual costs of $282,000.

**Staff Resources:** Greg Gaskins, Finance
Rosemary Lawrence, Finance

**Explanation:**
This contract is for mail insertion services for utility bills and other related inserts. The City desires to outsource this service to improve its efficiency and reliability. A request for proposal was issued in accordance with the Finance Key Business Competition Plan. Total Billing, Inc., a Fayetteville N.C. company, was selected by the review committee as the most qualified bidder. A contract has been negotiated for the provision of the designated services. This is a three-year contract with renewal options.

**Background:**
The City’s internal mail insertion operation processes in excess of 200,000 accounts monthly for water, sewer and storm water services. The current
mail insertion equipment is not reliable as it is 12 years old and requires costly maintenance. In addition, maintaining qualified staff has become difficult. If the equipment fails and/or the qualified staff is absent, billing will be delayed. Since the customer base is increasing at an annual rate of 5%, staff would likely have to hire additional employees and buy new equipment if this service is not outsourced.

On December 20, 2000, an RFP was sent directly to 19 known vendors and was posted on the North Carolina Interactive website which has approximately 20,000 vendors registered. Two responses were received. Total Billing, Inc. was the more experienced, lower cost provider. A detailed cost analysis was conducted and indicated that the City's cost would not increase if Total Billings provided this service.

Total Billings, Inc. is a full service bill design and mailing company with over 10 years of experience in the field. It has a Charlotte location from which it will operate. Its customer base includes Raleigh, Fayetteville, Greenville, Carrboro and Onslow and Union Counties among its governmental customers. It also services many private customers.

This contract is for bill insertion and mailing services. Bill printing services will continue to be provided by Mecklenburg County Information System Technology Department. Future plans are to outsource bill printing after a scheduled upgrade to the Utility Billing System is completed in 2002.

**MWBD:**
There are no known qualified MWBD companies to operate this service.

**Funding:**
Finance Department Operating Budget

19. **Irwin Creek Relief Sewer Phase 1 Project–Change Order #1**

| Action: | Approve Change Order #1 for $347,574 to the contract with Rockdale Pipeline, Inc. for additional construction work at the Irwin Creek Relief Sewer project. |

**Staff Resource:** Doug Bean, Utilities

**Explanation:**
On December 13, 1999, Council approved a contract with Rockdale Pipeline in the amount of $11,601,390.60. Phase I will extend sewer from the Irwin Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant to West Boulevard to Sycamore Street (Third Ward).

Change Order #1 will provide for installation of a segment of 36-inch pipe with manholes on the Irwin Creek Elementary School property. The sewer improvements for this portion of the relief sewer pipeline (part of Phase II)
are being accelerated through this change order to coordinate construction with the new indoor Water Park/Fitness Center currently under construction on this site. The Water Park is a joint project between Mecklenburg County Park & Recreation and Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools. The Water Park is on school property and will be built near Gateway Village.

Without this change order, the Utilities Department would be forced to dig up a portion of the water park driveway and parking lot approximately one year after construction on the park was complete.

With this change order, the Rockdale contract will increase from $11,601,390.60 to $11,948,964.60.

**MWBD:**
There are no known minority/women companies for this construction.

**Funding:**
Water and Sewer Capital Investment Plan

## 20. South Transmission Main Contract No. 4-Park Road/Sharon Road/Johnston Road/NC Highway 51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action:</th>
<th>A. Approve a Budget Ordinance appropriating $6,500,000, and</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B. Award a contract to State Utility Contractors,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incorporated of Monroe, North Carolina for their low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bid of $6,090,267.75.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff Resource:**        Doug Bean, Utilities

**Explanation:**
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utilities will be constructing five major water transmission mains throughout the southern part of Mecklenburg County. These water lines are on an expedited schedule to enhance water delivery and pressure to the furthest points of the distribution system and to reinforce the existing water distribution network.

This project was approved in the FY2001-2005 Capital Investment Plan. On September 11, 2000, Council was briefed on design and construction of the proposed south transmission water mains. This is one of the largest transmission mains installed in Mecklenburg County and the location, size, and impact to citizens will be of primary concern. Approval of the budget ordinance will allow for construction costs, in-house engineering charges, and right-of-way acquisition.
This project includes construction of approximately 13,440 feet of 48-inch water transmission main extending from the intersection of Park Road and Sharon Road to the intersection of Park Road and Johnston Road and continues south on Johnston Road to NC Highway 51.

**MWBD Status:**
BBE: 1%
WBE: 1%

**MWBD Compliance:** Yes. State Utility has made a good faith effort.

**Funding:**
Water and Sewer Capital Investment Plan

**Attachment 12**
Background
Projected Construction Schedule

### 21. Concourse D Passenger Loading Bridges

**Action:**
A. Award a contract in the amount of $3,418,334 to Thyssen Stearns, Inc. of Fort Worth, TX for installation and relocation of passenger loading bridges on Concourse D; and

B. Adopt a Budget Ordinance appropriating $511,100 from discretionary fund balance to be repaid from the proceeds of future General Airport Revenue Bonds.

**Staff Resource:** Jerry Orr, Aviation

**Explanation:**
Nine additional boarding gates are being added to Concourse “D” at a cost of $20 million. The budget ordinance funds one bridge not funded in the original scope of the project. Eight new passenger-loading bridges, pre-conditioned air units, and 400Hz jet power units are required to accommodate the expansion and wide-body aircraft that will utilize Concourse D. In addition, it is necessary to remove and relocate three existing loading bridges to new gate areas to enhance gate utilization. This contract includes the expenses for acquisition and installation of the new passenger loading bridges, pre-conditioned air units, power units and removal and relocation of existing bridges.

**MWBD Status:**
WBE: 4%
BBE: 4%
Funding:
Aviation Capital Projects Fund

22. Property Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acquisitions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**A. Project:** Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #56  
**Owners(s):** Matthew Young, Sr. & wf., Mary M. Young  
**Property Address:** 1100 McArthur Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 7,525 sq.ft. (.1727 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $11,000  
**Remarks:** Total lot is required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. Compensation is based on an independent, certified appraisal.  
**Zoned:** R-8  
**Use:** Vacant Lot  
**Total Parcel Tax Value:** $4,000  
**Tax Code:** 077-194-10

**B. Project:** Old Statesville Road Widening, Parcel #3  
**Owners(s):** First Charter National Bank  
**Property Address:** Old Statesville Road  
**Property to be acquired:** 5,591 sq.ft. (0.128 ac.) of temporary construction easement  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $20,125  
**Remarks:** This area is needed for the Old Statesville Widening Project. Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal.  
**Zoned:** B-1  
**Use:** Commercial/Bank  
**Total Parcel Tax Value:** $709,160  
**Tax Code:** 041-242-14

**C. Project:** Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #20  
**Owners(s):** John A. Mason & wf., Flosie Y. Mason  
**Property Address:** 1016 Wainwright Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 7,500 sq.ft. (.1722 ac.)  
**Improvements:** One story, single family dwelling  
**Purchase Price:** $24,500  
**Demolition Requested:** Yes  
**Remarks:** Total lot and single family structure required for the Asbury Avenue Extension Project. Compensation based on an independent, certified appraisal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoned</th>
<th>R-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Parcel Tax Value:</td>
<td>$25,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Code:</td>
<td>077-192-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Condemnations:

**D.** Project: Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #27  
**Owner(s):** Philip Piscitelli & wf., Susan W. Piscitelli  
**Property Address:** 1011 Patch Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 7,500 sq.ft. (.1722 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $5,000  
**Remarks:** Total lot is required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. City staff is unable to reach a settlement with the property owners. Condemnation price is based on an independent, certified appraisal.

**E.** Project: Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #19 & 29  
**Owner(s):** Philip Piscitelli & wf., Susan W. Piscitelli  
**Property Address:** 1024 Wainwright Avenue and Patch Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 45,000 sq.ft. (1.033 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $2,400  
**Remarks:** Total lots are required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. City staff is unable to reach a settlement with the property owners. Condemnation price is based on an independent, certified appraisal.

**F.** Project: Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #156  
**Owner(s):** Robert E. Kunkleman & Kathryn L. Kunkleman and any other parties of interest  
**Property Address:** 1017 Wainwright Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 15,000 sq.ft. (.344 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $1,600  
**Remarks:** Total lot is required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. City staff is unable to reach a settlement with the property owner. Condemnation price is based on an independent, certified appraisal.

**Zoned:** R-8  
**Use:** Vacant Lot  
**Total Parcel Tax Value:** $2,400  
**Tax Code:** 077-192-14 & 16

**Condemnations:**

**D.** Project: Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #27  
**Owner(s):** Philip Piscitelli & wf., Susan W. Piscitelli  
**Property Address:** 1011 Patch Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 7,500 sq.ft. (.1722 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $5,000  
**Remarks:** Total lot is required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. City staff is unable to reach a settlement with the property owners. Condemnation price is based on an independent, certified appraisal.

**E.** Project: Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #19 & 29  
**Owner(s):** Philip Piscitelli & wf., Susan W. Piscitelli  
**Property Address:** 1024 Wainwright Avenue and Patch Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 45,000 sq.ft. (1.033 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $2,400  
**Remarks:** Total lots are required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. City staff is unable to reach a settlement with the property owners. Condemnation price is based on an independent, certified appraisal.

**F.** Project: Asbury Avenue Extension, Parcel #156  
**Owner(s):** Robert E. Kunkleman & Kathryn L. Kunkleman and any other parties of interest  
**Property Address:** 1017 Wainwright Avenue  
**Property to be acquired:** 15,000 sq.ft. (.344 ac.)  
**Improvements:** None  
**Purchase Price:** $1,600  
**Remarks:** Total lot is required for the Asbury Avenue Extension. City staff is unable to reach a settlement with the property owner. Condemnation price is based on an independent, certified appraisal.