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City of Charlotte, City Clerk’s Office
July 14, 1986 Council Addendum

Approve Resolution Authorizing City Manager to Accept Special Order by Consent Offered by Division of Environmental Management Related to Permit Exceptions at the McAlpine Creek Wastewater Plant.

For four months the McAlpine Creek Wastewater Plant has been in violation of the ammonia limit of the discharge permit issued by the Division of Environmental Management. These violations are the result of a biological imbalance in a portion of the plant that has affected the ability to reduce ammonia levels in one-half of the plant.

As a result of the high ammonia level, the State is not currently approving permits for developer extensions to our wastewater system. We have been working with the State on a method to allow us to continue to issue permits while efforts are being made to correct the problem at the plant. They have agreed to issue a Special Order by Consent which will amend the permit conditions for a specified period of time. We have not received the details of how much time will be allowed under the Special Order of Consent. During that period, we will be expected to pursue solutions to the operating problems at the plant. We have seen positive results of our efforts to date; however, while the ammonia level has decreased, it has not reached the acceptable level.
Coliseum Bids - Plumbing Contract/Electrical Contract

The following is bid information for items 21 W and X in your agenda:

W. Charlotte Coliseum

Plumbing Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendations of Turner Construction Co. and Odell Associates, that the low bid of $1,020,600, as submitted by Embre-Reed, Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: Perform all work necessary to furnish and install temporary and permanent plumbing and plumbing fixtures within the building footprint. Also provide a storage tank and pumps, if necessary, to provide temporary water supply during construction.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund (New Coliseum Contract Construction - 1984 Coliseum Bonds.)

X. Charlotte Coliseum

Electrical Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendations of Turner Construction Co. and Odell Associates, that the low bid of $2,709,000.00, as submitted by Port City Electric Co., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: Perform all work necessary to furnish and install temporary and permanent electrical work for the new coliseum.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund (New Coliseum Construction - 1984 Coliseum Bonds.)
PUBLIC SERVICE & INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
City Hall 600 E Trade Street
Charlotte North Carolina 28202
704/336-2395

JULY 1 - JULY 5

1 Tuesday, 10.00 a.m.  PLANNING COMMISSION (Minor Special Use Board) - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor Conference Room
2 Wednesday, 2.00 p.m.  HEBERLIAH ALEXANDER HOMEST STUDY COMMITTEE - Mecklenburg Design Center, 520 Providence Road
3 Friday  4th OF JULY HOLIDAY, ALL CITY GOVERNMENT OFFICES CLOSED

THE WEEK OF JULY 6 - JULY 12

7 Monday, 4.00 p.m.  PLANNING COMMISSION/Executive Committee - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor Conference Room
8 Tuesday, 8.00 a.m.  CHARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG ARTS COMMISSION - J.N. Pease, Independence Blvd.
9 Wednesday, 8.30 a.m.  CIVIL SERVICE BOARD - City Hall, 3rd Floor Conference Room
10 Thursday, 7.30 a.m.  HISTORIC PROPERTIES COMMISSION - City Hall, 2nd Floor Conference Room
11 Thursday, 4.00 p.m.  CITY COUNCIL OPERATIONS COMMITTEE - City Hall, 2nd Floor Conference Room

THE WEEK OF JULY 13 - JULY 19

14 Monday, 12.00 Noon  PLANNING COMMISSION (Regular Meeting & Work Session) - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor Conference Room
14 Monday, 12.00 Noon  COUNCIL/ MANAGER LUNCHEON - City Hall Annex, Training Center
15 Monday, 2.00 p.m.  CITIZENS HEARING - City Hall, Council Chamber
15 Monday, 3.00 p.m.  CITY COUNCIL MEETING - City Hall, Council Chamber
16 Tuesday, 7.30 a.m.  PLANNING COMMISSION/Coordination Committee - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor Conference Room
16 Tuesday, 9.00 a.m.  HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION - Edwin Towers, Conference Room
16 Tuesday, 3.30 p.m.  COMMUNITY RELATIONS COMMITTEE - Metrolina Independent Living Center, 1012 S Kings Drive, Suite G-2
16 Wednesday, 1.00 p.m.  HOUSING AUTHORITY - Administrative Offices, 1301 South Boulevard
16 Wednesday, 2.00 p.m.  TECHNICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE - City Hall Annex, Dept of Transportation Conference Room
16 Wednesday, 4.00 p.m.  CITY COUNCIL/PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE - City Hall, 2nd Floor Conference Room
16 Wednesday, 7.00 p.m.  METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION - City Hall Annex, Dept of Transportation Conference Room
17 Thursday, 7.30 p.m.  CHARLOTTE ADVISORY PARKS COMMITTEE - Park Operations Conference Room, 701 Tuckaseegee Road

(Continued on Back)
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THE WEEK OF JULY 20 - JULY 26

21 Monday, 5:00 p.m. COUNCIL/MANAGER DINNER - Education Center, Rooms 237 - 239
    Monday, 6:00 p.m. CITY COUNCIL MEETING (Zoning Hearing) - Education Center, 4th Floor
                      Board Room
22 Tuesday, 3:00 p.m. COMMUNITY FACILITIES COMMITTEE - Utility Dept., 5100 Brookshire Blvd
    Tuesday, 4:30 p.m. MUNICIPAL INFORMATION ADVISORY BOARD - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 3rd Floor
                      Conference Room
23 Wednesday, 4:30 p.m. COMMUNITY RELATIONS COMMITTEE - Covenant Presbyterian Church, 1000 E.
                        Morehead Street
24 Thursday, 7:30 a.m. PLANNING COMMISSION/Ordinance Revision Advisory Task Force -
                        Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor Conference Room
    Thursday, 4:00 p.m. PLANNING COMMISSION (Deferred Rezoning) - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor
                        Conference Room

THE WEEK OF JULY 27 - JULY 31

28 Monday, 4:00 p.m. PLANNING COMMISSION/Executive Committee - Cameron-Brown Bldg., 1st Floor
                      Conference Room

These organizations will not meet in July.
Advisory Energy Commission
Citizens Advisory Council for Hazardous Chemicals
Clean City Committee
Specialized Transportation Advisory Committee
CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
Monday, July 14, 1986

12:00 noon - Council-Manager luncheon
  - November Bond Referendum
  - Independence Plaza Park design program

2:00 PM - Citizens hearing

3:00 PM - Council Meeting

ITEM NO.

1. Invocation.

2. Consider approval of minutes for the Myers Park Zoning Hearings of June 7; Zoning Hearings of June 16; Regular Meeting of June 9; Annexation Hearings of June 12; and the SUP Hearing on June 17, 1986.

PUBLIC HEARING

3. A. Conduct a public hearing on the voluntary annexation petition.

B. Recommend adoption of an ordinance annexing the area.

The property covered by this voluntary annexation petition was acquired by the City for use in the Tyvola Road extension project.
POLICY

4. Consider recommendation of the Planning Liaison Committee regarding changes to the interlocal agreement affecting the composition and structure of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Liaison Committee will meet July 8 and the committee's recommendation will be sent to Council in the July 11 Council-Manager memorandum.

5. Recommend authorizing the Community Development Department to issue a request for proposal for the sale of property at the corner of Seventh and McDowell Streets, known as Block 0, Parcel 1, in the First Ward Redevelopment Area.

This action will authorize the Community Development Department to issue a request for proposal for the sale of property at the corner of Seventh and McDowell in order to receive development proposals; and, subsequently, recommend to City Council a developer for the property. The parcel contains 211,359 square feet and is currently zoned B-2. The major events concerning the history of this parcel are attached.

The request for proposal, which is attached, calls for "the purpose, design and general construction of a business development or housing development". Proposals must conform to the requirements of the First Ward Redevelopment Plan, in addition to other applicable City ordinances and codes.

The proposals which are received will be evaluated under a policy approved by Council on April 14, 1986 on the marketing and disposition of Community Development City-owned property designated for economic or housing development activities. A copy of the agenda item describing the policy is attached. The policy's procedures include the following: (a) advertise a request for proposal seeking proposals for the development of a parcel; (b) organize an evaluation committee to evaluate and recommend the most qualified proposal; and (c) select developer based on certain criteria.

The developer would be chosen on the following criteria: (a) the purchase price, which shall not be less than the appraised value; (b) adherence to the redevelopment objective by providing jobs for low to moderate income persons, providing needed services to our community, or increasing housing opportunities; (c) the tax value of the completed project; (d) adherence to the design/development guidelines in preparing the architectural design, landscaping and streetscape treatment; and (e) a portion of the site to be devoted to a general child care facility to serve approximately 200 children. This
last criteria has been included as a way of addressing the consortium of uptown businesses with City-County governments which have identified the need for a day care facility in the uptown area and this site as an appropriate location. This is also in conformance with the Lane-Frenchman recommendation.

This parcel is currently being reappraised since the appraisal will be a year old when the request for proposal is issued. Proposed developers will be advised of the appraisal value.

The request for proposal has been reviewed by the City Manager's office, the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission, and the Community Development Department.

Attachment No. 1

6. Recommend adoption of Independence Plaza Park design program policy guidelines for future park development and designer selection.

City Council is being asked to adopt the proposed Independence Plaza Park design program. The primary purpose of the program is to aid in the selection of an innovative and creative designer for the park at the southwestern corner of Trade and Tryon Streets. The proposed design program, copy of which is attached, establishes a schedule for the design process, sets an approach for the design to follow, highlights design considerations, and defines the designer selection method. In addition, recommendations made by participants in the May 22 design charrette are included to further clarify the Independence Plaza Park design intentions.

It is recommended that two design scenarios be developed for the park. One will incorporate the historic buildings or part of them into the design; the other will show the buildings removed.

The City Manager appointed two committees to work together throughout the design process: Technical Committee and Citizen Review Committee. These two committees, the participants of which are listed on page two of the attachment, will continue to work together during the designer selection process which is proposed to begin during July/August. Design interviews will be conducted during August/September, followed by a recommendation to City Council for final selection of the designer and award of contract.

The Technical Committee and the Citizen Review Committee have coordinated this program together.

No additional funds are required.

Attachment No. 2 (Note. Pages 5 and 6 did not duplicate well; however, this will be corrected in the final printing of the document.)
7. **Recommend authorizing the Mayor to execute an amendment to the development agreement between the City and Carley Capital Group for the Uptown Marketplace Project.**

This Council action will authorize the Mayor to execute an amendment to the development agreement between the City and Carley Capital Group for the Uptown Marketplace project which will extend the deadline for final completion of construction from June 30, 1987 to December 31, 1987.

Since the City Council's approval of the Marketplace project, the project's developer, Carley Capital Group, has experienced a number of delays on the project. Among these delays are:

1. Rezoning for UMUD - optional classification,
2. Budget and value engineering efforts,
3. UDAG final grant amendment approvals,
4. Relocation of the transformer vault and major electric feeders, and
5. Historic preservation approvals.

One and two of the five have been resolved, while the other items are being resolved. These items have delayed the anticipated start and finish dates for the project.

Recognizing this delay, the City requested that the Department of Housing and Urban Development amend the grant agreement for the Marketplace. HUD has agreed to an extension of the deadline for the final completion of construction from June 30, 1987 to December 31, 1987.

To conform with the grant agreement between the City and HUD, it is necessary to amend the development agreement between the City and Carley Capital Group. (The development agreement specifies the responsibilities and obligations of the City and Carley Capital Group for the project.) This amendment will extend the deadline for final completion of construction from June 30, 1987 to December 31, 1987.

This action is recommended by the Community Development Department.
8. **Recommend approval of a contract extension until September 30, 1986 of the FY 86 contract with the Charlotte Convention and Visitor's Bureau.**

At the June 23 meeting, City Council approved a contract for FY 87 with the Charlotte Convention and Visitor's Bureau. This contract provided the Bureau with 91% of the dedicated revenues and reserved $100,000 for City Council to use to promote local events, pending further Council discussion of these funds.

At their July 1 meeting, the Board of Directors of the Convention and Visitors Bureau did not approve this contract. Rather, the Board asked to meet with City Council to present their program and discuss with Council a process through which a pool of money would be available for qualified local events. City staff is working with the Bureau to arrange a breakfast meeting in early August and to develop a procedure which meets the Council's and the Bureau's objectives.

City Council extended the FY 86 contract until July 23, 1986. This action extends the contract through September 1986 to allow for the discussion between the Bureau's Board of Directors and City Council. Any agreement would be placed on a September City Council agenda for formal approval.

9. **Recommend adoption of an ordinance amending the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance.**

**B. Recommend adoption of a budget ordinance for $78,070 authorizing three staff positions to upgrade enforcement of the Erosion Control Ordinance.**

**C. Recommend adoption of a schedule of fees for the Erosion Control Program.**

In September, 1985, the State reviewed Charlotte's Erosion Control Program and cited three areas which needed improvement - an inadequate level of inspection, approval of inadequate erosion control measures, and insufficient legal enforcement action.

To correct these deficiencies, the City had two basic options:

1. Rescind the local ordinance and return jurisdiction to the State level; or
2. Upgrade the local program.

It was recommended that the local program be upgraded, and on May 12, 1986, Council authorized staff to hold a public hearing to receive input on proposed changes from citizens, contractors and developers.
The hearing was conducted on June 10, 1986. Several representatives of the development/contractor community and three concerned citizens discussed the proposed changes with Engineering Department staff. They stated that they support the upgrade of the local Erosion Control Program and do not wish for it to return to the State level. Standards and requirements should be based on local needs and fees should be reasonable and equitable. Attached is a summary of the issues and public comments made at the hearing.

In addition to authorizing the public hearing, Council also authorized recruiting for three new staff positions to aid in carrying out the upgraded erosion control program. The positions are: (1) Engineering Assistant to aid in plan review and enforcement documentation; (2) Engineering Clerk II to perform administrative duties (allowing inspectors to spend more time in the field; and (3) Legal Assistant I to aid the City Attorney's staff in increased enforcement activity. The cost of the three staff positions, $78,070, will be recovered annually through increased permit fees.

The action Council is requested to take will be to adopt proposed amendments to the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance (a description of the substantive changes is attached); authorize hiring the three staff positions; and adopt increased fees which recover the additional costs.

Key changes in the ordinance are:

1) **Financial responsibility form** - Require an applicant for a grading permit to submit a notarized statement of financial responsibility and ownership. This information will be used by the City Attorney if, and when, legal enforcement action becomes necessary.

2) **Preconstruction review** - Requires the responsible party to meet with a representative of the City Engineer at the beginning of land-disturbing activity to review the site and the approved erosion control plan. Failure to do so constitutes a violation of the ordinance.

3) **The City Engineer may assess civil penalties** - Changes the party responsible for assessing civil penalties from the City Council to the City Engineer. Violators are subject to civil penalties up to $100 per day.

4) **Increased fees** - An increased grading permit fee is designed to maintain existing income and recover the costs of the three new staff positions. The proposed grading permit fee would be $200 plus $115 per graded acre, producing an estimated annual income of $122,000. Current grading fees are $43.56 per acre producing an annual income of approximately $33,000. The attached report describes techniques for recovering program costs and explains the recommended fee schedule (Alternate I in the report.)
The Budget and Evaluation Department, the City Attorney, and Engineering Department concur with the recommendations. Comments were received from the public during a hearing on June 10, 1986.

Attachment No. 3

10. **Recommend approval of Arthur Young, Certified Public Accountants, to provide audit services to the City to include the financial and accounting records and annual financial report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1986 for a fee of $42,000.**

State law requires that the City engage a certified public accountant at the end of each fiscal year to audit the accounting records, evaluate internal control and audit the annual financial report. It is recommended that City Council approve a contract with the audit firm of Arthur Young for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1986 at a fee of $42,000.

A policy was adopted by City Council in 1979 which provides that the City allow for contractual agreements with independent auditing firms to provide audit services on a rotating basis for periods not to exceed four years pursuant to the taking and evaluation of audit proposals. The firm of Arthur Young was selected by this process in 1984 and provided satisfactory audit services for the fiscal years ended June 30, 1984 and 1985 at fees of $33,000 and $37,000, respectively. The price increases reflect standard industry audit increases.

As a result of Arthur Young providing the annual City audit, the firm also performs other individual audits during the year such as Airport revenue bond compliance audit, federal government single audit to assure compliance with federal regulations, and Firemen’s Retirement System audit.

11. **Recommend adoption of a resolution providing for the issuance of $149,105,000 public improvement refunding bonds, series 1986.**

Council approved proceeding with the advanced refunding of bonds up to an amount of $149,105,000 with two prior actions taken on June 9 and June 23, 1986. Since that time market conditions have improved and are more favorable to the City to proceed with this refunding issue. We will continue to monitor the market between now and the scheduled sale and will keep Council informed on the feasibility of proceeding with the sale.
The refunding bonds are still tentatively planned for sale on July 15, 1986. Because the State recently announced a several hundred million dollar refunding issue for July 8, 1986, the City may reschedule our sale for August 6, 1986 to avoid any potential impacts of North Carolina market saturation. However, this decision will be made at the last possible minute to allow the City as much flexibility as possible in obtaining the lowest interest rates.

12. **Recommend approval for construction of seven storm drainage improvement projects on private property in accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy; and that one-fifth of the cost of each project be assessed to the property owners involved as specified in the petitions.**

Approval by City Council of a Storm Drainage Petition received in December allocated all appropriated funds for the Storm Drainage Repair Program at that time. Petitions and applications received since that time were recorded in the order they were received and put on hold, pending a further allocation of funds. On June 23, 1986, an additional $400,000 was appropriated to continue the drainage program. This amount is an advance against a $2.5 million bond referendum in November to continue financing the program. ($500,000 for each of the next five years). It is recommended that City Council approve the seven projects for construction in accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy.

It is anticipated that the $500,000 for this year from the bond issue will be sufficient for projects already approved plus pending projects.

The Engineering Department has investigated the drainage problems at the seven locations outlined below and determined the City could assist in correcting the drainage problems through the Storm Drainage Repair Policy.

1. **1111 Circlewood Drive** - Four property owners petitioned the City to make drainage improvements involving installation of approximately 230-feet of 42-inch pipe and associated drainage structures and channel improvements, at an estimated cost of $27,500. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City would pay four-fifths of the cost ($22,000) and the involved property owners would share the remaining one-fifth ($5,500).

2. **4479 Applegate Road** - Five property owners petitioned the City to make storm drainage improvements involving installation of 100-feet of 18-inch pipe and associated drainage structures at an estimated cost of $17,500. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City pays four-fifths of the cost ($14,000) and the involved property owners share the remaining one-fifth ($3,500).
3. 2126 Laburnum Avenue - Two property owners petitioned the City to make storm drainage improvements involving installation of 72-feet of gabions at an estimated cost of $31,000. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City pays four-fifths of the cost ($24,800) and the involved property owners share the remaining one-fifth ($6,200).

4. 222 Providence Road - The Dartmouth-on-Providence Condominiums Homeowners Association petitioned the City to make storm drain improvements involving 48-feet of 24-inch pipe and associated drainage structures at an estimated cost of $11,000. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City pays four-fifths of the cost ($8,800) and the Condominium Association pays the remaining one-fifth ($2,200).

5. 3100 Wamath Drive - Four property owners petitioned the City to make storm drainage improvements involving installation of approximately 30-feet of 36-inch pipe, shaping and armoring the existing channel with stone riprap and associated drainage structures at an estimated cost of $31,000. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City pays four-fifths of the cost ($24,800) and the involved property owners share the remaining one-fifth ($6,200).

6. 125 Hollyday Court - Six property owners petitioned the City to make storm drainage improvements involving approximately 350-feet of gabion channel lining at an estimated cost of $90,000. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City pays four-fifths of the cost ($72,000) and the involved property owners share the remaining one-fifth ($18,000).

7. 2617 Vail Avenue - The Cranbrook Condominiums Homeowners Association petitioned the City to make storm drainage improvements involving approximately 300-feet of 48-inch pipe and 280-feet of 60-inch pipe and associated drainage structures at an estimated cost of $136,000. In accordance with the Storm Drainage Repair Policy, the City pays four-fifths of the cost ($109,000) and the Condominium Association pays the remaining one-fifth ($27,000).

In all seven cases, the involved property owners have signed a limited petition in lieu of circulating a petition throughout the drainage basin as provided in the Storm Drainage Repair Policy.

Funds are available in the Storm Drainage Repair Account.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 4
13. **Recommend approval of an amendment for additional services with Woolpert Consultants for construction administration on the York Road Community Park.**

This contract amendment for $133,825.00 with Woolpert Consultants will provide for construction administration on the York Road Community Park.

The amount of the amendment is based on a lump sum fee of $118,825.00, plus $15,000.00 for unforeseen contingencies and additional services that may be needed during the contract period, with a maximum amount not to exceed $133,825.00. This amendment increases the total contract amount to $672,629.00.

On February 2, 1985, City Council approved an agreement with Woolpert Consultants for design of the York Road Community Park.

When the design contract was negotiated, construction administration services were not included as the Engineering Department was hopeful that they could perform the work with City Engineering staff. However, due to the number of current and future construction projects to which the Construction Division is already committed, it has been determined that it is not possible for the Engineering staff to administer the construction of this project. Since the firm of Woolpert Consultants designed the project, it is recommended that they should also handle the Construction Administration.

Funding will be from York Road Community Park Account. This amendment has been reviewed by the appropriate City staff including the City Attorney.

14. **Recommend approval of an amendment to the contract with Metric/Polote for additional services for the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center.**

On June 24, 1985, City Council approved a contract with Metric/Polote to provide construction management services for the construction of the new Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center. Construction of the new center began November 14, 1985. This amendment provides for the addition of $422,800 to the contract with Metric/Polote. This will increase the contract from $1,199,900 to $1,622,700. The following is a breakdown of the increase.

**General Conditions Items:** Such items as field engineering and layout, security fencing, continuous clean-up, final clean-up, trash bins, temporary toilets and the building permit are typically bid in the general contractor's package. As we evolved with the project, the Construction Manager recommended that these items be separated out and be provided directly to the City through the Construction Manager.
This will allow us to eliminate the mark up charged by the general contractor on this work; it will give the City greater control and insure a greater M/WBE involvement. Though the funds will be administered through Metric/Polote's contract, no mark up will be paid to Metric/Polote as administering these contracts is one of the duties paid for by the existing Construction Manager's fee. This will save the City money by reducing City staff contract administration time. The Engineering Department will continue to oversee the selection process to insure that competitive prices are obtained. The estimated cost of these contracts is $250,000.00.

Insurance Premiums: The City currently provides Builder's Risk Insurance on all of its projects. We propose to purchase the Builder's Risk Insurance through Metric/Polote because they are able to obtain coverage at a rate lower than the rate currently available to the City. The cost will be approximately $50,000.00, which will save the City $12,000.00. This has been reviewed and recommended by the City's Insurance and Risk Management Agency. An increase in the cost of Metric/Polote's general liability insurance is anticipated in October. This is expected to cost an additional $50,000.00. The City is contractually obligated to pay the Construction Manager's insurance costs.

M/WBE Recruitment: We originally anticipated a need for the services of a full time M/WBE Coordinator and secretary for this project for six months. In order to meet an August 31, 1987, substantial completion date for construction of the tower, the project was "fast tracked". "Fast tracking" included bidding and beginning grading and caisson work before the construction documents for the remainder of the project were complete. This resulted in an increased amount of time, up front six months, required for the bidding and award phase of the project. To maintain the M/WBE recruitment effort through the bidding and award phase of the project, it was necessary to extend the M/WBE Coordinator's time on the project by six months. This will continue full time M/WBE recruitment through July, 1986. The cost of these services is $34,400.00.

Additional Engineer: In order to meet an extremely tight construction schedule, we are asking the Construction Manager to add a second engineer to assist in the review of shop drawings. We feel that this will provide more complete and timely review which will minimize potential problems and help keep the project on schedule. The cost of this additional engineer should not exceed $38,400.00. The additional engineer will be kept only as long as the work load requires.

A future action will be required to amend the Metric/Polote contract to allow for the extended construction period. At the time the contract was signed, the construction phase was expected to be 20 months. It now appears that the construction phase will be 25 months. We are presently negotiating with Metric/Polote on this additional time, and
will bring another amendment before Council when negotiations are complete.

Sufficient funds are available in the project account (Allotment #274.00, Fund #2010) for this expense.

15. Nominations for Appointment to Boards and Commissions.

A. Charlotte Clean City Committee - Three positions for unexpired terms. Two of the terms expire in June 1987; the other term expires in June 1988. Appointees may reside anywhere in Mecklenburg County. Nomination was made at the June 23 meeting.

1) Eleanor Brawley, nominated by Councilmember Woollen.

(The nomination made by Councilmember Hammond has been withdrawn at her request.)

B. Charlotte/Mecklenburg Art Commission - One position for a three-year term. Robert Cheek is completing his first three-year term, and he is eligible for reappointment. Records for 1985 indicate that he complied with the attendance policy.

C. Advisory Board to the Youth Involvement Council - Two positions for two-year terms. The first terms of Thomas H. Hunter and Marjorie Belton will expire August 15, 1986; both are eligible for reappointment.


Attachment No. 5


Consider a name for the City park to be built at the York Road Landfill.

Construction on the park to be built at York Road landfill will begin by the end of the summer. Prior to the groundbreaking, the official name for the park should be determined so that marketing and publicity for the park can begin.

For planning purposes, the park has been referred to as York Road Community Park. This name clearly identifies the location of the park and may help give residents in the York Road area a sense of ownership in the facility.
Parks and Recreation Department Director, Marvin Billups, has recommended that the park be named Renaissance Park to reflect both the "rebirth" of the landfill site and the revitalization of the area surrounding the new park.

The name Renaissance Park was submitted to the Charlotte Parks Advisory Committee for input. The Committee did not take a vote on recommending the name but did make a variety of comments ranging from the uniqueness of the name to a concern that it might be difficult to spell. The Committee did feel that the park should not be named for any citizen, whether living or deceased.

In accordance with its standard nominations policy, Council is asked to consider the names York Road Park and Renaissance Park and make any other nominations it wishes for the name of the park. Council is then asked to vote on a final name for the park at its August 11 meeting.

** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

The City Attorney advises that agenda items no. 17 through 39 may be considered in one motion. However, any member of Council may request that an item be divided and voted on separately.

** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

PERSONNEL

17. Recommend adoption of a resolution amending the Personnel Rules and Regulations to establish a uniform mandatory retirement requirement of age 70 for all City employees.

The City's Personnel Rules and Regulations currently require that all City employees, except firefighters and fire officers, retire from the City's service upon reaching age 70. The Firemen's Retirement System states that firefighters and fire officers shall retire at age 70. This action, therefore, puts the City's Personnel Rules and Regulations in conformance with the Firemen's Retirement System by stating that all City employees shall retire upon reaching age 70.
PIEDMONT CARGO/CATERING FACILITY

18. The following items relate to the construction of the Piedmont cargo/catering facility at the Airport. A. is adoption of an ordinance appropriating $3,700,000 in airport funds for construction of the building; B. and C. are award of the general and plumbing contracts for construction; and D. is a recommendation to reject the mechanical and electrical bids.

The proposed 75,000 sq. ft. building, which is to be located in the vicinity of the old passenger terminal on 8.7 acres of land currently leased by Piedmont, is estimated at $3.95 million. This project is in the City's Capital Improvement Program and has been in the master plan for the City's air cargo development since 1978. On March 24, 1986 City Council appropriated $250,000 to provide preliminary planning for this facility.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 6

A. **Recommend adoption of an ordinance appropriating airport funds of $3,700,000 to fund construction of Piedmont Cargo/Catering Facility.**

Adoption of this ordinance will appropriate airport funds of $3,700,000 from the unappropriated balance of the Airport operating fund to provide for construction of a cargo/catering facility for Piedmont Airlines. A portion of these funds, up to $1.4 million, is to be reimbursed to the City by Piedmont during construction. The remainder is advanced subject to the arrangement of permanent financing by the Finance Director and will be debt serviced by Piedmont through rental payments. The project budget is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contracts</td>
<td>$3,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner's Costs - (Architectural</td>
<td>576,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering and testing fees)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>174,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$3,950,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Finance Director joins the Airport Manager in this recommendation.
B. General Construction Contract for Piedmont Cargo and Catering Facility

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Beam Construction Company in the amount of $1,625,500 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: This contract provides all labor, materials and equipment for the general construction package for the proposed 75,000 sq. ft. Piedmont cargo and catering building.

Source of Funding: Airport Capital Improvement Fund - Piedmont Airlines Air Cargo Facility.

C. Plumbing Construction Contract for Piedmont Cargo and Catering Facility

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Electricon, Inc. in the amount of $222,900 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: This contract provides all labor, materials and equipment for the plumbing construction package for the proposed 75,000 sq. ft. Piedmont cargo and catering building.

Only two bids were received on June 5, 1986. North Carolina bidding laws require that a minimum of three bids be received at first bid opening. As required the project was readvertised.

Source of Funding: Airport Capital Improvement Fund - Piedmont Airlines Air Cargo Facility.

D. Recommend rejection of all bids for the mechanical and electrical construction contracts for Piedmont Cargo/Catering Facility.

Bids were received on June 5, 1986 for mechanical construction and electrical construction as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comfort Contractors, Inc.</td>
<td>$177,828.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricon, Inc.</td>
<td>219,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore Sheet Metal &amp; Heating Company, Inc.</td>
<td>224,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Industries, Inc.</td>
<td>226,900.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An MBE goal of 5% was established for both of these contracts. After careful and thorough review of all documentation, 60% of the bidders, including the three lowest bidders, were either completely non-responsive or responsiveness was questionable. In concurrence with the City Attorney's office, it is felt that it would be in the best interest of the City and the bidders to reject all bids and readvertise the project.

CONCOURSE D RAMP EXPANSION

19. The following items relate to construction of Concourse D ramp expansion at the Airport. A. accepts a Federal Aviation Administration grant of $3,679,500; B. appropriates the FAA grant monies; and C. awards the contract for construction. City financing for the ramp expansion has previously been established.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 7

A. Recommend adoption of a resolution accepting a Federal Aviation Administration Grant of $3,679,500.

B. Recommend adoption of an ordinance appropriating a Federal Aviation Administration grant of $3,679,500 to provide funding for Concourse "D" ramp expansion.

The Federal Aviation Administration has offered a grant of $3,679,500 to provide Federal funding for 75% of the project costs for Concourse "D" ramp expansion, a high priority Airport improvement project which will provide necessary access to the terminal ramp areas and additional aircraft parking positions. This ramp expansion project, estimated at $4.9 million, will accommodate recently approved construction of new Concourse "D" for Piedmont and International facilities. The remainder of this grant is to provide funding for preparation of Airport noise compatibility planning documents, estimated at $15,500, which are necessary in order for the City to be eligible for Federal funds for noise compatibility programs.
This Federal grant represents a portion of the Airport's FY 86 entitlement funding through the Airport Improvement Program. The City's share of projected costs, $1,226,500, was included in the 1985 revenue bond package and will be debt serviced through landing fees and rentals guaranteed by long term leases with the airlines.

The Federal Aviation Administration and the Airlines concur in this project.

C. Contract for Concourse D Ramp Expansion

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends the low bid from Blythe Industries, Inc., Charlotte, N.C., in the amount of $5,056,912.00 be accepted for award of contract on a unit cost basis.

Project Description: On November 18, 1985, Charlotte City Council approved the issuance of 1985 Airport Revenue Bonds totalling $109 million to provide permanent financing for approved airport projects. This is one of the approved projects.

The contract provides all labor, material and equipment to provide all site preparation, paving, storm drainage, lighting and fuel system for the ramp for proposed Concourse D.

Source of Funding: 1985 Construction Fund – Airfield Improvement FY87 – 1985 Airport Revenue Bonds and Federal Aviation Administration Grant.


Annually, the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) allocates funding for operating assistance and capital purchases. On September 9, 1985 Council approved a grant application and on April 23, 1986 UMTA awarded us a grant of $1,506,759 for operating assistance and $1,856,728 for capital assistance. The operating assistance was appropriated in association with approval of the FY 86 operating budget ordinance. Approval of this budget ordinance will establish the mechanism for receipt and expenditure of the capital funds.
As approved by Council when authorizing the grant application, adoption of this ordinance will allow for the purchase of ten new 45' transit coaches ($1,605,150); the purchase of ten radios ($23,760); the purchase of ten fareboxes ($16,200); the purchase of spare bus components ($32,400), the purchase of three service vehicles ($33,640); the purchase of two lift-equipped mini-buses ($108,000); the purchase of four replacement lift-equipped buses ($347,760); the purchase and installation of shelters, benches and signs ($54,000). The Transit Corridor Study ($100,000) will fund an analysis of transit capacity improvement potential and feasibility along the five general corridors identified in the 2005 Plan.

Total cost of these capital projects is $2,220,910. The UMTA grant will provide $1,776,728 (80%); the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) $222,091 (10%); and the City's share of $222,091 (10%).

Total cost of the planning project (Transit Corridor Study) is $100,000. The UMTA grant will provide $80,000 (80%); the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) $10,000 (10%); and the City's share of $10,000 (10%).

The City's share of these projects will come from 1981 Transit bonds.

The Budget and Evaluation Department and the City Attorney have approved this ordinance.

BID LIST

21. Recommend approval of the bid list as shown. The following contract awards are all low or lowest responsive bids and are within budget estimates unless otherwise noted. Each project or purchase was authorized in the annual budget.

A. Work Clothing Various Departments

Recommendation: Recommend approval of renewal of Contract #75-147 to Oshkosh B'Gosh, Inc., Oshkosh, Wisconsin, for supplying work clothing to various departments.

Project Description: Contract #75-147 (originally bid 6-27-85) for work clothing stipulates that the City of Charlotte has the option to renew the contract for additional years subject to appropriation of funds by the City Council. Recommendation is made for an extension of this contract effective July 1, 1986 through June 30, 1987 in accordance with State Statute 160A-17. The work clothing will be used by City employees in the performance of their various duties for protection and identification purposes and in conformance with the City's clothing policy adopted 9-22-82.
According to the contract, pricing for additional years subsequent to the initial year were to be negotiated between the City and the vendor. The vendor is requesting a 3% increase over last year's pricing. Based on the same quantity of work clothing as last year, it would mean this year's contract would total $140,777.13 vs. $136,532.81 for last year's contract. This request is considered fair and reasonable because it is in line with industry and national inflation figures. In addition, by purchasing directly from the manufacturer, the added distributor mark-up cost is eliminated. The using departments are well satisfied with the quality of the goods and service provided by Oshkosh. In last year's bid, neither of the two unsuccessful bidders (both distributors) could match the combination of quality of goods and price offered by Oshkosh.

**Source of Funding:** Various Departments - Uniforms and Protective Clothing - General Fund, Water and Sewer Operating Fund, Airport Operating Fund, and Public Transportation Operating Fund.

---

### B. 11 - Side Loading Refuse Collection Vehicles (20 Cubic Yard Capacity)

**Operations Dept., Sanitation Div.**

**Recommendation:** By Operations Director and Purchasing Director that 11 - side loading refuse collection vehicles be purchased from the present City Contract #76-112 with Carolina Waste Systems, Inc., Hamlet, N.C. at $91,050.00 each, for a total sum of $1,001,550.00. Contract #76112 was awarded to Carolina Waste Systems, Inc., by City Council on April 14, 1986.

**Project Description:** The side-loading vehicles are in support of our productivity efforts in that we can provide the same level of service with two crew members instead of three. The original contract was written so that prices bid in April, 1986, would remain firm until August 1, 1986. Also, the contract informed the bidders that the original bid quantity was an estimate and that the City would be allowed to purchase such quantities as its requirements dictated at the unit price bid, until August 1, 1986. This provision allowed us to take care of annexation areas.

**Source of Funding:** General Fund - Operations Department - Lease/Purchase - $995,019 including annexation appropriation, and $927,183 without annexation.
C. 2 - 15-Passenger Transit Buses

Recommmendation: By the Airport Manager and Purchasing Director that the low bid, Carpenter Bus Sales, Weaverville, N. C., in the amount of $64,380.00, be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: These shuttle buses will be used to transport passengers from the long term parking areas to the terminal building.

Source of Funding: Airport Operating Fund - Capital Outlay.

D. Upgrade Kits for Traffic Controllers

Recommendation: By Director of Department of Transportation and Purchasing Director that the only bid received, Traconex, Inc., Santa Clara, California, in the amount of $118,150.00, be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: These 85 traffic controller retrofit kits will upgrade traffic controllers in order to improve traffic flow. The existing traffic controllers were originally purchased from Traconex, Inc. and can only be upgraded with kits as supplied by them.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - Arterial Signal System Coordination.

E. Sanitary Sewer Construction

Trunk to N.C. Highway 73 - Pointe Regatta

Recommendation: Director, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department recommends that the low bid by Trans-State Construction Company of Denver, North Carolina in the amount of $341,281.80 be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This project provides for construction of a sewer line to serve the N.C. Highway 73 - Pointe Regatta area.

This is a five-year reimbursable sewer extension contract. All construction funds have been provided by the applicant, The Regatta Group of Winston Salem, North Carolina.

Source of Funding: Water and Sewer Construction Fund - The Regatta Group.
F. Sanitary Sewer Construction
   Trunk to Serve Pleasant Plains Road

Recommendation: Director, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utility Department recommends that the low bid by Banks Massey Grading Company of Charlotte, North Carolina in the amount of $80,832.45 be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This project provides for construction of a sewer line to serve the Pleasant Plains Road area.

This is a 15-year reimbursable sewer extension contract. All construction funds have been provided by the applicant, Pleasant Plains Joint Venture.

Source of Funding: Water and Sewer Construction Fund - Carmel Land Company.

G. Intersection Improvements - Engineering
   NC Highway 51 @ Johnston Road
   NC Highway 51 @ Carmel Road

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low bid of $97,733.34, as submitted by Ferebee Corporation, be accepted for award on a unit price basis.

Project Description: This project is part of Minor Intersection Improvement projects and includes widening NC Highway 51 at the intersections at Johnston Road and Carmel Road. It is in conformance with the Highway 51 plan.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - Minor Intersection Improvement Program.

H. Contract for Supplying Aluminum Column Covers for Existing and Expanded Terminal Building

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Custom Enclosures, Inc. in the amount of $42,000 be accepted for award of contract on a lump sum basis. Also an additional $584.00 will be added for a column not shown on bidding document and $980.00 for two days of job site installation supervision by a factory trained installer. Therefore, the total amount of the contract will be $43,564 excluding sales tax.
Project Description: This is a portion of the renovations to the existing terminal building as approved by the airlines and Airport Advisory Committee as part of the 1985 Revenue Bonds. Installation of these aluminum column covers will significantly upgrade the appearance of the terminal building as well as eliminate maintenance of existing coatings.


I. Construction Contract for Airport Employee Airport Lot #3

Recommendation: The Airport Manager recommends that the low bid from Blythe Industries, Inc. in the amount of $599,581.66 be accepted for award of contract on a unit price basis.

Project Description: On April 14, 1986 Council awarded a construction contract to the low bidder for first phase construction of an additional parking lot at the Airport. This contract is for construction of an additional 900 space employee lot which is included in the 1985 airport revenue bond projects.

This project was previously bid in May 29, 1986. Council rejected all bids received due to non-responsiveness to the M/WBE requirements in the contract documents. The clearing and grubbing portion of the original contract was deleted and bid separately; however, we still realized a savings of $6,000 from the initial bid.

An MBE/WBE goal of 20% was established for the project. Blythe Industries was responsive to the M/WBE requirements in that MBE participation equals $119,917.00 or 20% of the contract amount.


The approved master plan for York Road Community Park, prepared by Woolpert Consultants, includes construction of an 18-hole golf course and related golf course buildings, and a tennis court complex and related buildings. On June 9, 1986, Council awarded bids for construction of the 18-hole golf course; bids for the tennis complex were awarded June 23, 1986.
The following bids are for construction of the golf clubhouse and the tennis clubhouse. The total for the golf clubhouse (J - M) is $783,633.19; the total for the tennis clubhouse (N - Q) is $356,113.80.

J. York Road Park Golf Buildings          Parks & Recreation
   General Contract

   Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the negotiated low bid of $632,792.19, as submitted by T. K. Browne Construction Co., Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

   Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $442,904*. General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $1,050,461.

   * After awarding all tennis building contracts.

K. York Road Park Golf Buildings          Parks & Rec.
   Electrical Contract

   Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the negotiated low bid of $64,306.00, as submitted by Floyd S. Pike Electrical Contractor, Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

   Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park.

L. York Road Park Golf Buildings          Parks & Rec.
   Mechanical Contract

   Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the negotiated low bid of $54,498.00, as submitted by Piping Plumbing Mechanical Contractors, Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

   Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park.
M. York Road Park Golf Buildings
Parks & Rec.
Plumbing Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the negotiated low bid of $32,037.00, as submitted by Gastonia Plumbing and Heating Co., Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park.

N. York Road Park Tennis Buildings
Parks & Rec.
General Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the negotiated low bid of $123,131.00, as submitted by T. K. Browne Construction Co., Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park.

O. York Road Park Tennis Buildings
Parks & Rec.
Electrical Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the low bid of $198,889.80 as submitted by Floyd S. Pike Electrical Contractor, Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $637,887*. General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $1,050,461.

* After letting general contract.

P. York Road Park Tennis Buildings
Parks & Rec.
Mechanical Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the low bid of $18,156.00 as submitted by Dulins Heating & Air Conditioning Co., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $461,060*. General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $1,050,461.

* After letting general, electrical and plumbing contracts.
Q. York Road Park Tennis Buildings
   Plumbing Contract

   Recommendation: By the City Engineer, based upon the recommendation of Woolpert Consultants, that the low bid of $15,937.00, as submitted by R. L. Walker Plumbing Co., Inc., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

   Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $476,997*. General Capital Improvement Fund - York Road Park - $1,050,461.

   * After letting general and electrical contracts.

---

The following bids (R - U) are for the addition of a natatorium (indoor swimming pool) and multi-purpose area to the Marion Diehl Recreation Center. This involves general, mechanical, plumbing and electrical contractors, and a pool sub-contractor, and includes some demolition work. The bids total $1,129,516.00.

R. Marion Diehl Pool
   General Contract

   Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low base bid plus alternates G-1, G-2, G-3 and G-6 for a total of $843,788.00, as submitted by Cabarrus Construction, be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

   Alternate No. G-1: Courtyard.


   Alternate No. G-3: Provide the finish arts & crafts area (including casework).

   Alternate No. G-6: Provide the single ply roof specified in lieu of built-up roof as indicated on the drawings and specified.

   Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - Marion Diehl Center Pool.
S. Marion Diehl Pool
Electrical Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low base bid plus
alternates E-1 and E-3 for a total of $71,260.00 as submitted by
Driggers Electric be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Alternate No. E-1: All wiring, labor, devices, etc., associated
with the courtyard.

Alternate No. E-3: All electrical work required for the finish
arts & crafts area.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - Marion
Diehl Center Pool.

T. Marion Diehl Pool
Mechanical Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low base bid plus
alternate M-3 for a total of $128,940.00 as submitted by Climate
Conditioning, Charlotte, N.C. be accepted for award on a lump sum
basis.

Alternate No. M-3: All mechanical work required for the
finish arts & crafts area.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - Marion
Diehl Center Pool.

U. Marion Diehl Pool
Plumbing Contract

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low base bid plus
alternates P-1 and P-3 for a total of $85,528.00 as submitted by
Tri-Meck be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Alternate No. P-1: Provide yard hydrants.

Alternate No. P-3: Install water closets and urinals in Toilet
Rooms 112 and 113.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - Marion
Diehl Center Pool.
V. Coliseum - Earthwork II Site Utilities

Recommendation: By the City Engineer that the low bid of $2,429,910.00, as submitted by Hall Contracting Corp., be accepted for award on a lump sum basis.

Project Description: This contract will consist of earth removal, clearing, grubbing, fill on site, site utilities, tunnel structure, dewatering, erosion control, and cleaning all dirt and debris from streets adjacent to the project.

On November 25, 1985, Council approved the Earthwork I contract to begin rough grading of the Coliseum site.

Source of Funding: General Capital Improvement Fund - New Coliseum Construction - 1984 Coliseum Bonds.

W. Coliseum - Plumbing

This bid information will be provided prior to the Council meeting.

X. Coliseum - Electrical

This bid information will be provided prior to the Council meeting.

CHANGE ORDER

22. A. Recommend approval of a change order for $71,500.00 in the general trades contract with D. R. Allen and Son for additional work on the Transit Maintenance Operation Center (TMOC).

Council is requested to approve a change order for $71,500.00 to complete the settlement negotiated by the City Attorney's office with D. R. Allen and Son. This change order will increase the contract amount from $4,864,325.00 to $4,935,825.00. Funds for this change order are currently in the project budget. (Fund #2078, Account #852.74).
The Engineering Department and the City Department of Transportation have reviewed this change order and concur that these funds are necessary to complete the settlement negotiated by the City Attorney's office.

B. Recommend approval of a change order for $95,013.00 in the foundation contract with Crowder Construction Co. for additional work on the new Coliseum.

This change order to Crowder Construction Company's Coliseum contract will authorize Crowder to install electrical conduit for aisle lighting while they are constructing the cast-in-place concrete seat risers. This is work that under a previous design would have been installed as part of another contract.

This change order for $95,013.00 will increase the contract from $1,128,750.00 to $1,223,763.00. Funds are currently available in the construction manager's contingency allowance, Fund #2010, Account #255.00, and will be offset by savings in part of the other contract.

The new Charlotte Coliseum is being built on a "fast track" system which awards contracts such as grading and foundation concrete prior to the completion of the design of other components of the building. When the foundation contract was awarded, the architect intended electrical conduit to be hung overhead. Since then, the design for the aisle lighting has been improved and now calls for electrical conduit to be placed in the concrete seat risers. This will increase the scope of work for the foundation contractor, so the architect and the construction manager have negotiated a change order to Crowder Construction Company's contract to include installation of electrical conduit in the concrete seat risers.

The Engineering Department has reviewed and approved this change order

23. Recommend approval of an agreement for $65,400.00 for engineering services with Dana H. Rucker and Associates for the Uptown Marketplace.

On November 18, 1985, City Council approved an agreement with The Carley Capital Group for development of the Uptown Marketplace. As part of this agreement, the City will purchase the parking deck at a cost of $4,775,200.00. $2.5 million of this is from an Urban Development Administration Grant (UDAG) with the remainder being funded from parking facility revenue bonds.
As part of the Marketplace development, the Carley Capital Group will construct a 635 space parking deck and a pedestrian bridge over College Street. The parking deck and pedestrian bridge will become the property of the City upon completion of construction and acceptance by the City.

The purpose of this contract is to provide for a review of the design of these structures and for construction observation to ensure that the structures are completed in accordance with the project plans and specifications. Since no expertise of this type exists on the City Engineering Department staff, it was necessary to obtain the services of this firm.

It is recommended that City Council approve this contract in the amount of $65,400.00 for providing engineering services on the Marketplace.

Funds for this contract have been provided in the special account set up by Finance for this project (Allotment #276.00, Fund #2020).

The City Attorney's office has reviewed and approved this contract.

AGREEMENT

Recommend approval of Takeover Agreement between The City of Charlotte and The American Insurance Company.

On May 14, 1984, City Council awarded a contract for construction of a 54-inch raw water by-pass and transmission main at W. M. Franklin Water Plant to M & M Engineering Company (T/A Two M Construction Company) of Knoxville, Tennessee. After numerous violations of the contract specifications and M & M's abandonment of the project, the City Manager, with City Council authorization, terminated the contract with M & M Engineering Company.

Upon termination of M & M's contract, the Utility Department notified The American Insurance Company (the surety for this project) of the termination of contract and the City's expectations for the surety to assume the obligations outlined in the contract for completion of the project.

This agreement reflects The American Insurance Company's intention to complete the construction of this project within the funding limits previously established. The scheduled completion date meets our requirements.

The Utility Director recommends approval.
ENCROACHMENT AGREEMENT

25. Recommend approval of an encroachment agreement between Steven D. Jones and the City of Charlotte for a swimming pool encroaching into a sanitary sewer right-of-way.

Recently Mr. Steven D. Jones had an in-ground swimming pool installed at his residence, 4831 Old Spice Lane, Charlotte, North Carolina. Through an oversight on his part, a portion of the pool was installed within an existing City sewer right-of-way. The encroachment agreement allows the pool to remain on the easement subject to a hold harmless clause releasing the City of any liability resulting from the existence of the pool on the easement. The agreement further releases the City from any liability to the pool caused by or arising from the operation, maintenance or replacement of the sewer line within the easement.

This action has been reviewed by the City Attorney's Office and the Utility Director recommends approval.

RESOLUTION

26. A. Recommend adoption of a resolution approving Amendment No. 1 to Federal Aviation Administration Grant for Expansion of Ramp "A".

On September 23, 1985 Council accepted a Federal Aviation Administration grant of $2,391,000 to provide funding for three high priority airport improvement projects: approach clearing; expansion of Concourse "A" ramp; and construction of a connector taxiway for Concourse "D" ramp. Council awarded the construction contract to the low bidder, Blythe Industries, Inc. on December 30, 1985.

At the request of Air Traffic Control, Airline Transport Association, and the airlines, this construction contract was changed to expand Concourse "A" ramp further southward. Council approved this change order to the original construction contract on April 14, 1986.

In order for this additional ramp expansion to be eligible for Federal funding, it is necessary to amend the original grant agreement to include this change.

B. Recommend adoption of a resolution of intent to exchange City-owned property for property owned by Crow-Childress-Klein #9 along the southeast side of the proposed Tyvola Road at Yorkmont Road.
Pursuant to the North Carolina General Statutes 160A-271, the City may exchange real property belonging to the City for other real property by private negotiations if the City receives full and fair consideration in the exchange. The General Statute requires that public notice be given of Council's interest to consider this exchange. The public notice describes the properties to be exchanged, states the values of the properties and announces Council's intent to consider authorizing the exchange. An action authorizing the exchange will be on a subsequent agenda.

City Council authorized the Tyvola Road extension project in 1984. This road would be built on new right of way to be acquired from approximately 50 property owners. The required right of way severs a tract of land owned by Crow-Childress-Klein #9 and containing about 163 acres. The property owner donated 9.26 acres for right of way. The road alignment for the Tyvola Road and Yorkmont intersection left the property owner with two residual pieces of property, one containing 1.8 acres and the other 4.25 acres, adjacent to the proposed City development. The task force studying the City's development has recommended that the City acquire these parcels since they are located on either side of the main entrance to the City's proposed hotel/office complex. The two parcels are the only pieces of land owned by Crow-Childress-Klein #9 that are not contiguous to their own development. The City owns a 28 acre tract adjacent to the Crow development located on the southwest side of Tyvola Road Extension and along Sugar Creek. Crow has proposed to trade their two tracts totaling 6.05 acres for the City's 28 acres. The 6.05 acres are considered developable tracts and are suited for strip shopping center or fast food operations. The City's property is low and will need to be filled in order to develop.

The Crow tracts and the City property were appraised by Mr. Jack Morgan and Mr. Wallace Gibbs. The City's property is valued at $1,036,000 by Mr. Morgan and $1,260,000 by Mr. Gibbs. The Crow property is valued at $1,870,000 by Mr. Morgan and $1,600,000 by Mr. Gibbs. If the City trades this land, it would own and control all land and development on the east side of Tyvola Road in the area of the Coliseum while Crow would own all land on the west side.

The Planning staff, Engineering/Real Estate and the Manager's Task Force on the Proposed City Development recommend this request.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 8
LEASE

27. Recommend approval of ground lease with United States Postal Service for air mail facility at the Airport.

Council is requested to approve a lease of 3.7495 acres of land in the cargo area of the Airport to the United States Postal Service for an air mail facility. All expense of constructing the facility will be borne by the United States Postal Service.

The United States Postal Service operates a facility at the Airport for the handling of air mail. This facility was constructed in 1968. The Postal Service has requested the City lease them a tract of land on which they will construct a new facility of approximately 40,000 square feet. This tract is located in the old terminal area. The term of the lease is 10 years with four additional five-year extensions. Rental payments to the City will be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Rent per sq./ft. per annum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>$.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10</td>
<td>$.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 15</td>
<td>$.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - 20</td>
<td>$.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Year 20</td>
<td>Re-negotiated at that time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These terms are comparable to recently approved rental rates with Dobbs in this area and are consistent with the City's policy that the Airport be self-sustaining.

HOUSING LOAN

28. Recommend approval of loans for permanent financing to purchase property under the Uptown Residential Loan Agreement between the City of Charlotte and a consortium of banks and savings and loan associations.

Council approval of the following loans is necessary in order to proceed with the closing of the loans under the Uptown Residential Loan Agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrower</th>
<th>Property Address</th>
<th>Loan Amount</th>
<th>Appraised Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deborah R. Morris</td>
<td>408 Clarkson Green</td>
<td>$83,150</td>
<td>$95,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deborah Ann Wilson</td>
<td>1100 Greenleaf Avenue</td>
<td>$55,550</td>
<td>$59,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The loan applications have been reviewed by the City loan officer in the Community Development Department, and the loan applications contain sufficient information to form the basis for approval of the loans.
LOAN RELEASE

29. Recommend release of Marshall M. Street and Patricia A. Tracey from liability on the loan for Unit 26 Springfield Square under the Fourth Ward Loan Program.

On April 27, 1981, City Council approved a loan of $42,750 to Marshall M. Street and Patricia A. Tracey for permanent financing to purchase Unit 26, Springfield Square under the Fourth Ward Loan Program.

This loan has now been assumed by Mr. Frank E. Free. Mr. Street and Ms. Tracey have requested that they be released from all liability on this loan because it has been assumed and is now the liability of Mr. Free.

North Carolina National Bank, as agent for the City, has recommended the granting of this release along with the concurrence of the Community Development Department.

B. Recommend release of Robert P. Barbee from liability on the loan for 400-C West 8th Street under the Fourth Ward Loan Program.

On June 9, 1980 City Council approved a loan of $75,600 to Robert P. Barbee for permanent financing to purchase 400-C West 8th Street. This property is located on the corner of West 8th Street and N. Pine Street, and the address has been changed to 505 North Pine Street.

Under the Fourth Ward Loan Program, Mr. Barbee's loan has been assumed by Mr. Forrest William Lorick III. Mr. Barbee has requested that he be released from all liability on this loan because it has been assumed and is now the liability of Mr. Lorick.

North Carolina National Bank, as agent for the City, has recommended the granting of this release along with the concurrence of the Community Development Department.

HOUSING CODE ENFORCEMENT

30. Recommend adoption of an ordinance to repair dwelling at 1529 Hawthorne Lane declared unfit for human habitation using the in rem remedy and authorize the City Manager to approve contract for such work.

Date of inspection: January 9, 1986
Owner(s) notified of hearing: January 16, 1986
Hearing held: January 24, 1986
Owner(s) ordered to repair dwelling by: February 26, 1986
Estimated value of dwelling: $11,210.00
Estimated repair (which is less than 65 percent of estimated value): $4,661.00
The repairs include: repairing hole in kitchen wall; repairing kitchen and bath floors and installing floor coverings; repairing ceiling light fixtures in rear bedroom, hall, middle bedroom and bath; installing an adequate heating system; replacing kitchen cabinet; replacing exterior door latch hardware; installing weatherstripping at exterior doors; replacing broken window glass; replacing front and rear porch flooring; repairing screen doors and repairing window screens.

Funds for this action are available and a lien will be placed against the property for the cost incurred.

BEER PERMIT

31. Consider request for Dilworth Community Development Association to sell beer at the Dilworth Jubilee Saturday, August 9, 1986 from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Larry Ray Green, Dilworth Community Development Association, is requesting permission to sell beer at the Dilworth Jubilee to be held Saturday, August 9, 1986 from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

- Request was made 21 days prior to the event.
- All sales will be closely supervised according to ABC rules and regulations. No one under age will be served.
- Larry Ray Green will supervise enforcement of control measures.
- Estimate of consumption: 20 kegs will be sold.

Parks and Recreation recommends approval.

SPEED LIMITS

32. Recommend adoption of an ordinance lowering the speed limit on ten neighborhood streets from 35 miles per hour to 25 miles per hour.

In accordance with Council's approved policy, ten streets in eight neighborhoods have had petitions validated and are determined by the engineering study to be appropriate for a 25 miles per hour speed limit. The streets are:

Chilton Place (Myers Park)
Folger Drive, Lansdowne Road, and Wingrave Drive (Lansdowne)
Grier Avenue (Biddleville Heights)
Lake Forest Drive East (Lake Forest)
Stoneface Road (Fairway Pines)
Temple Lane (Kilbourn Acres)
Rentrow Lane (Sardis Forest)
Ashton Drive (Beverly Woods)
SET PUBLIC HEARING

33. A. Recommend adoption of a resolution setting a public hearing for August 11, 1986 at 3:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers on Petition 86-85 to establish City zoning on a recently annexed property.

B. Recommend adoption of a resolution of intent to abandon a portion of North Poplar Street and set a public hearing for August 11, 1986, 3:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber.

A public hearing was previously scheduled for July 14, 1986; however, publication/notification requirements of the North Carolina General Statutes were not met for that date because of an error by the newspaper.

C. Recommend adoption of a resolution calling for a public hearing to consider a Policy Report under Section 103-A of the Internal Revenue Code on August 11, 1986 at 3:00 p.m., in the Council Chamber.

On June 23, 1986, City Council approved the submission of an application to the North Carolina Housing Finance Agency for issuance of $1,250,000 bond allocation for the purpose of making mortgage loans on 24 housing units in the Five Points Redevelopment area.

In order for the City to meet the requirements for the application and subsequent issuance of the requested tax-exempt mortgage bonds during 1986, a hearing must be held to determine compliance with the City of Charlotte's Policy Statement with respect to housing development and lower income housing assistance utilizing tax-exempt mortgage bonds.

SALE OF LAND

34. A. Recommend the sale of land to Gaines E. Brown, Jr. in West Morehead Neighborhood Strategy Area known as Block 51, Parcel 1 and located 1500 South Tryon Street for $20,000.00.

The Redevelopment Plan for West Morehead provides for the disposal of acquired land for development by public or private parties. The minimum bid price on the parcel was $17,376.00 which is the fair reuse value of the property as determined by the review appraiser. Bids were received and publicly opened at the Real Estate Division on March 24, 1986. Two bids were received, one from Gaines E. Brown, Jr. for $20,000.00 and one from Kenneth F. Browder, Sr. for $18,800.00. Each bid was for approximately 10,726 square feet of land.
Mr. Gaines E. Brown, Jr. proposes to construct a brick office studio of approximately 5,000 square feet and a metal building of approximately 7,000 square feet for publication facilities. Mr. Brown plans to make the development, in two phases, on the subject property and Mr. Brown's adjacent property along South Tryon Street, at a cost of about $200,000.00. He expects about 13-18 jobs to be created.

The other bidder, Mr. Kenneth E. Browder, Sr., proposes to use the subject parcel for parking, in conjunction with a proposed expansion of his business, Browder Displays, at the northwest corner of South Tryon Street and Summit Avenue. Mr. Browder expects to add construction jobs at Browder Displays.

The disposal of this parcel will assist in meeting the objectives as set forth in the Redevelopment Plan for West Morehead Strategy Area.

Engineering/Real Estate and Community Development Department concur in this request.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 9

B. Recommend the sale of land known as Block S, Parcel No. 81-A, at 715 E. Fifth Street in the First Ward Urban Renewal Area to Randy L. Freeman, D/B/A Freeman Enterprises, for $40,387.20.

The Redevelopment Plan for First Ward provides for the disposal of acquired land for development by public or private parties. The minimum bid price on the parcel was $40,387.20 which is the fair reuse value of the property as determined by the review appraiser. A bid was received and publicly opened at the Real Estate Division on May 7, 1986, from Randy L. Freeman, D/B/A Freeman Enterprises, for $40,387.20 for 12,020 square feet of land. The proposed development is for a 4,664 square foot brick veneer office complex at a construction cost of $160,000.00. It is expected that 25 permanent employees will be employed after the project is completed. The remainder of the property will be utilized for parking and landscaping.

The disposal of this parcel will assist in meeting the objectives as set forth in the Redevelopment Plan for First Ward.

Engineering/Real Estate and Community Development Department concur in this request.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 10
ITEM NO. 35. RECOMMEND COUNCIL DECLARE AS SURPLUS A CITY-OWNED TRACT OF LAND CONTAINING 1.466 ACRES LOCATED ON THE NOR EASTERLY CORNER OF BILLY GRAHAM PARKWAY AND DISPOSAL PLANT ROAD.

The Engineering/Real Estate Division recommends that City Council declare as surplus the 1.466 acres located on the northeast corner of Billy Graham Parkway and Disposal Plant Road and sell it by the competitive bid process. The Planning Commission has reviewed and recommends mandatory referral for the tract.

On or about January 29, 1986, Charter Properties, Inc. expressed an interest in purchasing City-owned property located on the northeast corner of Billy Graham Parkway and Disposal Plant Road. This property is also located adjacent to other property owned by Charter Properties, Inc. on which construction and development is presently taking place. This tract originally contained 2.014 acres but on November 25, 1985, Council approved dedicating a 50 foot wide public right of way across the northern side and adjacent to the Southern Railway right of way.

At that time Charter Properties paid the City $5.00 per square foot for this public access and agreed to build a road to serve their development. This tract has been reviewed by the task force studying the proposed development of City owned property around the Coliseum and concurs with the proposed sale.

A map is attached.

Attachment No. 11

ITEM NO. 36. RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF SALE OF CITY PROPERTY AT 3100 MOORES LAKE DRIVE.

Property Address: 3100 Moores Lake Drive
Tax Code: 35-361-08
Buyer’s Name: King L. & Freddie T. Smith
Offer: $69,000.00

W. E. Underwood, the City's private attorney, and the Airport Manager concur in this recommendation.
UTILITY CONTRACTS

37. Recommend approval of Contracts between The City of Charlotte and the applicants listed below:

These are extension contracts for new development in accordance with the Water/Sewer Extension Policy. Item 1 is a 15-year reimbursable contract. The applicant is to deposit 10% of the estimated cost with the remaining 90% being deposited prior to construction. There is no cost to the City and no funds are needed. Items 2 through 9, the applicants are to construct the entire systems at their own proper cost and expense. The City is to retain all revenue. There is no cost to the City and no funds are needed. They concern construction by the following applicants. The Utility and Planning Directors recommend approval.

1. Carmel Development Company, to construct 1,679 linear feet of 10-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Providence Forest Area 7, Phase 1, located south of Kuykendall Road, north of McKee Road and east of Providence Road, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $110,000.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-089. Contract No. 86-002.

2. Harry Grimmer & Company, Inc., to construct 3,475 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Berkeley Forest Subdivision, located west of Providence Road, north of Providence Road West and south of Four Mile Creek, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $104,250.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-019.

3. Harry Grimmer & Company, Inc., to construct 1,378 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer trunk to serve Berkeley Forest Subdivision, located west of Providence Road, north of Providence Road West and south of Four Mile Creek, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $48,230.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-067.

4. Jay Belk Realty, Inc., to construct 1,469 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Jamestowne Subdivision, located west of Little Rock Road and south of Tracy Avenue, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $44,070.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-029.

5. Marsh Realty Company, to construct 1,008 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Strawberry Hill Apartments, Phase VII, located east of Providence Road, south of McMullen Creek, and north of Sardis Road, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $45,360.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-053.

6. Wexford Associates/Caroleen Trading Company, to construct 5,000 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Wexford Section 5 Subdivision, located south of Mallard Creek and north of N.C. Highway 49 on Harris Houston Road, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $175,000.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-081.
7. Wexford Associates/Caroleen Trading Company, to construct 3,533 linear feet of 8-inch gravity main, 1,446 linear feet of 4-inch force main to serve Houston Farms Subdivision, located north of N.C. Highway 49 and east of Harris Houston Road, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $192,140.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-090.

8. John S. Lucas, Jr., to construct 1,416 linear feet of 8-inch sanitary sewer main to serve Lucas Industrial Park, located south of Old Concord Road, east of Barrington Drive and north of Viewmont Drive, inside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $49,560.00. Sanitary Sewer Job No. 621-86-028.

9. The Walsh Corporation, to construct 1,500 linear feet of 12-inch water main to serve East Independence Boulevard, located east of Tarlton Road and north of Highway 51, outside the Charlotte City Limits. Estimated Cost - $62,000.00. Water Contract No. 86-007

PROPERTY TRANSACTION

38. Recommend approval of the following condemnation.

A. Recommend approval of a settlement of a condemnation action entitled City v. Larry Campbell et al for the sum of $43,400. Approval of this request will authorize an additional deposit of $14,400.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

39. Recommend adoption of a motion to hold an executive session on Monday, July 21, 1986 at approximately 4:30 p.m. in the Education Center for the purpose of conferring with the City Attorney about a lawsuit entitled SANE vs. City pursuant to G. S. 143-318.11(a)(4).
- SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS -
July 14 - 18, 1986

Monday, July 14
COUNCIL/MANAGER LUNCHEON
Training Center, City Hall Annex
CITIZENS HEARING
COUNCIL MEETING
Council Chamber, City Hall

12:00 Noon
2:00 p.m.
3:00 p.m.

Wednesday, July 16
PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
2nd Floor Conference Room, City Hall
4:00 p.m.
- PENDING MATTERS -

In meeting on Monday, August 11, 1986, City Council will make nominations to fill one position on the Safety Action Committee. The appointment is to be a representative of the 26th Judicial Bar Association.
## HISTORY OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT SEVENTH AND MCDONELI STREETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 8, 1983</td>
<td>Council adopted Lane/Frenchman Study for First Ward Area. Study recommended parcel be developed for educational and/or institutional use with housing suggested as alternate use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1984</td>
<td>Property appraised and reuse value of $1.05 per square foot established (or total value of $221,927).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1984</td>
<td>Inquiries received expressing interest in developing parcel for office and commercial use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1984</td>
<td>Letters sent to public and private organizations to determine if interest existed in developing parcel for the recommended uses. Several responses were received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1984</td>
<td>No further action taken on the disposition of this parcel while the Community Development Department and the Planning Commission reevaluated the land use plan for the First Ward Area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 1985</td>
<td>County expressed interest in developing parcel for public service agencies use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1985</td>
<td>Vinson Realty Co. expressed interest in developing parcel for business use for Federal Express.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 13, 1985</td>
<td>Vinson Realty requested from Council the opportunity to bid on this parcel. This matter was assigned to the CD &amp; Housing Committee for thorough discussion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 25, 1985</td>
<td>CD &amp; Housing Committee met to determine the best land use for the parcel; whether or not to dispose of this parcel to Mecklenburg County or Vinson Realty Co. Both proposals were presented to the Committee, and the Committee voted 2-2 on a motion to sell the parcel to Mecklenburg County.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
July 1985  Planning Commission staff was requested to review Amendment No. 2 to the First Ward Plan and the proposed use of the Seventh and McDowell parcel in light of the two proposals received. Planning staff's order of preference was educational, institutional, office and then residential development. Planning staff also stated that in order to accommodate the Federal Express development, the property would have to be rezoned to I-1.

August 1985  Planning Commission approved Amendment No. 2 to the First Ward Redevelopment Plan but did not support either land use proposed by Mecklenburg County or Federal Express.

August 1985  Property reappraised since appraisal were over a year old, and a value of $1.30 per square foot was established (or a total value of $275,000).

August 12, 1985  Council approved the Federal Express proposal contingent upon the rezoning of the property and the successful negotiation of a sales contract. Also, Council approved Amendment No. 2 to the Redevelopment Plan which revised the land use for the property at Seventh and McDowell to general business, industrial, office or residential.

September 1985  Rezoning petition process begun with consideration by Council at its November 18, 1985 meeting (later deferred until November 25, 1986).

October 1985  Federal Express notified the City of their employment proposal which was substantially less than the job opportunities presented in their original proposal.

November 25, 1985  Council denied Federal Express' rezoning petition from B-2 to I-1 (CD). (Approval of the rezoning was necessary in order to sell the land to Federal Express.)
December 1985

Community Development Department and Planning Commission staffs met to determine the appropriate land use for this site and to develop a Request for Proposal.

April 14, 1986

Council approved a policy for the marketing and disposition of Community Development City-owned property designated for economic or housing development activities. This policy’s procedures include: (a) advertise a Request for Proposal seeking proposals for the development of a parcel; (b) organize an Evaluation Committee to evaluate and recommend the most qualified proposal and (c) select developer based on certain criteria.

April 1986-June 1986

Discussions were held between Community Development and management staffs regarding appropriate uses in conformance with the redevelopment objectives for the sale of the land.
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

FOR: Sale of Land located in the First Ward Redevelopment Area, Block 0, Parcel 1, for economic development activity.

AS REQUESTED BY: Director of Community Development
Community Development Department
301 South McDowell Street, Suite 510
Charlotte, North Carolina 28204

Pursuant to North Carolina General Statute 160A-457(4), the City of Charlotte will receive proposals for the purpose of evaluating and selecting a developer for construction of the project herein described. Proposals will be received until and publicly opened at 3:00 p.m. on the ___ day of ___, 19___, at the office of the City of Charlotte, Community Development Department, 301 South McDowell Street, Suite 510, Charlotte, North Carolina. Proposals will be for the purpose, design, and general construction of a business development or housing development. The property located in First Ward on the corner of S. McDowell Street and E. Seventh Street bounded by McDowell, Seventh and the Brookshire Freeway, consists of one tract of land totaling 211,359 square feet currently zoned B-2 General Business. All proposals must conform to the requirements of the First Ward Redevelopment Plan, in addition to other applicable City Ordinances and Codes.

Site Description:
Zoning: General Business (B-2)
Map Attached
Block/Parcel No. 0-1
Acres 4.85
Tax ID # 080-16-1,2,34
080-101-01

The minimum acceptable price of this property based on competent appraisals was established on *** at $*** per square foot.

*Appraisal currently being completed. The appraised value will be provided as an addendum to this RFP.

Proposals for redevelopment will be evaluated on the basis of:

(1) The purchase price for the site, which shall not be less than the appraised value,

(2) Adherence to the redevelopment objective by providing permanent jobs for low to moderate income individuals, providing needed services to our community, or increasing housing opportunities.

(3) The tax value of the completed project.
(4) Adherence to the Design/Development Guidelines in preparing the architectural design, landscaping and streetscape treatment.

(5) A portion of the project to be devoted to a general child care facility to serve 200 children.

Jobs should be at the unskilled to semi-skilled level so that low to moderate income individuals will benefit from the creation of job opportunities. The City will assist the designated redeveloper in identifying potential job applicants.

The construction of additional lower income housing is not consistent with the City's Housing Assistance Program. Middle income and/or elderly housing would be considered.

Actual construction must start within a maximum of six months from the date of execution of contract.

All contractors shall be properly licensed under the State Laws governing their trades at the time proposals are submitted.

The City of Charlotte, N. C. reserves the right to accept or reject any and/or all proposals and is not obligated to contract with the highest bidder.

Frederick Warren, Manager
Economic Development Division
Community Development Department
City of Charlotte
(704) 336-2016
Recommend approval of a policy for the marketing and disposition of Community Development City-owned properties.

The requested action is for City Council to adopt a policy for the marketing and disposition of City-owned Community Development property in First Ward, Third Ward, Greenville and West Morehead redevelopment areas which have been designated for either economic or housing development activities. The Legal Department and Community Development Department concur in this action.

An updated Community Development land inventory was completed in May, 1985. The inventory consists of approximately 110 parcels, depending on how they are aggregated, with an estimated value of $2,782,000. Approximately 26 of these parcels, again depending on how they are aggregated, have been designated for either economic or housing development activities. The Community Development Department has prime responsibility for marketing and disposition of these parcels, the Real Estate Division of the Engineering Department will continue to have primary responsibility for disposition of the other parcels.

The policy requires that individual Request for Proposals be solicited for each of the approximately 26 parcels designated for either economic or housing development activities. A parcel will be sold to a selected developer through a private sale pursuant to N.C.G.S. 160A-457(4). The policy's procedures include the following steps:

1) Advertise Request for Proposals.
2) Organize an Evaluation Committee to review proposals. The Evaluation Committee will evaluate and recommend the most qualified proposal; however, the Committee reserves the right to recommend rejection of any or all proposals that are not consistent with the City's economic and/or housing development goals.
   The Evaluation Committee consists of:
   - a representative of the Charlotte Uptown Development Corporation (CUDC) staff;
   - a representative of the NCNB Community Development Corporation;
   - The City's Economic Development Coordinator;
   - a representative of the City Attorney's Office;
   - Community Development Department staff.
3) Interview all developers. Where applicable, developer selection will be based on the following criteria:
   (a) planning and design information; (b) highest responsive bidder; (c) total number of permanent jobs or housing units to be created for low to moderate income individuals; and (d) projected local tax revenues that would be generated by the project.
4) Community Development Department makes recommendation to City Council;
5) Publish notice of public hearing regarding selection of designated developer;
6) Public hearing to designate a developer.
7) Approve a contract for sale of land.

Attached is information on Community Development's land disposition process that was included in the August 9, 1985 and February 28, 1986 Council-Manager memoranda, along with a typical Request for Proposal that was also included in the February 28 memorandum.
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PLAZA PARK

DESIGN PROGRAM
PLAZA PARK
DESIGN PROGRAM

Guidelines for the Development of the Plaza Park Area in Uptown Charlotte

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission
July 1986
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In 1979 the City of Charlotte undertook a major replanning effort for its uptown or "Central Area." A contract was awarded to RTKL Associates Inc. of Baltimore to provide a comprehensive plan and an implementation strategy for the city's core. This plan addressed three residential areas: the governmental complex and the office/retail core.

Completed in November 1980 the plan stressed capitalizing on the healthy economic condition of Charlotte's central business district by continuing the district's logical development and by adding 24-hour-a-day vitality through the enhancement of cultural, residential, entertainment, and recreational facilities. The plan also proposed the construction of the transit mall and an urban park at the southwestern corner of Tryon and Trade Streets to reinforce the identity and sense of place at Trade and Tryon, the crossroads of the uptown area.

On April 28, 1981, the voters of Charlotte approved a bond package that provided $6 million dollars for the construction of the Transit Mall and Plaza Park projects. The same vote resulted in approval of the reconstruction of Trade Street into a tree-lined boulevard with a landscaped median. In addition, approval was also given for construction of Church and College Street connectors required to divert auto traffic away from Tryon Street.

Subsequently, the City of Charlotte sponsored a design competition to select a designer for the Transit Mall. The firm of Skidmore, Owings, and Merrill was chosen. Construction of the mall was completed for a formal opening on Thanksgiving Day, 1984. Design and development of the urban park however, was not included in the Transit Mall contract.

Plaza Park will be the significant urban open space located along Trade and Tryon Streets. It is augmented by the present courtyards adjacent to the NCNB Building, Independence Plaza Building, and by the coordinated plaza proposed by urban designers for private development on the northeastern corner of the Square at Trade and Tryon.

The new urban plaza park is intended to become the premier focal point for the city. A final design will consider the planning needs for the entire space (and its inner connections) framed by the building facades around the Trade and Tryon intersections.

The Plaza Park project is a unique urban design opportunity. It must fit Charlotte's personality and also meet the development and urban design objectives of the Central Area Plan and the Uptown Mixed Use District zoning ordinance. Furthermore, the Plaza Park design must also recognize the legal and cost implications of design proposals.

In May, 1986 a design charrette was held for Plaza Park, following months of discussion about the park design. The results of that charrette are summarized in the appendix of this design program.

The City of Charlotte is now positioned to search for and select a designer for Plaza Park. The following schedule of events depicts the participants, their roles, and the sequence of activities leading to a final park design.
20 SCHEDULE OF DESIGN PROCESS

2.1 Organization of Design Procedure
(a) Definition of Process
(b) Appointment of Technical and Citizens Review Committees
(c) Report to City Council

2.2 Preparation of Design Program
(a) Technical Committee Prepares Draft
(b) Citizen Committee Review and Sponsor of Park Option Charette
(c) Committee Prepare Recommendations to City Manager
(d) City Council Review and Adoption
(July 1986)

2.3 Designer Selection
(a) Request for Qualifications
(b) Assembly of Designer Interview Team and Completion of Interviews
(c) Designer Selection and Contract Negotiation

2.4 Design Phase
(a) The concept design phase will include four steps
(1) Urban Design Context at the Square
(2) Park Design considering removal keeping a portion or keeping all of the remaining buildings
(3) Finalize Schematic Design and Prepare Construction Drawing
(4) Cost Estimates
(b) Public Reviews
(c) Council Decision

2.5 Completed Design
(a) Cost Estimates
(b) Construction Drawings

2.6 Schedule

Jan-Feb 1986
Feb-Jun 1986
(July 1986)
Jul-Aug 1986
Aug-Sep 1986
Sep-Oct 1986
Feb-Jun 1987

3.0 PARTICIPANTS

The City Manager appointed the following committees to work together throughout the design process:

3.1 Technical Committee
Martin R. Cramton, Jr. Chair Planning Commission
Marvin Billups Parks and Recreation Department
Clark Reading Engineering Department
Milton Bloch Mint Museum
Dan Morrill Historic Properties Commission
Sara Schreibman Central Charlotte Association

3.2 Citizen Review Committee
Beverly Webb Chair Historic Properties Commission
Bill Williamson Arts Commission
Chuck Duncan Central Charlotte Association
Mercy Choate Junior League
Terry Hogan Uptown YWCA
Hamilton McKay Queen's Table
Lee McLaren Landscape Architect
4 0 DESIGN APPROACH

4 1 PURPOSE

The primary purposes of the design approach outlined in the Introduction are to aid in the selection of an innovative and creative designer and to stimulate public interest and discussion on park design opportunities.

4 2 BENEFITS EXPECTED FROM THIS APPROACH

In addition to generally stimulating creativity, several specific benefits are expected from this process including the following:

- Public interest and understanding of the Plaza Park project can be enlarged before the final design program begins.
- New design forms and ideas can be explored and design principles can be clarified.
- Conventional standards of design for urban park projects can be challenged and alternative approaches proposed.

5 0 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Two design scenarios will be developed for the park. One will incorporate the historic buildings or part of them into the design, and the other will show the buildings removed. Regardless of the final scenario selected, the Plaza Park design should reflect consideration of the following:

(1) park context
(2) relationships
(3) expected functions of the park
(4) basic design elements to be included
(5) construction costs

Detailed descriptions of these factors are included below.

5 1 PARK CONTEXT

Tryon Street is the Central Area's principle employment and retail growth corridor. The Tryon Street Transit/Pedestrian Mall and the creation at the Square of a major urban park are to be the organizing elements for Uptown Charlotte.

The Square has significance as an historic landmark. It was at this crossroads that the city was founded. Today the Square—the intersection of Trade and Tryon Streets—is still the crossroads at both a city and regional level. It identifies the cultural, political, historical, and social center of the Charlotte Mecklenburg community.

The Transit Mall, which focuses on nine blocks of Tryon Street and two blocks of Trade Street is a transit, pedestrian, and automobile space showing careful attention to such details as:

- space allocation within the street right of-way
- street furniture
- trees and landscaping
- lighting
- graphic design and
- hierarchy of personal, public, and other spaces

In the blocks of Trade Street not included in the Mall design, a less intense design orientation toward pedestrian and automobile space is found. A separate design project directed development of the Trade Street Boulevard. Both the Trade Street and Tryon Mall designs however focus on the Square as the city center.

5 2 RELATIONSHIPS

A. Mall Streetscape. The Tryon Street Transit Mall has established a pedestrian sensitive environment with a strong emphasis on design excellence through the use of street trees, varied construction materials and a controlling design theme. The Plaza Park should be complementary to the Mall's design theme and an extension of the pedestrian system.
The Plaza Park is an extension of the surrounding streetscape and central four corners.
1. View looking west taken from Eckerd Drug to the Plaza Park

2. Existing buildings located on the site

3. Plaza Park viewed from the roof of the adjacent Marriott

4. Rear view of site

5. View from Commerce Center showing the relationship to NCNB Plaza and the Eckerd Drug corner
B Compatibility With Adjacent Uses: Attention must be given to how the Park will relate to planned or potential improvements in parcels adjacent to the Park site and the Square. Anticipated retail space on adjacent property should be considered as an integral part of the design scheme.

C Treatment of Blank Walls: Because of its location, at least one side of the park will abut at least a portion of the blank wall of a high rise building. The use of landscaping, architectural elements, lighting, graphics or other techniques must alter the deadening impact of any adjacent blank walls.

D Transit Rider Relationships: Though not a transit shelter location, this space should be considered for transit rider use.

E Uptown Mixed Use District Compatibility: The primary objective of the Uptown Mixed Use District (UMUD) is to produce a pedestrian scaled and human environment. As the focal point of the Transit Mall, which is the single most prominent element in that environment, the Park must abide by the spirit within which the UMUD is intended to function.

F Scale: Establishing a scale harmonious with the entire four block area will be critical to the design scheme. Integrating cornice lines, parapets and facades to respond to the human scale of the Square is a necessity to the park and overall urban design program.
The Square. The entire contextual setting in which the park is located should be studied. The park is not a separate entity but rather a segment of the entire composition which forms the crossroads of Square. The Square is the pivotal point for the city's circulation, historical context, and retail activity for the city.

5.3 PARK FUNCTIONING

A  Circulation. Access for pedestrians should be easy and as barrier free as possible, especially for the handicapped. The Plaza Park should be accessible without reliance upon the use of steps or steep grades. The design should also address safety from vehicular conflict and the adjoining transit mall.

B  Security and Visibility. The design of the Park should recognize the desire for ease of public surveillance and control. This means attention is to be given to the following:
   • A choice of types and location of vegetation that will not provide opportunities for total concealment
   • adequate lighting and
   • a minimum of sight obscuring furnishings and walls.

The park is an integral component of the Square's circulation and open space system.
C  Active Element  The flexible use within the site to comfortably accommodate crowds of 200-250 people entertainers, vendors and movable furniture is one approach to designing and programming the use of the park. Using this element the space should be able to accommodate everyday lunchtime activities as well as larger events. Elements for the enjoyment of children should be considered.

D  Passive Element  This element would most easily be represented by the use of the park as an "urban green space." Ample opportunities for comfortable sitting, eating and conversation could be offered among appropriately landscaped areas.

E  Historical Element  This element would represent the image of Charlotte's past and present. Special attention should be given to creating space that contains a chronology of Charlotte's history or reasserts its crossroads identity. The potential adaptive reuse of historic buildings within the confines of the project will require attention to limitations resulting from the court findings in the condemnation proceeding. Any proposed use of the existing buildings shall also consider the structural integrity as well as feasibility of rehabilitation considering total cost. The real property for Plaza Park was condemned by the City for park purposes. Therefore all the property must be used for park purposes. Uses for consideration include a local history museum, an information center, interpretative center, mall and park activity management center. Storage for Central Charlotte Association and Transit Information. Other historic uses to be considered include:

- use pieces of interesting architectural elements reminiscent of significant periods and structures in Charlotte's history and historic events architectural treasures, grave-stone replicas, etc.

An abstract representation of the land form of the area before urbanization:

- Indian trading paths/crossroads
- gold mines
- pine trees and red clay

F  Focal Point  This element would create a unique point of identity. It should draw people to the space and involve stimulation of visual, auditory, and tactile senses. There should be sufficient detail to offer opportunity for reinterpretation of the space and its intents. Specific focal point examples include:

- soap boxes/stages for speeches and concerts
- walls/pedestals for displaying art work
- information-conveying structures/timelapse

G  Maintenance  The use of durable materials and concern for the effects of continuous use is essential for Plaza Park to continue to convey the image and impact intended. Particular care must be given to ways to reduce the opportunities for vandalism and defacement. Likewise, consideration must be given to how effective existing City equipment personnel and techniques will be in maintaining the park at acceptable levels. The collection and disposal of trash is to be considered in a final design.

H  Engineering Concerns  Sufficient attention must be given to the design and compatibility of the various elements of the park so that they function as a unit. This compatibility should be addressed through detailed working drawings and specifications to be completed following the selection of a final design.

I  Liability Concerns  Identification of personal safety issues are to be identified and reviewed during the course of final design to determine an acceptable level of public liability.

5.4 BASIC DESIGN ELEMENTS

Various design elements should be incorporated into the park. Such elements might be combined in any number of ways to create a variety of environments and effects. The park itself could become the art element. Caution should be used so the park maintains a balance and does not become over-designed. A combination of elements could possibly include the following:

A  Seating  Comfortable seating, considering a mix of both movable and fixed seating is an essential element of the park. Flexibility of seating arrangements should be studied to provide for a variety of programmed and spontaneous events. Designers should consider seating for:

- a place from which to observe comings and goings of people on the Transit Mall
- To create a feeling of a town square

B  Water Element  The water element should offer a chance for user participation. Terraced pools, fountains, sprays, jets and waterfalls could be used in many innovative ways to create various visual and auditory effects. Charlotte's participants suggested a water feature in the form of a waterwall to create sound for drowning out street noise would be appropriate for
the space in addition reflecting pools in which the skyline could be observed as though from a distance might be considered

C Trees and Plant Materials Large trees should be an integral design component as charrette participants have suggested. Trees would soften the space while complementing the canopy which is indigenous to residential neighborhoods surrounding the Uptown area. The specific types and locations of trees and plant materials should be utilized in the design according to:

- the appropriateness of the species in an urban area
- the ability to accent spaces
- ability to create a pleasant ambiance
- seasonal color and evergreen and
- hardiness and maintenance requirements

This illustration provides key dimensions of the Plaza Park.

Careful attention must be given to the relationship of the landscaping and plantings on the Mall when choosing plant material for the park. An example might be creating an urban garden that contained a combination of the following:

(a) a significant planting of trees in a near natural setting
(b) An exemplary garden of flowers and shrubs (the Southern Spring Show all year long)
(c) integrating plants, streams, ponds, and waterfalls
D  **Lighting** Lighting shall be used to create a psychologically safe atmosphere while serving to create focal points at appropriate places throughout the park. This should be done by varying the intensity of light rather than providing a uniform brilliance.

E  **Paving** Paving materials and arrangements should contribute inviting warmth sense of function to the space and complement the paving used on the adjacent Transit Mall.

F  **Utilities and Services** Provisions should be made to allow vendors, exhibitors, performers, the media and other users of the Park to have access to electricity, public speaker systems, water supply and waste water removal facilities within the Park. A drinking fountain is a necessary element. Storage space should be provided for equipment used in conjunction with these facilities. Permanent lighting on the flag should be incorporated. Grade level access with appropriate space for portable food vendors should be studied. Therefore, consideration must be given to types and locations of outlets and hookups as well as to the capacities for any such utilities and services provided.

G  **Media Locations** Space should be provided and adequate support facilities integrated into the Park design to allow coverage of media events by mobile media crews. Such facilities should include but need not be limited to specified areas with a sub-base sufficient to support media vans/trucks, direct feeds from any public speaker system, etc.

H  **Entertainment Space for Gatherings** The Park should be organized to accommodate gatherings of approximately 200-250 people. Furthermore, the Park should be designed with at least one central point from which a public address, concert, etc., might be staged. It is not intended that this area be a permanently raised or recessed structure. Optional larger areas should be considered for mounting seasonal displays such as the Christmas tree.

I  **Covered Space** Space that offers some cover and sense of intimacy should be provided.

J  **Sun Angles** Sun angle studies need to be conducted to determine the effects surrounding buildings have on the park. The sun studies will aid in guiding design decisions as they pertain to direct, indirect, and filtered sunlight relationships.

K  **Wind** Wind turbulence studies will be needed to provide a basis for design decisions. The park is uniquely located at the intersection of several large building corners which generate their individual wind patterns. As a result, the park site on occasion is the center of violent winds.

5.5 **CONSTRUCTION COST**

A  The total cost of construction, materials and supervision should not exceed $1 million. The figure of $1 million is currently identified in the City’s Capital Improvement Program (CIP) project; however, after consideration of the design proposal additional funding may be anticipated.

6.0 **DESIGNER SELECTION METHOD**

6.1 **REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS**

   6.11 Citizens Review Committee together with Technical Committee suggests Designers for consideration.

   6.12 Request for Qualifications together with Prospectus for Plaza Park Design mailed to list.

6.13 Evaluation and Selection of three candidates:

A  Joint session of Technical and Citizens Committee for evaluation and selection.

B  Concurrence by Manager.

6.2 **DESIGNER INTERVIEW AND SELECTION**

6.21 Designer Interview Team composed of:

A  Chair and two (2) additional members of Citizen Committee.

B  Chair and one (1) additional member of Technical Committee.

C  Chair of Technical Committee will chair Designer Selection Team.

D  The Designer Interview Team may add a design advisor to assist in the interview process.
6.22 DESIGNER PRESENTATION FOCUS
Design candidates are expected to address the following
A. Illustration of Comparable Experience
B. Demonstrated Understanding of Project
C. Construction Management and Costing Experience
D. Verbal Communication and Visual Art Skills
E. Quality of Presentation

It is not intended that a Park design be prepared. However, visual illustrations related to definition of issues and potential solutions are invited.

6.23 PRESENTATION FORMAT
The following presentation format will be used
A. Each Designer will be given 60 minutes for presentation followed by 30 minutes for questions from the Interview Team
B. All Interview Team members must be present at each interview
C. Elected officials will be invited to interviews

6.24 SELECTION CRITERIA AND PROCEDURE
A. Designer Selection will be based upon factors identified in Section 4.22
   1. Comparable Experience
   2. Understanding of Project
   3. Construction Management and Costing Experience
   4. Verbal and Visual Arts Skills
   5. Quality of Presentation
B. Immediately upon conclusion of all interviews the Designer Selection Team will prepare its recommendation to City Manager for confirmation

6.25 CITY COUNCIL DECISION

6.26 SCOPE OF CONTRACT SERVICES
A. Draft by City Engineering
B. Technical/Citizen Committee Review
C. Finalization

6.27 CONTRACT NEGOTIATION AND AWARD

APPENDIX CHARETTE SUMMARY

In May 1986 the Citizens Committee appointed by the City in conjunction with the Charlotte Mecklenburg Planning Department sponsored a Design Charette to consider the design of the park to be located at the southwesterly corner of the intersection of Trade and Tryon Streets in Charlotte. Participants in the Charette were David Lewis, Ray Gindroz, Phillip Morris, Holly Whyte and Charles Hight, all noted for their expertise in urban design.

Participants toured the residential and commercial neighborhoods most immediately surrounding downtown, walked through the downtown business areas surrounding the site, toured the site and explored the existing buildings located on the site. After a day of study and deliberations a preliminary report was given at a luncheon meeting attended by invited public officials, property owners and civic leaders. Following a refinement of ideas a final presentation was given at an afternoon session attended by the public at large.

*See attached biographies
The ideas presented at the Charette were enthusiastically received by the community as evidenced by the newspaper article and editorial appearing in this report.

**Experts Offer Broad Vision For Downtown Park**

It was as Harry Gott said a good a bad design case. The mayor looks at the people who you and some city leaders are interested in developing this area. The city is interested in developing this area. They want to get the city to develop this area. They are interested in developing this area. They want to get the city to develop this area. They are interested in developing this area. They want to get the city to develop this area. They are interested in developing this area. They want to get the city to develop this area. They are interested in developing this area. They want to get the city to develop this area. They are interested in developing this area.

**The Square**

Visitors Enlarge Our Vision

One of Charlotte's best recent in brick was the 18,000 for the last week's report on the square. Out of the two of the three storms came a clearer vision of Charlotte. The Square that is on the city's future development of that vital public space.

Urban design Lewis Watts a Baltimore resident of New York and Philip Morris of Charlotte best us understanded that the problem is much larger subject and engaging a 18,000-square-foot park. The real challenge is to make the entire square into a memorable and exciting place.

They emphasized that Charlotte is more than the skyscrapers that mark downtown. It is the center of a thriving region. The includes downtown and surrounding neighborhoods. The suburbs extending into the countryside and the metropolitan area beyond. In developing The Square we should keep that in mind and demand a public space worthy of such a setting.

The Square they view it more than a city blocks or office towers. It is the heart of this urban region. It should have a look and feel appropriate to such a vital location. People who visit there should have a sense of being in an important place.

That not only, we're creating all four corners of The Square in a special way but also the streets from the four corners. The design for the park should set a standard for the other three corners and for the surrounding streetscape.

The three consultants urged the city to preserve the two adjacent buildings - the vacant storefronts once owned by Harry Gott and Lewis Watts Inc. and the recently restored Brown's Park Courtyard Inc. If they were not there something real would have to be built, they said. They defined the park space reinforce the street edge and could be put to good use.

The consultants encouraged the use of trees of water and of light in the park's design. And they argued that the park be a place the entire people and activity rather than a sterile space that simply looks pretty.

All of that helps to broaden our understanding. How the city can go on while changing a designer and bringing the space with a clear perspective. Congratulations in the three consultants for a successful charette to attorney Rev Watts for hosting the event and to Martin Crumpton and the Planning Commission staff for any brainstorming sessions.

---

Newspaper clippings from The Charlotte Observer Sunday June 1 1986 and Monday June 2 1986.

The Charette team identified the purposes of the Charette as being to set a design value framework for the Square including the identification of major issues and concerns in the program to establish criteria and guidelines for subsequent designers or design teams to establish the purpose for the space to understand the past as well as the present and future and to set design principles in order to aid in the eventual design process. The Charette team expanded those charges to include setting the project in a historic context and in context with adjoining streets and the investigating the need of the human scale of the streets and expanding the project to include the design of the entire intersection of Trade and Tryon Streets. Charlotte's two major streets their corners and their adjacencies.

They considered the importance of the project to include building upon the unique qualities of Charlotte the site and its adjacencies substantially increasing the quality of the art of civic design in Charlotte and promoting the improvement of the quality of architecture in the buildings and spaces to those that was described as a potential showcase of charw in its entirety which should be a visual elaboration of the steps already taken in the development of the streetscape.
The team urged that due to the importance of this project and its connection of the past present and future of Charlotte it is critical for the most talented and sensitive design team to be selected by the City and for the City to be an excellent client who simultaneously demands the best designers and at the same time supports immediate solutions through all phases of the design review and approval process.

It would be important to emulate the vision of Charlotte’s past leaders for example Daniel Augustus Tompkins who brought John Nolen to Charlotte to design Independence Park and who was later to design Myers Park and Edward Dilworth Latte who brought the Olmsted brothers to design Dilworth. Their demand was that Charlotte should have the “absolute best” designers of highest quality.

By way of setting the framework within which the Charette team operated the presentation stressed that cities are always in evolution and always changing. Architects play a very particular role as the architect stands at the intersection point between the past and the future. In fact all of us stand at this intersection point and in making decisions need to be aware of the forces that control change, political forces, economic forces and forces of popular aspiration. In every decision we make we are creating the history of tomorrow and of the next generations just as surely as we inherited the City based on decisions of the past.

The team stressed that it is very important for us to both applaud what we inherit and to be critical of it not because we want to simply act in the role of critics (which is a very privileged position) but because in honing our opinions of the past and what we have inherited we hone our aspirations concerning the quality of life in the decisions we make as we move forward.

The focus of the Charette was in three areas (1) the importance and significance of the location (2) the importance and significance of the building located on the site and (3) design guidelines.

1) The Importance and Significance of the Location

The Square is the historic cultural, commercial and geometrical hub of the City. It is the historic center, the heart of the city. Charlotte is unique in having a center. The park and the design of the park and its surroundings on the Square should firmly establish this area as the hub of the city.

Identifying the Square in physical terms is not enough. What is the space? a corner? a plaza? a park? a square? four corners? It is a piece of urban space with some sense of history, identity and quality of space which needs to be enhanced to become obvious. Otherwise the city will have a park that doesn’t work; a plaza that doesn’t go anywhere and an urban space that is not the kind of center of the town that it wants to be.

The Square is definitely a crossroads and historically has been a crossroads for many centuries. Its significance as a crossroads should be emphasized as should its history. The history of the crossroads begins with the intersection of ancient Indian trails and with Indian culture and their beliefs that the sky and earth belong to all and endure. This might be translated into the particular park space (a meeting space) and scale (infinity).

The figure ground plan of 1877 illustrates the intersection as being the heart of the city.
The center city is a series of parallel blocks not a square. We need to emphasize the history of the site and to evaluate the site as a form (a corner or four corners or a plaza or a park or a square). There needs to be a study and understanding of the site its shape and its connection with the city and what’s around it.

The Square is already operating as a great central meeting place and this should be its primary function. We need to determine who uses the Square and why where did they come from and what is their sense of presence. Studies should be made of sources of traffic on the Square including vehicular pedestrian from buses pedestrian from parking and pedestrian-pedestrian.

The Square is a crossing at the crest of a hill. There should be order to the design of the Square and the luster of the city should be emphasized with a strong eye pattern. Since this is a crossroads and that is its most important image the design should not be so fixed and over designed that it cannot continue to be a crossroads and meeting place. However there should be some monumental expression that you are in fact in the center of the city. This expression should expand beyond the park site to all four corners of the Square. All four corners and the street should be designed in conjunction with the square and there should be public collaboration and control of the sites at and adjacent to the Square.

There should be a walk into and across the Square and the Square should become a link for a continuous sequence of spaces (i.e. going through the Presbyterian Churchyard, Seltzer’s Cemetery and Fourth Ward Park into Fourth Ward).

Scale has been lost at the Square and should be redefined without limiting pedestrian access. Some identity should be established along all of the four corners. A redefined scale of all four corners is critical to emphasize that this is in fact the center of the city and is the fulcrum of the city’s circulation.

The Square should also become the fulcrum of a new circulation pattern into the overstreet mall. Mall street-level openings through NCNB Plaza and through Independence Plaza should be emphasized.

The Charette team recommended the following:
1) That the technical staff prepare information for the designers that would assist the design process. The first category is to increase an understanding of the site:
   - How does it work?
   - Who goes there?
   - How did they get there?
   - What are the uses around the site?
   - What is its form in terms of the relationship to the region?
   - How is it experienced?
   - From a technical aspect there should be a study of sun angles and control.
2) There needs to be an understanding of the boundaries of the site. The 13,000 square foot site is not the space. It is a postage stamp within the space. The 13,000 square foot site is a space

In 1986 the major building development has pushed outward to the surrounding freeway loop. The Square still remains the center however competition with surrounding areas is strong.
that is part of the space that is defined by structures and buildings of different heights and different sizes. The design should be not of the 13,000 square feet but of the whole space, all four corners and areas leading into it.

Recommendation: That the city promote a public/private collaboration in which the private property owners as well as the public agencies collaborate to see to it that this space is designed and developed as space and not as an episode or series of postage stamps within the space.

3) Physically the Square is not a square but phenomenologically it is a square and this is the challenge that the designers need to respond to. The geometries that come together at the square are very confusing. There needs to be an understanding of how the space relates to the Square and the Square relates to the grid of the city. The grid sets aside the downtown as a distinct place defined by the expressways. That form is as a very powerful street corner.

Recommendation: The grid relationship (which is not of a 90% angle) needs to be explored and developed by the designers.

Recommendation: Use of trees should be a very important part of the design.

4) The residential areas are separated from the downtown only by the Fourth Ward extending to downtown, linked by a series of parks. The Third Ward is not linked.

Recommendation: Every effort should be made to unite residential areas to the downtown.

5) Historically the buildings created the space, so the square was the logical conclusion of the form that the streets spaces were defined, leading to the importance of the Square. Today the center of town is not obvious.

Recommendation: Street access retail should be encouraged in the areas immediately around the Square. This is very important to the success of the design of the space.

Recommendation: Find a way to join the interior mall space with the Square and surrounding street level retail. Consider the interior spaces of the shopping mall as an extension of the site. Create visual links from the various vistas. Attempt to redefine the traditional street frontage in order to re-establish the strength of the corners.

2 The Buildings

Architecturally, there is nothing in the existing buildings on the site that is worth saving. However, the buildings are a strong symbol of the historicity of the city and if possible, should be saved and incorporated into the design. There is no history of what took place at the Square other than through these remaining buildings.

Standing alone just as buildings, they are not strong enough to merit inclusion. There was some expression that we need to exhaust all possibilities to save the buildings because so much has been lost in the center city. There was also the expression that it is critical to maintain the scale established by the existing buildings (or by new buildings constructed to establish that scale) by extending the parapet and cornice lines around all four corners of the Square. The city should impose restrictions on property owners to create this scale at the Square. The city's guidelines should be firm so they cannot be violated by new buildings.

The buildings have a distinction of place. The value of the buildings is in (a) modulation of space and their potential use to set the scale for the Square (b) their distinction of place (c) their historicity and symbolism and (d) their use for park activities including the following:

- Storage of furniture
- Shelter for vendors
- Administration and site supervision
- Protection of equipment
- Performance and stage areas
- Interpretive center for the rich history of the Square

We must create a vision at the Square. The buildings are not a vision in themselves. However, with strong cornice lines and parapets extended across the face of the buildings and then along the side, rear of the buildings a "corner" could be created setting the scale for the entire Square. It would be good for that scale to then continue onto the proposed building on the Syncro property (through design control through zoning) and across the street on the Eckerd site (again through appropriate zoning and controls). The scale should also be carried in some fashion onto the very clear street space related to it. These street spaces were lined with retail stores, defining the space and leading to the importance of the Square. Today the center of town is not obvious.

It is critical to maintain the scale established by the existing buildings (or by new buildings constructed to establish that scale) by extending the parapet and cornice lines around all four corners of the Square. The City should impose restrictions on property owners to create this scale at the Square. The City's guidelines should be firm so they cannot be violated by new buildings.

Use the buildings to turn a strong corner and establish the scale and to maintain the historicity and cultural sense of place. Then use the buildings for community functions including possible office for the Historic Properties Commission meeting spaces storage information center flower market, historical interpretation, indoor push cart vendors, stage space, interpretive museum for the Square, etc. Use the buildings to reinforce the scale of the corners.

We should have no hesitancy about punching holes in the buildings to create stages and other usable space relating to the park.
3 Design

Having established the scale and a design medium that incorporates all four corners don't overlook design this particular park space. This is essentially a meeting place and should be maintained as such. Anything used to define scale and property lines should not inhibit the use of the property as a meeting place.

In addition to the pedestrian traffic analysis mentioned above, it would be good to study micro-climatic conditions and to have a sunlight analysis of the site with studies identifying the special areas and layers of the park with relation to the sun. i.e. can the sun be trapped at a higher level and brought into the park and what reflective sunlight will be in the park.

While it is good to study the proposed program criteria of events that might take place in the park, the space should primarily be a meeting space and should not be over-designed for specific uses. Certain criteria that were mentioned were the following:

- movable chairs
- flexible seating
- wooden benches
- seating at right angles
- big trees to re-enforce the form of the Square and to reflect the lushness of the city's tree cover
- shade
- sun
- light and shadow
- water
- a natural feature alcove within the park space
- a water curtain wall along the side of One Tryon Plaza which will be the rear of the recessed area in the park (similar to Paleys Park)
- sound of water
- provision for food and drink
- vendors located within the buildings and outside
- no amphitheater or elaborate stage
- no fussy ledges
- storage
- collapsible equipment
- plenty of electrical outlets (one designer referred to a park he had done which included stage lighting on an electrical meter operated by coin)
- care not to pre-empt standing and meeting space
- change of levels
- texture
- scale

The historic nature of the park should be stressed as this creates the uniqueness of the space at the crossroads and the center of the city. The history of the place could be stressed with historic photographs and a museum or interpretive center located within the buildings.

There was great stress placed on returning store fronts to street level in or in the vicinity of the park. Recognizing the court mandates the designers urged that adjoining properties have store fronts and that within the park there be plenty of vendors both indoor and outdoors. Consider putting vendors including flower markets etc. within the existing buildings opening out into the park.

—Beverly Webb Chair
Citizens Review Committee
BIOGRAPHIES OF CHARETTE PARTICIPANTS

**William H. Whyte**—Mr. Whyte is a writer, urbanologist, and founder of the Street Life Project. This organization determines what makes the difference between a public space that is actively and enjoyably used and one that receives no use at all or is misused. His work, which pioneered the use of time lapse filming in urban studies, has increased our understanding of the often overlooked design factors and management strategies that foster well utilized parks, plazas, streets, and sidewalks.

**Philip Morris** Executive Editor Southern Living. Mr. Morris has been actively involved in writing and participating in shaping the growth of southern cities. Major features he has authored for Southern Living on life in southern cities have included: "Shaping Livable Southern Cities" (Future of the South) When People Live Close (infill design and building), Best of the Low Country Old and New They're Going To Town "Making Cities Beautiful Again.

Mr. Morris is a member of the Urban Design and Planning Committee for the American Institute of Architects, Honorary Member of the American Society of Landscape Architects, and Loeb Fellow Harvard Graduate School of Design (1983–84).

**David Lewis, AIA**—Mr. Lewis is an architect, urban designer, author, lecturer, and partner with UDA Architects in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. A registered architect in seven states and the United Kingdom, Mr. Lewis has had a vital role in the redevelopment of many neighborhoods, communities, and cities. As a member of the National Committee for Regional/Urban Design Assistance Teams (R/UDAT) for the American Institute of Architects, David has become a Charrette veteran. He has been chairman of R/UDAT charrettes in Lansing, Michigan, New Orleans, Los Angeles, Indianapolis, and Marion, Indiana, and design consultant for many others.

Mr. Lewis has been featured in several periodicals including *AIA Journal*, *Process Architecture*, *American Arts Urban Design International*, and *Carnegie Magazine*. His publications include *The Pedestrian in the City: Urban Structures*, *The Growth of Cities*, and a co-guest editor *Community Design: by the People*.

**Charles Hight**—Mr. Hight is the Dean of the College of Architecture at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte, North Carolina. A professional engineer as well as a registered architect, Mr. Hight has been at the Charlotte campus since 1976. His professional activities include being a past President of the Association of College Schools of Architecture (ACSA) and a Board of Directors member for the American Institute of Architect's (AIA) Charlotte Section.

Mr. Hight has spoken at both ACSA and AIA conferences. His lecturing has included presentations at several universities. Mr. Hight has written several articles concerning people and urban environments. His photography has received several awards and been exhibited.

**Raymond L. Gindroz, AIA, APA**—Mr. Gindroz is an architect, urban designer, author, lecturer, and partner with UDA Architects in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. He is currently a visiting critic in urban design at Yale University and in architecture at Carnegie Mellon University. Major projects that Mr. Gindroz has been in charge include: Queensgate II Town Center in Cincinnati, Ohio, Liberty Center Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Decatur Town Center, Decatur, Georgia, and Randolph Community Plan, Richmond, Virginia.
PUBLIC HEARING

Proposed Amendments to the Charlotte Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance

June 10, 1986

On May 12, 1986, City Council authorized staff to hold a public hearing for the purpose of receiving input on proposed changes to the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance. The hearing was attended by seven representatives of the development community and three concerned citizens. Below is a summary of the issues and comments received:

**Issue** - Consider program needs relative to the overall needs of the community.
**Comment** - The justification for additional expense for the Erosion Control Program should be compared to other local needs, i.e. transportation, housing, etc.
**Staff** - The Sedimentation Pollution Control Act adopted by the State Legislature in 1973 established statewide standards for the control of erosion and sedimentation. Without a local program, erosion control requirements would be administered at the State level. The benefits of a local program over the State level include quicker response to citizens and shorter review times for permit approval.

**Issue** - The program should be defined at the local level.
**Comment** - Charlotte-Mecklenburg should define the standards and requirements for the local Erosion Control Program based on the needs of the local community.
**Staff** - The state model ordinance establishes minimum standards for the entire State; local ordinances may be more restrictive as necessary to meet local needs. The proposed amendments include revisions to conform to the state model ordinance as well as changes which will result in more effective enforcement procedures.

**Issue** - Fees should be equitable
**Comment** - Should the additional costs of an upgraded program be passed on to the development/contractor community?
**Staff** - The present Erosion Control Program is administered by several employees who have other duties as well, equivalent to approximately three and one-half persons. The recommended fees would recover the costs of the three additional positions required to administer the upgraded program. As a result, permit fees would be funding approximately fifty (50) per cent of the program cost. In comparison, Building Permit fees recover approximately sixty (60) per cent of the operating budget for the Mecklenburg County Building Standards Department. It is recognized the Grading Permit fees would be higher than those charged by other cities and counties in the southeast.

**Issue** - Provide restitution for owners of damaged property
**Comment** - The present ordinance does not provide for any restitution for owners of property damaged as result of a contractor's failure to comply with the law.
**Staff** - The ordinance requires preventive measures on construction sites in order to preclude any damage to downstream lakes and watercourses. The basis of the ordinance is consistent with other local and state regulatory ordinances in protecting the "public" as opposed to the individual property owner. The ordinance places responsibility for any damage on the person financially responsible for the land-disturbing activity and an individual may seek restitution from that person through the courts. In administering the local program, the maximum daily penalty ($100) will be assessed to violators whenever downstream sedimentation damage has occurred.
Issue- Cash bond requirement versus permit fees.

Comment- The City should require a cash bond in lieu of grading permit fees, in order to have the capability of taking corrective action whenever a contractor fails to comply.

Staff- The purpose of Grading Permit fees is to recover a portion of the costs of administering the program. Civil penalties and injunctive relief are included in the Ordinance as incentives for the responsible party to comply with the law. It is felt a cash bond is an administrative burden which provides no additional enforcement leverage when compared to a court injunction which halts all construction activity and the subsequent civil penalties.

A representative of the Charlotte Homebuilders Association stated they support the upgrade of the local Erosion Control Program. They do not wish for the program to be returned to the State level.
A DESCRIPTION OF SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO THE
EROSION CONTROL ORDINANCE

Section 2
Purpose

a) strengthens the purpose of the ordinance by requiring that pollution be prevented rather than controlled as stated in the existing ordinance.

Section 3
Definitions

b) the definition of Act is added.
m) the definition of Person Engaged in or Conducting Land-Disturbing Activity is replaced with the definition of Person Responsible for the Violation described as the landowner or person(s) financially responsible for land-disturbing activity.
n) Person Conducting Land-Disturbing Activity is added and described as the person held responsible for a violation.
r) the definition of Sedimentation is added.

Section 5
General Requirements

Specific requirements are outlined
a) erosion and sedimentation control plan.
b) protection of public and private property
c) application of the most restrictive rules.

Section 7
Mandatory Standards for Land-Disturbing Activity

d) a mandatory standard is added requiring prior plan approval and the issuance of a grading permit by the City Engineer.
e) establishes requirement for the party responsible for the land-disturbing activity or an agent of that party to meet with a representative of the City Engineer at the beginning of the land-disturbing activity to review the site and the approved erosion control plan. Failure to do so shall result in a violation of this ordinance.

Section 15
Existing Uncovered Areas

b) the revision requires the City Engineer to serve violators with a notice of violation rather than a notice to comply.
Section 16  

Permits

a. (3) clarifies that although sites comprising less than one contiguous acre do not require a permit, such activities are subject to all other requirements and penalties described in the Ordinance.
b. states that a fee will be required for each plan review and grading permit in accordance with a schedule established by City Council.

Section 17  

Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plans

b. a new paragraph requiring the submittal of erosion control plans to the City Engineer and that revised plans are to be submitted should the planned erosion control measures prove to be inadequate
c. a new paragraph requiring the submittal of a Financial Responsibility/Ownership form.
d. provides that failure of the City Engineer to approve or disapprove a complete erosion control plan within 30 days will constitute approval.

Section 19  

Inspections and Investigations

b. the revision requires that if a site is not in compliance, a notice of violation shall be served rather than a notice to comply

Section 20  

Penalties

A. Civil Penalties

(1) elaborates on the method of notice of violation - by registered, certified, or return requested mail, and the information included in the notice of violation - particulars of the violation, time period for correction, and future action should violation continue

(2) changes the party responsible for assessing civil penalties from the City Council to the City Engineer and the length of time from assessment to referral to the City Attorney is decreased from 60 days to 30 days

Revision 3/14/86
Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance
Grading Permit Fees
May 12, 1986

The City of Charlotte Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance adopted in 1975 specified a Grading Permit fee of $43.56 per graded acre. The fee has not been changed since that time, and in calendar year 1985, generated approximately $33,000 income. In order to avoid increases in the Operating Budget due to upgrading the Erosion Control Program, higher Grading Permit fees were investigated. To maintain the "status quo", the minimum fee for the upgraded program must recover the actual cost of the three additional staff positions, in addition to the income generated by the existing fee.

Administration of the Erosion Control Program will involve twenty-four staff members in the Engineering Department; however, this same staff also performs several other engineering duties. The Program costs discussed below are based on the estimated percentage of their time each employee will devote to the Erosion Control Program and the full cost (salary, vehicle mileage, office space, etc.) of each employee to the City in 1986 dollars. This is an accepted method of accounting the costs of an activity. However, if the local Erosion Control Program were discontinued, these staff members could not be eliminated - their responsibilities would fall to the Engineer Department. The preferred structure for a new fee recognizes the concept that there is a fixed cost associated with each Grading Permit regardless of the number of acres involved. Such fixed costs are primarily for plan review. Inspection needs can be associated with incremental increases in the number of acres being graded. As a result, the recommended permit fee is based on a uniform fixed cost plus an incremental increase based on the number of acres being graded.

Alternative fee schedules have been evaluated in detail:

1) Increase fees to recover the cost of the three additional staff positions:
The Grading Permit fee would be $200 plus $115 per graded acre, producing an estimated annual income of $122,000. This results in the upgrade of the Erosion Control Program having no impact on the existing Operating Budget. The estimates indicate $122,000 is approximately one-half of the total cost of the Program. The City would be effectively co-equal sharing the cost of the Program with the development community.

2) Initially recover the cost of the three positions and increase fees over five years to ultimately recover the full cost of administering the Program - The Grading Permit fee would be $700 plus $115 per graded acre in the first year. The fee would increase to $420 plus $255 per graded acre in the fifth year, producing an estimated annual income of $259,000. Over the five year period, the General Fund would benefit by the Program becoming fully self-supporting.

In order to base Grading Permit fees on Program costs, it would be necessary to track the actual costs of administering the Program. The proposed amendments to the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance would authorize the City Manager to adjust the fee each year to reflect the schedule chosen and the actual costs being incurred. The attached chart compares the cost of typical permits for both alternatives to the existing fee.
## COMPARISON OF ALTERNATE GRADING PERMIT FEES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXISTING FEE</th>
<th>ALTERNATE 1</th>
<th>ALTERNATE 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAR 1</td>
<td>YEAR 2</td>
<td>YEAR 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ACRE SITE</td>
<td>$43.56</td>
<td>$31.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 ACRE SITE</td>
<td>$130.68</td>
<td>$54.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 ACRE SITE</td>
<td>$435.60</td>
<td>$1,350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Boards, Committees, Commissions, Authorities - January 1986

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Chairman</th>
<th>Meeting Day &amp; Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ADVISORY BOARD FOR CITIZENS WITH DISABILITIES</td>
<td>Frank Mansfield, c/o Goodwill Industries P O Box 668768 (28266) 2122 Freedom Dr 372-3434</td>
<td>4th Thursday (Quarterly) Farrier in Dec 3 30 p.m.</td>
<td>Various architecturally-accessible locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Carla Ashton Comm. Relations 366-2424</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ADVISORY ENERGY COMMISSION</td>
<td>Mary Dawn Bailey, Faculty Research &amp; Devel UNCC Station(28223) 597-2179</td>
<td>3rd Thursday (Quarterly) 3 00 - 5 00 p.m.</td>
<td>Third Floor Conference Room City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff, Edward Shepard Gen. Serv Dept 336-2582</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John M Belk, Beul Stores Services P O Box 31788 (28231) 372-8216</td>
<td>2nd Tuesday (Monthly) 8 00 a.m.</td>
<td>Conference Room A Terminal Bldg. - Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Airport Mgr. 398-4000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMITTEE</td>
<td>G Michael Luhn, 1851 W Independence Blvd (28208) 375-1705</td>
<td>3rd Tuesday (Monthly) 6 15 p.m.</td>
<td>Board Room, A-102 Double Oaks Community Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Kirk T Grosch, Ex Dir P O Box 34188 (28234) 372-3010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wm H. Williamson III 850 First Union Plaza (28282) 376-9881</td>
<td>Meets as required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Milton Bloch Mnt Museum, 501 Hempstead Pl (28207) 334-9777</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CHARLOTTE AREA FUND BOARD</td>
<td>Martin L. Brackett, Jr. 1900 Independence Ctr. 101 N. Tryon St (28246) 377-8347</td>
<td>2nd Tuesday (Monthly, except July &amp; August) 11 00 a.m.</td>
<td>Convention Center Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Ms. Pat Andrews 372-3600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CHAR/MECK. ART COMMISSION</td>
<td>Albert H. Mandell 1310 Woodberry Rd (28212)</td>
<td>Called when needed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Henry Underhill City Attorney 336-2254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. AUDITORIUM-COLISEUM-CONVENTION CENTER AUTHORITY</td>
<td>Paul E Gibson, Pres Alexander-Gibson &amp; Co 4701 Hedgemore Dr. Suite 104 (28209) 523-8753</td>
<td>Wednesday (Quarterly) Also special meetings 12 00 Noon or 4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Environmental Health Dept. or Community Development Dept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff A. C. Shull, C. D. Dept. 336-2410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>CHAIRMAN</td>
<td>MEETING DAY &amp; TIME</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9. CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE - CONVENTION &amp; VISITORS BUREAU</strong></td>
<td>Ruth Castleberry</td>
<td>701 N Poplar St (28202) or P. O Box 35213 (28235)</td>
<td>Usually 3rd Floor Conference Room, Charlotte Fire Dept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Evelyn Welch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377-4016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10. CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR HAZARDOUS CHEMICALS</strong></td>
<td>Truman L Koehler, Pres</td>
<td>Not established</td>
<td>City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Luther Fincher, Jr.</td>
<td>Sandoz Colors &amp; Chemicals</td>
<td>Usually 3:00 - 5:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Dept. 336-2461 or 2412</td>
<td>4000 Monroe Rd (28205)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372-0210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11. CIVIL SERVICE BOARD</strong></td>
<td>Jan Thompson</td>
<td>2nd Wednesday (Monthly) 8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Operations Dept Conference Room, Suite 500 Cameron-Brown Bldg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Pat Sharkey, City Clerk</td>
<td>2621 Croydon Rd. (28209)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333-0634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>12. CHARLOTTE CLEAN CITY COMMITTEE</strong></td>
<td>John Kingry</td>
<td>3rd Thursday (Monthly)</td>
<td>C/M Utility Department 5100 Brookshire Blvd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Barbara Gammon</td>
<td>Duke Power Co P O Box 33189 (28242) 373-4441</td>
<td>8:00 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations Dept. 336-2547</td>
<td>4000 Monroe Rd (28205)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>13 COMMUNITY FACILITIES COMMITTEE</strong></td>
<td>Lloyd Baucom</td>
<td>4th Tuesday (Monthly)</td>
<td>Covenant Presbyterian Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Karen McCotter</td>
<td>P O Box 35246 (28235)</td>
<td>3:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Dept 399-2221</td>
<td>376-6527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>14. COMMUNITY RELATIONS COMMITTEE</strong></td>
<td>Dr Leon C Riddick</td>
<td>4th Wednesday (bimonthly)</td>
<td>United Way 301 S. Brevard St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Robert J. Stith</td>
<td>Mt. Carmel Baptist Church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336-2424</td>
<td>3201 Tuckaseegee Rd. (28208)</td>
<td>4:30 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15. COMMUNITY RESOURCES BOARD</strong></td>
<td>Vicki J Hopkins</td>
<td>4th Thursday (Monthly, except Dec)</td>
<td>United Way Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Vivian P. Hamilton</td>
<td>6131 Patrick Place (28210)</td>
<td>4:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372-7170</td>
<td>554-1324</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16. COUNCIL ON AGING</strong></td>
<td>Robert A. Day, Ph D</td>
<td>2nd Tuesday (Monthly)</td>
<td>1229 Greenwood Cliff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Beverly S. Patnaik</td>
<td>301 South Brevard St (28202)</td>
<td>4:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372-7170</td>
<td>597-4072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17. FIREMEN'S RELIEF BOARD OF TRUSTEES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuesday (Quarterly)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18. HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: Carl Flick</td>
<td>Kirk Otey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Dept.: 336-2205</td>
<td>1211 E Worthington Ave (28203) 375-4668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd Tuesday (Monthly) 9 00 a.m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin Towers</td>
<td>201 W. 10th St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>19. HISTORIC PROPERTIES COMMISSION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: Mary Lynn Morrill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1225 S Caldwell St. (28203) 376-9115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Floor Conference Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>20. HOUSING APPEALS BOARD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: George A Elam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. D. Dept 336-2439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>21. HOUSING AUTHORITY</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: Ray H. Wheeling, Exe Dir. 1301 South Blvd. (28203) 332-0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority's Administrative Offices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>22. INSURANCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: Freida Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ins &amp; Risk Mgmt. 336-3301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS Dept. Conference Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>23. MUNICIPAL INFORMATION ADVISORY BOARD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: Roberta B. Harris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS Dept. 336-2914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Floor Conference Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>24. PARADE PERMIT COMMITTEE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff: Clary Phipps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT 336-3893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission Conf.Rm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **27. PRIVATE INDUSTRY COUNCIL**  
Staff Emp. & Training 336-3101 | George R. Morgan  
Craftsman Printing Co  
12211 Westinghouse Blvd. (28210)  
588-2120 | 2nd Wednesday  
(Monthly)  
7:45 a.m. | Chamber of Commerce  
129 W Trade St |
| **28. SAFETY ACTION COMMITTEE**  
Staff Wendell C. Fox  
Police Dept. 336-2311 | Mattye B. Silverman  
P. O. Box 31144 (28231)  
373-1700 | 2nd Tuesday  
(Monthly)  
8:15 a.m. | Law Enforcement Center  
Third Floor,  
Large Conference Room |
| **29. SISTER CITIES COMMITTEE**  
Staff Gwen P. Harvey  
Mayor's Office 336-2244 | Manuel Zapata  
2916 Rockbrook Dr (28211)  
373-8697 (office) | Last Thursday  
(bi-monthly)  
7:30 p.m. | Training Center  
City Hall Annex |
| **30. SPECIALIZED TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
Staff Carolyn L. Davis  
DOT 336-3860 | Robert (Bob) Misfeldt  
3111 Eastburn Road (28210)  
553-0899 | 4th Monday  
(Quarterly)  
4:30 p.m. | Spirit Square |
| **31. SPIRIT SQUARE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
Staff Sharon Showmar  
318 N Tryon St. (28202)  
372-9664 | Roberto Suarez  
Knight Publishing Company  
P. O. Box 32188 (28232)  
379-6353 | 2nd Wednesday  
(Monthly)  
12:30 p.m. | Spirit Square |
| **32. TAXICAB REVIEW BOARD**  
Staff D. R. Stone  
Police Dept. 336-2997 | D. R. Stone  
Police Dept  
336-2997 | Called as needed | Law Enforcement Center |
| **33. TREE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
Staff Marmon Thompson  
Park Operations 333-1261 | Gary Morgan  
1990 First Union Plaza (28282)  
395-6220 | 3rd Thursday  
(Monthly)  
7:30 p.m. | Park Operations Office  
Conference Room |
| **34. UPTOWN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS**  
Staff Susanne D. Martin  
376-1164 | R Malloy McKeithen  | As needed | Generally 7th Floor  
Conference Room  
NCNB Tower |
| **35. YOUTH INVOLVEMENT COUNCIL ADVISORY BOARD**  
Staff Phillips Shoemaker  
700 Parkwood Avenue (28205)  
335-1683 | Gary Maultsby  
1521 E Morehead St (28207)  
332-1808 | Tuesday  
6:00 p.m. | City Hall |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>CHAIRMAN</th>
<th>MEETING DAY &amp; TIME</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36. ZONE WRECKER REVIEW BOARD</td>
<td>D R Stone</td>
<td>Called as needed</td>
<td>Law Enforcement Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff. D. R. Stone</td>
<td>Police Dept</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Dept. 336-2997</td>
<td>336-2997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>Last Tuesday</td>
<td>County Commissioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff. Bonita Horne</td>
<td>(Monthly, except Dec)</td>
<td>Board Room</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. Standards Dept.</td>
<td>200 p.m</td>
<td>720 E. 4th St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHARLOTTE CLEAN CITY COMMITTEE

(20 Members)

Membership - Appointments are for three-year terms and appointees may reside anywhere in Mecklenburg County. No member may serve more than two consecutive full terms.

Responsibilities - Litter control ordinances and education; solid waste management recommendations; recycling projects; and prison alternatives through community service work programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>ORIGINAL APPTMT.</th>
<th>RE-APPTMT.</th>
<th>TERM EXPIRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(C) Millie Milsted W/F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6/09/86</td>
<td>6/09/86</td>
<td>3 yrs. 6/30/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Nancy Garber W/F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/28/85</td>
<td>7/11/83</td>
<td>3 yrs. 6/30/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) Mary McDaniel W/F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10/06/80</td>
<td>6/13/83</td>
<td>3 yrs. 6/30/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Priscilla Duncan B/F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/08/82</td>
<td>6/09/86</td>
<td>Unexp. 6/30/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) Jon S. Jenrette</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/07/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Craig H. Runley</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11/13/84</td>
<td>6/10/85</td>
<td>3 yrs. 6/30/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Joanna H. Roberts</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/10/84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) Ethel Grier</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6/10/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Ernest Phipps W/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10/28/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Joseph H. Spencer, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/10/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Jolene Baker W/F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11/16/81</td>
<td>6/10/85</td>
<td>3 yrs. 6/30/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Eric A. Peterson W/M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7/08/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) Nancy Mayer W/F</td>
<td></td>
<td>1/25/83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Lois Barnhill B/F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/08/84</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp. 6/30/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Jane Howard Billings W/F</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/10/85</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unexp. 6/30/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) William Gorelick W/M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9/13/82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Ruth Morris B/F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11/16/81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) Willie DeShields B/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7/13/84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*(M) John M. Kingry W/M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7/13/84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chairman
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Charlotte Clean City Committee

Name           Suzan Singletary   Sex/Race   F/W   District No.   

Home Address   7501 Cedar Point Lane   Phone No. 542-0751

Business Address Wang Laboratories, Inc. 5250 '77 Center Dr   Phone No. 527-4375

Education      BA in Psychology and English, Pfeiffer College 1977

Present Employer Wang Laboratories, Inc.

Job Title      System Consultant   Duties Computer presales consultant for hardware and software.

Business & Civic Experience Business experience has been computer related for the last seven years. Manage local mailing database for Loaves & Fishes in Charlotte.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise Main interests are in outdoors related activities. Main skills are in organizing, communications, and record keeping

Comments      As a runner I have seen the results of the neglect many people have when it comes to our city's beauty. I am appalled by the lack of concern for this. I want to be part of the solution.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date 3 July 1986   Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Committee for a Cleaner Charlotte

Name: Janice Yvonne James
Sex/Race: F/W
District No.: 5

Home Address: 7214 Lawyers Rd
Phone No.: 704-536-2655

Business Address: 508 S. Kings Dr.
Phone No.: 904-374-5386

Education: High School Diploma

Present Employer: NCNB
Job Title: Teller
Duties: Paying & Receiving deposits, etc.

Business & Civic Experience

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise

Comments: I have no real experience or expertise, just a desire to make our city a cleaner, better place.

Understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: July 1, 1986
Signature of Applicant: Janice Yvonne James

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended whenever possible.

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES.

City Clerk
1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: CLEAN CITY COMMITTEE

Name: Ferenc Frank Sajgo
Sex/Race: Male/Cauc. District No.

Home Address: 2201 Rocky Knoll Dr., Charlotte 28210
Phone No.: 553-1688

Business Address
Phone No.

Education: B.S. Chemistry

Former Employer: Sandoz Chemical Corporation, Charlotte.

Job Title: Senior Corporate Research Chemist, Retired.
Duties: To synthesize new products at the best yield, at higher purity, at lower cost, at minimum health hazard and with no toxic by-products.

Business & Civic Experience


Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise

To develop a comprehensive plan based on-site-research to improve Charlotte image as Queen City-Clean City. The research would be directed to improve the cleanliness of the city without increase the cost of services. The improvements could be achieved through motivation and activation of the citizens and business community by the city's "clean city committee".

Comments

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

July 1, 1986
Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
500 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended.

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES

City Clerk
1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applicants should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: CLEAN CITY COMMITTEE

Name KELLEY V. KUNICKI  Sex/Race W/F District No. 1

Home Address 2630 WEDDINGTON AVENUE CHARLOTTE, NC 28204 Phone No. 376-2181

Business Address 230 S. TRYON ST. SUITE 240 CHARLOTTE, NC 28202 Phone No. 374-0999

Education GRADUATED MYERS PARK HIGH SCHOOL 1971, HAVE ATTENDED CPCC, UNCC, GUILFORD COLLEGE
CPCC (ACTING COURSES), UNCC (PHYSICS SEMINARS), GUILFORD COLLEGE (GENERAL)

Present Employer LAT PURSER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Job Title OFFICE MANAGER Duties RESPONSIBLE FOR TELEPHONES, RECEPTION, OFFICE TROUBLESHOOTING, AND ONE EMPLOYEE.

Business & Civic Experience HAVE A VARIETY OF WORK EXPERIENCE: OFFICE WORK, CUSTOMER SERVICE, SALES. RECENTLY WORKED FOR TEL/MAN LONG DISTANCE COMPANY FOR 3½ YEARS. WORKED FOR HARVEY GANTT'S REELECTION CAMPAIGN. CHARLOTTE NATIVE.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise AM VERY COMMITTED TO THE IDEA OF KEEPING CHARLOTTE A CLEAN CITY, ESPECIALLY WITH THE INFLUX OF NEW CITIZENS AND INDUSTRY. WOULD LIKE TO ORGANIZE A VOLUNTEER CLEAN-UP SERVICE FOR PROBLEM AREAS OR SPECIALTY PROBLEMS.

Comments AS A CHARLOTTE NATIVE, IT AFFECTS ME DEEPLY TO SEE STREETS STREWN WITH TRASH, OR PEOPLE LITTERING. THIS IS MY WAY OF DOING SOMETHING ABOUT THE PROBLEM.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date 7/07/86 Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Clean Community

Name
Jo G. Lammonds

Sex/Race: W/F

District No.: 5

No. Home Address
1201 Meadowood Lane

Phone No. 364-2566

Business Address
700 East Trade Street

Phone No. 373-6804

Education
BA - Sociology Enrichment Programs

Present Employer
State of North Carolina, Administrative Office of the Court

Job Title
Court Counselor - Supervisor

Duties
Assignment of cases, review of cases, etc.

Business & Civic Experience
Past Treasurer of Mecklenburg County Court Volunteers, /Board member of N. C. Court Counselor Association, Mental Health Association, Altar Guild at St. Mark Lutheran Church, Secretary of Booster Club at Randolph Jr. High School.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise
Interest in gardening, music, art, sports

Comments
My native Charlottean background makes me proud of Charlotte and I want to continue to see Charlotte as a beautiful city.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

9-9-86

Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor’s Office or a City Councilmember is recommended.

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES.
Membership - Terms for initial appointees were staggered - three for one-year terms; three for two-year terms; and three for three-year terms. Thereafter, all appointments shall be for three years. No member shall serve more than two consecutive three-year terms. The Commission shall elect a chairperson from among the members to serve for a one-year term.

Responsibilities - Established by joint resolution of City Council (November 23, 1981) and the County Commission (February 15, 1982) to accept the responsibility for expanding experience with visual art by directing the inclusion of works of art in public projects of the City and County; examining the condition of such works of art annually and reporting to the two governing bodies with recommendations for their care, maintenance, improvement, documentation, appraisal, security, etc.; and, encouraging and helping obtain additional grants and gifts from outside sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>DIST.</th>
<th>BUSINESS/PROFESSION</th>
<th>ORIGINAL APPTMT.</th>
<th>RE-APPTMT. TERM EXPIRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Deborah Cooper</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Visual Arts Spec. Public Schools</td>
<td>4/05/82</td>
<td>7/08/85 3 yrs. 7/31/88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Robert Cheek W/M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Art Counselor &amp; Appraiser</td>
<td>7/12/82</td>
<td>7/11/83 3 yrs. 7/31/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M) Dr. Patricia A. Ashley W/F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools</td>
<td>2/15/83</td>
<td>7/23/84 3 yrs. 7/31/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*William H. Williamson, III W/M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/03/84</td>
<td>3 yrs. 7/31/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanne Brayboy B/F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/21/83</td>
<td>3 yrs. 7/31/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Taylor W/M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/03/84 3 yrs. 7/31/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts &amp; Science Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/31/87</td>
<td>7/31/87 7/31/86 7/31/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Killian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/31/87 7/31/86 7/31/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clara Couch W/F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/31/87 7/31/86 7/31/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles D. Rogers B/M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/31/87 7/31/86 7/31/83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chairman
APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT

Applicants should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: CHARLOTTE-MECK ART COMMISSION

Name: JOSEtte GOURLEY ARVey Sex/Race: F/W District No.

Home Address: 1946 MC ILROY ROAD Phone No.: 568-8201

Business Address: SAME Phone No.: 568-8201

Education: IN SWITZERLAND - HIGH SCHOOL & ART SCHOOL

Present Employer: SELF

Job Title: PAINTER Duties:


Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: WATERCOLOR - COMMERCIAL ART BOTANICAL & BIOLOGICAL WORK INTERESTS & SKILLS: GARDENING, HIKING, MUCH TRAINING, READING.

Comments: I FEEL THE NEED TO PARTICIPATE MORE IN CHARLOTTE'S CIVIC LIFE AND AM QUALIFIED IN THIS PARTICULAR AREA.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: JULY 1, 1986 Signature of Applicant: JOSEtte GOURLEY ARVey

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk City Clerk
600 East Trade Street, Suite 207
Charlotte, North Carolina 28208

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk
1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: ART COMMISSION

Name BEN N. PFINGSTAG Sex/Race M/W District No. (Mathews)

Home Address 2400 Kimway Dr., Matthews, 28105 Phone No. 847-8919

Business Address UNIV. OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHARLOTTE (VISUAL ARTS DEPT.) Phone No. 597-2424

Education B.A., SAN FRANCISCO STATE U. (ART & HISTORY); M.A. SAN FRANCISCO STATE U. (PAINTING)
M.A., STATE UNIV. OF NEW YORK AT BINGHAMTON (ART HISTORY); PH.D., (ART HISTORY)

Present Employer UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHARLOTTE & QUEENS COLLEGE, CHARLOTTE

Job Title VISITING LECTURER / ADJUNCT PROFESSOR Duties VARIOUS TOPICS IN ART HISTORY, FROM INTRODUCTORY COURSES TO SEMIAR LEVELS OF INSTRUCTION

Business & Civic Experience AT UNC-CHARLOTTE, I AM ALSO SPECIAL PROJECTS COORDINATOR FOR PROGRAMS IN THE HUMANITIES, WHICH CONCERNS COURSES AND SEMINARS TARGETED DIRECTLY TO THE GENERAL COMMUNITY (THE INTERESTED PUBLIC). THIS IS THROUGH THE OFFICE OF CONTINUING EDUCATION AT UNC-CHARLOTTE.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise 1. THE GENERAL FLOW OF ART HISTORY, AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO SOCIETY, HOW ART WAS/IS CAN BE, A REFLECTION OF A CULTURE/CIVILIZATION'S IDEAS, VALUES, & ASPIRATIONS AT A PARTICULAR POINT IN TIME 2. PAINTING, HISTORICAL INTERPRETATION OF ARTIFACTS, 17TH, 18TH & EARLY 20TH CENTURY ART.

Comments MY REASON FOR APPLYING IS A DESIRE TO CONTRIBUTE SOMETHING OF MY FIELD (AREA, EXPERTISE) BEYOND THE CLASSROOM, AND TO LEARN MORE ABOUT THE INTERACTION OF ART AND THE ART PROCESS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date July 1, 1986 Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES

City Clerk 1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Art Commission

Name: Duna Angel Butterworth  Sex/Race: Female

Home Address: 1438 Queens Rd, W. Phone No.: 375-1187

Business Address

Education: Randolph-Macon Woman's College - Lynchburg, Va. - 1947-49
Rippling School of Art - Sarasota, Fla. - 1949-50 Art Students League - N.Y.C.

Present Employer: Central Piedmont Community College - Charlotte, N.C.

Job Title: Art Instructor (part time)

Duties: Teach watercolor

Business & Civic Experience:

Watercolor instructor - Chester Arts Council - winter, 1971
Freelance writer - Charlotte Magazine - Charlotte, N.C. - 1980-84
President - Charlotte Writers Club - 1982-84
President, Guild of Charlotte Artists - 1976-77
President - Friends of the Mint Museum - 1972-73
Board member - Arts & Science Council - 1979-81
Artists Advisory Board - Mint Museum 1971-84

I have organized art tours abroad annually for the Mint Museum of Art - 1975-1983
and have continued organizing my own overseas tours - 1984-86. In the process, I have
familiarized myself with public art in cities around the world and feel I have a great
detail of expertise in this area. When I was a member of the Board of the Arts & Science
Council, I proposed the idea and adoption of an Arts Commission for Charlotte and
worked diligently for three years toward its acceptance by the City & County. I have always
understood that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: July 1, 1986

Signature of Applicant: Duna A. Butterworth

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk
1983
City of Charlotte

Application for Appointment

Charlotte/Mecklenburg Art Commission
Committee, Commission, Board or Authority

Name Leslie R. von Pongracz
Sex/Race White
District No. 7

Home Address 1114 Silkwood Court, Pineville, N.C. 28134
Phone No.: 541-5406
Business Address same
Education Royal Hungarian Academy of Fine Arts, Budapest, Hungary

Present Employer Selfemployed
Job Title Designer-Artist
Duties Commercial Art, Fine Art

Secco, Fresco, Scraffito, Stained Glass, Oil, Tempera, Aquarel, Arch'l Renderings,
Air Brush, Illustrations.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: Over 30 years of international (Europe, South America, North America) experience in
Design, Graphic Arts, Fine Arts.

Comments

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.
July 2, 1986

Date
Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended, however.

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Charlotte Mecklenburg Art Comm

Name: Regina Finster
Sex/Race: F/R

Home Address: 2121 Kirkwood Ave
Phone No: 334-4114

Business Address: Same
Phone No: 

Education: UNCC Creative Arts degree, minor in psychology

Present Employer: Self-employed Fine Artist

Job Title: 
Duties: 

Business & Civic Experience: Business experience is related to knowledge of and contact with area galleries and non-profit organizations such as Spirit Square and how they function.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: The method in which the visual arts are communicated to the public, and a good relationship between the art and business. I have good analytical and communicative skills and can articulate with written and verbal language

Comments: 

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: 7/1/86
Signature of Applicant:

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: MECKLENBURG ACT (City/State)

Name: SKULLBECK

Sex/Race: W

District No.: 7

Home Address: WHITE HALL, N.C. MATTHEWS

Business Address: 19 E. 7th, CHARLOTTE

Phone No.: 334-5345

Phone No.: 334-5167

Education: BIOLOGY BACKGROUND, 3YRS COLLEGE, INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Present Employer: SKULLBECK GALLERY

Job Title: OWNER

Duties: EVERYTHING

ASSOCIATED WITH OWNING AN ART GALLERY

Business & Civic Experience: OPERATE A GALLERY, BUY & SELL CONTEMPORARY CRAFTS, 2YRS AS VISUAL ARTS CHAIRMAN, SPRINGFEST, 14YRS AS VISUAL ART ADVISOR, SPRINGFEST, BOARD OF DIRECTORS, SPRINGFEST, INC.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: WORKING WITH ART, PRODUCING (AS A HUSBAND) ART (PAINTING, DRAWING, SKETCHING), WORK WELL WITH OTHERS, GET THE JOB DONE

Comments: I'M INTERESTED IN THE PUBLIC'S REACTION TO ART. I TRY TO EDUCATE THEM TO SEE THE TECHNIQUE FINDS ITSELF TO THE AESTHETICS OF THE PIECE

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: 7/14/86

Signature of Applicant: [Signature]

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended.

City Clerk
1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Committee, Commission, Board of Authority: Charlotte/Mecklenburg Art Commission

Name: Elizabeth H. McAdams  Sex/Race: F/W  District No.: 7

Home Address: 7513 Kilcullen Drive, Matthews, N.C. 28105  Phone No.: (704) 366-0657

Business Address: Same as above. (This is in City of Char.) Phone No. Same as above.

Education: To receive Associate Degree in Advertising Design, CPCC. 3½ yrs. toward BA in Art. Plan to complete by 1989. (Ed. stopout military wife.)


Job Title: Owner  Duties: All aspects of bus. Concept & design of business communications: logos, brochures, newsletters, etc.


Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: Youth problems, music, theatre, dance, technology. Writing Proposals for Grants Workshop. Avocation, carry out reg. research on social trends & practices. Published writer. Special art skills: camera-ready art, illustration, portraiture, designing w/ type, photography, darkroom.

Comments: I have special skills that are not possessed by everyone and believe I can best serve my community by contributing these skills. This board is the logical choice.

July 7, 1986, Elizabeth H. McAdams  Date

Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor’s Office or a City Councilmember is recommended.

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

**City of Charlotte:ct.**

**Application for Appointment**

**Committee, Commission, Board or Authority:** Charlotte/Mecklenburg Art Commission

**Name:** Do Fleck

**Sex/Race:**

**Home Address:** 3714 Country Ridge Rd., 28226  
**Phone No.:** 552-1737

**Business Address:** 600 S. Tryon St., 28202  
**Phone No.:** 379-6896

**Education:** B.S./Purdue University

**Present Employer:** Knight Publishing Co. (The Charlotte Observer)

**Job Title:** Community Events Manager

**Duties:**

charge of events the newspaper sponsors including The Charlotte Observer Marathon, Carolina Moonride, Scholastic Art competition, All Star Scholars, Spelling Bee, etc.

**Business & Civic Experience:**

Board of Directors of Charlotte Public Relations Society, Charlotte Convention & Visitors Bureau Attraction Committee, member of Mint Museum, past board member of Voluntary Action Center, member of Women in Communication, board member of the North Carolina Print & Drawing Society.

**Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise:** My position at Knight Publishing Co. includes supervision and arranging for all the exhibits in The Lobby, as well as the art collection of KPC, purchasing art for the company and installing same in the building. I am also Chairman of the Regional Scholastic Art Steering Committee and as co-sponsor of the competition am in charge of all aspects of this competition.

**Comments:** I feel that my experience with the art program at Knight Publishing Co. and my personal interest in art along with my experience in the community would be an asset to this committee's work.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

**Signature of Applicant:**

**Date:** 7/7/86

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

**Office of the City Clerk**  
600 East Trade Street St.  
Charlotte North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended

**City Clerk**

1983

Please do not submit resumes.
YOUTH INVOLVEMENT COUNCIL ADVISORY BOARD

(12 Members)

Membership - Appointments are made for two-year terms.

Purpose - To provide counsel, support and assistance to the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Youth Involvement Council, as requested, in achieving that organization's goals and objectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>DIST.</th>
<th>BUSINESS/PROFESSION</th>
<th>ORIGINAL APPTMT.</th>
<th>APPTMT. TERM</th>
<th>EXPIRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(M) Ella Hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8/15/84</td>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>8/15/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Thomas H. Hunter, III</td>
<td></td>
<td>Real Estate App.</td>
<td>8/13/84</td>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>8/15/86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Marjorie R. Belton</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ret. Guild. Couns.</td>
<td>8/13/84</td>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>8/15/86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairman: Gary Maultsby
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advisory Board / Youth Involvement Council

Name DORIS O'DOLO Sex/Race: BLACK District No. 

Home Address 1503-T2 EASTCREST DRIVE Phone No. 519-2956

Business Address 125 S DAVIDSON STREET Phone No. 336-2101

Education B.S. DEGREE HEALTH EDUCATION - Appalachian State University - Preparing for Graduate School - Counseling

Present Employer FIRE PREVENTION BUREAU - CITY OF CHARLOTTE

Job Title FIRE INSPECTOR/EDUCATOR Duties: Inspect buildings, teach fire/life safety classes on all levels of education, and for fire/life safety hazards. Counselor for juvenile fire setters.

Business & Civic Experience WAS ELECTED VICE CHAIRMAN ON ADVISORY BOARD OFFJOHN HEALTHCARE SERVICES, SERVED SECOND YEAR AS CHAIRMAN, SECRETARY OF AGING RESOURCE NETWORK COMMITTEE, APPOINTED DIRECTOR OF CHRISTIAN EDUCATION AT MY CHURCH, SUNDAY SCHOOL TEACHING BEGINNERS, SECRETARY OF YOUTH ADULT MISSIONARIES Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: READING, WRITING AND THE "ARTS"

ENJOY JOGGING, BIKE RIDING, ROLLER SKATING AND SWIMMING.

ACQUIRED SKILLS IN THE SCIENCES AND THE BIBLE.

CAN TYPE, USE ADDING MACHINES, OPERATE PROJECTORS, CAMERAS AND OCR'S. ALSO A RESEARCHER AND WRITER, AUTHOR OF ONE UNPUBLISHED BOOK (SO FAR)

Comments: I AM INTERESTED IN SERVING ON THE ADVISORY BOARD TO THE YOUTH. I HAVE GREAT CONCERN FOR OUR YOUTH AND THEIR FUTURES.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date June 30, 1986 Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
800 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended

Please do not submit resumes.

City Clerk 1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advisory Board to Youth Involvement Council

Full Name: JANICE YVONNE JAMES Sex/Race: F/W District: No. 5

Home Address: 7214 LAWYERS RD Phone No.: 414-536-2555

Business Address: 508 S KINGS DR Phone No.: 374-5386

Education: High School Diploma

Present Employer: NCNB

Job Title: Teller Duties: Paying and Receipt deposits, working with public etc.

Business & Civic Experience: Taught youth (Various Ages) in Sunday School at my church, serve on Youth Committee at same church, worked with foster children through Baptist Association, Girl Scout Leader for 2 years

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: Working with youth, interested in getting involved in local government

Comments:

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Signed: YVONNE JAMES

Date: July 1, 1986

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City Committees. Applications should be sent to the Mayor's Office. Personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council Member is recommended.
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority:  Advisory Board to the Youth Involvement Council

Name: Marlene Hayes Miller

Sex/Race: Female

District No.

Home Address: 6506 Wisteria #5 Char, NC 28210

Business Address: 1619 Central Char, NC 28212

Phone No.: 553 0029

Education: AS Correcational Science, fourth year Criminal Justice, currently enrolled

Gardner Webb  Licensed teacher cosmetology Mo Ill NC Sc la Fl

Present Employer: Plaza College of Beauty

Job Title: Teacher

Duties: Instructional

practical and theory cosmetology  work with state agencies  student counseling

Business & Civic Experience: Owner three previous beauty salons (Ill, Mo, NC)  worked in Head Start Program and volunteer State Hospital Mo  involvement school programs as children growing up  Director of two beauty schools (Fl, Ill)  manager mobile home parks (Fl)

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: enjoy travel, swimming, reading, people oriented

all skills involving hairdressing and teaching  proficient verbal and written skills

public speaking  organization and planning

Comments: My current degree is in correction Science with 95% of credits needed for a BS in criminal justice  a great emphasis has been placed on classes in juvenile justice  I hope one day to work with status offenders  for I strongly believe more needs to be done to strengthen our future adults

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date: 7/1/86

Signature of Applicant: [Signature]

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees  Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
City of Charlotte

Application for Appointment

Name: Laurel S. Campbell

Sex/Race: Female

Home Address: 723 Brockbank Rd., Charlotte, NC 28209

Phone No.: 527-8438

Business Address: Presbyterian Hospital, 200 Hawthorne Lane, 28233 Phone No.: 371-4148

Education: BSN 1982 College of Nursing, University of Tennessee-Memphis

Currently enrolled, Master's of Science in Nursing program, UNCC

Present Employer: Presbyterian Hospital, Department of Continuing Education

Job Title: RN, Continuing Education Instructor

Duties: Staff Development, Emergency Department: Coordinator of Critical Care Extended Orientation Program

Business & Civic Experience: Nursing Experience: Involved with providing health care to children and adolescents since 1981. Developed a "Safe Babysitting" Class for Teenagers in Salt Lake City, Utah. Instructor, Presbyterian Hospital School of Nursing, 1985-1986

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: Health and wellness promotion in children and adolescents; child and adolescent research; Skills: Communication skills for patient teaching and counseling; Interests: Outdoor sports, music, travel

Comments: I believe that as a provider of health care services for children and adolescents, I could provide relevant input on the advisory board and would be honored to serve the community in this way.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: July 2, 1986

Signature of Applicant: Laurel S. Campbell

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to Office of the City Clerk, City of Charlotte, 600 East Trade Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advisory Board / Youth Involvement Council

Name: Lynn Helms
Sex/Race: F/W

Home Address: 6200 Sunset Circle
Phone No.: 3929699

Business Address
Phone No.

Education:
BA English, N.C. Writing Project
MA English

Present Employer: Piedmont Open School (just hired at North Meck High School)
Job Title: English Teacher
Duties: Teacher
(10 yrs High School experience - 2 yrs Jr. High)

Business & Civic Experience:
- Free Spirits
- Teacher Consultant / UNC-E Writing Project
- N.C. Teacher's of English Representative
- American Council of International Studies Representative (overseas)

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: teacher, consultant, writer, counselor, dramatist

Comments: My career is dedicated to nurturing the lives of young people.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date: July 2, 1986
Signature of Applicant: Lynn Helms

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor’s Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk, 1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Name: James F. Fexx, Sr.
Sex/Race: M-P
District: 2

Home Address: 1937 Crestdale Dr.
Phone No.: 339-6242

Business Address: Same.

Education:
- B.S. Winston State University—Elementary Education
- M.A. New York University—Administration-Supervision
- M.A. Appalachian State University—Learning Disabilities

Present Employer: Teacher-Retired

Job Title: Retired

Business & Civic Experience:
- Minority Affairs Advisory Board
- City-County Citizens Revaluation Advisory Committee

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise:
My concern for our youth in the community
and influencing them to create wholesome attitudes and think positively
about themselves and their families and the community.

Comments:
If there is a vacancy, I would very much like to be appointed.
I will give it full attention if it means giving up the Minority board.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

July 2, 1986

Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:
Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Please do not submit resumes.

City Clerk
1986
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advisory Board to the Youth Involvement Council

Name June Burbage-Abernethy Sex/Race F/W District No.

Home Address 5300 Doncaster Dr., Ch 28211 Phone No. 365-4081

Business Address 2818-01 85 South, Ch Phone No. 391-8805

Education MA: M.M. UNC/CH

Present Employer Abernethy Distributing Co

Job Title President Duties Administrative, financial planning

Business & Civic Experience ADC 2 yrs: Co-director Chapel Hill-Carrboro Community Youth Theatre; chamber member tri-county land trust board; Officer Charlotte Piano Teachers Forum, Chamber board member Friends of Music (Queens College); 1994 land consultant to People to Preserve Holyoke Ridge (Fund raising, presentations)

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise Music - classical, theatre, reading, advertising and promotion work, fund-raising, child development, leadership, organization

Comments Moved to Charlotte from Chapel Hill in 1984. I have two daughters active in the YLC 84-85 2nd and 85-86. Younger daughter is 86-87 historian -

July 2, 1986 June Burbage-Abernethy

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk 1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advisory Bd. to the Youth Involvement Council

Name: Thomas G. Gerham

Sex/Race: Male

District: North

Home Address: 3001 Valencia Terrace, Charlotte NC 28211

Business Address: Coldwell Banker Commercial Real Estate

Phone No.: 364-4769

Education: BS Administrative Management, Clemson University, 1976

Present Employer: Coldwell Banker

Job Title: Broker for Investment Real Estate

Duties: Sale of investment real estate

Business & Civic Experience: Eight years with IBM Corporation prior to Coldwell.

Assistant Coordinator of Haddonfield, NJ Town Watch Program. Assist/

Head Coach Southpark Youth Assoc. Civic - I've worked with city/county

Managers and School Superintendents so I have an excellent understanding of

public sector requirements and procedure.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: Tennis, skiing, flying, coaching. Skills -

relating well to young adults. As a parent of two boys and as a coach

I've been very successful in motivating young people and I've been able

to guide them so that they want to excel in anything they attempt.

Comments: A child or person is only a failure if they fail to try.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

July 3, 1986

Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

Office of the City Clerk

600 East Trade Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended.

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES.

City Clerk

1983
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advising Board to the Youth Town Council.

Name: Sandra J. McFarrah     Sex/Race: F/W     District No.: # 1

Home Address: 2916 Tarrymore Place, Matthews     Phone No.: 843-334-2034

Business Address                              Phone No.: 843-334-2034

Education: BA in Elementary Education - University of Alabama

Graduate work - New Paltz State University - New Paltz, N.Y.

Present Employer

Job Title

Duties

Business & Civic Experience: Member of School Bus Safety Committee appointed by Dr. Jere Roberson '85-'86

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise

Girl Scout leader - 15 years, international

Christian education - youth & elementary age - Providence United Methodist Church Jr. Aux. PTA Board - 1984-'86

Comments

Rod Autrey, Vice-Chairman County Commissioner, recommends me for the Council.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

7/3/86    Signature of Applicant

Date

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor’s Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES.
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Airport Advisory Board & Youth Involvement Council

Name Charles R. Calloway
Sex/Race White Male
District No.

Home Address 7825 Winterset Dr.
Phone No. 394-6615

Business Address Matthews, NC (In City of Charlotte)
Phone No. 347-5920

Education College degree

Present Employer Wireway, Inc
Job Title Sales Manager Duties National Sales

Business & Civic Experience Past VP of Jaycees, Youth Coach for 16 years, Youth Co-ordinator for Carolina Lightning Soccer Team, President of Advisory Board for indoor soccer for Charlotte Park-Rec

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise Soccer, football, baseball coach, arts and crafts, history. Played all sports in school. Great with kids

Comments First choice would be Youth Involvement Council, but since I travel and use airport a lot, I think I could have some good input for that board. I already have some good ideas.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date July 7, 1986
Signature of Applicant

City Clerk
1983
Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: ________

Name: Martha H. Hunt  Sex/Race: ________

District No: ________

Home Address: 3823 Allison Ave. - Charlotte, NC 28210. Phone No: 725-2815

Business Address: ________ Phone No: ________

Education: Ass. Med. Assisting Degree

Present Employer

Job Title: ________ Duties: ________

Business & Civic Experience: Taught working for all ages at camp, worked with students in various jobs in rest. teaching.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: I was volunteer counselor for Days Chair.

Counselor at Days Chair's Pregnancy Center plus worked with various programs for youth at Calvary Church. Was some counseling work with drug related areas -

Comments: I have a very strong hunger for the youth and society's preserve plus those that growing add - sincerely.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

July 7, 1986

Martha H. Hunt

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to Office of the City Clerk 600 East Trade Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended.

Please do not submit resumes

City Clerk 1983
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Charlotte Area Fund Board, Youth Involvement
Parks Advisory Community Resources

Name: Sally Wythe Coenen
Sex/Race: F
District No.: 

Home Address: 3427 Willow Oak Rd
Phone No.: 527-7799

Business Address: SALLY COENEN
3427 WILLOW OAK RD
CHARLOTTE NC 28209

Education: BA - Stanford University

Present Employer: 

Job Title: 

Duties: 

Business & Civic Experience: Head of Friendship Connection (weekly program designed for International people at International House), Mint Museum Board Campaign; Mint Museum Public Relations volunteer; Dilworth Jubilee Entertainment Chairman; Springfest

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: Arts Committee, Blueprint Women's Committee, Advertising, executive at Laney Smith Advertising and Epley Public Relations. Former English Teacher, piano teacher.

Comments: I am eager to contribute my time and energy to making Charlotte a better place to live. It is a wonderful city and has done a lot for me. I would like to reciprocate.

Date: 7/4/86

Signature of Applicant: Sally Wythe Coenen

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Councilmember is recommended.

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
City of Charlotte

Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

Name: Mrs. Jeannette Johnson  Sex/Race: Black  District No.: 5

Home Address: 5612-308 Farm Pond Ln.  Phone No.: 671-2852

Business Address: 501 Benjamin B.  Phone No.: 375-3595

Education: Bachelor of Social Work, N.C. Agricultural & Technical State Univ. Master of Social Work, UNC-Chapel Hill

Present Employer: Charlotte Memorial Hosp - Mental Health Services

Job Title: Clinical Social Worker  Duties: Provides individual, family, therapy to children, adolescents, their families

Business & Civic Experience: Immediate Past President Gaston County Art Alumni Chapter. Former Recording Secretary Gastonia Alumni Delta Sigma Theta, Member of Charlotte Alumni Delta Sigma Theta, Member of Charlotte Chap-

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise: To work for the betterment of services to children. The ability to work with people.

Comments:

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

July 3, 1986, Jeannette Johnson

Date  Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to:

City Hall, Attn: Alumni Chapter; Member of Metrolina Chapter NASW; Member of NASW; former member, Cleveland County Interagency Council; former board member and secretary, Cleveland

Churna Alumni Council.
City of Charlotte

Application for Appointment

Name: Karya G. Chalmers

Sex/Race: B

Home Address: 2554 Kenmore Ave. 28204

Business Address: P.O. Box 18665

Education: B.A. Political Science - North Carolina State U. - 1979 - 20 Hours of post-graduate study

Present Employer: WPCQ-TV Channel 36 Partners, Inc.

Job Title: Producer

Duties: Interviewing, planning, programming, scheduling guests

Business & Civic Experience: Member (former) Young Democrats of Wake County, Past President Black Media Association, Board Member - Black Media Association, NAACP, America. Assn. of Black Women Entrepreneurs, Church Publicity Committee worked with Natl. Conf. of Christians + Jews + YIC

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise

Interested in youth + their personal development + growth as responsible, capable individuals. Experienced in working w/ young people. through past church involvement and sub-teaching. Work w/ young through job-related activities

Comments

I would like to become involved with YIC because it is a viable organization for young people + I want to help in their growth.

Understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

July 7, 1986

Karya G. Chalmers

Date

The Mayor and City Council represent the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to Coordinated Office of the City Clerk

Office of the City Clerk

City Clerk

1983
CHARTER-MECKLENBURG ADVISORY ENERGY COMMISSION

(9 Members)

Membership - Terms are for two years. No member may serve more than two consecutive terms.

Members are appointed from the following sectors:

1. One Education/Public Awareness Representative - appointed by the Board of Education.

Representatives from the remaining sectors are appointed by the City and County on an alternating basis (those for which the City had responsibility in 1982, when the Commission was established as a permanent body, are shown in ALL CAPS and designated as to "Mayor" or "Council"; the Mayor's appointment rotates with each appointment period):

2. ONE CONSUMER ADVOCATE REPRESENTATIVE (not involved in energy production, energy equipment or the provision of energy services). (C)

3. Four Energy Production or Energy Services Representatives -
   (a) ONE ELECTRIC UTILITY (C)
   (b) One Gas Utility
   (c) ONE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY (C)
   (d) One Renewable Energy Environmental

4. One Business Community Representative (not involved in energy production, energy equipment or the provision of energy services).

5. ONE ENGINEERING/TECHNICAL PROFESSIONAL REPRESENTATIVE. (M)

6. One UCS Representative.

The Chairman and Vice-Chairman are selected jointly by the Mayor and County Commission Chairman, from the body membership.

Responsibilities - Serves as a designated body to study community energy problems and to develop an annual community Energy Management Plan for Charlotte/Mecklenburg to be approved by the City Council and County Commission and encourage its implementation.

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>SECTOR</th>
<th>ORIGINAL APPTMT. Made by</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>REAPPOINTMENT Made by</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>TERM</th>
<th>EXPIRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Wm. Shelnutt (Resigned)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>1/04/84</td>
<td>City(C)</td>
<td>12/30/85</td>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>12/31/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Price</td>
<td>3(a)</td>
<td>City(M)</td>
<td>1/07/86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James J. White</td>
<td>3(c)</td>
<td>City(C)</td>
<td>1/13/86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Winslow Hartford</td>
<td>3(d)</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>3/15/82</td>
<td>City(C)</td>
<td>11/14/83</td>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>12/31/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Leon Miller</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>City(M)</td>
<td>12/02/83</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>12/16/85</td>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>12/31/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chuck Fleenor</td>
<td>3(b)</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>12/16/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Lesslie</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>12/16/85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Maxwell</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>City(C)</td>
<td>2/10/86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Mary Dawn Bailey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bd. of Ed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chairman
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Advisory Energy Commission

Name John A. Patten Sex/Race M District No. 

Home Address 8411 Pine Hill, Charlotte, NC 28212 Phone No. 545-1653

Business Address UNC-Charlotte, Charlotte, NC 28223 Phone No. 597-4187

Education BME-General Motors Inst., 1976, MS-Engineering-Oakland University, 1982;
Enrolled in PhD program NCSU, 1986

Present Employer UNC-Charlotte, College of Engineering
Job Title Assistant Professor/Faculty Duties Teaching and Laboratory Development, Program Coordinator

Business & Civic Experience Member Oakland County Citizens Energy Advisory Council 1978-1981,
on Energy related projects, Charlotte/Mecklenburg Science & Eng. Fair Judge 1986, worked
with non-profit groups and organizations promoting energy conservation and alternate energy.

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise Ten years of working in energy field including energy conver-
sion, conservation, solar and other renewables. Received 1985 Energy Awards Competition 1st
place from ASHRAE, Faculty Advisor to ASHRAE Student Chapter, presently performing consulting
engineering duties for private sector, for energy conservation & design projects.

Comments Recommended for membership to the subject Commission by J W. Shelnutt, former
member

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

Date Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor's Office or a City Council member is recommended

City Clerk

1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES
May 19, 1986

Mary Dawn Bailey, Chair
Charlotte Mecklenburg Advisory Energy Commission
Urban Institute
UNCC
Charlotte, NC 28223

Dear Mary Dawn:

As you know, I am moving to Cincinnati this month and can no longer serve on the Energy Commission. This is my formal resignation. It has been a pleasure to work with you and the other members of the commission.

I wish to nominate Mr. John A. Patten for appointment to the commission. He is very well qualified and he has indicated a willingness to serve. You may contact him at UNCC at 597-2305, or write to the Department of Engineering Technology, UNCC, Charlotte, NC 28223.

Sincerely,

J. William Shelnut
Chair

cc: John A. Patten
**City of Charlotte**

**Application for Appointment**

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink.

**Committee, Commission, Board or Authority:** Advisory Energy Committee

**Name:** Jay Potter  
**Sex/Race:** M  
**District No.:** 21

**Home Address:** 312 S Clarkson St. 28202  
**Phone No.:** 373-1428

**Business Address:** 1001 W. T. Harris Blvd. Charlotte 28257  
**Phone No.:** 519-8499

**Education:** Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering  
Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island

**Present Employer:** IBM

**Job Title:** Senior Associate Engineer  
**Duties:** Energy Management Engineer

**Business & Civic Experience:** Participated in Cities in Schools Program as a Tutor

**Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise:**
- Licensed Professional Engineer in North Carolina.
- Interested in energy cost reduction in municipal government and industry.
- Also interested in Uptown and other city redevelopment.

**Comments:** I am interested in seeing the City explore opportunities to reduce energy costs in this era of reduced Federal revenue sharing.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only.

Date: 7/2/86  
Signature of Applicant: [Signature]

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk  
600 East Trade Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor’s Office or a City Council member is recommended.

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES.
City of Charlotte
Application for Appointment

Applications should be typed or printed in black ink

Committee, Commission, Board or Authority: Energy, Airport Advisory

Name Chesterfield H. James, Jr. Sex/Race M/W District No. 

Home Address 1900 Lynbridge Drive, Charlotte, NC 28226 Phone No. (704) 366-5237

Business Address 1001 W.T. Harris Blvd., Charlotte, NC Phone No. (704) 595-7581

Education RS Aeronautical Engineering, Purdue University, 1957; Numerous Graduate School courses including 32 hrs. toward MBA

Present Employer IBM Corporation

Job Title Development Engineer/Manager Duties Test Engineering Department Manager

Business & Civic Experience 20 years Engineering experience with IBM in 5 locations; 5 years manager of Retail Propane Gas business; Scout Master; Basketball Coach and referee; member and chairman of Planning Board, Town of Rockledge, Fla., 1968-69

Interests/Skills/Areas of Expertise Interest - Travel and Photography / Skills - Area of Expertise - Technical, Engineering and Planning, including financial and energy conservation

Comments Charlotte is now my city (my property was recently annexed) and I feel I should make some contribution.

I understand that this application will be maintained in the active file for a period of one year only

July 7, 1982 Signature of Applicant

The Mayor and City Council appreciate the interest of citizens in serving on City committees. Applications should be sent to

Office of the City Clerk
600 East Trade Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

A personal contact with the Mayor’s Office or a City Councilmember is recommended

City Clerk
1983

PLEASE DO NOT SUBMIT RESUMES