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5:00 P.M. DINNER BRIEFING
CONFERENCE CENTER

1. FY2006 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

   Time: 30 minutes

   Resources: Greg Gaskins, Finance
               Robert Campbell, Finance
               Teresa Smith, Finance

   Synopsis
   ▪ Finance staff will present a summary of key results from the FY2006 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). A copy of the CAFR will be sent with the Wednesday, January 17, 2007 Council-Manager Memorandum. The report will also be available online at cafr.charmec.org after January 22, 2007.

2. Belvedere Homes Redevelopment

   Resource: Tom Flynn, Economic Development Office

   Time: 20 minutes

   Synopsis
   ▪ Charlotte Mecklenburg Development Corporation (CMDC) has requested the City’s assistance in purchasing and redeveloping the Charlotte Housing Authority’s Belvedere Homes 22.71 acre land site on Rozzelles Ferry Road.
   ▪ CMDC proposes to develop a business park on the CHA land similar to Wilkinson Park Business Center.
   ▪ The Belvedere Homes public housing was demolished with a HOPE VI grant.
   ▪ Due to the heavy industrial land uses adjacent to the Belvedere site, residential use is no longer feasible for the vacant land; Planning staff supports this change of land use.
   ▪ Redevelopment of the Belvedere land would:
     ▪ benefit the corridor with new business activity (and the jobs associated with it)
     ▪ encourage further vacant industrial redevelopment
     ▪ remove blighted structures
   ▪ The Park will result in approximately 11 new business facilities. Each facility averages 12,500 square feet, for a total of 137,500 square feet generated. The tax assessment value upon completion will be approximately $8,750,000 and 125 jobs will be created.
City will coordinate CMDC efforts with the Workforce Development Board and other partners to identify and train neighborhood residents for the jobs created.

- Total cost of developing the park is estimated at $3.8 million. Preliminary cost and revenue projections indicate the project will have a financing gap of $1.9 million.
- The City’s maximum investment would be 50% of $1.9 million, contingent upon Mecklenburg County matching the City’s investment. Federal and state grants could also reduce the amount of City investment.
- CHA is willing to sell its land at appraised value.
  - The current appraised value of the CHA land is $1,050,000.
  - CHA would use proceeds from the land sale, plus an estimated $2,000,000 million from the Housing Trust Fund and other equity and debt sources to build 60-100 units of apartments for a mixed income community.
- Staff proposes that the City’s investment come from the ED Corridor Revitalization fund.

**Future Action**
- Council action on CHA’s request on February 12, 2007 Business agenda

3. **Small Business Opportunity Program Plan for the NASCAR Hall of Fame Construction Manager at Risk Contract**

**Resource:** Jim Schumacher, Engineering & Property Management

**Time:** 20 minutes

**Synopsis**
- Staff will present the Small Business Opportunity Program implementation plan for the NASCAR Hall of Fame Construction Manager at Risk contract with Turner Thompson Davis. The presentation will include:
  - Outreach/certification of small business enterprises (SBEs)
  - Methodology for assessing the local capacity of small business firms
  - Methodology for establishing the project SBE goal
  - Comparisons to other similar projects
  - Upcoming bidding/subcontracting opportunities

**Future Action**
- Council approval of Construction Manager at Risk contract with Turner Thompson Davis on February 12, 2007 Council Business Meeting.

4. **Committee Reports by Exception**

**Restructuring Government:** Budget Process and Budget Committee Role, Format for New Government Channel Program

**Economic Development and Planning:** Scaleybark Developer Proposals
6:30 P.M. CITIZENS FORUM
MEETING CHAMBER

7:00 P.M. AWARDS AND RECOGNITIONS
MEETING CHAMBER

CONSENT

5. Consent agenda items 21 through 39 may be considered in one motion except those items removed by a Council member. Items are removed by notifying the City Clerk before the meeting.
ZONING

6. Petition 2006-153

| Action: | Render a decision on proposed rezoning 2006-153, by New South Properties of the Carolinas, LLC for a change from R-4 to UR-1(CD) for approximately 1.3 acres on the southeast corner of Park Road and Yale Place. |

Staff Resource: Tom Drake, Planning

Explanation

- The decision on this protested petition was automatically deferred from January 16th to January 22nd since all Council members were not present at the January 16th zoning meeting.
- The Zoning Committee voted unanimously to recommend approval of this petition, based upon the following significant modifications:
  - Walkways have been added from the homes facing Park Road to the public sidewalk.
  - The water quality note will meet the language requested by Storm Water Services.
  - The “meandering” note for Yale Place will be deleted per staff’s request.
- The Zoning Committee voted to find this proposal to be consistent with the Park Road Corridor Plan and to be reasonable and in the public interest.
- Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

Attachment 1
Zoning Committee Recommendation
Staff Analysis
Site Map
POLICY

7. City Manager’s Report
   - FY2007 Mid-Year Report on Budget, Capital Projects and Performance
   - Yard Waste Collection Lessons Learned

8. Mayor’s Immigration Study Commission Report

   Action: Receive report of the Mayor’s Immigration Study Commission.

   Staff Resources: Alan Gordon, Chair
                   Dennis Marstall, City Manager’s Office

   Explanation
   ▪ In December 2005, Mayor Pat McCrory created the 28 member Mayor’s Immigration Study Commission to study the impacts of legal and illegal immigration in the Charlotte-region and lead the community through a fact finding and discussion about immigration.
   ▪ The Study Commission was charged to analyze the impact of immigration on Charlotte’s (and the region’s) quality of life, public safety and economic opportunities. The Commission addressed the charge by focusing on four topics of study and discussion – public safety, economic development/workforce, education and healthcare.
   ▪ The Commission met over the course of 12 months in 2006 to better understand the many complex issues of immigration.
   ▪ The Commission gathered hundreds of facts and data points, had numerous in-depth discussions and debates, and developed a final report with 26 recommendations. Of the 26 recommendations, the Commission did achieve consensus on 22.

   Attachment 2
   Executive Summary of the Mayor’s Immigration Study Commission Final Report
9. Recruitment and Selection Process for City Manager

Action: Consider actions necessary to develop and implement a recruitment and selection process for the position of City Manager. The position will be vacant upon the June 30, 2007 retirement of the City Manager.

Staff Resource: Tim Mayes, Human Resources

Explanation
- The City Manager will be retiring effective June 30, 2007.
- Mayor McCrory requested that the Human Resources Director identify the approach that was used during the 1996 City Manager recruitment and selection process.
- Mayor McCrory also requested that the Human Resources Director identify additional options for consideration by the City Council.

1996 Recruitment and Selection Process
- Following is a summary of the approach that was used in the 1996 recruitment and selection process for the City Manager position:
  - A national search was conducted.
  - An executive search firm was selected to work with the Human Resources Director in providing assistance to the Mayor and City Council.
  - The City Council did not establish a committee representing its membership to oversee the process. Instead, the City Council in its entirety asked for and received frequent updates from the Human Resources Director and the search firm.
  - The Human Resources Director, with the assistance of the search firm, led the development of a detailed action plan. The plan was presented to and approved by the City Council.
  - The Human Resources Director and the search firm facilitated the development of a job description that specified the desired characteristics, background, education and experience of applicants. Input was received from the City Council and through meetings with community and neighborhood leaders, City management staff and other employees. City Council approved the final description.
  - The Human Resources Director prepared recruitment and advertisement materials and initiated the advertisement process, based on the approved job description.
  - The search firm received the applications and identified the top candidates. The firm provided a comprehensive, written background report on each top candidate and this report was provided to the Mayor and City Council.
  - The search firm and the Human Resources Director assisted the City Council in reducing the candidate pool to a manageable number to be interviewed.
  - The search firm and the Human Resources Director assisted the City Council in developing interview questions to be used by the Mayor and
City Council in their interviews of the top candidates. The Mayor and City Council interviewed four candidates.
- The Mayor and City Council selected two finalists.
- There were several additional opportunities for the Mayor and City Council to have contact with the finalists, including informal dinner meetings and meetings with community leaders. There was also an informal meeting opportunity for employees and citizens in the lobby of the CMGC.
- The Human Resources Director assisted the Mayor and City Council as they considered details associated with the offer of employment, including pay and benefits.

**2007 Process Options**

- Following is a summary of process options for consideration by the Mayor and City Council:
  1. Scope of search
     a. Consider internal City of Charlotte applicants only
     b. Consider internal and external applicants
     c. If external applicants are considered, determine whether search will be regional and/or national
        Comments: Nationwide searches for the position of City Manager of a major city usually take five to six months, depending on the process that is selected. Internal searches can be accomplished in a shorter period of time than nationwide searches.
  2. Facilitation/management of search process
     a. Designate oversight committee comprised of several Council members
     b. Designate Restructuring Government Committee as oversight committee
     c. Determine need for an executive search firm and extent of firm’s involvement.
     d. Determine extent of involvement by City’s Human Resources staff.
        Comments: An executive search firm can provide significant assistance, particularly in identifying potential applicants and conducting initial background reviews. If a search firm is used it is very important to clearly identify the roles and expectations of the firm for each step of the process. The City’s Human Resources staff has extensive experience in assisting with recruitment of key executive positions, including assisting with the City Manager selection process in 1996.
  3. Job Description/Employment Profile specifying desired characteristics, background, education and experience of applicants
     a. Determine roles of search firm, if one is retained, and Human Resources staff in information gathering process
     b. Determine need for involvement of community leaders, citizens, employees
        Comments: The development of the job description/employment profile is a critical tool that is used in advertisements and in the screening and final decision making processes.
4. Advertisements
   a. Role assumed entirely by a search firm
   b. Lead role by search firm with assistance from Human Resources staff
   c. Role assumed entirely by Human Resources staff
   d. Lead role by Human Resources staff with assistance from search firm

   Comments: The role of the search firm will impact the contract costs for retaining a firm. In 1996 the City Council chose to have involvement by the Human Resources staff, in part to reasonably contain the contract costs.

5. Receipt, evaluation and identification of best applicants
   a. Role assumed entirely by search firm
   b. Lead role by search firm with assistance from Human Resources staff
   c. Lead role by Human Resources staff with assistance from search firm
   d. Role assumed by City Council oversight committee

   Comments: Given the fact that the Human Resources Director reports to the City Manager, the complete assumption of this role by Human Resources should not be considered by the City Council. Regardless of the roles that are assumed, it is very important that there be scheduled, periodic updates to the Mayor and Council in the applicant evaluation process.

6. Background checks on potential top candidates
   a. Role assumed entirely by search firm
   b. Lead role by search firm with assistance from Human Resources staff
   c. Lead role by Human Resources staff with assistance from search firm

7. Final interviews and assessment processes
   a. Assessments in addition to interviews
   b. Involvement in addition to Mayor and City Council

8. Finalize employment decision, make job offer and conduct negotiations as needed
   a. Assistance from search firm
   b. Assistance from Human Resources Director

Staff Recommendation
- Staff recommends that the following steps be taken next in order to proceed with the recruitment and selection process:
  - Determine the scope of the search
  - Determine the need for an executive search firm; and, if needed authorize the Human Resources Director to develop an RFP process
  - Recommend to the Mayor and City Council regarding the selection of a firm

- The total cost of the 1996 process was just under $20,000.
- The estimated cost for the 2007 process is between $30,000 and $60,000.
10. Budget Committee Update on Alternative Revenue Joint Work Group

**Action:** Receive report from Budget Committee regarding first meeting of Alternative Revenues Joint Work Group and outline next steps.

**Committee Chair:** Don Lochman

**Staff Resource:** Ruffin Hall, Budget and Evaluation

**Explanation**
- The Alternative Revenues Joint Work Group held their first meeting on January 11th.
- After discussion, the Group agreed to take the following next steps to review alternative revenues:
  - City staff will bring to City Council different options on funding parts of the $3.57 billion in transportation capital needs identified in the Transportation Action Plan.
  - The Council may then refer those different transportation funding options back to the Joint Work Group.
  - County staff will circulate the list of school capital needs to the Joint Work Group members (both new and existing facilities).
  - If Council makes a transportation funding referral, then the Joint Work Group will meet to review school and transportation capital needs.
  - If the process continues, City and County staff will review information gathered to this point regarding the following alternative revenue items at the next meeting:
    - Land transfer tax
    - Impact fees
    - Payroll tax
    - Toll roads
    - Cigarette and alcohol taxes
- Staff anticipates bringing back Transportation Action Plan funding options to the Council at the February 12th Business meeting for Council action.

**Background**
- On December 11th, Council approved the Budget Committee’s recommendation to:
  - Approve the process for developing an alternative revenues proposal, and
  - Refer two alternative revenues of land transfer tax (1st priority) and impact fees (2nd priority) to the Joint City-County Work group for their review and recommendation.
- On December 19th, the Mecklenburg Board of County Commissioners approved the same process and directed the Board Chairperson to select Commissioners to participate.
Joint Work Group Discussion
- The Joint Work Group members discussed a variety of subjects on the topic of alternative revenues, including
  - The rationale used to select the land transfer and impact fees for referral to the Joint Work Group
  - Capital needs such as roads and schools
  - Other alternative revenue options such as payroll tax, toll roads, cigarette tax and alcohol tax
  - Process issues such as working with the State delegation, the City and County legislative agenda and receiving public input from relevant groups
- The Budget Committee members present were Council members Lochman, Carter, Foxx and Mumford. Council member Turner was absent.
- Board of County Commissioner members appointed to the Joint Work Group present were Commissioners James and Woodard. Commissioners Bentley and Clarke were absent.

Attachment 3
Approved Process for Alternative Revenues

11. Budget Process and Budget Committee Role

| Action: | Approve the Restructuring Government Committee’s recommended budget process calendar and amended Budget Committee charge. |

Committee Chair: James Mitchell, Jr.

Staff Resource: Ruffin Hall, Budget and Evaluation

Explanation
- The Council considered the Budget committee recommended budget calendar on December 11, 2006 and referred the issue to the Restructuring Government Committee for further discussion.

- The Restructuring Government Committee reviewed the budget process calendar recommended by the Budget Committee and recommended amending both the calendar and the Budget Committee’s charge.

- The amended recommended budget calendar includes the following changes:
  - A budget retreat on Thursday, March 29\(^{th}\) from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., instead of March 28\(^{th}\). At the December 11\(^{th}\) Council meeting, two Council members indicated a scheduling conflict with the March 28\(^{th}\) date.
  - Adding a statement to the May 16\(^{th}\) meeting description stating “Council may refer alternative budget proposals to the Budget Committee for recommendation prior to straw votes.”
• The Restructuring Government Committee recommended modifications to the Budget Committee’s charge consistent with the proposed changes to the Council’s budget process.

Background
• On June 12th, Council referred a review of the Council’s budget process and the role of the Budget Committee to Restructuring Government.

Committee Discussion
• The Restructuring Government Committee met on October 19th, November 16th and January 18th to discuss the Council budget process and the role of the Budget Committee.
• The change in the budget process allows the Council the option to refer alternative budget proposals to the Budget Committee for comment and recommendation prior to straw votes. The Committee discussed the Budget Committee’s review and recommendation of alternative budget proposals to be advisory to the Council.
• The Committee discussed issues such as:
  − Adequate time for the Budget Committee to review alternative budget proposals
  − The prerogative for Council members to bring proposed budget changes to the Council during the budget process
  − The importance of reviewing major budget assumptions with the Council prior to the Manager’s recommended budget such as employee compensation and benefits
  − The availability of time on the upcoming March and April budget retreat agendas to schedule any topics of interest to the Council

Attachments
Budget Calendar and Process for FY2008 Revised Budget Committee charge Proposed Budget Process Calendar and Retreat Topics

Restructuring Government amendments to Budget Committee budget process calendar

Budget Calendar and Process for FY2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 7, 2007</td>
<td>3:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Room 267</td>
<td>Budget Retreat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 29, 2007</td>
<td>3:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Room 267</td>
<td>Budget Retreat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(alternate to 3/28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 18, 2007</td>
<td>3:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Room 267</td>
<td>Budget Retreat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Restructuring Government Committee recommended amendments to Budget Committee charge

*(italic and underline indicates added language)*

#### Budget Committee Charge

City Council’s Budget Committee was established in 1996 and modified in 2007 with the following charge to guide the budget process:

- Recommend an annual calendar and process for review and approval of the budget
- Review major budgetary issues and options prior to the half-day budget retreats
- Provide feedback to the City Manager regarding the agenda and agenda items to be addressed at budget retreats;
- Make recommendations on referred items from Council at the budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 7, 2007</td>
<td>4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Council Chamber (televised)</td>
<td>Budget Presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 14, 2007</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Council Chamber (televised)</td>
<td>Public Hearing on the recommended budget (including storm water)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| May 16, 2007| 3:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. | Room 267 | 1. Council makes adjustments to Manager’s recommended budget. Adjustments receiving 5 or more votes move on to May 30, 2007 2. *Council may refer alternative budget proposals to the Budget Committee for recommendation prior to straw votes*
| May 30, 2007| 12:30 p.m.–4:00 p.m. | Room 267 | Lunch and adjustments/straw votes on May 16th budget adjustments. Changes receiving 6 or more votes are included in the budget ordinance for final vote on June 11th |
| June 11, 2007| 7:00 p.m. | Council Chamber (televised)     | Budget Adoption                                                                  |
adjustments meeting prior to straw votes; and

- Make recommendations on referred items throughout the year to the full City Council

The goals of the Budget Committee are:

- Provide early and formal communication:
  - Between City Council and Staff;
  - Among Council Members; and
  - Between City Council and citizens

- Provide more time for policy development
- Provide more time for Council review of budget materials
- Facilitate Council’s budget decision-making process between budget adjustments and straw votes
- Provide for a more public process

---

**Proposed Budget Process Calendar and Retreat Topics**

The Budget Committee is charged with recommending the annual budget process and calendar each year. Attached is a proposed budget calendar for the Budget Committee’s consideration and approval.

Additionally, on October 19\(^{th}\) and November 16\(^{th}\) the Restructuring Government Committee has discussed the Council’s budget process and role of the Budget Committee. One area of “overlap” between the Budget Committee and the Restructuring Government Committee’s discussion is the area of budget retreat topics for the three meetings prior to the Manager’s recommended budget presentation.

The Council has requested additional budget information, especially related to enterprise funds, over the last 3-4 months. Staff suggests the Budget Committee recommend budget topics for the upcoming budget process in order to address Council questions and information requests.

Below is a suggested list with “optional” time slots for the three budget retreats:

**March 7, 2007**
- CATS budget
- Financial Partners
- Revenue update
- FY07 Budget items requested for review during FY08 process
- Optional?

**March 29, 2007**
- Employee compensation and benefits
• Revenue update
• General Fund key requests or reductions
• Aviation budget
• Optional?

April 18, 2007
• Draft General Capital Investment Plan
• Public art project updates
• Utilities budget
• Storm water budget
• Optional?

Other budget topics for Council consideration:
• Alternative revenues
• Managed competition
• Cost containment efforts
• More information on Council focus areas - Community Safety, Economic Development, Transportation, Environment, Housing and Neighborhood Development

12. Format for New Government Channel Program

Action: Approve the Restructuring Government Committee’s recommended format and pilot episodes for the new Government Channel television program called “Queen City Limits”.

Committee Chair: James Mitchell, Jr.

Staff Resource: Keith Richardson, Corporate Communications

Explanation
• The City Council approved $74,400 in this year’s budget for a new program to be produced by and air on The Government Channel.

• The show’s goal is to highlight for citizens important policy issues of the day, including Council priorities and focus areas, district issues and neighborhood concerns.

• The show will be piloted over six episodes, from February through July to gauge its success. The recommended programmatic schedule is attached.

• The program’s format will be issues-driven, and the Corporate Communications Director will serve as executive producer.
• The show also will feature a moderator, who will facilitate the conversation among the show’s guests.

• The Committee also worked with the staff to develop a set design for the program, a copy of which is attached.

• Staff will work with Council to evaluate the success of the program. If the concept is renewed, the program will begin again in January 2008.

• Each episode will air the fourth Thursday of every month with rebroadcasts throughout the schedule. The program also will be available on line at www.charmeck.org (in much the same way City Council meetings are now being archived on line).

Attachments:
Program Overview
Programmatic Schedule
Set Design

Over the past few months, Corporate Communications staff has developed a concept for a new program, titled Queen City Limits, which allows for in-depth discussion and exploration of important issues of the day, Council priorities and focus areas, policies and neighborhood concerns.

After the program’s referral to the Restructuring Government for a discussion concerning format and production, the committee recommended the following:

• Pilot the 30-minute program for six months from approval, to gauge the show’s success. Ideally, the pilots should air between January and July shortly before funding is released for the program in the FY 2008—2009 budget.

• Scale back the frequency of the show from two shows a month to no more than one a month.
• Keep the format issues-driven and allow the Corporate Communications Director to remain executive producer. Staff should provide Council a list of topics and guests for the upcoming shows.

• Redesign the show set for a more visually appealing backdrop.

• Provide City Council results of the 2005 Communications Survey, which highlights the public’s perception of information that they receive from the City as well as growing viewership figures for the Government Channel.

• Hire professional talent to facilitate the conversation among the show’s guests.

• Staff will work with the Council to evaluate the success of the program. If the concept is renewed, the program will begin again in January 2008.

Production

If the pilot episodes are approved at Council’s January 22nd meeting, staff will begin production for the first show, which tentatively tapes on February 26 and airs on March 1.

Guests who appear on the program will be contacted by staff two weeks prior to the air date to discuss the content, potential questions and other related show details. Staff will provide guests a show outline no later than three days prior to the show’s taping.

Included is a recommended schedule for the pilot episodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tape date and time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Guests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tape—Feb. 26 @ 2 pm</td>
<td>City priorities (Follow up to Council’s retreat)</td>
<td>James Mitchell, Pat Mumford, Ruffin Hall and Greg Gaskins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air—March 1 @ 7:30 pm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Questions would include, but not limited to:**
How does Council determine its priorities?
What are those priorities and why?
How will the upcoming budget look like compared to the previous couple of years?
What is the latest update concerning an alternative revenue source such as land transfer fees?

**Miscellaneous Notes:**
All questions and facts will be provided to guests and host before taping each show.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tape date and time</strong></th>
<th><strong>Topic</strong></th>
<th><strong>Guests</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tape—March 26 @ 2 pm</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Air—March 29 @ 7:30 pm</strong></td>
<td>Quality of Life in Charlotte neighborhoods</td>
<td>Mayor Pro Tem Burgess, Anthony Foxx, Stanley Watkins and a neighborhood leader</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Questions would include, but not limited to:**
1. How do we define quality of life?
2. What is the City’s role in improving the quality of life in our communities?
3. Why do some communities go into decline, while others don’t?
4. How do we help neighborhoods on the fringe?
5. Does a re-birth of neighborhoods also mean gentrification?
6. What can a neighborhood do to sustain or improve its quality of life?
7. What are the most major neighborhood related concerns among Charlotte citizens?
8. What training is available to citizens to improve their communities? (Community University, Neighborhood Symposium)?
9. Explain the Quality of Life study compiled by the City and UNC-Charlotte?

**Miscellaneous Notes:**
1. All questions and facts will be provided to guests and host before taping each show.
2. Add in stats of several different types of crimes in Charlotte.

Facts such as “readily available handguns has aided in homicide rising.” (“Did you know?” type of graphic)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Tape date and time</strong></th>
<th><strong>Topic</strong></th>
<th><strong>Guests</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tape—April 23 @ 2 pm</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Air—April 26 @ 7:30 pm</strong></td>
<td>Community Safety</td>
<td>Warren Turner, Patsy Kinsey, Chief Darrel Stephens, Diane English, Homicide Task Force Chair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Questions would include, but not limited to:**
1. What are the challenges we are seeing in Charlotte?
2. In what areas can we have the biggest impacts?
3. What were the Homicide Task Force findings and recommendations?
4. How does redistricting help improve police services?
5. Community Apathy: How do we get people to care about the entire community? How do we engage everyone in the community?
6. What impact is the community’s increasing cultural diversity having on public safety issues?
7. What can people do to make themselves less likely to be victimized?

**Miscellaneous Notes:**
3. All questions and facts will be provided to guests and host before taping each show.
4. Add in stats of several different types of crimes in Charlotte.
5. Facts such as “readily available handguns has aided in homicide rising.” (“Did you know?” type of graphic)
**Tape date and time** | **Topic** | **Guests**
--- | --- | ---
Tape—May 29 @ 2 pm.  
Air—May 31 @ 7:30 pm | Budget | Don Lochman, Nancy Carter, Pam Syfert and Ruffin Hall

**Questions would include, but not limited to:**
- Explain the budget process?
- What went into the budget recommendations?
- How will council members determine which items remain or which ones are cut?
- Do you anticipate a tax increase?
- What considerations do you consider in shaping a final budget?
- How does this year’s budget compare to the most recent years?
- For the last couple of years, we’ve had a republican proposed budget and democratic proposed budget, do you anticipate the same again this year?

**Miscellaneous Notes:**
- All questions and facts will be provided to guests and host before taping each show.
- Facts “Did you know?” type of graphic
- Run vote PSA’s on monitor during show in the background

---

**Tape date and time** | **Topic** | **Guests**
--- | --- | ---
Tape—June 25 @ 2 pm  
Air—June 28 @ 7:30 pm | Importance of Economic Development | John Lassiter, Andy Dulin, Tom Flynn, Bob Morgan and Tim Newman

The show will feature a discussion about ED along two perspectives—business recruitment/retention and tourism. In the first 15 minutes Bob Morgan will serve on the panel, and in the final half, Bob will depart and Tim Newman will participate in the discussion.

**Questions would include, but not limited to:**
1. What is ED? What is the City’s role in ED? (Creating more jobs and expanding the tax base)
2. What attracts businesses to Charlotte? What do you hear from businesses about the factors going into their decisions to locate in Charlotte or somewhere else?
3. What are the challenges of attracting businesses and retaining them?
4. What or who partners with the City to attract other businesses?

**Hospitality:**
1. People who live in Charlotte may be surprised to learn about Charlotte’s growing hospitality and tourism business. Give us a sense of what’s happening in that industry, what’s changing, what projects are in the pipeline?
2. One of the issues people always bring up is the City’s funding of cultural facilities. What would you say is the payoff for the average citizen?
3. What is being done to entice people to visit or stay in Charlotte?

**Miscellaneous Notes:**
1. All questions and facts will be provided to guests and host before taping each show.
2. Role a video package on “ED/tourism”
Tape date and time | Topic | Guests
---|---|---
Tape—July 23 @ 2 pm | Transit/Land Use | Michael Barnes, Pat Mumford, Ron Tober and Debra Campbell
Air—July 26 @ 7:30 pm

**Questions would include, but not limited to:**

1. Why is the relationship of transit and land use so important?
2. Give us the latest on the S. Corridor Light rail line? From both a transit and planning perspective?
3. Explain how the FTA determines which corridors receive a federal match?
4. Which transit corridors currently meet FTA’s criteria for funding?
5. Which corridor is next? And when will construction start?
6. We had cost overruns on the South Corridor line. What proactive measures are we taking to watch the bottom line?
7. How is the City engaging developers to encourage projects along the transit corridors? Or given developments and plans in anticipation of rapid transit in some areas (such as the South Corridor, NoDa and in the UNC-Charlotte area) have we convinced everyone that transit oriented development works?
8. Using the S. Corridor as a model, many of the new developments along the line lack affordable housing, what is the City doing to encourage developers to include affordable housing?

**Miscellaneous Notes:**

1. All questions and facts will be provided to guests and host before taping each show.
2. Facts “Did you know?” type of graphic
3. Run vote PSA’s on monitor during show in the background
13. Scaleybark Developer Proposals

**Action:**
Receive update report from staff on Scaleybark Transit Oriented Development Proposals

**Staff Resource:**
Tom Flynn
Tracy Finch

**Focus Area:**
Economic Development

**Explanation**
- On November 27, 2006 City Council meeting, Council chose to ask the three short listed development teams for the Scaleybark Station area transit oriented development to reevaluate their proposals with the following new priorities:
  - Place the highest priority on receiving the return on land value (315 park and ride spaces and $6.4 M)
  - Minimize public investment
  - Meet TOD Zoning requirements
  - Maximize affordable housing opportunities
  - Encourage the incorporation of the library, open space, and street connectivity

- Since receiving the new proposals in early January, staff has updated the Economic Development and Planning Committee twice.

- Staff will not be making a recommendation at this time, but has the following conclusions:
  - Recommend the elimination of the “Do Nothing” option where the City would sell the 8.4 acres at Clanton Rd. and South Boulevard with no restrictions and CATS would construct a 315 surface park and ride and sell the one acre surplus property.
  - Recommend the elimination of the McCormack Baron and Salazar / Crosland proposal due to the requested public investment of $33.1 million.

- Following a meeting with Economic Development and Planning on January 31st, staff will bring a recommendation to Council on February 12, 2007

**Attachment**
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14. Central Yard Renovation Plan Amendment

Action: Amend the Central Yard Renovation Plan to:
   A. Approve relocation of the Light Vehicle Maintenance Facility from the Central Yard on Seigle Avenue to a new site,
   B. Authorize the City Manager to negotiate the purchase of a new site for the Light Vehicle Facility,
   C. Instruct the City Manager to include $6.1 million in the FY2008 Capital Investment Plan to fund the additional costs of the amended plan,
   D. Declare surplus the approximately five acre portion of the Central Yard property (outlined in red on attached map) not needed for Solid Waste and Fire Logistics continuing operations, and
   E. Authorize the City Manager to market for sale the surplus Central Yard Property (outlined in red on attached map).

Staff Resources: Jim Schumacher, Engineering & Property Management
                    Michelle Haas, Engineering & Property Management

Explanation
- On December 11, 2006, staff presented the Central Yard Conceptual Relocation Study to the City Council (the full report is attached). The Report’s four options were reviewed for the construction of new facilities for Light Vehicle Maintenance and Solid Waste.
- During that discussion, Council expressed a preference for Option 2:
  - Relocate the Light Vehicle Facility to a new site
  - Construct new office and ready room facilities at the Central Yard for Solid Waste
  - Sell approximately 5 acres of the Central Yard property for development.
- Advantages of Option 2 include:
  - Lowest capital cost for continuing the City operations
  - Opportunity for increased residential development and tax base in Belmont through development of property currently owned and used by the City
  - Defer the expense of replacing operating facilities that are not yet obsolete, such as the Heavy Equipment Shop and Solid Waste truck wash
  - Avoid the need for a temporary parking facility during construction of the light vehicle shop
- With the approval of this action, staff will conduct due diligence on the Craighead property, mentioned in the report, to determine if a portion of that site can be acquired and successfully developed for the light vehicle facility. Other sites that may be available at this time will be evaluated as well and the optimum location presented to the City Council for purchase.
## Costs and Revenue Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital costs</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New light vehicle and solid waste facilities</td>
<td>$18.0 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New light vehicle site</td>
<td>$1.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing CIP funding</td>
<td>($13.0 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional CIP funding required</td>
<td>$6.1 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated future sale of surplus Central Yard property</td>
<td>($3.0 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net increase in capital funding</td>
<td>$3.1 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual costs/revenues</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated future City property tax on surplus property after sale and development</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated current City property tax on new site for light vehicle shop</td>
<td>($15,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased operational cost for new light vehicle site</td>
<td>($100,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net annual revenue increase</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Points

- Moving Solid Waste to another site at this time, such as the airport, would require abandoning the existing Heavy Equipment Maintenance Facility at the Central Yard and constructing a new one as part of a new Solid Waste facility. Since the existing facility is functional at this time, this expense can be deferred to the time when both Solid Waste and Heavy Equipment need to expand.
- New offices and a ready-room for Solid Waste can be constructed at the Central Yard to complement nearby development, and perhaps have a re-sale value at the time it is necessary to move Solid Waste and Heavy Equipment to another site.
- The Central Yard would not accommodate a significant expansion of the Solid Waste fleet, such as adding a new service quadrant, without adding a parking deck for equipment and employee parking.
- Acquiring a new site for the Light Vehicle Maintenance shop will allow a portion of the Central Yard property to be sold for development. See attached map. Designating the portion of property along Seigle Avenue as surplus will allow for the concurrent design and construction of both the new Light Vehicle facility and the Solid Waste facility.
- Although a developer has provided a letter of interest in purchasing some of the Central Yard property, state statutes require that the Mandatory Referral process be conducted for an advisory recommendation from the Planning Commission.
- Because the Central Yard property is used for parking related to the existing light vehicle maintenance operations, the surplus cannot be sold until the new light vehicle facility is in operation. As a result, the CIP must fund the additional construction costs and cost of a new site ($6.1 million); funds received in the subsequent sale of the surplus land will offset those capital costs.
Construction of a new Solid Waste facility at the Central Yard could begin at approximately the same time construction begins for Light Equipment, with both agencies occupying new facilities in two to three years, as shown in the time line below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project History</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$23.75 M in CIP for upgrading Central Yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$3.75 M appropriated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultant contracted for design of new Street Maintenance facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultants contracted for Master Plan for Fleet Maintenance’s operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contractor hired to construct new Street Maintenance Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultant contracted to provide design services for a new Light Equipment facility (put on hold in December 2005 after completion of schematic design)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Street Maintenance moved into new facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future Project Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Continue design of Light Vehicle Facility at new site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purchase new site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contract for design of Solid Waste facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appropriate additional $6.1M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Begin construction of new Light Vehicle Facility and new Solid Waste Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Light Vehicle and Solid Waste facilities completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Sell surplus land at Central Yard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Follow-up to Council Member Questions**

- Moving Light Vehicle Maintenance to the Craighead site or similar location and moving Solid Waste and Heavy Equipment to the Airport at this time would require substantially more capital funding than Option 2. Assuming purchase of a 20-acre site at the airport and selling 24.5 acres at the Central Yard, the net increase in capital cost would be approximately $19.1M, plus annual costs of approximately $1.6M in airport charges and additional operating costs, with construction in 2009.

- Option 1 from the report does not solve the operational issue of having a place to park vehicles while the new light vehicle facility is constructed. If both the light vehicle and solid waste facilities were constructed at the Central Yard, temporary parking for vehicles being serviced would have to be provided, and would create operating inefficiencies during construction, since the parking would be remote from the existing facility.

- Land at the airport is expected to be developed in the future for distribution and industrial uses. A recent prospect was a distribution facility that would have created 650 jobs and $958,000/year in property taxes - an annual economic impact of $36 M/year. Use of airport land for City operations should be minimized to allow for this type development in the future.
Funding
The additional $6.1 million in capital funding will compete with other capital needs in the upcoming FY2008 Capital Investment Plan.
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15. FY2008 Federal Legislative Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action: Approve FY2008 Federal Legislative Agenda.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff Resource: Boyd Cauble, City Manager’s Office</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation

- The City’s Washington, D.C. lobbyist, Holland & Knight, assists our federal delegation in seeking designated appropriations (earmarks) for high priority local projects/programs.
- Last year preliminary earmarks for several priorities were given (listed below). However, after the November election, Congress decided to proceed with their budgeting process without any earmarks. Therefore, staff will resubmit several requests from last year with two additional Council approved economic development projects and an additional water main project.
- Holland & Knight has advised that earmarks will continue under the new Congress, but will be highly competitive. Our Congressional staff has recommended that the City forward requests to their offices by the end of January to have adequate time to submit them to the appropriations committees.
- The following are staff’s proposed requests for federal earmarks:

  **Gang of One** - continuation of four-year funding request of $1 million in federal funding from the FY2008 Commerce, Justice, Science Office of Juvenile Programs account

  **Southwest Water Transmission Main – Phase B Project** - request $2 million for the Southwest Water Transmission Main – Phase B Project from the Environmental Protection Agency's State and Tribal Assistant Grants Account

  **Belvedere Business Park** - request $1,000,000 from the Small Business Administration account within the FY2008 Financial Services appropriations bill for environmental assessment, remediation, and infrastructure costs. The $1,000,000 will leverage $3,663,557 in City, County and private sector financing for site development and create 125 new jobs.

Improvement Account to ensure the ALERT team is fully equipped for disaster preparedness in Charlotte

Charlotte Rapid Transit Extension – Northeast Corridor - request $10 million from the FY2008 Transportation Appropriations bill for the Charlotte Rapid Transit Extension – Northeast Corridor Project

Belmont Retail Development - request an appropriation in the amount of $750,000 from the FY2008 Housing and Urban Development, Economic Development Initiatives Account to provide funding for environmental assessment, remediation, and development cost for a neighborhood shopping center in the Belmont neighborhood. The $750,000 will leverage approximately $3,086,552 in City and private sector financing.

Briar Creek Relief Sewer Project - request the project be authorized at a level of $80 million in the Water Resources Development Act reauthorization

Next Step
The approved Legislative Agenda will be presented to our Congressional Delegation in January.
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| Staff Resources: | Greg Gaskin, Finance  
Robert Campbell, Finance  
Teresa Smith, Finance |

| Explanation |
- Finance staff will present a summary of key results from the FY2006 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). A copy of the CAFR will be sent with the Wednesday, January 17, 2007 Council-Manager Memorandum. The report will also be available online at cafr.charmeck.org after January 22, 2007.  
- This report is presented as information. No action is necessary.

17. Live Oak Multi-Family Funding Commitment

| Action: | Approve transfer of $1,100,000 Housing Trust Fund commitment from Springfield Gardens Apartment Projects to the Live Oak Multi-Family Development. |

| Staff Resource: | Stanley Watkins, Neighborhood Development |

| Policy |
- City’s FY2006-FY2010 Consolidated Action Plan:  
  - Approved by City Council on June 13, 2005  
  - Identifies the need for affordable, safe and decent housing for low and moderate-income families  
  - Reaffirmed the three basic goals of the City’s Housing Policy: preserve the existing housing stock, expand the supply of affordable housing, and support family self-sufficiency initiatives |

| Explanation |
- The Charlotte Housing Authority (CHA) is requesting a $1,100,000 funding commitment for the Live Oak Apartment Development (See Attached Letter).  
- Live Oak is a 176 unit apartment development jointly developed by Bank of America Community Development Corporation and the Charlotte Housing Authority. The development will include 36 units of affordable housing targeted to families earning $19,280 (30 percent of the median income) or less.  
- The urgency of the funding request is to meet a January deadline to secure bond financing for the Live Oak development. Based on the current debt
structure and the allocation restrictions placed on HOPE VI funds, City staff recommends Housing Trust Fund (HTF) financing.

- Without this funding commitment, the development will be delayed or possibly jeopardized. Also, a delay will adversely affect the 50 unit housing development for seniors at Live Oak, which shares infrastructure with the proposed development. The senior housing development must be completed by December 2008 to meet lender commitments.
- The Charlotte Housing Authority proposes to reallocate $1,100,000 from the $1,235,000 Springfield Garden Apartments approved for CHA by City Council on June 26, 2006. The CHA will replace the funding for the Springfield Garden project with available HOPE VI funding. The development of the Springfield Garden project will not be delayed.
- The Charlotte Housing Authority did apply to the HTF for $2 million in the 2005 funding cycle for the Live Oak development. The application fell below the available funding line. The CHA had planned to reapply in the 2006 HTF funding round, but the current RFP process will not be completed until April/May 2007.
- A summary of the Live Oak project is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Affordable Units (30% of AMI)</th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>City Funding</th>
<th>Total Development Cost</th>
<th>Housing Locational Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live Oak</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
<td>$28,631,700</td>
<td>Priority Area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The financing for the $1,100,000 is 1% interest only, non-amortizing loan based upon shared cash flow. The term is 40 years.

**Housing Trust Fund Board Comments**

- The HTF Advisory Board reviewed the proposed funding transfer at its January 11, 2007 meeting. While the Board acknowledged that this request is out-of-sequence to the normal funding process, it is not without precedent. Last year, the HTF modified its process to accommodate the timeline for development of the Emergency Winter Shelter.
- The HTF Advisory Board found that the proposed Live Oak project
  - Met the Funding Underwriting Guidelines
  - Served a priority population group (30 percent of Area Median Income and below)
  - Represented an opportunity to develop a unique mixed income housing development in Charlotte
- The Board unanimously recommended the transfer of $1,100,000 from the Springfield Garden Apartments project to the Live Oak Multi-Family rental housing development. (Affirmative: Drakeford, Hall, Jones, Lindsey and Simpson; Wollard abstained due to conflict and McNeely was absent)

**Funding**

Neighborhood Capital Investment Plan
18. Nomination to Boards and Commissions

**Action:** Nominate citizens to serve as specified.

A. **CITIZENS’ TRANSIT ADVISORY GROUP**
   - One appointment for an unexpired term beginning immediately and ending June 2007 and continuing for the next full term ending June 2009.
     - Carlton Smalls failed to meet the attendance requirement.

19. Appointments to Boards and Commissions

**Action:** Vote on blue paper ballots and give to the City Clerk at dinner.

A. **AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMITTEE**
   - One appointment for a Westside resident for an unexpired term beginning immediately and ending July 2009.
     - Anthony DePaolo by Council members Foxx and Mitchell
     - Crystal D. Jackson by Council member Mumford
     - Katherine Taylor-Richards by Council member Lassiter

B. **BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE**
   - One appointment for an unexpired term beginning immediately and ending April 2007, then continuing for the full term ending April 2010.
     - Calvin Aurand, Jr. by Council member Lochman
- Robert Barkman by Council member Barnes
- Lee Froneberger by Council member Mumford
- Ellsworth Salisbury by Council member Kinsey
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**C. COMMUNITY RELATIONS COMMITTEE**

- Two appointments for unexpired terms beginning immediately and ending June 2007 and continuing for the next full term ending June 2010.
  - Tariq Bokhari by Council member Mumford
  - Dennis Donahue by Council member Dulin
  - Caroline Frers by Council member Kinsey
  - Diane Gearheard by Council member Barnes
  - Brenda McMoore by Council member Mitchell
  - Ashley Oster by Council member Lassiter
  - Tracy Pavlish by Council member Lochman
  - Tammy Chappell-Proctor by Council member Carter
  - Cecelia Torres by Council member Carter
  - James Young by Council member Kinsey
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**D. HOUSING AUTHORITY**

- One appointment for an unexpired term beginning immediately and ending December 2007.
  - Randall Eaton by Council members Lochman and Turner
  - William Miller by Council members Foxx and Lassiter
  - Donna Phillips by Council member Carter
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**E. KEEP CHARLOTTE BEAUTIFUL COMMITTEE**

- One appointment for an unexpired term beginning immediately and ending June 2008.
  - Glenn Dellinger by Council member Lochman
  - Shaun Kruse by Council member Kinsey
  - Gregg Orth by Council member Barnes
Attachment 12
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F. MAYOR’S INTERNATIONAL CABINET

  - Karim Azar by Council member Carter
  - Robert Barkman by Council member Barnes
  - Zbigniew Habas by Council member Lochman

Attachment 13
Applications

G. PRIVATIZATION/COMPETITION ADVISORY BOARD

- Two appointments for two year terms beginning March 2, 2007.
  - Jerome Alexander by Council member Dulin
  - Kevin Brown by Council member Kinsey
  - Robert Brownlie by Council member Lassiter
  - Thomas Dorsey by Council member Mumford
  - Joel Ford by Council member Mitchell
  - Landrum Henderson by Council member Lassiter
  - Randall Scott Miller by Council member Kinsey
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20. Mayor and Council Topics
Council members may share information and raise topics for discussion.
Introduction to CONSENT

The consent portion of the agenda is divided into two sections: Consent I and Consent II.

Consent I consists of routine items that have been approved in the budget, are low bid and comply with Small Business Opportunity Program Policy.

Consent II consists of routine items that have also been approved in the budget, but require additional explanation.

The City’s Small Business Opportunity (SBO) Program’s purpose is to enhance competition and opportunity in City contracting with small businesses in the Charlotte metropolitan statistical area. Participation of small business enterprises (SBE) is noted where applicable. Contracts recommended for award as of March 1, 2003 comply with the provisions of the SBO program policy for SBE outreach and utilization. Professional service contracts recommended for award as of August 1, 2003 comply with the provisions of the SBO program policy for SBE outreach and utilization.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) is a federal program primarily used for Aviation and Transit.

Contractors and Consultants
All contractor and consultant selections follow the Council approved process unless described otherwise.

CONSENT I

21. Various Bids

A. Freedom Drive Streetscape Landscaping

Staff Resource: Leslie Bing

Action
Award the low bid of $127,407 by Superior Seeding of Gastonia, North Carolina. This project will include landscaping planting strips from Ashley Road to Walnut Avenue. This project is the second phase of the Freedom Drive Improvement project. Construction completion is scheduled for first quarter of 2007.

Small Business Opportunity
Pursuant to Section 5 of the SBO Program, no SBO utilization goal was set for this contract because subcontracting is not anticipated.
B. Mt. Holly Huntersville/Bellhaven Boulevard Intersection Improvements

Staff Resource: Dan Leaver

Action
Award the low bid of $121,683.38 by United Construction, Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina. This project will extend the east-bound right turn lane on Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road at Bellhaven Boulevard. The work includes: traffic control, grading, drainage, utility relocations and adjustments, concrete curb, sidewalks, drives and wheelchair ramps; asphalt paving, pavement markings and associated work. This project is funded through the CDOT Minor Roadway Program. Construction completion is scheduled for second quarter of 2007.

Small Business Opportunity
Established SBE Goal: 4%
Committed SBE Goal: 7.15%
United Construction exceeded the established SBE goal; however they failed to meet the mandatory outreach. Pursuant to Section 14.6 of the SBO Program Policy, the SBO Program Manager waived the non-compliance for mandatory outreach requirements, as it does not reflect a lack of diligence on the bidder’s part in complying with the program. United committed 7.15% ($8,695) to the following SBE firms: ACS Pavement Parking, Inc., Carolina Cajun Concrete, Inc. and D’s Trucking Service, Inc.

C. Landscape Tractors

Staff Resource: Mehl Renner

Action
Award the low bid, unit price contract of $63,475 to Twin States Equipment of Peachland, North Carolina to provide landscape tractors for a term of one-year and authorize the City Manager to renew the agreement for two additional one-year terms. The FY07 expenditure for two tractors will be $126,950.

Small Business Opportunity
The Small Business Development Program waived the SBE goal for this project. Pursuant to Section 5 of the SBO Program, no SBO utilization goal was set for this contract because subcontracting is not anticipated.
D. Smith and Wesson Handguns Police

Staff Resource: Sgt. Jeffrey Baker

Action
Award the low bid, unit price contract of $461.89 to Smith and Wesson of Springfield, MA for the purchase of 40 caliber handguns in the estimated annual sum of $92,378 for the term of two years and authorize the City Manager to renew for an additional one-year term.

Small Business Opportunity
Pursuant to Section 5 of the SBO Program, no SBO utilization goal was set for this contract because subcontracting is not anticipated.

22. Resolution of Intent to Abandon Street and Set Public Hearing for a Portion of Griffith Street

| Action: | A. Adopt a Resolution of Intent to abandon a portion of Griffith Street, and |
| | B. Set a public hearing for February 26, 2007. |

Staff Resource: Linda Poissant, Transportation

Attachment 15
Map
Resolution
# 23. In Rem Remedy

For In Rem Remedy #A-T, the public purpose and policy are outlined here.

**Public Purpose:**
- Eliminate a blighting influence.
- Reduce the proportion of substandard housing.
- Increase tax value of property by making land available for potential infill housing development.
- Support public safety initiatives.

**Policy:**
- Housing & Neighborhood Development
- Community Safety

The In Rem Remedy items were initiated from 3 categories:
1. Public Safety – Police and/or Fire Dept.
2. Complaint – petition by citizens, tenant complaint or public agency referral
3. Field Observation – concentrated code enforcement program

The In Rem Remedy item is listed below by category identifying the street address and neighborhood.

**Public Safety:**

A. 4433 Eagle Lake Drive (Neighborhood Statistical Area 100 – Eagle Lake Community Neighborhood)
B. 6411 Nevin Glen Drive (Neighborhood Statistical Area 125 – Nevin Community Neighborhood)
C. 3609 1, 2, 3 Tuckasegeege Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 18 – Enderly Park Neighborhood)

**Complaint:**

D. 4501 Redwood Avenue (Neighborhood Statistical Area 44 – North Charlotte Neighborhood)
E. 3726 Tuckaseegee Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 18 – Enderly Park Neighborhood)

**Field Observation:**

F. 4043-45 Dinglewood Avenue (Neighborhood Statistical Area 44 – North Charlotte Neighborhood)
G. 7109-1 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
H. 7109-2 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
I. 7109-3 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
J. 7109-4 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
K. 7109-12 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
L. 7109-14 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
M. 7109-24 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
N. 7109-26 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
O. 7109-28 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
P. 7109-29 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
Q. 7109-30 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
R. 7109-31 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood)
S. 1609 Umstead Street (Neighborhood Statistical Area 51 – Belmont Neighborhood)
T. 2212 Vanderbilt Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 37 – Druid Hills South Neighborhood)

Public Safety:

A. 4433 Eagle Lake Drive

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 4433 Eagle Lake Drive (Neighborhood Statistical Area 100 – Eagle Lake Community Neighborhood).
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B. 6411 Nevin Glen Drive

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 6411 Nevin Glen Drive (Neighborhood Statistical Area 125 – Nevin Community Neighborhood).
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C. 3609 1, 2, 3 Tuckaseegee Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 3609 1, 2, 3 Tuckaseegee Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 18 – Enderly Park Neighborhood).
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Complaint:

D. 4105 Redwood Avenue

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 4105 Redwood Avenue (Neighborhood Statistical Area 44 – North Charlotte Neighborhood).
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E. 3726 Tuckaseegee Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 3726 Tuckaseegee Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 18 – Enderly Park Neighborhood).
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Field Observation:

F. 4043-45 Dinglewood Avenue

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 4043-45 Dinglewood Avenue (Neighborhood Statistical Area 44 – North Charlotte Neighborhood).
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G. 7109-1 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-1 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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H. 7109-2 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-2 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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I. 7109-3 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-3 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).

Attachment 24

J. 7109-4 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-4 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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K. 7109-12 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-12 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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L. 7109-14 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-14 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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M. 7109-24 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-24 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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N. 7109-26 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-26 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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O. 7109-28 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-28 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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P. 7109-29 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-29 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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Q. 7109-30 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-30 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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R. 7109-31 Old Statesville Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 7109-31 Old Statesville Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 126 – Henderson Circle Neighborhood).
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S. 1609 Umstead Street

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 1609 Umstead Street (Neighborhood Statistical Area 51 – Belmont Neighborhood).
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T. 2212 Vanderbilt Road

Action: Adopt an Ordinance authorizing the use of In Rem Remedy to demolish and remove the structure at 2212 Vanderbilt Road (Neighborhood Statistical Area 37 – Druid Hills South Neighborhood).
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24. Resolution on NASCAR Hall of Fame Certificates of Participation

| Action: | Adopt a resolution authorizing the execution of an installment purchase contract (Certificates of Participation Financing) with the New Charlotte Corporation and other related matters required to finance the NASCAR Hall of Fame and Convention Center Project. |

| Staff Resource: | Greg Gaskins, Finance |

**Explanation**
- On December 11, 2006 Council approved the first of three actions required for financing the NASCAR Hall of Fame and Convention Center expansion project and held a public hearing for January 8, 2007.
- This is the third and final required action approving the execution of documents related to the financing.
- The NASCAR Hall of Fame is in the planning process and is scheduled to open in 2009.

**Funding**
- NASCAR Hall of Fame Fund
- Convention Center Tax Fund

**Attachment 36**
- Resolution

25. Police Undercover Vehicles Lease

| Action: | Approve a four-year lease, not to exceed $165,000, with Wilmar Leasing for ten undercover vehicles. |

| Staff Resource: | Greg Crystal, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police |

**Explanation**
- CMPD’s Vice Division has been leasing undercover vehicles since 2000.
- Leasing undercover vehicles has enabled the Vice Division to get reliable vehicles that are replaced on a four-year cycle.
- If the undercover nature of the vehicle is compromised during the lease period, the vendor will replace the compromised vehicle with another make and model for the remainder of lease period at no additional cost.
- The ten vehicles on this lease will replace seven vehicles that have reached the end of their original four-year lease period, and the other three vehicles are
part of a group of older owned or seized vehicles that are gradually being replaced with leased vehicles.

**Funding**
Police operating budget

### 26. Governor’s Highway Safety Program Grant Application

**Action:** Adopt a resolution authorizing the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department to apply for a $7,500 grant from the Governor’s Highway Safety Program to fund the contract for a phlebotomist.

**Staff Resource:** Sgt. Alan McWhirter, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police

**Explanation**
- The federal government provides a significant amount of funds which are administered by the Governor’s Highway Safety Program (GHSP). The GHSP distributes those funds to state and local enforcement agencies through its grant program.
- On August 28, 2006, Council approved a budget ordinance and grant application for a Governor’s Highway Safety program for speed pads, additional radars and a contract for a phlebotomist.
- The phlebotomist would respond to the jail to draw blood from DWI arrestees who refuse chemical analysis of their breath to determine their blood alcohol content.
- These blood tests would be used as evidence in DWI cases.
- At the federal level, there is a delay in processing the paperwork for this grant.
- The Governor’s Highway Safety Program has offered to cover the contract for the phlebotomist from their standing fund for alcohol related projects until the federal funds are received.
- The cost of the contract for the phlebotomist is $10,000; $7,500 will be covered by the Governor’s Highway Safety Program and $2,500 will be funded with assets forfeiture funds.
- The Governor’s Highway Safety Program requires a new Council resolution to fund the phlebotomist contract.

**Funding**
$7,500 from the Governor’s Highway Safety Program; $2,500 from assets forfeiture funds

**Attachment 37**
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27. **Airport Terminal Signage Package**

| Action: | A. Award the low bid contract with Bunting Graphics, Inc. in the amount of $791,270 for the replacement of signage on Concourses A, B, C and in the Main Terminal, and |
| | B. Adopt a budget ordinance appropriating $850,000 from the Airport fund balance to be replaced from future General Airport Revenue Bonds. |

**Staff Resource:** Jerry Orr, Aviation

**Explanation**
- When Concourses D & E were expanded in 2001, a new signage design was implemented.
- This contract will replace the remainder of the original terminal and concourse signage (installed in 1982) with the new design.
- The difference between the contract amount and the budget ordinance will be used for a design contract.

**Small Business Opportunity**
Pursuant to Section 5 of the SBO Program, no goal was established due to the unique nature of the installations, in which subcontracting opportunities are not anticipated.

**Funding**
Airport Fund Balance

**Attachment 38**
Budget Ordinance

28. **Char/Meck 311 Call Center Telephony System Maintenance Support Contract**

| Action: | A. Approve third year 24 X 7 Char-Meck 311 Call Center Telephony System Maintenance Support contract with Unisys Corporation in the amount of $153,470, and |
| | B. Authorize the City Manager to exercise up to three, one year renewal options not to exceed $200,000 each. |

**Staff Resources:** Doug Symonds, Business Support Services
Saskia Thompson, City Manager’s Office
Explanation
- Council approved a renewal and City Manager authorization on November 14, 2005 for the amount of $136,000.
- The cost increase is due to the expansion of the Char-Meck 311 Call Center Avaya Telephony System. In April 2006, the system was expanded to support the addition of CMPD – Crime Reporting Unit, CATS – Special Transportation Section and CATS – Customer Service.
- The Char-Meck 311 Call Center employs an Avaya Telephony System to provide 24 hour services, seven days a week, to the citizens of Charlotte and Mecklenburg County. The System supports up to 190 agents.
- The maintenance support contract provides 24 X 7 support, hardware replacement, software upgrades, on-site as well as remote monitoring, and maintenance support in the event of a failure or malfunction.

Small Business Opportunity
Pursuant to Section 5 of the SBO Program, no SBO utilization goal was established for this contract because subcontracting is not anticipated.

Funding
311 Operating Budget

29. West District A Median Maintenance Renewal 2

| Action: | Approve Renewal #2 for $123,132.56 with The Byrd's Group, Inc. for West District A Median Maintenance. |

Staff Resource: Ron McMillan, Engineering & Property Management

Explanation
- Landscape Management competitively bid this project and Council approved awarding to the low bidder, The Byrd's Group, Inc.
- The original contract document allows for two renewals of the contract at the City’s option, as an incentive to the contractor for productivity and workmanship.
- This renewal is based on good contractor performance utilizing existing and adjusted unit prices.
- This work provides for routine maintenance such as grass mowing and shrub pruning but does not include replacement of damaged or lost plants.
- The West District A Median Maintenance project includes turf and landscape maintenance of medians in an area bounded by Old Mt. Holly and Rozzelles Ferry Road, I-77, Brookshire Boulevard and the City limits.

Contract History
- The City Council approved the original contract, which was for five years, for $425,634 on November 27, 2000.
- The total contract amount for West District A Median Maintenance including all renewals is $667,580.38.

Small Business Opportunity
Pursuant to Section 5 of the SBO Program, no SBO utilization goal was established for this contract because subcontracting is not anticipated.

Funding
Engineering & Property Management Operating Budget

30. Engineering Services for Upper Stoney Creek Stream Restoration

| Action: Approve a contract with Michael Baker Inc. (formerly Buck Engineering) in an amount not to exceed $355,000 for engineering services for the Upper Stoney Creek Stream Restoration and Mitigation Project. |

Staff Resource: Jennifer Smith, Engineering & Property Management

Explanation
- Federal and state regulations require mitigation for impacts to streams and wetlands caused by public projects.
- In 2004, Charlotte Storm Water Services, a division of Engineering & Property Management, secured approval from federal and state agencies for the creation of a Stream and Wetland Mitigation Bank (the Bank).
- The Bank allows the City and other local jurisdictions the flexibility to mitigate stream impacts locally, rather than paying into a statewide fund.
- This project supports the City’s Bank, which provides compensatory mitigation credit to public projects that impact streams and wetlands.
- The Bank’s revolving fund concept provides about 60% recovery of the project costs through the sale of mitigation credits during the permitting of other City projects.
- The project will improve the creek’s water quality through re-vegetation and acquisition of protective easements, supporting the City’s objective of sustaining the quality of the environment.
- The Upper Stoney Creek Stream Restoration Project
  - is identified as one of the highest priority restoration locations citywide,
  - provides State and Federally required compensatory mitigation for City projects, and
  - results in the evaluation of approximately 13,000 feet of stream channel and up to eight storm water best management practices for feasibility of improvements along three channel reaches of Stoney Creek.
- This contract includes planning and preliminary design services for the restoration of a degraded urban stream in the University area.
- The consultant fees are consistent with statewide averages in urban areas.
- Staff will bring a construction contract to Council for award at a future date.
**Small Business Opportunity**
Established SBE Goal: 1%
Committed SBE Goal: 14.33%
Michael Baker Inc. committed 14.33% ($50,880) of the total contract amount to the following certified SBE: Survey and Mapping Control, Inc.

**Funding**
Storm Water Capital Investment Plan

### 31. Water Main Engineering Design Services Contract

| Action: | A. Approve contract for $300,000 with Mahnaz, Inc. for water main replacement engineering services, and  
| B. Authorize the City Manager to renew the contract for three additional one-year terms. |

**Staff Resource:** Doug Bean, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utilities

**Explanation**
- The water main replacement program replaces water lines throughout Mecklenburg County that have reached the end of their service life. These lines have an adverse impact on water quality, service reliability and maintenance cost.
- The water main replacement program routinely replaces 85,000 linear feet of water lines annually.
- This contract will provide engineering design services for water main replacement projects throughout Mecklenburg County.

**Small Business Opportunity**
Established SBE Goal: 4%
Committee SBE Goal: 4%
Mahnaz, Inc. committed $12,000 to the following SBE firms: Gavel Engineering, Landtec and On Target Utility Locate Services, Inc.

**Funding**
Water Capital Investment Plan
32. Emergency Response Grants

| Action: | A. Enter into three grant agreements with the North Carolina Division of Emergency Management totaling $367,857.14 to assist in the preparation for response to terrorism-related events and other emergency situations and to conduct an exercise in response to a terrorist event, and |
| B. Adopt a budget ordinance appropriating $367,857.14 in funding. |

Staff Resource: Jeff Dulin, Fire

Explanation
- The U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the Office of Grants and Training have made funds available through the State Homeland Security Grant Program. This program was established to provide federal reimbursement to state and local governments for the costs associated with purchasing equipment, conducting exercises, training and planning for Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive (CBRNE) terrorist events.
  - In March 2007, the City of Charlotte will host the Western Branch Regional Exercise. This exercise will be open to all 33 counties included within the Western Branch Region.
  - Grant funds for the regional exercise will be spent on meals, supplies for the exercise, printing costs, office supplies, and expendables such as wood and concrete panels, nails, tools and fuel.
- The North Carolina Department of Crime Control and Public Safety, acting through the N. C. Division of Emergency Management and Regional Response Team 7 of the Charlotte Fire Department, is entering into an agreement for the provision of funding for equipment to be used for the mitigation of incidents involving terrorist activity, major disasters, and other emergencies which may threaten human life, property and the environment.
- Region 7 consists of 11 North Carolina counties: Cleveland, Gaston, Lincoln, Catawba, Alexander, Iredell, Rowan, Stanly, Union, Cabarrus, and Mecklenburg.
- Grant funds for the Regional Response Team 7 will be spent on CBRNE equipment. This equipment includes detection and identification equipment, upgrades to current air packs to make them CBRNE compliant and other gear for the Hazmat truck located at Station 32.

Funding
U. S. Department of Homeland Security
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33. Municipal Agreement for Water and Sewer along I-485

Action:  Adopt a resolution authorizing the Transportation Key Business Executive to execute a Municipal Agreement with the NC Department of Transportation for water and sewer line work along I-485.

Staff Resource:  Doug Bean, Utilities

Explanation
- This Municipal Agreement is for the reimbursement to the state for the relocation and adjustment of municipally-owned water and sewer lines along I-485 from north of NC 27 to northeast Oakdale Road.
- The City will reimburse NCDOT for actual costs not to exceed $1,471,140.45.
- The format and cost sharing philosophy is consistent with past municipal agreements.

Funding
Water and Sewer Capital Investment Plan
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Resolution

34. Municipal Agreement for I-485 Westbound Ramp at South Boulevard

Action:  Adopt a resolution authorizing the Transportation Key Business Executive to execute a Municipal Agreement with the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the design and construction to widen the I-485 Westbound ramp at South Boulevard and to install a traffic signal and interconnect cable.

Staff Resource:  Liz Babson, Transportation

Explanation
- NCDOT authorized the installation of a traffic signal and interconnect cable in relation to the design and construction to widen the I-485 Westbound ramp at South Boulevard. A Municipal Agreement between the City and NCDOT is needed in order for the City to be reimbursed for the work it has done.
- The Municipal Agreement provides for the City to be reimbursed, by NCDOT, for all work it has performed on this project not to exceed $250,000.
- The format and cost sharing philosophy is consistent with past municipal agreements.

Funding
NCDOT
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Action: Authorize the City Manager to negotiate an Agreement with Duke Energy in an amount not to exceed $1,700,000 for relocation of transmission lines.

Staff Resource Imad Fakhreddin, Engineering & Property Management

Agreement with Duke Energy
- The Fred D. Alexander Boulevard Project requires that Duke Energy relocate major electric transmission lines to make room for new road construction.
- The transmission line relocation is not covered under the City’s existing agreement with Duke Energy for project utility relocations because transmission lines are located in Duke Energy owned right of way.
- This action will allow the City Manager to negotiate an Agreement with Duke Energy for the City to pay up to $1,700,000 for relocation work, including; transmission right-of-way acquisition, materials, labor, equipment and expenses. The cost of relocating the transmission lines was anticipated and the amount was included in the project budget.

Fred D. Alexander Boulevard Project History
- The Fred D. Alexander Boulevard Project is a proposed multi-lane roadway to connect NC27 to NC16, based on recommendations of the West Side Strategy Plan. This road will be a part of the Northwest Circumferential Route.
- The 2004 Transportation Bonds included $5.8 million to complete design, right of way acquisition, and utility relocation.
- The 2006 Transportation Bonds include $20 million to fund the first phase of construction. An additional $16.5 million for the second phase of construction is proposed for the 2008 Bond Referendum.
- The design team considered several different roadway alignments and was able to minimize the conflicts with the transmission power lines, but was not able to avoid them completely.
- On February 27, 2006, City Council authorized the City Manager to negotiate an agreement with Duke Energy in an amount not to exceed $200,000 for engineering design for the relocation of transmission lines for the Fred D. Alexander Blvd project. This design work provided the basis for the estimated total relocation cost of $1.7 million.

Small Business Opportunity
Pursuant to Section 2.21 of the Program Policy regarding contracts that are entered into without a competitive process this contract is exempt from the Small Business Opportunity Program.
36. Arena Retail Space Lease

Action: A. Adopt a resolution approving a Lease Agreement for Bellacino’s Pizza and Grinders, and

B. Authorize the City Manager to execute the Lease Agreement for the restaurant with bar component to occupy street level retail space at the property known as Charlotte Bobcats Arena at 333 E. Trade Street.

Staff Resources: J. R. Steigerwald, Engineering & Property Management
Eric Johnson, Engineering & Property Management

Explanation
- In construction of the Charlotte Bobcats Arena, street-level retail along Trade Street was envisioned. Three tenants have previously been approved by City Council to occupy spaces. Red’s BBQ withdrew due to financial considerations.
- Leases are in the hands of Dunkin Donuts/Baskin Robbins franchisee and Matt’s Chicago Hotdogs for final execution by the Tenants and then by the City Manager.
- Dunkin Donuts plans to open for business in May 2007.
- Matt’s Chicago Hotdogs has not set an opening date.
- Bellacino’s will occupy two spaces originally designated for Red’s BBQ.
- Proceeds from these leases are dedicated to the annual capital maintenance cost for the arena, into which the City and the Charlotte Bobcats each contribute $250,000 annually.

Lease Agreement with Bellacino’s Pizza and Grinders
- Allows Bellacino’s to occupy approximately 4,131 square feet of vacant street-level retail space of Charlotte Bobcats Arena located at 333 E. Trade Street.
- Annual rent would average $98,822 for the first 5 years.
- Terms of Lease Agreement:
  - Ten year initial term with two 5-year options.
  - Rent is $84,686 in first year and will reach $109,926 in the 5th year. Rent adjusts annually with a 3% annual increase after lease year number five.
  - Tenant receives an upfit allowance of $30 per square foot for leasehold improvements.
  - “Net” lease requires the Tenant to pay for all their utilities
  - Tenant is to submit plans for improvements for Landlord’s approval prior to commencement of construction.
  - One of the two general partners is a minority business owner.
37. Sale of City Land to Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools

Action:
A. Adopt a resolution declaring 10.6 acres of City land (PID # 017-051-15) as surplus, and
B. Approve the sale of this land to Charlotte Mecklenburg Schools at the appraised value of $47,250 per acre for a total of $500,850.

Staff Resources:  
Tim O’Brien, Engineering & Property Management
Doug Bean, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Utilities

Explanation
- The City owns a 12.9 acre tract of land along Old Statesville Road in Huntersville that is the site of a water tower.
- 10.6 acres of the parcel is deemed as surplus to the water tank operation.
- The City’s parcel is situated between two Charlotte-Mecklenburg Schools (CMS) campuses; North Mecklenburg High School and Blythe Elementary School.
- Severe overcrowding at North Mecklenburg High School has resulted in this school becoming the largest school in the district with enrollment of more than 2,900 students. Consequently, there is a critical parking shortage.
- Selling the land to CMS will relieve some of the parking and traffic congestion at the two schools, along Old Statesville Road and Alexanderana Road, by providing additional driveway access for the schools.
- Revenue received from property sales is held in the Capital Investment Plan fund until allocated by Council.

Attachment 43
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Resolution
38. **Property Transactions**

| Action: Approve the following property acquisition(s) (A) and adopt the condemnation resolution(s) (B-I). |

NOTE: Condemnation Resolutions are on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

**Acquisitions**

**A.**
- **Project:** Sardis Lane Sidewalk Improvements, Parcel # 12
- **Owner(s):** Alan Midlam And Sandra Meier a/k/a Sandra Midlam
- **Property Address:** 7221 Carosan Lane
- **Property to be acquired:** 4,114.8 sq. ft. (.094 ac.) in Storm Drainage Easement, plus 3,916.26 sq. ft. (.090 ac.) in Sidewalk and Utility Easement, plus 15,366.12 sq. ft. (.353 ac.) in Temporary Construction Easement
- **Improvements:** None
- **Landscaping:** None
- **Purchase Price:** $13,381
- **Remarks:** Compensation was established by independent, certified appraisals related to this property.
- **Zoned:** R-3
- **Use:** Single Family Residential
- **Tax Code:** 187-181-27
- **Total Parcel Tax Value:** $308,600

**Condemnations**

**B.**
- **Project:** Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 7
- **Owner(s):** Jack Garrison Griffith And Any Other Parties Of Interest
- **Property Address:** Vernon Drive
- **Property to be acquired:** Total Combined Area of 19,096 sq. ft. (.438 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement
- **Improvements:** None
- **Landscaping:** None
- **Purchase Price:** $11,550
- **Remarks:** Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.
- **Zoned:** VIP
- **Use:** Single Family Residential - Rural Acreage
- **Tax Code:** 007-053-19
- **Total Parcel Tax Value:** $46,600

**C.**
- **Project:** Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 8
- **Owner(s):** John L. Cathey And Any Other Parties Of Interest
- **Property Address:** Walnut Street
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 8,754 sq. ft. (.201 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement
Improvements: None
Landscaping: None
Purchase Price: $4,975
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.
Zoned: VIP
Use: Single Family Residential
Tax Code: 007-051-21
Total Parcel Tax Value: $38,100

D. Project: Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 9
Owner(s): Eva Griffith Cathey (Widow) And Any Other Parties Of Interest
Property Address: Walnut Street
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 11,278 sq. ft. (.259 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement
Improvements: None
Landscaping: None
Purchase Price: $6,550
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.
Zoned: VIP
Use: Single Family Residential - Rural Acreage
Tax Code: 007-051-22
Total Parcel Tax Value: $69,300

E. Project: Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 10
Owner(s): Eva Griffith Cathey (Widow) And Any Other Parties Of Interest
Property Address: Walnut Street
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 10,179 sq. ft. (.234 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement
Improvements: None
Landscaping: None
Purchase Price: $11,725
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.
Zoned: VIP
Use: Single Family Residential - Rural Acreage
Tax Code: 007-051-23
Total Parcel Tax Value: $71,600

F.  Project: Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 14  
Owner(s): Elaine C. McArn, Kenneth W. Caldwell And Rebecca C. Brown And Any Other Parties Of Interest  
Property Address: 502 Spring Street  
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 194 sq. ft. (.004 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement  
Improvements: None  
Landscaping: None  
Purchase Price: $125  
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.  
Zoned: VIP  
Use: Single Family Residential  
Tax Code: 007-051-13  
Total Parcel Tax Value: $65,000

G.  Project: Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 16  
Owner(s): Alexander Trucking Company Of Davidson And Any Other Parties Of Interest  
Property Address: 405 South Main Street  
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 7,861 sq. ft. (.180 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement  
Improvements: None  
Landscaping: None  
Purchase Price: $12,600  
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.  
Zoned: VIP  
Use: Commercial  
Tax Code: 007-018-06  
Total Parcel Tax Value: $79,200

H.  Project: Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 17  
Owner(s): Mildred C. Alexander (a/k/a Mildred Cashion Alexander) And Any Other Parties Of Interest  
Property Address: 405 South Main Street  
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 13,486 sq. ft. (.310 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement  
Improvements: None  
Landscaping: None  
Purchase Price: $54,075  
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent,
certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.

Zoned: VCP
Use: Commercial
Tax Code: 007-018-18
Total Parcel Tax Value: $187,400

I. Project: Potts Street Parallel Outfall, Parcel # 18
Owner(s): Heirs Of Nancy Lula Fisher (Deceased) And Any Other Parties Of Interest
Property Address: 321 South Main Street
Property to be acquired: Total Combined Area of 1,354 sq. ft. (.031 ac.) of Sanitary Sewer Easement, plus Temporary Construction Easement
Improvements: None
Landscaping: None
Purchase Price: $7,125
Remarks: Compensation was established by an independent, certified appraisal and an appraisal review. City staff has yet to reach a negotiated settlement with the property owner.
Zoned: VCP
Use: Single Family Residential
Tax Code: 007-018-17
Total Parcel Tax Value: $243,600

39. Meeting Minutes

| Action: Approve the titles, motions and votes reflected in the Clerk’s record as the minutes of: |
| - December 11, 2006-Business Meeting |
| - December 18, 2006 Zoning Meeting |