CHARLOTTE

Charlotte City Council

Governmental Affairs Committee

Meeting Summary for September 7, 2010

COMMITTEE AGENDA TOPICS

I. **Subject:** **Emerging 2011 Issues**
Action: None.

II. **Subject:** **Review of Proposed 2011 State and Federal Consolidated Legislative Calendar**
Action: Motion to approve calendar as presented with right to make changes.

III. **Subject:** **Federal Legislative Contract**
Action: Motion to authorize the City Manager to start renewal discussions with Holland & Knight for one year at $198,000 with an option for a second year at the same rate.

IV. **Subject:** **Hot Topics**
Action: None.

V. **Subject:** **Next Meeting**
Action: Monday, October 4 at 4:00 p.m. in Room CH-14

COMMITTEE INFORMATION

Present: Nancy Carter, Patrick Cannon and Andy Dulin
Absent: Warren Turner
Time: 3:00 p.m. to 4:25 p.m.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Agenda Package
2. Handout: Charlotte Funding History – Holland & Knight

DISCUSSION HIGHLIGHTS

Committee Discussion:

Council member Carter welcomed everyone to the meeting and asked those in attendance to introduce themselves.

I. Emerging 2011 Issues

Dana Fenton began the presentation [copy attached]

[2010 State and Federal Actions – Slide]

The noticeable trend is the number of earmarks is going down. The average in the House was down 50%. There is a greater awareness of debt and many of the Republicans committed to minimizing earmarks.

Dulin: Regarding the earmarks, what do you think will happen if Republicans sweep the elections? Will there be no earmarks? Will that encourage them to continue that trend or will they say okay there are some things needed at home?

Fenton: If the Republicans take over and the House and Senate swears off earmarks that will leave lots of discretionary money to parcel out.

Dulin: So, it will still be spent?

Fenton: Correct.

[Outlook for 2011 – Slide]

Carter: If we receive funding from the feds for the Blue Line, will that be matched by the state?

Fenton: Yes. The calculation is the approximate federal government match at 50%, which is really half by the state and half by CATS.

Carter: That is something we are working on?

Kimble: That was part of the short session agenda discussion. Carolyn Flowers and Dana Fenton as well as others have been in Raleigh keeping that front and center on the agenda.

Fenton: It is very important for CATS to be able to implement the transit action plan.

Dulin: If the Republicans take the House and Senate in Raleigh, will they step up the matches?

Fenton: We plan to visit Raleigh in the coming months to make them aware of how important full funding of the state grant is to us.

Dulin: I know Council member Carter is active, but do other members need to go? I don't want to waste time, but if we can go there and do good, I don't mind helping to move the ball.

Fenton: It was good when you were there for Town Hall Day.

Kimble: In the short session there were two issues that we wanted the state to commit to and that was funding their half of the local match for transit projects. There is a smaller pot of money and there is also the issue of maintenance with the rail lines. We need to make sure they agree to the 50% match.

Dulin: They have $3 billion to find. If the Republicans take over in the House and/or Senate we may find it very different than with the Democrats. We may find they cut back and there is money in play.

Fenton: There is a $3 billion shortfall. There is no revenue to support transportation outside of the Highway Trust Fund.

[Business Privilege License / Tax Reform – Slide]

Carter: How are we different?

Fenton: Not all of the other cities and towns have caps. The tax could be higher depending on the presence of the corporation.

Carter: What is the amount in Charlotte?

Kimble: We have a $10,000 cap.

Dulin: Just like the heartbeat of small businesses the $17 million for me is $50.00 a year as a realtor.

Carter: Wasn't professional taxation taken off the table?

Fenton: There was talk of extending to professional services, but that was on a separate schedule.

Dulin: I pay $50.00 as an individual.

Kimble: The extension was to other service providers. Senator Clodfelter thought accountants, attorneys, doctors should be protected with a lower amount of tax while other service providers paid a higher amount. The bottomline is there does need to be reform. The question is what form will it take?

Carter: I assume you are monitoring this. But, who runs this?

Fenton: Senator Clodfelter is Chair of the Senate Finance Committee. And, there is oversight in the House.

Carter: Can you find out the other members of those committees and have their newsletters sent to us?

Kimble: The tax is cumbersome. It was established in the 1860s and different cities have different structures and different businesses and some of those businesses are located in more than one locality and pay different amounts. That's the reason for the alternative. But, it still needs to be locally imposed so it generates revenue but is less cumbersome.

Dulin: I assume there is some modeling of us?

Kimble: Yes. We've had conversations with Senator Clodfelter to see if there is some way for us to have some say so in the model so we preserve the revenue but the tax is less cumbersome.

Dulin: Mr. Fenton, Charlotte has done a good job of running this tax and it's a big deal, so just know it will be on the block.

Fenton: Yes.

Carter: Is the County impacted?

Kimble: They collect the tax for us.

Carter: So, the fee is their only concern?

Kimble: It's a small administrative fee that they take off the revenue to cover themselves.

Carter: But, the County would be losing that as well. It is percentage off of $17 million.

Kimble: We also use the database for other reasons. It is good to know who is doing business here and it is also important for Police, Fire, Planning, Engineering, etc.

[Annexation – Slide]

Carter: Be aware there is a protest movement as part of the current annexation.

Dulin: Just on one street?

Carter: Camp Stewart.

Kimble: We are aware and the area around Cedarbrook Acres qualifies by itself. It is a dense enough area by itself.

[Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) – Slide]

Fenton: The question here is what role the General Assembly will play.

Carter: There are two others. There is an air quality debate. And, the change from rural versus metropolitan. The state is moving to a metropolitan area and there will be an impact. It is essential to make that switch and expand what we have.

Dulin: After the census comes out, you are saying we will go from rural to metro?

Carter: We became an urban state about a year and half ago. We have expanded our jurisdiction to have control in the ETJ.

Dulin: But, the small towns can't afford that. Does Davidson have a planning department?

Carter: Yes.

Dulin: That's right; they are heavy on the zoning.

Kimble: The MPO is currently Mecklenburg and Union.

Carter: We need to look at the authority being recommended to MPOs to be effective.

[Transportation – Slide]

Carter: How are we soliciting them? Who is soliciting them? Who is talking to them?

Fenton: All cities, towns, MPOs, staff here, the League of Municipalities, the Metro Mayors Coalition, and County Commissions. All counties received the same information.

Carter: Are we unified?

Fenton: Yes, everyone agrees finishing the Yadkin River Bridge is the number one priority.

Carter: Everyone?

Fenton: Yes. Locally there are efforts to compile a common set of comments to provide. The current resources are insufficient. It is hard to get a statewide project done. There just isn't enough money.

[Energy – Slide]

Dulin: Sustainable question. We have tried to identify ourselves and go after that retooling from banking. How are we doing? Siemens could be seen as a success. But, Raleigh is trying too. How are we doing?

[Cannon arrives]

Kimble: There is a great amount of attention being given to this by the Chamber. They are developing a game plan to create Charlotte-Mecklenburg as an energy hub. There is a lot quietly happening behind the scenes. There is work with lenders. There is also discussion with Duke – do they want to just own the assets themselves or buy energy and collaborate.

Dulin: You mentioned the Chamber and energy. I see Allison Waller is here can I ask how they are helping us?

Waller: Energy is a huge initiative. There is a kind of under the radar group that has met a couple of times and there are plans for an Energy Summit on November 19 at Ballantyne Hotel. Tom McKittrick and Scott Carlburg are part of the planning committee with reps from Celgard, etc.

Dulin: Will you make sure Council members are notified?

Carter: It is already out.

Waller: Yes. There has been one meeting so far, but the federal and state aspects will be a big part of the agenda.

II. <u>Review of Proposed 2011 State and Federal Consolidated Legislative Calendar</u>

Carter: The calendar looks very straightforward and I am delighted to see it.

Fenton: We have listed all the dates getting this through the Council agenda. The Governmental Affairs Committee meetings, staff actions, and remaining

meetings with the plan to come back on November 22 for final approval of the agenda. There are a number of things leading up to the October 4 presentation back to this Committee, and there will be another update at your November 1 meeting before recommendation to Council.

We would note that if issues emerge, we propose to be nimble and react when issues come up.

Carter: The final document precedes the NLC meeting in December. We need to be aware there might be issues that come out of that meeting. I see December 13 for the Delegation breakfast; thanks for the early date.

Fenton: I should note that is tentative pending confirmation from the Chair. We have a call in to him to confirm.

Dulin: I think the October 13 meeting conflicts with the Chamber's Fall Planning Retreat.

Fenton: That is internal staff, sorry.

Kimble: If you want, we can change the calendar so that you approve the state agenda on November 22, so you can still meet with the Delegation on December 13 and then approve the federal agenda on December 13 in case there are additional items. Or, you can leave it and react.

Carter: I think it is important to have the federal and state agenda on the table so the Delegation can be partners with us going to the feds. We can add on.

Kimble: So, leave it as is but open.

Dulin: The breakfast is at 7:30?

Fenton: 7:45.

Kimble: Are you ready to recommend approval? There is no rush.

Dulin: I would make a motion to keep the calendar that was presented and reserve the right to make changes as they come up.

Cannon: Second.

Motion passes unanimously – Carter, Cannon, Dulin – for

III. Federal Legislative Contract

Ron Kimble reminded the Committee the Federal Legislative Contract was referred by the City Manager. Holland & Knight's contract expires on December 31. The City Manager's recommendation would be to continue with Holland & Knight for two more years. Today's meeting is for the Committee to discuss this issue and possibly make a recommendation.

Cannon: Two years rather than three years?

Kimble: The last time the contract expired, the Request for Council Action was longer, but Council wanted two years to revisit this just before the contract ends.

Cannon: In looking through the powerpoint, it seems the order of business has changed or could be changing in terms. We know Holland & Knight has been strong for us in transportation but if the focal point falls into another area or not should we look for different strengths or leave it open?

Dulin: Can you repeat that?

Cannon: Are we certain Holland & Knight is the entity we want to remain in business with if our focal point has changed? I'm not saying it has but we have economic development, community safety and transportation.

Carter: Let's go through the presentation.

Dana Fenton began the presentation [copy attached].

[Background – Slide]

Carter: Could you get us a breakdown on the funding.

Fenton: Yes. [Copy provided to Committee at meeting – attached]

Cannon: What was the name of the firm before?

Kimble: Ferguson Group.

[Recommendation – Slide]

Carter: The staff recommendation is to remain with Holland & Knight. How deep has this been reviewed? The Cabinet, Administration, who?

Kimble: The City Manager analyzed this along with me, Dana Fenton, the Key

Business Executives most heavily involved with legislation and everyone believes that Holland & Knight has done a good, solid job. To change might cause a step back. Another reason is the last five months with Mr. Fenton we have seen stronger partnership with reports and information stepped up. I think the amount of information Mr. Fenton is receiving is better than before. We have stepped up our game and this is a good opportunity to work with Holland & Knight to step up their game and deliver more. We have given strong effort with Holland & Knight.

Carter: The amount of transportation funding in the aggregate is impressive. A key reason they have been successful is they understand the questions. Other areas of earmarks are important and they may change. If Congress is downgrading the method of funding to more project-oriented funding the presence of projects and capacity to understand them is important. I think there was some dissatisfaction at one point that has been rectified. We have seen greater attention from Holland & Knight and more attention to detail.

Cannon: Will we report back?

Kimble: After the recommendation.

Cannon: With the climate in DC is it better to make suggestions like before or better after the election to help us know the landscape. Holland & Knight is strong with Republicans on the federal level for going after transportation needs, but who is in authority will be a question. Two, there is the track record. I think we are landing where we are because Mr. Fenton is doing good work. I see Holland & Knight stepping up, but it is also time for us to consider their contract. I hope that was not designed, but happenstance. Thank you for the information this is a critical time.

Kimble: Where transit, the economy, energy are ranking issues our current relationship brings familiarity and knowledge. They have done great work with Gang of One for example. **If** Council renews this contract, it can also come with areas to direct them. You can provide specific direction with a comment, if and when we renew we need the focus to be blank, blank, blank.

Cannon: The other point is not to be too rushed. Mayor and Council need to have some level of discussion of what are our areas of focus. We need to determine them and give feedback and look at the strength of the firms including Holland & Knight and see who weighs out best.

Carter: That is the focus of our retreat, are you suggesting postponing?

Cannon: When is that?

Carter: February.

Cannon: That is too late; we need to identify them now.

Dulin: I'd like to see the financials of the deal. How much are we talking about?

Kimble: The first two years of their contract was $150,000 each year. The next five years was $180,000 with no increase. **If** we are headed towards renewal, I would expect to see a small increase. But, we have had preliminary conversation that we should not see anything starting with a "2".

Dulin: I have no problem with them wanting more. They can ask and we can offer less. It is negotiable. Rents are bumped every five years. I understand. I'd like to see the numbers. I don't feel comfortable recommending this out of Committee without numbers. I don't want to push too hard because they can also get other clients. We are a good client. You could bump up to $197,000 for example for three years and then go back to $180,000 if they wanted three years versus two.

Kimble: I don't think they want to go backwards.

Dulin: We can see them in two years.

Carter: If we are not content with their lobbying, we could go one year with an extension. There will be another election series in November and there could be a different focus.

Dulin: I don't mind. I like hardball. I think their low spot was in 2006. I don't want them to take us for granted. But, there is something to be said for having the same working team and the same face. I am okay moving forward if I see the numbers.

Kimble: We did not want to pre-judge, but we also had to have a conversation with Holland & Knight that if there was a renewal how much. Five years ago it was $150,000 and then $180,000, so are we looking at another 30 in five years to $210,000? That won't fly. We need to keep it under $200,000. We are in a good spot to talk. Again, not to pre-judge Council's recommendation to even renew, but we did have a conversation with them.

Dulin: I would make a motion to move on with the numbers, and I don't blame

them for looking for an increase.

Kimble: I think it is good on their part.

Carter: The distribution of funds in ’08 was $19.5, in ’09 it was 22 and ’10 was 15. It was mostly discretionary in ’10, so there is a touch of disappointment, but I think they have been consistent. Transportation in ’06 was $175 million, but that was part of the full funding grant. But, they have been holding steady so a percentage of $200,000 is not that much. I just don’t want to commit another Council if we don’t feel there is adequate representation.

Dulin: I would be willing to move forward today to negotiate at not more than $200,000.

Kimble: I think we would be at the $198,000 range.

Cannon: I think that is what we would fall under with anybody. I don’t want to be hamstrung without any flexibility. I would prefer an out because we could sign another veteran or rookie to the team.

Dulin: I would make a motion to give the City Manager authority to start renewal discussions with Holland & Knight for two years not to exceed $200,000.

Carter: Is that a recommendation to Council?

Cannon: Can I make a substitute?

Carter: Yes.

Cannon: Would you consider one year versus two?

Dulin: We have matured with Holland & Knight; we have the continuity of the team; I’m not ready to break in a new crowd. They have done a good deal for Charlotte and if we can keep them for under $200,000 and give them assurances for two years and not one year I think they will push more.

Cannon: But, if they know they will have one year added that is an incentive for working harder. They have a value record of success.

Carter: I think it is appropriate to have a two year base estimated salary but if it is one year with an extension that is in reach which equals a two year agreement it sends a message to work harder.

Dulin: I completely understand.

Cannon: Will you add that premise?

Dulin: No.

Cannon: My substitute motion is the idea to add a one year extension for consideration based upon achievement not to exceed $200,000.

Kimble: It will be $198,000.

Dulin: That gives one year to hammer out. Raleigh is in the market for a lobbyist.

Kimble: I think you can just say $198,000.

Cannon: So, $198,000 one year based upon performance.

Dulin: Can the City Manager sell that?

Kimble: I have full faith and confidence regarding two years at $198,000. It is Council's decision. So, it can be one year at $198,000 and another possible year at the same rate. He can sell that.

Dulin: I can second that.

Motion passes unanimously – Carter, Cannon, Dulin – for

Carter: I would ask that Council receive the same information as well as the financial information.

IV. Hot Topics

None.

V. Next Meeting

Monday, October 4, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. in Room CH-14

Meeting Adjourned.

Governmental Affairs Committee

Tuesday, September 7, 2010 at 3:00 p.m.
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Government Center
Room 280

Committee Members: Nancy Carter, Chair
Warren Turner, Vice Chair
Patrick Cannon
Andy Dulin

Staff Resource: Ron Kimble

AGENDA

I. Emerging 2011 Issues – Dana Fenton and Committee Members

- Results from 2010 General Assembly and US Congress
- Issues before 2011 General Assembly and next US Congress

Attachment

II. Review of Proposed 2011 State and Federal Consolidated Legislative Calendar - Dana Fenton and Committee Members

Attachment

III. Federal Legislative Contract – Ron Kimble, Dana Fenton and Committee Members

- Referred to GAC by City Manager

Attachment

IV. Hot Topics

V. Next Meeting:

October 4, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. in **Room CH-14**

Distribution: Mayor/City Council, Mac McCarley, Greg Gaskins, Curt Walton, City Manager, Stephanie Kelly, Kim Eagle, Leadership Team, Bob Hagemann

CHARLOTTE

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

2011 Emerging Issues

Government Affairs Committee

September 7, 2010

CHARMECK.ORG

2010 State and Federal Actions

- General Assembly
  - FY 2010-2011 State GF Budget $613 million lower
  - Very little budgetary impact on cities and towns
  - Reform of Business Privilege License / Tax Reform and Annexation statutes delayed in favor of State Budget
- City successes
  - Two local bills (Emergency Fund and CFRS)
  - Positively affected various bills, e.g. water, broadband, towing, ABC reform
- US Congress
  - Pending earmarks for Blue Line and Gang of One
  - Trend towards discretionary grants

Outlook for 2011

- Issues:
  - State and Federal Budgets
  - Business Privilege License / Tax Reform
  - Annexation
  - MPO Realignment
  - Transportation
  - Energy
- City issues
  - Staff is working on vetting issues from KBUs
  - Draft agendas presented at October GAC meeting

State and Federal Budgets

- State General Fund budget
  - Current budget substantially supported by revenues from ARRA stimulus plan and temporary tax increases
  - Impact of expiration of ARRA stimulus and temporary tax increases on FY 2011-2012 budget approximately $3 billion
  - Cities and towns will have to monitor potential for diversion of State-collected municipal revenues
- Federal budget:
  - Greater awareness of debt levels
  - Greater emphasis on discretionary grants

Business Privilege License / Tax Reform

- 2011 General Assembly expected to resume discussion
- City most affected by proposals to eliminate Business Privilege License Tax
  - Earns $17 million per year
  - Next highest is Raleigh at $7 million
- City position is that tax can be reformed without elimination
- City supports reform efforts including capping upper amount at $10,000

Annexation

- Annexation statutes:
  - Adopted in 1959 and periodically updated
  - Model of how annexation should be undertaken
- HB 524 (2009):
  - Not acted upon in 2010
  - Proponents expected to make strong efforts in 2011
- City supports reform efforts to make cities more accountable
- City cannot support requirement for majority vote of residents residing in areas proposed for annexation

Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)

- NC GSA 136-200.2 requires review of MPO boundaries after decennial census in order to ensure compliance with federal transportation law
- Current boundaries of Mecklenburg-Union Metropolitan Planning Organization (MUMPO) agreed to by MOU in September 2003
- Centralina Council of Governments and NCDOT examining options for MUMPO including impacts of expansion of boundaries

Transportation

- NC Mobility Fund included in State budget
  - Widening of I-85 north of Yadkin River Bridge
  - Funding is $31 million annual transfer from the Highway Trust Fund gradually rising to $58 million
- Congressional reauthorization of SAFETEA-LU is already one year late
  - ARRA stimulus provided one-time boost
  - Highway Trust Fund has been bolstered by GF revenues
- Current State and Federal resources inadequate to support most new projects and address major Statewide needs

2011 Emerging Issues

Energy

- Charlotte is emerging as a leader in the Sustainable / Renewable Energy field
- City and other groups are working on potential legislation for 2011 General Assembly

Proposed Consolidated Calendar
2011 State and Federal Legislative Agendas

September 7, 2010	GAC Review of 2010 State and Federal sessions and proposed calendar
September 10, 2010	KBU and Council recommended items and earmarks for inclusion in 2011 Legislative Agendas due to Intergovernmental Relations Manager
September 15, 2010	Leadership Team review of KBU/Council requests
September 22-24, 2010	Metro Mayors Conference, Asheville
October 4, 2010	GAC review of preliminary State and Federal Legislative Agendas
October 13, 2010	Leadership Team review of State and Federal Legislative Agendas
October 23-26, 2010	NCLM Annual Meeting, Winston Salem
November 1, 2010	GAC review and approval of State and Federal Legislative Agendas
November 8, 2010	Council briefing on GAC approved State and Federal Agendas
November 22, 2010	Council review and approval of final State and Federal Legislative Agendas
November 30 – December 4, 2010	NLC Annual Conference, Denver
*December 13, 2010	City of Charlotte Legislative Briefing Breakfast with Delegation
January 19-21, 2011	US Conference of Mayors Meeting, Washington, DC (may be timed with visits with Congressional Delegation to review the 2011 Federal Legislative Agenda)
January 20, 2011	NCLM Legislative Goals Conference, Raleigh
January 26, 2011	2011 North Carolina General Assembly convenes
March 12-16, 2011	NLC Congressional City Conference, Washington, DC
May 4, 2011	2011 NCLM Town Hall Day, Raleigh

"*" - Date dependent upon approval of Delegation Chairman

CHARLOTTE

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

Federal Legislative Contract

Government Affairs Committee

September 7, 2010

CHARMECK.ORG

Federal Legislative Contract

Background

- Holland & Knight has worked closely with our Congressional Delegation, Key Appropriations and Authorizing Committees, and Congressional Leadership to advance the City's interests over the last several years
- Resulted in over $240 million in appropriations and authorization funding for the City
- On behalf of the City, worked with Federal Agencies to advance discretionary grant and Stimulus applications

Federal Legislative Contract

Current Situation

- Contract with Holland & Knight approved in December 2008 for two year term
- Three one year extensions <u>not approved</u>
- Contract expires on December 31, 2010
- Choices:
  - Allow contract to expire and engage another firm
  - Retain Holland & Knight for additional year

Federal Legislative Contract
Allow Contract to Expire and Engage Another Firm

Pro

- Other firms may have better records obtaining earmarks for non-Transit projects

Con

- Earmark pool constrained
- Not all firms have experience with Full Funding Grant Agreement process

Federal Legislative Contract
Retain Holland & Knight for Additional Year

Pro

- Excellent relationships with Area Delegation, Key Committee staff and Federal Agencies
- Bring resources to bear with federal agencies (e.g. Treasury, FTA)
- CATS will be applying for Blue Line Extension FFGA

Con

- Perception that earmarks for non-transit projects is insufficient

Recommendation

- Retain Holland & Knight for additional two years:
  - Excellent relationships
  - Key federal agency experience
  - Knowledge of Full Funding Grant Agreement process

Fiscal Year	Client	Bill	Account	Project Name	Conf	Listed Members
2010	Charlotte, NC	THUD	New Starts	Charlotte Blue Line Light Rail Extension (Northeast Corridor)	$14,700,000	Burr, Hagan; Kissell, Watt
2010	Charlotte, NC	THUD	New Starts	Charlotte Streetcar Project	$500,000	Hagan; Watt, Kissell
2010	Charlotte, NC	CJS	Office of Juvenile Prog	Gang of One	$200,000	Kissell, Watt
2009	Charlotte, NC	THUD	EDI	Eastland Mall	$95,000	Hayes, Watt
2009	Charlotte, NC	CJS	Office of Juvenile Prog	Gang of One	$950,000	Hayes, Watt, Dole
2009	Charlotte, NC	THUD	New Starts	Rapid Transit - NE Corridor	$20,500,000	Dole, Burr, Myrick, Hayes, Watt
2009	Charlotte, NC	THUD	Alternatives Analysis	Conceptual Alignment and Phasing Alternatives Study	$237,500	Watt, Hayes
2009	Charlotte, NC	THUD	Technical Corrections	Rapid Transit - NE Corridor	Yes	Dole
2009	Charlotte, NC	THUD	Technical Corrections	Belvedere Business Park	Yes	Watt
2008	Charlotte, NC	Financial Services	SBA	Belvedere Business Park	$282,000	Watt, Burr, Dole
2008	Charlotte, NC	THUD	EDI	Belvedere Business Park	$147,000	Watt
2008	Charlotte, NC	CJS	Office of Juvenile Prog	Gang of One	$1,222,000	Hayes, Dole
2008	Charlotte, NC	THUD	Alternatives Analysis	Rapid Transit - NE Corridor	$2,695,000	Dole, Burr, Watt, Myrick
2008	Charlotte, NC	THUD	New Starts	Rapid Transit - NE Corridor	$1,960,000	Myrick, Watt, Dole, Burr
2008	Charlotte, NC	WRDA	WRDA	Briar Creek Relief Sewer	$14,000,000	Hayes, Myrick, Watt
2006	Charlotte, NC	THUD	Fed. Hwy Fund ITS	Intelligent Transportation System	$700,000	
2006	Charlotte, NC	Interior	STAG	Providence Road Water Line	$1,000,000	
2006	Charlotte, NC	CJS	State Office of Juvenli	Gang of One	$150,000	
2006	Charlotte, NC	SAFETEA-LU		Eastland Community Transit Center	$1,672,000	
2006	Charlotte, NC	SAFETEA-LU		Multimodal Station	$19,864,000	
2006	Charlotte, NC	SAFETEA-LU	EXISTING FULL FUN	South Corridor LRT	$154,165,565	
2005	Charlotte, NC	Transportation	Federal Highway Adm	Belmont Ave Infrastructure (Piedmont Courts)	$1,250,000	
2005	Charlotte, NC	Transportation	Federal Highway Adm	Elizabeth Avenue redevelopment	$500,000	
2005	Charlotte, NC	Transportation	Bus and Bus Facilities	Multi-modal Transportation Center	$2,500,000	
2005	Charlotte, NC	Transportation	Federal Highway Adm	South Boulevard Signal System	$470,000	
2005	Charlotte, NC	VA HUD	EDI	City of Charlotte Grier Heights Project (Wallace Townhomes)	$100,000	
2005	Charlotte, NC	VA HUD	STAG	Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	$250,000	
2005	Charlotte, NC	Labor HHS	Pub. Health Improvem	ALERT	$250,000	
					$240,360,065	

$50,658,500	Appropriations
$189,701,565	Authorizations (SAFETEA-LU & WRDA)
$240,360,065	**Total Funding**
$219,964,065	Total Transportation Funding
$15,250,000	Total Environment/Infrastructure Funding
$2,772,000	Total Community Safety Funding
$2,374,000	Total Economic Development Funding
$240,360,065	**Total Funding**