

An Adjourned Meeting of the City Council of the City of Charlotte, North Carolina, was held in the Council Chamber in the City Hall, on Monday, October 30, 1959, at 1 o'clock p.m., with Mayor Smith presiding and Councilmen Albea, Babcock, Dellinger, Hitch, Myers, Smith and Whittington being present. Also present at the invitation of the Council were Planning Board members Sibley, Chairman, Ervin, Hanks, Marsh, McClure and Toy.

ABSENT: No members of the City Council.

ABSENT: Planning Board members Craig, Hook, Schwartz and Wilkinson.

* * * * *

INVOCATION.

The invocation was given by Councilman Claude L. Albea.

PURPOSE OF MEETING.

Mayor Smith announced that the meeting is being held for the purpose of hearing objections to duplicate street and street continuity name changes, as recommended by the Committee appointed by him. He stated Council decisions would be made at a later meeting after the recommendations of the Planning Board are received.

DECISIONS OF COUNCIL TO BE MADE AT MEETING ON NOVEMBER 9TH.

Councilman Whittington moved that the petitions on the duplicate street and street continuity name changes be heard today and decisions announced by the Council at the meeting on November 9th. The motion was seconded by Councilman Hitch, and unanimously carried.

HEARING ON DUPLICATE STREET AND STREET CONTINUITY NAME CHANGES.

AMITY PLACE, from Sharon-Amity Road to Deadend, change to Annadel Place recommended to eliminate duplicate name.

No one appeared to express objections to the recommended street name change. Two petitions had previously been filed, one bearing 40 names representing 21 properties, objecting to any change in name; the other bearing 42 names, representing 22 properties requesting if the street name is changed that it be Heatherwood Drive.

BERTONLEY AVENUE, from Walker Road to Melchor Street, change to Richland Drive recommended to establish street continuity.

Mrs. Carl Zirkel, 600 Bertonley Avenue and Mrs. Harold Eason, 511 Bertonley Avenue, appeared in protest of the change to connect with Richland Drive. A petition bearing the names of 36 residents of Bertonley was filed. Mrs. Zirkel stated that Richland Drive deadends and there is a deep ditch between it and Bertonley. That Bertonley is in Cotswold section, while Richland runs off Monroe Road and is an old street, with property values much lower than on Bertonley, and they believe the change will affect their

October 30, 1959
Minute Book 39 - Page 109

property values. Mrs. Easton stated her husband's business moves him from place to place and they purchased a home in Cotswold area on Bertonley because it was a new area and street and if they were moved they would have no trouble in selling on this nice street. Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, advised that Bertonley is a continuation of Richland, and the right-of-way has been dedicated to the City for connecting the two streets, and it is logical to do so.

BRANDON CIRCLE, from Briarwood Road to deadend, change to Brownlee Circle recommended to eliminate duplicate name

No one appeared regarding the change in name. A petition was previously filed bearing 45 signatures, representing 30 properties, requesting that no change be made in the street name.

CANTERBURY ROAD, from Wendover Road to Old Sardis Road, change to Canterbury Drive, recommended to eliminate duplicate name and establish street continuity.

No one appeared in protest of the change. A petition was previously filed in protest to the change, signed by 44 residents, representing 27 properties. The Committee recommending the change show that Canterbury Drive is the longer street, with more developed properties.

CENTER DRIVE, from Cottage Way to deadend, change to Clyde Drive recommended to eliminate duplicate name.

No one was present in protest of the change in street name. A petition was previously filed bearing 27 names, representing 21 properties, requesting that the name not be changed.

CHEROKEE PLACE, from Hempstead Place to Cherokee Road, change to Middleton Drive recommended to eliminate duplicate name and establish street continuity.

Mr. W. T. Covington, Jr., attorney representing the property owners on Cherokee Place, stated the street is only two blocks in length, is in the Eastover section, a highly restricted area with high property values, while Middleton Drive was developed by the Middleton Homes Development, running off Providence Road, with lesser restrictions and property values. That business has now moved onto Middleton Drive from Providence Road, which will further affect property values. Mr. Covington stated there is no confusion whatever in the names of Cherokee Place and Cherokee Road, that the very name Road denotes a street of considerable length, while the word Place is known to be just what it says - a place or very short street.

Mrs. W. C. Thompson, resident of Cherokee Place urged that the name not be changed.

CLIFFWOOD PLACE, from Dowd Road to West Tremont Avenue, change to Mint Street recommended to complete street continuity.

Mr. J. M. Underhill, 1940 Cliffwood Place, vigorously protested the change in name to Mint Street and stated that some 18 years ago when this residential area was developed, it was changed from Mint Street to Cliffwood Place as the residents did not care to live on Mint Street. That Mint

Street from its beginning at West Trade Street is entirely commercial, with the exception of a few rundown, inexpensive houses, and the change of the name Cliffwood Place to Mint Street will devalue their property values a great deal.

CORTLAND ROAD, WEST, from Buckingham Road to Valley Stream Road, change to Rutgers Road recommended to eliminate duplicate name.

Mr. J. J. Currin, 1408 Cortland Road West, stated he is unable to reconcile why the name of Cortland Road West is under consideration. That when he moved on the street 9 years ago it ran through to Valley Stream Road, and some 4 years ago it was extended and the extension named Cortland Road East, and he cannot understand why the extension, which is shorter, less populated and newer does not receive the change in name. It was noted that a petition bearing 6 names, representing their 6 properties has been filed requesting no change in the street name, and also, three letters from residents of the street requesting an entirely different name if the change is made.

COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE, from Matheson Avenue to Dunlaven Way, change to Suburban Drive, to eliminate duplicate name.

Mr. Kermit Caldwell, Attorney, representing the property owners on Country Club Drive, stated they urgently request that the street name not be changed. That he is appearing because they all feel an error has been made in the calculations of the Committee between this street and Country Club Lane. That under the ground rules of the Committee the street retaining its name must be the oldest, longest, most developed and most relating to the area, and all of these rules apply to Country Club DRIVE and not to Country Club LANE; therefore, Country Club DRIVE should have received the 9 points instead of 4. Mr. Caldwell stated that the map on file in the office of the Register of Deeds shows that Country Club Drive was so named in 1911, while the map of Country Club Lane is dated 1937. That Country Club Drive, is, without question, the longest of the two streets and the most developed with 49 residents, and runs along Charlotte Country Club golf course, and so is directly related to the area. He urged that the name not be changed.

Mr. W. S. Stroup, 3111 Country Club Drive, also spoke in support of retaining the name, stating they definitely feel an error was made in the calculations of the Committee or the streets were confused by them.

CRAIGHEAD ROAD, from N. Tryon Street to Derita Road, change to Creosote Road recommended to establish continuity.

Mr. Worth Helms, resident of Craighead Road, the Reverend Mr. A. L. Thompson, Pastor of Sugaw Creek Presbyterian Church and Mr. Walter L. Black, property owner on Craighead Road, appeared in protest of the street name change. Attention was called to the petition recently filed bearing 88 signatures, representing 46 property owners on the street protesting the change. Mr. Helms stated the name is historical and was given the street in honor of the Reverend Craighead Alexander, the organizer and first pastor of Sugaw Creek Church in 1754 and he is buried in the church cemetery on Craighead Road. He stated that the road is $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles in length with 27 residences, one of which is 135 years old, while Creosote Road is a two-block street with 2 houses and was so named when a creosote plant was built, which has long since been discontinued. He advised that the County Commissioners have voted unanimously to maintain the name of

Craighead Road. Mr. Helms presented a letter from the President of Concrete Materials, Inc., advising that even though their plant is located on Creosote Road, they feel the entire street should be named Craighead Road in the public interest.

The Reverend Mr. A. L. Thompson stated the petition previously submitted was signed by the members of the Session of his church and they feel the name is sacred to the church and should be retained.

Misses Mary Louise and Alice Davidson, descendants of the Reverend Craighead Alexander, were introduced and it was pointed out that they now own and reside on the property of their ancestor and wish the street name retained.

Mr. Walter L. Black stated that he and his eleven brothers and sisters have owned property for over 100 years on Craighead Road. He stated that the creosote plant formerly located on Creosote Road has been out of operation for some 20 years.

CRESCENT AVENUE-OLD SARDIS ROAD, from Caswell Road to Laurel Avenue, change to Randolph Road recommended to eliminate duplicate names.

No objections were registered to the change in street name. The change was recommended by the Committee to eliminate the duplicate name Crescent with Crescent Avenue, which is actually a crescent, and to provide a name for the continuous street presently bearing the duplicate names Crescent Avenue and Old Sardis Road.

DILWORTH ROAD EAST, from Romany Road to Dilworth Road West, change to Kuester Drive recommended to eliminate the duplicate name.

Mr. R. S. Hutchinson, 2007 Dilworth Road East and Mrs. Hal Bobbitt, 1923 Dilworth Road East, spoke in protest of the change. Mr. Hutchinson stated that Dilworth Road, Dilworth Road East and Dilworth Road West constitute one thoroughfare and should retain the name Dilworth Road, since it is descriptive of the section of the city it serves. That the "East" and "West", which are direct extensions of Dilworth Road, can properly be included and the whole street be called Dilworth Road. That the street names were given 40 years ago when the area was developed. He called attention to the petition previously filed bearing the signatures of 94 of the 98 residents objecting to any change.

Mrs. Bobbitt stated the majority of the residents of the fully developed street are home owners and long time residents of the street and the name means much to them.

The Planning Director called attention that one letter has been filed suggesting that Dilworth Road East be renamed Saint Patrick Drive instead of Kuester Drive. Mr. Hutchinson and Mrs. Bobbitt both expressed objections to the name.

DRUID CIRCLE SOUTH, from Statesville Avenue to Moretz Avenue, change to Dreyfus Street recommended to eliminate duplicate name.

No one appeared in protest of the change in name. A petition signed by 41 persons, representing 24 properties was previously filed, requesting that the name not be changed and that Druid Circle North be changed to Moretz Avenue, as it is the shorter and less populated street.

EDGEWOOD ROAD, from Tuckaseegee Road to Thrift Road, change to Etheridge Road recommended to eliminate duplicate street names.

No one appeared in protest of the change in name. Mr. McIntyre, Planning Director, called attention to the petition previously filed requesting that no change be made, which bears 66 signatures, representing 33 properties.

ENDERLY ROAD EAST, from Tuckaseegee Road to deadend, change to Effingham Road recommended to eliminate duplicate name.

Mrs. John A. Nichols, 1400 Enderly Road East stated they would like to keep both Enderly Road East and West; however, according to the point system employed by the Committee, Enderly Road East should retain its name rather than Enderly Road West, as there are 46 homes on the East against 20 on the West, which is also only developed on one side of the street; too, that the Stone Entrance to the area is marked Enderly Road EAST, which was the original street.

Mrs. Carl Reed, Enderly Road West, stated that Enderly Park Church and Enderly Park Recreation Center are located on the West and although it is not under question, they do not want the name changed.

GRIFFITH LANE, from Old Pineville Road to Deadend, change to eliminate duplication in name. No new name suggested by Committee.

Mr. W. C. Griffith, resident of Griffith Lane, stated it is outside the city limits and is one of the oldest streets in Mecklenburg County and was named for his grandfather, Captain J. Wallace Griffith, a Civil War Veteran. He stated the petition previously filed bears the signature of 34 relatives and property owners on the street, representing all of the properties. He urged that the historic value of the name be borne in mind in the Council's deliberations on the question.

GROVE STREET, from Victoria Avenue to Sycamore Street, change to Gallant Street to eliminate duplicate name.

Mr. George Stratton, resident of Grove Street, representing all of the residents of the street, stated he understands there are three Grove Streets and 3 Grove Avenues in the city and perimeter area. That their Grove Street has borne the name since the 1920s and that the city map as late as 1947 did not show another Grove Street or Avenue. That the residents feel they are entitled to retain the name.

HERMITAGE COURT, from Providence Road to Hermitage Road, change to Circle Avenue to eliminate duplicate name and establish continuity with Circle Avenue.

Mr. Robert James, 512 Hermitage Court, representing all of the residents of the fully developed street, advised a petition has been filed to retain the name and it bears the signature of every property owner. He stated that Hermitage Court is 1/2 mile in length running off Hermitage Road, which is 2/10 of a mile long; that there is no confusion whatever in locating the street, and statements from Cab Companies, Delivery Services and other public service companies have been filed stating they do not realize any confusion in the two streets. Mr. James stated if the Council so wishes they may add 1,000 to the house numbers on Hermitage Court, which would completely change the numbering on the two streets. He stated that under the City's point system, the street that retains its name, Hermitage Court should receive the honor as it is longer, older, more highly developed and the same distance from the center of town.

October 30, 1959
Minute Book 39 - Page 113

Mr. Donald McCafferty, 416 Hermitage Court, stated the street is beautiful with its center planting strip and he sees no useful purpose in changing the name to Circle Avenue.

HILLSIDE DRIVE, from East Boulevard to Lombardy Circle, change to Hilliard Drive, to eliminate duplicate name

No one appeared in protest of the proposed change. A petition has been previously filed bearing 47 names, representing 32 properties and requesting the name "Memorial Drive" in lieu of Hilliard Drive if the change is made. The Planning Director stated that Memorial Drive would be a duplication.

HOVIS CIRCLE AND CHEMWAY DRIVE, from Bradford Drive to Mt. Holly Road, change to Hoskins Road recommended to establish street continuity.

Mr. Samuel H. Zealy, 111 Bradford Drive, Mr. B. L. Thomas, President S.H. Duncan Company and the Reverend Mr. Clifford A. Weller, Pastor Thomasboro Church of the Nazarene spoke in opposition to the change; Mr. Zealy stated that Hovis Circle is really a half-circle; that the name Hovis is revered by the residents of the area and the Hovis family has long lived there; that at this time there is in Charlotte, an Attorney, a Doctor and a Mortician of the Hovis family. He stated there is no street name duplication involved; that Hoskins Road is synonymous of the Thomasboro Area while Hovis Circle is an area by itself and the street would be out of place in Thomasboro.

Mr. Thomas stated he resides on Hovis Circle and if the name must be changed they much prefer Chemway Drive to Hoskins Road. The Reverend Weller urged that the name not be change.

Two petitions have been filed previously, one signed by 143 persons, representing 57 properties on Hovis Circle, the other signed by 6 persons requesting that the street name be changed to Oakdale Road, if changed.

LAWRENCE STREET, from Meridith Avenue to Cartwright Place, change to Steele Creek Road recommended to eliminate duplicate name and establish street continuity.

No one appeared in protest of the change in name. A request has previously been received from one person asking that the name "Westerly Hills Drive" be assigned to both Lawrence and Margaret Streets, leaving Steel Creek Road as it is presently.

MYRTLE AVENUE, from Craighead Road to Cabot Street, change to Fermond Street recommended to eliminate duplication in street name.

Mrs. M.D. Mims, 4526 Myrtle Avenue, advised a petition has been filed signed by 118 persons, representing 56 properties, and asks that the name be changed to Northaven Drive if it must be changed. She stated that Myrtle Avenue, presently outside the city limits, actually runs from Craighead Road back to Craighead instead of Cabot Street, that the street consists of 11 blocks and every home is owned by the resident, while Myrtle Avenue, inside the city, is only 5 blocks in length with 13 homes. She asked the Council to bear in mind that the street has borne this name for 35 years and is very dear to the residents.

NORRIS AVENUE, from Hutchinson Avenue to deadend, change to West 30th Street recommended to establish street continuity only.

Mr. J. E. Prince, 419 Norris Avenue, stated he has resided on the street for 7 years and does not wish to live on 30th Street and that there is certainly no relation between the two streets, as there is a 30-acre tract of land between them. He asked if the name must be changed, that it be named Tryon Hills Drive, but never 30th Street.

PARK AVENUE, from Merriman Avenue to Romany Road, change to Latta Avenue recommended to eliminate name duplication.

Mr. Howard Nivens, Mr. Steve Blair and J. E. Hart appeared before Council in opposition to the proposed change. Mr. Niven stated that Mr. Latta in developing Dilworth section named Park Avenue for the park which it borders and it has borne the name for 70 years and was the first street in Charlotte to be named "Park"; that the street consists of 15 blocks, 9 on the east and 6 on the west.

Mr. Blair, owner of a tire company at 112 West Park Avenue, stated it would mean a loss of business to him, that 75% of his business is wholesale with dealers in both North and South Carolina, besides he kept a big supply of letterheads and invoices bearing his street address, which would be lost.

Mr. Hart, co-owner and operator of Harris-Hart Company, stated he represents the merchants of Dilworth and they believe the change would mean a financial strain on all of them. He asked that serious consideration be given the change.

PARK DRIVE, from Cecil Street to East 7th Street, change to Portsmouth Drive recommended to eliminate name duplication.

No one appeared in protest of the name change. The name Murray Drive was suggested in the petition, and the Planning Board advised this would be a duplication of another street name.

PINE STREET, from Cherry Street to Hoskins Avenue, change to Loblolly Street recommended to eliminate street name duplication.

No one was present in protest of the proposed change. One person had filed objections to the change, but did not recommend another name.

PRINCESS STREET, from Columbus Circle to Deadend, change to Periwinkle Place recommended to eliminate duplication in names.

No one appeared in protest of the change. A petition had been previously filed bearing 16 names, representing 8 properties, requesting that no change be made.

QUEENS ROAD WEST, from Queens Road to Selwyn Avenue, change to Kings Drive and Regal Drive recommended to eliminate street name duplication.

Mr. Fred Helms, Mr. Warren Stack, Mr. Paul Guthery, Mr. Joe Grier, Mr. Herbert Bridges, Mr. W. H. Barnhardt, Mr. Charles Henderson, Mr. J. C. McGowan, Mr. Worth Harris, Mr. Dennis Myers and Mr. Bob Jones, all residents of Queens Road West appeared before Council in protest of the proposed change.

October 30, 1959
Minute Book 39 - Page 115

Mr. Helms presented a map of Myers Park dated June 1921, after its development, showing Queens Road, Queens Road West and Queens Road East laid out as it is laid out today; he stated they are the streets upon which Myers Park was developed so as to completely incircle Queens College and there is not an undeveloped lot on the entire circle today. Mr. Helms advised that a long-range plan like this can be appreciated particularly by the Planning Directors and it should not be discarded, that if the matter is decided on merit alone, the names of Queens Road, Queens Road West and Queens Road East will remain as they are.

Mr. Warren Stack, stated that King's Drive is not shown on the map presented by Mr. Helms and was opened much later and has no relationship with Queens Road West; that King's Drive is mainly a commercial street from its beginning at East 4th Street and if extended to 7th Street will be more so; that the plan proposed by the Committee to take the 4 blocks of Queens Road West, from Queens Road to the intersection with East Boulevard and Kings Drive and name it Regal Drive is unthinkable, as Queens Road, Queens Road East and Queens Road West is a continuous street, all with very high restrictions and property values, and has no relationship at all with King's Drive.

Mr. Paul Guthery stated he still lives in the first house built on Queens Road West in 1919 by his father. That the plan for Myers Park was drawn by John Noland of Boston, Mass. in 1910 and was the first suburb in North Carolina to get away from the usual pattern and follow the natural topograph of the land. He urged that the name not be changed.

Mr. Joe Grier stated he has resided on the street for seven years and he is of the opinion that the residents of the street are entitled to having it named what they wish and the name Queens Road West means a great deal to them. He stated further that he believes the name of no long established street should be changed without real and substantial reason.

Mr. Herbert Bridges stated he feels it his duty to go along with the city governing body but when something like this comes up that will benefit no one and some will be hurt, he should add his voice to the many others expressing their objections.

Mr. W. H. Barnhardt stated he was a member of the Board of Directors of The Stephens Company who developed Myers Park Area and that Queens Road, with its planting strip, winding around as Queens Road, Queens Road West and Queens Road East for 5 miles is one of the most beautiful streets in the south and it will be most confusing to divide it up into many street names.

Mr. Charlie Henderson stated he has just purchased a house at 1533 Queens Road West, that the street and its name are distinctive and well known to Charlotte residents and he does not feel it should be changed.

Mr. J. C. McGowan stated he has resided at 1574 Queens Road West for the past 30 years and the street name means much to him and the other residents and he urged that it not be changed.

Mr. Dennis Myers stated when he was young King's Drive was nothing but a cow pasture and there is absolutely no continuity of it with Queens Road West. That it worries him to think that King's Drive, as the commercial street it has become, possibly encroaching onto Queens Road West with business.

Mr. Bob Jones stated he was reared on East Boulevard and with the encroachment of business as it is today, property values have greatly deteriorated and he does not want this to happen to Queens Road West.

REMOUNT ROAD, from West Boulevard to Morton Street, change to Shuman Avenue recommended to establish street continuity.

No objections were expressed to the proposed street name change. A petition has been filed bearing 119 names, representing 59 properties, requesting that no change be made in the street name and that Shuman Avenue be changed to Remount Road instead.

SEDFIELD ROAD, from Marsh Road to deadend, change to Sarsfield Road recommended to eliminate name duplication.

Mr. R. F. Hutchinson, 1040 Sedgfield Road, stated their street name is synonymous with Sedgfield Development and they believe an error was made by the Committee, as there are 60 homes on the street, individually owned; that the street is longer than the other portion of Sedgfield Road and the portion of the street in question lies between Sedgfield Elementary and Sedgfield Junior High School.

Mrs. Foust, 1022 Sedgfield Road, urged that the street name not be changed.

SHARON LANE, from Providence Road to Sharon Road, change to Amity Road to eliminate duplication and establish continuity with Amity Road.

Mr. Sam McNinch, resident of Sharon Lane, stated the street is about 1 mile long strictly residential; was named some 40 years ago and lies strictly in Sharon section of the county and is in no way related to Amity area. He stated further that he does not believe the City Council has any jurisdiction over Sharon Lane whatever, that the Planning Board may recommend a name change to the County Commissioners for their decision. Previously a petition was filed bearing 46 names, representing 32 properties, requesting no change in the street name.

SHARON-AMITY ROAD, from Providence Road to Lawyers Road, change to Amity Road recommended by Committee - no reason given.

Mr. William McKimmel, 401 Sharon-Amity Road, stated the name "Sharon" was added to the original Amity Road to tie it to the section of the county in which a portion of the road lies, at their request to the County Commissioners some few years ago. That it is true that it now runs all the way to Independence Boulevard, even on to Lawyers Road. He stated it is a mistake to rename both this street and Sharon Lane "Amity Road" as Amity section is on one end of the road, and Sharon section on the other end.

Mr. Malcolm Glendenning, 419 Sharon-Amity Road, stated in 1940 they asked the County Commissioners to officially name the road Sharon-Amity Road to tie it to the Sharon area. That Sharon Lane, Sharon-Amity Road and Amity Road are three distinctly different roads, and he urged that if the name is changed that the "Sharon" be retained.

SKYLAND ROAD, from Carter Way to deadend, change to Parker Drive recommended to eliminate name duplication and establish street continuity.

No one appeared in regard to the petition previously filed signed by 79

October 30, 1959
Minute Book 39 - Page 117

residents of the street, representing 33 properties and asking that no change be made in the name and if it were changed that it be named Skyview Road in lieu of Parker Drive.

STAFFORD CIRCLE, from Addison Drive to Addison Dr, change to Shrewsbury Circle. recommended to eliminate street name duplication.

Mr. James H. Atkins, 4849 Stafford Circle, stated they have 19 residences on the street, individually owned, in the new beautiful Sherwood Forest Section, of highly restricted property with high property valuations. That Stafford Drive is in the perimeter area, running off Wilkinson Boulevard without a single residence or business and only Pilot Trucking Company backs up to the street. That under the Committees point system their street is obliged to rate the retention of the name and any change would be an injustice to the residents.

WENDOVER CIRCLE, from Old Sardis Road to Wendover Road, change to Worcester Circle to eliminate name duplication.

Mr. Arthur Newcombe stated there are 8 homes on the Circle and a petition has been filed signed by each of these residents, together with the owners of the two undeveloped lots. That Wendover Hills section was developed 20 years ago and the streets named. He stated there is absolutely no confusion in locating the Circle; however, if the name must be changed they definitely do not want it named "Worcester Circle" and prefer Wenwood Lane.

Mr. Guy Byerly, resident of the street, stated the name Wendover Circle is symbolic of the area and they desire to retain it.

WINDSOR AVENUE, from Poindexter Drive to Sunset Drive, change to Aloha Lane recommended to eliminate name duplication.

Mrs. E. P. Mallard stated the street is located in Devonshire Development, is 2 blocks in length, fully developed and each home individually owned; that the street has borne the name much longer than Windsor Drive with which it is considered a duplication. That all of the streets in the Devonshire Development have English names and they wish very much to retain the name. However, if it is changed they definitely do not want the name "Aloha" as recommended. She did not offer a suggested name.

Mrs Jo Rudisill stated they feel the point system applied by the Committee fully justifies their retention of the name, and she definitely would not live on a street named "Aloha".

BRYAN AVENUE, from Cheshire Avenue to Tuckaseegee Road, change to Burnham Avenue, recommended to eliminate name duplication.

No one appeared in protest of the proposed name change. A petition previously filed bearing the signature of all the residents of the street asked that the name be retained but if changed that the street be named "Coolridge Avenue" in lieu of Burnham, as proposed.

Councilman Babcock asked the City Attorney if Mr. McNinch is correct in his statement that the City has no jurisdiction to change street names

outside the present city limits? Mr. Shaw replied that the City has jurisdiction for one mile beyond the city limits and all of the areas involved in this hearing are within a one mile area and will be annexed as of January 1st. Mr. McNinch again stated that the Planning Board has authority to make recommendations but the naming of streets is entirely up to the county.

Mayor Smith stated the Planning Board will make their recommendations to the Council prior to the November 9th Council Meeting and Council decisions will be made on that date.

The Council concurs in the Mayor's suggestion to meet in executive session in his office at 1 o'clock p.m., on November 9th to consider the recommendations.

In reply to the question of Councilman Whittington if objections to other street name changes will be heard later, Mr. Shaw, City Attorney, stated this completes the Hearing on the names assigned by the Committee. Mayor Smith stated if other objections are made they can be considered on an individual basis if the Council so desires.

Mayor Smith stated this concludes the Hearing and the meeting is adjourned.


Lillian R. Hoffman, City Clerk